

131. A Linear Representation of a Countably Infinite Group

By Noboru SUZUKI

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1958)

1. Let \mathcal{G} be a countably infinite group and \mathcal{H} the Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions $g \rightarrow f(g)$ such that $\sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} |f(g)|^2$ is finite. For each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, let U_g be the unitary operator on \mathcal{H} defined by $[U_g f](g') = f(g'g)$ and let $M(\mathcal{G})$ the ring of operators generated by $\{U_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}$. Murray and von Neumann have shown that $M(\mathcal{G})$ is a factor of type II_1 if all non-trivial conjugate classes of \mathcal{G} are infinite, and further proposed to expand an arbitrary countably infinite group to a group which has the above property. These results can also be interpreted in the following way: An arbitrary countably infinite group admits a faithful representation on a group of inner automorphisms of a factor of the case (II_1) on a separable Hilbert space.

The object of the present paper is to show the following

Theorem. *Let G be an arbitrary countable group, then G is isomorphic to a group of outer automorphisms of the approximately finite factor on a separable Hilbert space.*

By an automorphism of a factor, we understand a $*$ -automorphism, and by a group of outer automorphisms of a factor, we understand a group of automorphisms in which all but the unit element are outer. In proving our theorem, it is sufficient to show the case where G is countably infinite. Indeed, let G be a finite group. Then, for any countably infinite group G' (for example the additive group of integers), the direct product $G \times G'$ is countably infinite and G is embedded isomorphically into $G \times G'$.

The restriction that G is countably infinite is not essential. For an arbitrary group, such a representation will probably be possible, because it will probably be represented as a group of outer automorphisms of a generalized approximately finite factor on an arbitrary (not necessarily separable) Hilbert space. Only for the sake of the simplicity, we confine a group G to be countable.

Noting that approximately finite factors on a separable Hilbert space are all $*$ -isomorphic to each other [2], our theorem yields that *an approximately finite factor on a separable Hilbert space has a group of outer automorphisms isomorphic to an arbitrary countable group.* Actually, this note arose from the investigation of the crossed products of rings of operators.¹⁾

1) Cf. N. Suzuki: Crossed products of rings of operators, to appear.

2. Let G be an arbitrary countably infinite group and let \mathcal{A} the set of all functions $\alpha(g)$ on G as follows: $\alpha(g)=1$ on a finite subset of G , and $=0$ otherwise. Define the addition in \mathcal{A} : for $\alpha(g), \beta(g) \in \mathcal{A}$, $[\alpha + \beta](g) = \alpha(g) + \beta(g) \pmod{2}$, then \mathcal{A} is obviously an additive group with the unit $0(g)=0$ ($g \in G$). Let \mathcal{A}' be the set of all functions $\varphi(\gamma)$ on \mathcal{A} as follows: $\varphi(\gamma)=1$ on a finite subset of \mathcal{A} , and $=0$ otherwise, and make \mathcal{A}' into an additive group by defining the addition: for $\varphi(\gamma), \psi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{A}'$, $[\varphi + \psi](\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma) + \psi(\gamma) \pmod{2}$. Now we define the operation on \mathcal{A}' as follows: for $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}'$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\varphi^\alpha(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma + \alpha),$$

and make the pair $(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A})$ into a group by defining

$$(\varphi, \alpha)(\psi, \beta) = (\varphi^\beta + \psi, \alpha + \beta)$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}'$. Then the unit of the group $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A})$ is $(0, 0)$, 0 being the unit of \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} with the same notation, and the inverse is clearly $(\varphi, \alpha)^{-1} = (\varphi^{-\alpha}, -\alpha) = (\varphi^\alpha, \alpha)$. Let H be the Hilbert space of all complex-valued functions $(\varphi, \alpha) \rightarrow f((\varphi, \alpha))$ such that $\sum_{(\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}} |f((\varphi, \alpha))|^2$ is finite, and for each $(\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}$ let $V_{(\varphi, \alpha)}$ be a unitary operator on H defined by $[V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} f]((\psi, \beta)) = f((\psi, \beta)(\varphi, \alpha))$. We denote by M the ring of operators generated by $\{V_{(\varphi, \alpha)}\}_{(\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}}$.

