

PAPERS COMMUNICATED

98. An Extension of the Lebesgue Measure.

By Fumitomo MAEDA.

Hiroshima University.

(Comm. by M. FUJIWARA, M.I.A., Nov. 12, 1931.)

The domain of the definition of a completely additive set function $\mu(E)$ must be a closed family (σ -Körper) of sets, which I denote by $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$. The Lebesgue measure $m(E)$ has for its domain of the definition, $\mathfrak{F}(m)$, the closed family of sets, which are measurable in Lebesgue sense. Now there is a problem: Is there any completely additive set function $\mu(E)$, whose domain of the definition $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$ contains $\mathfrak{F}(m)$, and the value of $\mu(E)$ at any set belonging to $\mathfrak{F}(m)$, is equal to its Lebesgue measure? In this paper, I will construct such a set function $\mu(E)$.

By the Carathéodory's theory of measure,¹⁾ $\mu^*(E)$ being a measure function, if a set A satisfies the following relation

$$\mu^*(W) = \mu^*(AW) + \mu^*(W - AW) \quad (1)$$

for any set W of finite μ^* -measure, then A is said to be μ^* -measurable, and the aggregate of all such μ^* -measurable set being a closed family $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$, the set function $\mu(E)$ defined in $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$ such that

$$\mu(A) = \mu^*(A),$$

is completely additive in $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$.

Now let $m^*(E)$ be the exterior Lebesgue measure, and consider the set function

$$\mu^*(E) = m^*(E\Omega), \quad (2)$$

where Ω is the non-measurable set, constructed in the Carathéodory's treatise,²⁾ which has the whole space as its same-measure cover, that is, if M be any m^* -measurable set of finite m^* -measure, then

$$m(M) = m^*(M\Omega). \quad (3)$$

Then $\mu^*(E)$ is also a measure function,³⁾ and we have a completely

1) Carathéodory, *Vorlesungen über reelle Functionen*, zweite Aufl. (1927), 246.

2) *Ibid.*, 354.

3) *Ibid.*, 240.

additive set function $\mu(E)$ defined in the closed family $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$ of μ^* -measurable sets.

Let M be any set belonging to $\mathfrak{F}(m)$, then M belongs also to $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$, and

$$\mu(M) = m(M). \quad (4)$$

To prove this, let W be any set of finite μ^* -measure, then $W\Omega$ is of finite m^* -measure, therefore, since M is m^* -measurable, we have

$$m^*(W\Omega) = m^*(W\Omega M) + m^*(W\Omega - W\Omega M),$$

but by (2) this becomes

$$\mu^*(W) = \mu^*(WM) + \mu^*(W - WM),$$

therefore, M is also μ^* -measurable.

When $m(M)$ is finite, we have by (2) and (3)

$$\mu(M) = m^*(M\Omega) = m(M).$$

But, when $m(M)$ is infinite, there exists a sequence of m^* -measurable sets of finite m^* -measure

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_i \subset \dots,$$

all of which are contained in M , and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(M_i) = +\infty.$$

Then $\mu(M) \geq \mu(M_i) = m(M_i)$

for any value of i , therefore, we have

$$\mu(M) = +\infty.$$

$\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$ contains sets which do not belong to $\mathfrak{F}(m)$.

For let A be any set which does not belong to $\mathfrak{F}(m)$, and satisfies the relation

$$A\Omega = 0, \text{ } ^1$$

then by (2)

$$\mu^*(A) = 0,$$

therefore, A being μ^* -measurable,²⁾ it belongs to $\mathfrak{F}(\mu)$.

Thus, I have the required completely additive set function $\mu(E)$.

The set function $\mu^*(E)$ has the following property

$$m_{**}(E) \leq \mu^*(E) \leq m^*(E)$$

for any set E .

1) For example, let A be the complementary set of Ω .

2) H. Hahn: *Theorie der reellen Funktionen*, I (1921), 429.

For, first we have

$$\mu^*(E) = m^*(E\Omega) \leq m^*(E).$$

Next let \underline{E} be the same-measure nucleus of E , that is

$$\underline{E} \subseteq E \quad \text{and} \quad m(\underline{E}) = m_{**}(E),$$

then by (4)

$$m_{**}(E) = \mu(\underline{E}) \leq \mu^*(E).$$