

## A SPECTRAL THEORY FOR SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS

E. BOASSO AND A. LAROTONDA

**The main objective of this paper is to develop a notion of joint spectrum for complex solvable Lie algebras of operators acting on a Banach space, which generalizes Taylor joint spectrum (T.J.S.) for several commuting operators.**

**I. Introduction.** We briefly recall the definition of Taylor spectrum. Let  $\bigwedge(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the complex exterior algebra on  $n$  generators  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , with multiplication denoted by  $\wedge$ . Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a mutually commuting  $n$ -tuple of bounded linear operators on  $E$  (m.c.o.). Define  $\bigwedge_k^n(E) = \bigwedge_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E$ , and for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $D_{k-1}$  by:

$$D_{k-1}: \bigwedge_k^n(E) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{k-1}^n(E)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{k-1}(x \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} x \cdot a_j \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_j \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sim$  means deletion. Also define  $D_k = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$ .

It is easily seen that  $D_k D_{k+1} = 0$  for all  $k$ , that is,  $\{\bigwedge_k^n(E), D_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a chain complex, called the Koszul complex associated with  $a$  and  $E$  and denoted by  $R(E, a)$ . The  $n$ -tuple  $a$  is said to be invertible or nonsingular on  $E$ , if  $R(E, a)$  is exact, i.e.,  $\text{Ker } D_k = \text{ran } D_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . The Taylor spectrum of  $a$  on  $E$  is  $\text{Sp}(a, E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n : a - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}$ .

Unfortunately, this definition depends very strongly on  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and not on the vector subspace of  $L(E)$  generated by them ( $= \langle a \rangle$ ).

As we consider Lie algebras, and then naturally involve geometry, we are interested in a geometrical approach to spectrum which depends on  $L$  rather than on a particular set of operators.

This is done in II. Given a solvable Lie subalgebra of  $L(E)$ ,  $L$ , we associate to it a set in  $L^*$ ,  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$ .

This object has the classical properties.  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is compact. If  $L'$  is an ideal of  $L$ , then  $\text{Sp}(L', E)$  is the projection of  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  in  $L^*$ .  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is non-empty.

Besides, it satisfies other interesting properties.

If  $x \in L^2$ , then  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0$ . If  $L$  is nilpotent, one has the inclusion

$$\text{Sp}(L, E) \subset \{f \in [L, L]^\perp \mid \forall x \in L, |f(x)| \leq \|x\|\}.$$

However the spectral mapping property is ill behaved.

**II. The joint spectrum for solvable Lie algebras of operators.** First of all, we establish a proposition which will be used in the definition of  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$ .

From now on,  $L$  denotes a complex finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra, and  $U(L)$  its enveloping algebra.

Let  $f$  belong to  $L^*$  such that  $f([L, L]) = 0$ , i.e.,  $f$  is a character of  $L$ . Then  $f$  defines a one dimensional representation of  $L$  denoted by  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ . Let  $\varepsilon(f)$  be the augmentation of  $U(L)$  defined by  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(f): U(L) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}(f), \\ \varepsilon(f)(x) &= f(x) \quad (x \in L). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the pair of spaces and maps  $V(L) = (U(L) \otimes \bigwedge^p L, \bar{d}_{p-1})$ , where  $\bar{d}_{p-1}$  is the map defined by:

$$\bar{d}_{p-1}: U(L) \otimes \bigwedge^p L \rightarrow U(L) \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L.$$

If  $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{p-1}\langle x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k+1} (x_{i_k} - f(x_{i_k})) \langle x_{i_1} \hat{x}_{i_k} x_{i_p} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq p} (-1)^{k+l} \langle [x_{i_k}, x_{i_l}] x_{i_1} \hat{x}_{i_k} \hat{x}_{i_l} x_{i_p} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  means deletion. If  $p \leq 0$ , we also define  $\bar{d}_p = 0$ . Then

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The pair of spaces and maps  $V(L)$  is a chain complex. Furthermore, with the augmentation  $\varepsilon(f)$ , the complex  $V(L)$  is a  $U(L)$ -free resolution of  $\mathbb{C}(f)$  as a left  $U(L)$  module.*

We omit the proof of Proposition 1 because it is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 7.1 of [3, XIII, 7].

Let  $L$  be as usual, from now on,  $E$  denotes a Banach space on which  $L$  acts as right continuous operators, i.e.,  $L$  is a Lie subalgebra

of  $L(E)$  with the opposite product. Then, by [3, XIII, 1],  $E$  is a right  $U(L)$  module.