At first, it must be shown that M is a factor of type II_1 . Indeed, assume that $(\varphi, \alpha) \neq (0, 0)$. If $\varphi \neq 0$ then $(0, \beta)^{-1}(\varphi, \alpha)(0, \beta) = (\varphi^\beta, \alpha)$ yields that $(0, \beta)^{-1}(\varphi, \alpha)(0, \beta)$ are infinitely many since there are infinite many distinct φ^β ($\beta \in \mathcal{A}$).²⁾ If $\varphi = 0$ then $(\psi, 0)^{-1}(0, \alpha)(\psi, 0) = (\psi^\alpha + \psi, \alpha)$ and there exist infinitely many distinct $\psi^\alpha + \psi$ if ψ runs over \mathcal{A}' .³⁾ Therefore, all non-trivial conjugate classes are infinite. We can conclude that M is a factor of type II_1 .

Moreover, we obtain the following

Lemma 1. *The group \mathcal{G} is locally finite and M is an approximately finite factor.*

Proof. First we see easily that \mathcal{A} is locally finite. Let \mathcal{F} be a finite set of \mathcal{G} , then each of the sets $\mathcal{A}'_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\varphi; (\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\alpha; (\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_0} = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}'_{\mathcal{F}}} \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}; \varphi(\alpha) = 1\}$ is a finite set. Denoting by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the finite subgroup of \mathcal{A} generated by the finite set $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} \cup \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ and setting $\bar{\mathcal{A}}'_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}'; \varphi(\alpha) = 0 \text{ on the outside of } \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{F}}\}$, it is easily verified that $(\bar{\mathcal{A}}'_{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a finite group containing \mathcal{F} . Hence \mathcal{G} is locally finite and

2) Since $\varphi \neq 0$, there is an $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi(\alpha_0) = 1$. If we pick up a sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\alpha_0 + \beta_i$ are all distinct, then each φ^{β_i} takes the value 1 on $\alpha_0 + \beta_i$. Since each φ^{β_i} takes the value 1 on a finite set of \mathcal{A} , there must be an infinite number of distinct φ^{β_i} .

3) Let φ_i be a sequence in \mathcal{A}' such that $\varphi_i(\beta_i) = 1$, and $=0$ otherwise, where $\{\beta_i\}$ is a sequence of all distinct elements in \mathcal{A} . Then $\varphi_i^\alpha + \varphi_i = \varphi_j^\alpha + \varphi_j$ implies $\beta_i + \alpha = \alpha_j$ and $\beta_j + \alpha = \beta_i$. Thus there can never coincide more than two $\varphi_i^\alpha + \varphi_i$, and hence there are infinitely many different $\varphi_i^\alpha + \varphi_i$.

we see by [2, Lemma 5.6.1] that M is an approximately finite factor.

3. Put $\mathcal{G}_0 = (0, \mathcal{A})$, then \mathcal{G}_0 is an abelian subgroup of \mathcal{G} and the subring M_0 in M corresponding to \mathcal{G}_0 is also abelian.

We shall prove the following

Lemma 2. M_0 is a maximal abelian subring of M which possesses the property:

(*) A unitary operator U of M such that $U^{-1}M_0U \subseteq M_0$ belongs to M_0 .

In order to prove this lemma, we need the following lemma in [1].

Lemma 3. Assume that (I) for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$, the set $\{g_0gg^{-1}; g_0 \in \mathcal{G}_0\}$ is infinite, and (II) for each finite set \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{G} , there exists $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}_0$ such that

(1) for each $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $g^{-1}g_1g \in \mathcal{G}_0$ implies $g \in \mathcal{G}_0$,

(2) the conditions $g, g' \in \mathcal{F}$, $g^{-1}g_1g' = g_1$ imply $g = g'$. Then M_0 is a maximal abelian subring which possesses the property (*).

Proof of Lemma 2. We have seen in the preceding section that \mathcal{G}_0 fulfils the property (I) in Lemma 3. Thus it needs only to prove that \mathcal{G}_0 fulfils the property (II). Put $\mathcal{A}'_0 = \{\varphi; (\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for each finite set \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{A}'_0 is finite. Setting

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}'_0} \{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}; \varphi(\gamma) = 1\},$$

\mathcal{A}_0 is also finite, and hence the set $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_0$ is finite. Since \mathcal{A} is infinite, there exists an $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha_0 \notin \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_0$. Then

$$(\varphi, \alpha)^{-1}(0, \alpha_0)(\psi, \beta) = (\varphi^\alpha, \alpha)(0, \alpha_0)(\psi, \beta) = (\varphi^{\alpha+\alpha_0+\beta} + \psi, \alpha + \alpha_0 + \beta).$$

Ad (1). For $(\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{F}$, $(\varphi, \alpha)^{-1}(0, \alpha_0)(\varphi, \alpha) = (\varphi^{\alpha_0} + \varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_0$ implies $\varphi^{\alpha_0} + \varphi = 0$, or $\varphi^{\alpha_0} = \varphi$. If $\varphi \neq 0$, $\varphi(\gamma) \neq 0$ on \mathcal{A}_0 . On the other hand, since $(\mathcal{A}_0 + \alpha_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_0 = \emptyset$, $\varphi^{\alpha_0}(\gamma) = \varphi(\gamma + \alpha_0) \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{A}_0 . This contradiction yields $\varphi = 0$, or $(\varphi, \alpha) \in \mathcal{G}_0$.

Ad (2). For $(\varphi, \alpha), (\psi, \beta) \in \mathcal{F}$, $(\varphi, \alpha)^{-1}(0, \alpha_0)(\psi, \beta) = (0, \alpha_0)$ implies $\alpha = \beta$ and $\varphi^{\alpha_0} = \psi$. If $\psi \neq 0$, $\psi(\gamma) \neq 0$ on \mathcal{A}_0 , but as seen in above, $\varphi^{\alpha_0}(\gamma) \equiv 0$ on \mathcal{A}_0 . This contradiction yields $\varphi = \psi = 0$, or $(\varphi, \alpha) = (\psi, \beta)$.

4. In the sequel, we shall consider to represent G on a group of automorphisms of M .

For this purpose, it is necessary to map G on a group of automorphisms of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' .

Lemma 4. For each $g \in G$, define a transformation T_g on \mathcal{A} as follows:

$$[T_g\alpha](g') = \alpha(gg') \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{A},$$

and further define a transformation T'_g on \mathcal{A}' as follows:

$$[T'_g\varphi](\alpha) = \varphi(T_{g^{-1}}\alpha) \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{A}'.$$

Then the mapping $g \rightarrow T_g(T'_g)$ is an anti-isomorphism of G onto a group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}')$ respectively.

Proof. It is clear that $T_g(g \in G)$ are automorphisms of \mathcal{A} . For all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$[T_{g_1} T_{g_2} \alpha](g') = [T_{g_2} \alpha](g_1 g') = \alpha(g_2 g_1 g') = [T_{g_2 g_1} \alpha](g'),$$

hence $g \rightarrow T_g$ is an anti-homomorphism of G onto a group of automorphisms $\{T_g\}$ of \mathcal{A} . It must be shown that it is an anti-isomorphism. Indeed, if $g \neq e$, for a fixed $g_0 \in G$, there is an $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha_0(g_0) = 0$ and $\alpha_0(gg_0) = 1$, and so $T_g \alpha_0 \neq \alpha_0$.

For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}'$,

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{g_2} T'_{g_1} \varphi](\alpha) &= [T'_{g_1} \varphi](T_{g_2}^{-1} \alpha) = \varphi(T_{g_1}^{-1} T_{g_2}^{-1} \alpha) \\ &= \varphi(T_{(g_1 g_2)}^{-1} \alpha) = [T'_{g_1 g_2} \varphi](\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

implies $T'_{g_1 g_2} = T'_{g_2} T'_{g_1}$. Hence, for the remainder, the similar one to the above proof is adapted.