If  $f$  is a character of  $L$ , by Proposition 1 and elementary homological algebra, the  $q$ -homology space of the complex,  $(E \otimes \wedge L, d(f))$  is  $\text{Tor}_q^{U(L)}(E, \mathbb{C}(f)) (= H_q(L, E \otimes \mathbb{C}(f)))$ .

We now state our definition.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as above the set  $\{f \in L^*, f(L^2) = 0 \mid H_*((L, E \otimes \mathbb{C}(f))) \text{ is non-zero}\}$ , is the spectrum of  $L$  acting on  $E$ , and is denoted by  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$ .

By Proposition 1 and Definition 1, it is clear that, if  $L$  is a commutative algebra  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  reduces to Taylor joint spectrum.

Let us see an example. Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$  and  $a, b$  the operators

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that  $[b, a] = b$ , and then, the vector space  $\mathbb{C}(b) \oplus \mathbb{C}(a) = L$  is a solvable Lie subalgebra of  $L(\mathbb{C}^2)$ .

Using Definition 1, a standard calculation shows that  $\text{Sp}(L, E) = \{f \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^* \mid f(b) = 0; f(a) = \frac{1}{2}, f(a) = -\frac{3}{2}\}$ .

Observe that,  $\|a\| = \frac{1}{2}$ ; however,  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is not contained in  $\{f \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^* \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f(x) \leq \|x\|\}$ .

**III. Fundamental properties of the spectrum.** In this section, we shall see that the most important properties of spectral theory are satisfied by our spectrum.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a compact set of  $L^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider the family of spaces and maps  $(E \otimes \wedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f))$   $f \in L^{2^\perp}$ , where  $L^{2^\perp} = \{f \in L^* \mid f(L^2) = 0\}$ . This family is a parameterized chain complex on  $L^{2^\perp}$ . By Taylor [6, 2.1] the set  $\{f \in L^{2^\perp} \mid (E \otimes \wedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f)) \text{ is exact}\} = \text{Sp}(L, E)^c$  is an open set in  $L^{2^\perp}$ . Then,  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is closed in  $L^{2^\perp}$  and hence in  $L^*$ .

To verify that  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a compact set we consider a basis of  $L^2$  and we extend it to a basis of  $L$ ,  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . If  $K = \dim L^2$  and  $n = \dim L$  let  $L_i$  be the ideal generated by  $\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i, i \geq K+1}$ .

Let  $f$  be a character of  $L$  and represent it in the dual basis of  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \{f_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$   $f = \sum_{i=K+1}^n \xi_i f_i$ . For each  $i$ , there is a positive

number  $r_i$  such that if  $\xi_i \geq r_i$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p^{U(L)}(E, C(f)) = H_p \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f) \right) = 0 \quad \forall p.$$

To prove our last statement, we shall construct an homotopy operator for the chain complex  $(E \otimes \bigwedge^p L, d_{p-1}(f))$  ( $f(L^2) = 0$ ).

First of all we observe that

$$E \otimes \bigwedge^p L = \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i \right) \oplus \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \right) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle.$$

As  $L_i$  is an ideal of  $L$ ,  $d_{p-1}(E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i) \subseteq E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i$ . On the other hand, there is a bounded operator  $L_{p-1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p-1}(f)(a \wedge \langle X_i \rangle) \\ = (d_{p-1}(f)a) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle + (-1)^p L_{p-1}a \quad \left( a \in E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that, for each  $p$ , there is a basis of  $\bigwedge^p L_i$ ,  $\{V_j^p\}$   $1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i$ , such that if we decompose

$$E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i} E \langle V_j \rangle,$$

then  $L_p$  has the following form

$$L_{p_{ij}} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{ij}^p & i < j, \\ X_i - \xi_i + \alpha_{jj}^p & i = j, \\ 0 & i > j \end{cases} \quad \text{where } \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Besides, let  $K_p$  be a positive real number such that

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i} \mathrm{Sp}(X_i + \alpha_{jj}^p) \subseteq B[0, K_p]$$

and  $N_i = \max_{0 \leq p \leq n-1} \{K_p\}$ . Then, as  $L_p$  has a triangular form, a standard calculation shows that  $L_p$  is a topological isomorphism of Banach spaces if  $\xi_i \geq N_i$ .