Lemma 5. For each $g \in G$, define the operator U_g on H as follows:

$$[U_g f](\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle) = f(\langle T'_g \varphi, T_g \alpha \rangle) \text{ for all } f \in H.$$

Then the mapping $g \rightarrow U_g$ is a faithful unitary representation of G on H .

Proof. Each U_g is unitary: For each $f \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_g f\|^2 &= \sum_{\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle \in \mathcal{E}} |[U_g f](\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle)|^2 = \sum_{\langle \varphi, \alpha \rangle \in \mathcal{E}} |f(\langle T'_g \varphi, T_g \alpha \rangle)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\langle \psi, \beta \rangle \in \mathcal{E}} |f(\langle \psi, \beta \rangle)|^2 = \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so $U_g f \in H$ and U_g is unitary. Further the remainder of the proof is assured by Lemmas 4, 5.

Lemma 6. For each $g \in G$, define a mapping θ_g of M as follows:

$$V_{(\varphi, \alpha)}^{\theta_g} = U_{g^{-1}} V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} U_g \text{ for all } V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} \in M.$$

Then the mapping $g \rightarrow \theta_g$ is a faithful representation of G onto a group of automorphisms of M .

Proof. By the above lemma, we need only to prove that each θ_g is an automorphism of M . Indeed, first noting that

$$\begin{aligned} T'_g(T'_{g^{-1}} \psi)^\alpha(\gamma) &= (T'_{g^{-1}} \psi)^\alpha(T_{g^{-1}} \gamma) = [T'_{g^{-1}} \psi](T_{g^{-1}} \gamma + \alpha) = \psi(T_g T_{g^{-1}} \gamma + T_g \alpha) \\ &= \psi(\gamma + T_g \alpha) = \psi^{T_g \alpha}(\gamma) \text{ for } \psi \in \mathcal{A}' \text{ and } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [U_{g^{-1}} V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} U_g f](\langle \psi, \beta \rangle) &= [V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} U_g f](\langle T'_{g^{-1}} \psi, T_{g^{-1}} \beta \rangle) \\ &= [U_g f](\langle T'_{g^{-1}} \psi, T_{g^{-1}} \beta \rangle(\varphi, \alpha)) = [U_g f](\langle (T'_{g^{-1}} \psi)^\alpha + \varphi, T_{g^{-1}} \beta + \alpha \rangle) \\ &= f(\langle T'_g(T'_{g^{-1}} \psi)^\alpha + T'_g \varphi, \beta + T_g \alpha \rangle) = f(\langle (\psi^{T_g \alpha} + T'_g \varphi, \beta + T_g \alpha) \rangle) \\ &= f(\langle \psi, \beta \rangle(\langle T'_g \varphi, T_g \alpha \rangle)) = [V_{\langle T'_g \varphi, T_g \alpha \rangle} f](\langle \psi, \beta \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $U_{g^{-1}} V_{(\varphi, \alpha)} U_g = V_{\langle T'_g \varphi, T_g \alpha \rangle}$.

Now the theorem is readily followed from the above lemmas.

The proof of the theorem. By Lemma 6, we see that each θ_g is an automorphism of M conserving M_0 , and hence it is sufficient from Lemma 2 to prove that each θ_g does not keep M_0 elementwise invariant. In fact,

$$V_{(0, \alpha)} = U_{g^{-1}} V_{(0, \alpha)} U_g = V_{(0, T_g \alpha)}$$

implies $(0, \alpha) = (0, T_g \alpha)$, or $\alpha = T_g \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and hence $g = e$.

References

- [1] J. Dixmier: Sous-anneaux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini, Ann. Math., **59**, 279-286 (1954).
- [2] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann: On rings of operators IV, Ann. Math., **44**, 716-808 (1943).