Outside  $B[0, N_i]$  we construct our homotopy operator

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^p L &\rightarrow E \otimes \bigwedge^{p+1} L, \\ \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \wedge \langle X_i \rangle &= 0, \\ \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i &\rightarrow E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i \wedge \langle X_i \rangle \\ \text{Sp} &= (-1)^{p+1} L_p^{-1} \wedge \langle X_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $L_p$ , we have the following identity:

$$(-1)^{p+2} S_{p-1} d_{p-1}(f) L_p = d_{p-1}(f) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle.$$

The above identity and a standard calculation shows that  $\text{Sp}$  is a homotopy operator, i.e.,  $d_p S_p + S_{p-1} d_{p-1} = I$  and then  $S_p(L, E)$  is a compact set.

**THEOREM 3 (Projection property).** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual, and  $I$  an ideal of  $L$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection map from  $L^*$  onto  $I^*$ , then*

$$\text{Sp}(I, E) = \pi(\text{Sp}(L, E)).$$

*Proof.* By [2, 5, 3], there is a Jordan Hölder sequence of  $L$  such that  $I$  is one of its terms. Then, by means of an induction argument, we can assume  $\dim(L/I) = 1$ .

Let us consider the connected simply connected complex Lie group  $G(L)$  such that its Lie algebra is  $L$  [5, LG, V].

Let  $\text{Ad}^*$  be the coadjoint representation of  $G(L)$  in  $L^*$ :  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f = f \text{Ad}(g^{-1})$ , where  $g \in G(L)$ ,  $f \in L^*$  and  $\text{Ad}$  is the adjoint representation of  $G(L)$  in  $L$ .

Let  $f$  belong to  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$ . Then, as  $I$  is an ideal of  $L$ , by [7, 2.13.4],  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f$  belongs to  $I^*$ ; besides, it is a character of  $I$ . Then, one can restrict the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  to  $I^*$ . Moreover,  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is invariant under the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  in  $I^*$ , i.e.: if  $f \in \text{Sp}(I, E)$ ,  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f \in \text{Sp}(I, E) \quad \forall g \in G(L)$ .

In order to prove this fact, it is enough to see:

$$(I) \quad \text{Tor}_*^{U(I)}(E, C(f)) \cong \text{Tor}_*^{U(I)}(E, C(h))$$

where  $h = \text{Ad}^*(g)f$ ,  $g \in G(L)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the ring  $U(I)$  and  $\varphi$  the ring morphism

$$\varphi = U(\text{Ad } g): U(I) \rightarrow U(I).$$

Let us consider the augmentation modules  $(C(f), E(f))$  and  $(C(h), E(h))$ .

Then, a standard calculation shows that the hypothesis of [3, VIII, 3.1] are satisfied, which implies (I).

Thus, if  $f \in \text{Sp}(I, E)$ , the orbit  $G(L) \cdot f \subseteq \text{Sp}(I, E)$ . However,  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is a compact set of  $I^*$ .

As the only bounded orbits for an action of a complex connected Lie group on a vector space are points;  $G(L) \cdot f = f$ .

Let  $\bar{f}$  be an extension of  $f$  to  $L^*$ , and consider  $\alpha = G(L) \cdot \bar{f}$ , the orbit of  $\bar{f}$  under the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  in  $L^*$ .

As  $G(L) \cdot f = f$ , as an analytic manifold

$$(II) \quad \dim \alpha \leq 1.$$

Now suppose  $\bar{f}$  is not a character of  $L$ : i.e.,  $\bar{f}(L^2) \neq 0$ .

Let  $L^\perp$  be the following set:  $L^\perp = \{x \in L \mid \bar{f}([X, L]) = 0\}$ , and let  $n$  be the dimension of  $L$ .

As  $I$  is an ideal of dimension  $n - 1$ ,  $f(I^2) = 0$  and  $f(L^2) \neq 0$ , by [2, 5, 3], [1, IV, 4.1] and [4, 1, 1.2.8], we have:  $L^\perp \subset I$ , and  $\dim L^\perp = n - 2$ .

Let us consider the analytic subgroup of  $G(L)$  such that its Lie algebra is  $L^\perp$ .

As the Lie algebra of the subgroup  $G(L)_{\bar{f}} = \{g \in GL \mid \text{Ad}^*(g)\bar{f} = \bar{f}\}$  is  $L^\perp$ , the connected component of the identity of  $G(L)_{\bar{f}}$  is  $G(L^\perp)$ .

However, by [7, 2.9.1, 2.9.7]  $\alpha = G(L) \cdot \bar{f}$  satisfies the following properties:  $\alpha \cong G(L)/G(L)_{\bar{f}}$ , and  $\dim \alpha = \dim G(L) - \dim G(L)_{\bar{f}} = \dim G(L) - \dim(G(L^\perp)) = \dim L - \dim L^\perp = 2$ , which contradicts (II).

Then  $\bar{f}$  is a character of  $L$ .

Thus, any extension  $\bar{f}$  of an  $f$  in  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is a character of  $L$ .

However, as in [6], there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* I \otimes E, d(f) \right) \\ \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* L \otimes E, d(\bar{f}) \right) \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* I \otimes E, d(f) \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As  $U(I)$  is a subring with unit of  $U(L)$  and the complex involved in Definition 1 differs from the one of [6] by a constant term, Taylor's argument of [6, 13, 3.1] still applies and then  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = \Pi(\text{Sp}(L, E))$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we have

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is non-void.*

**IV. Some consequences.** In this section we shall see some consequences of the main theorems.

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $L$  a complex finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra acting on  $E$  as bounded operators.

One of the well known properties of Taylor spectrum for an  $n$ -tuple of m.c.o. acting on  $E$  is  $\text{Sp}(a, E) \subseteq \Pi B[0, \|a_i\|]$ . In the noncommutative case, as we have seen in §II, this property fails.

However, if the Lie algebra is nilpotent, it is still true.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $L$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra which acts as bounded operators on a Banach space  $E$ .*

*Then,  $\text{Sp}(L, E) \subset \{f \in L^* \mid |f(x)| \leq \|x\|, x \in L\}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\dim L$ . If  $\dim L = 1$ , we have nothing to verify.

We suppose true the proposition for every nilpotent Lie algebra  $L'$  such that  $\dim L' < n$ .

If  $\dim L = n$ , by [2, 4, 1], there is a Jordan Hölder series  $S = (L_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ , such that  $[L, L_i] \subseteq L_{i-1}$ .

Let  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a basis of  $L$  such that  $\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq i}$  generates  $L_i$ .

Let  $L'_{n-1}$  be the vector subspace generated by  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . As  $[L, L'_{n-1}] \subseteq L_{n-2} \subset L'_{n-1}$ ,  $L'_{n-1}$  is an ideal. Besides,  $L_{n-1} + L'_{n-1} = L$ .

Then, by means of Theorem 4 and the inductive hypothesis, we complete the inductive argument and the proposition.

Now, we deal with some consequences of the projection property.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual.*

*If  $I$  is an ideal contained in  $L^2$ , then  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = 0$ . In particular  $\text{Sp}(L^2, E) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the projection property,  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = \Pi(\text{Sp}(L, E))$ , where  $\Pi$  is the projection from  $L^*$  on  $I^*$ . However, as  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a subset of characters of  $L$ ,  $f|_I = 0$ , if  $I \subseteq L^2$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as in Proposition 5.*

*If  $\text{Sp}(L, E) = 0$ , then  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* By means of an induction argument, the ideals  $L_{n-1}$ ,  $L'_{n-1}$  of Proposition 5 and Theorem 3, we conclude the proof.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then, if  $x \in L^2$ :  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, recall that if  $L$  is a solvable Lie algebra,  $L^2$  is a nilpotent one. Then by Proposition 6  $\text{Sp}(L^2, E) = 0$ , and by Proposition 7  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L^2$ .

**V. Remark about the spectral mapping theorem.** Note that the example of §II shows that the projection property fails for subspaces which are not ideals (take  $I = \langle x \rangle$ ). Clearly this implies that the spectral mapping theorem also fails in the noncommutative case.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bernat, N. Conze, and M. Vergne, *Représentations des Groupes de Lie Résolubles*, Monographier de la Societé Mathématique de France No. 4, Dunod, Paris, 1972.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de Mathématique, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chapitre I, algèbres de Lie, Fasc. XXVI, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [4] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1977.
- [5] J. P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, Lectures given at Harvard University, 1965.
- [6] J. F. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **6** (1976), 172–191.
- [7] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, Prentice-Hall.

Received September 17, 1990 and in revised form January 22, 1992.

UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES  
1428 NUFIEZ, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA