

193. On Axiom Systems of Propositional Calculi. XXIII

By Kiyoshi ISÉKI

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1966)

In our papers ([1], [5]), by using J. Lukasiewicz method, we proved that the Russell system:

- 1 $CpCqp$,
- 2 $CCpqCCqrCpr$,
- 3 $CCpCqrCqCpr$,
- 4 $CNNpp$,
- 5 $CCpNpNp$,
- 6 $CCpNqCqNp$

is equivalent to the classical propositional calculus.

In my paper [2], the propositional calculus satisfying the conditions 1-3, 5 and 6 mentioned above is called a *NB-system*. For any implicational calculus not containing the negation functor N , we introduce the symbol '0' as a propositional constant, and define Np as $Cp0$ (for details, see [4], pp. 50-51).

As well known, an axiom system of the positive implicational calculus is given by J. Lukasiewicz as follows:

- 7 $CpCqp$,
- 8 $CCpCqrCCpqCpr$.

In our paper [1], we deduced some theses from 7 and 8. For example, we proved the following theses:

- 9 $CCpCqrCqCpr$,
- 10 $CCpqCCqrCpr$,
- 11 $CCpCpqCpq$.

We define

- 12 $Np = Cp0$,

where 0 is a propositional constant.

$$9 \text{ } r/0 \text{ } *C12-13,$$

- 13 $CCpNqCqNp$.

$$11 \text{ } q/0 \text{ } *C12-14,$$

- 14 $CCpNpNp$.

Therefore we have the *NB-system*.

If we add two axioms:

- 15 $CCqpCCCpqqp$

and Wajsberg axiom

- 16 $C0p$,

then as already shown in A. N. Prior ([4], p. 51), by these axioms we have

17 $CNNpp$.

Hence we have the Russell system of the classical propositional calculus.

We have some axiom systems of the positive implicational calculus, for example, the single axiom by C. A. Meredith [3]:

18 $CCCpqrCsCCqCrtCqt$

or

19 $CtCCpqCCCspCqrCpr$.

The proof of $18 \Rightarrow 7, 8$ is found in C. A. Meredith [3]. On the other hand, recently S. Tanaka gives a proof of $19 \Rightarrow 7, 8$. They have not given the proofs of these converses, so we give the proofs by an algebraic technique (for details, see [2]). Following my method, axioms 7, 8 are written in the forms of

20 $p * q \leq p$,

21 $(r * p) * (q * p) \leq (r * q) * p$.

Thesis 9 means a commutative law:

22 $(r * p) * q \leq (r * q) * p$.

As shown in [1], we have:

23 $p \leq q$ implies $p * r \leq q * r$ and $r * q \leq r * p$

in the positive implicational calculus. To prove thesis 19, consider $q * p \leq p$ and $(t * r) * (t * r) = 0$, i.e. $t * (t * r) \leq r$ by (22). By (23), we have

$$(t * (t * r)) * q \leq r * q \leq r * (q * p).$$

On the other hand, by (21), we have

$$(t * q) * ((t * r) * q) \leq (t * (t * r)) * q.$$

By these two results, then

$$(t * q) * ((t * r) * q) \leq r * (q * p),$$

hence

$$((t * q) * ((t * r) * q)) * (r * (q * p)) \leq s.$$

By (22), we have

$$((t * q) * ((t * r) * q)) * s \leq r * (q * p),$$

which is the thesis 19.

To prove the thesis 20, consider $p * s \leq p$, then by (23), we have

$$(r * q) * p \leq (r * q) * (p * s).$$

By (21),

$$(r * p) * (q * p) \leq (r * q) * p,$$

hence

$$(r * p) * (q * p) \leq (r * q) * (p * s).$$

Then, by (22), we have

$$(r * p) * ((r * q) * (p * s)) \leq q * p,$$

which means

$$((r * p) * ((r * q) * (p * s))) * (q * p) \leq t.$$

This is the algebraic form of the thesis (20). We complete the

proofs of (19), (20). Therefore we have the following

Theorem 1. *The NB-system is obtained from one of*

- (1) $CpCqp, CCpCqrCCprCqr, Np=Cp0,$
- (2) $CCCpqrCsCCqCrtCqt, Np=Cp0,$
- (3) $CtCCpqCCCspCqrCpr, Np=Cp0.$

Further we have

Theorem 2. *The classical propositional calculus is characterized by one of*

- (1) $CpCqp, CCpCqrCCpqCpr, CCqpCCCpqqp, C0p,$
- (2) $CCCpqrCsCCqCrtCqt, CCqpCCCpqqp, C0p,$
- (3) $CtCCpqCCCspCqrCpr, CCqpCCCpqqp, C0p,$

where we define $Np=Cp0$.

References

- [1] Y. Arai and K. Iséki: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. VII. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 667-669 (1965).
- [2] K. Iséki: Algebraic formulations of propositional calculi. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 803-807 (1965).
- [3] C. A. Meredith: A single axiom of positive logic. Jour. Computing Systems, **1**, 169-170 (1953).
- [4] A. N. Prior: Formal Logic. Oxford (1962).
- [5] S. Tanaka: On axiom systems of propositional calculi. VI. Proc. Japan Acad., **41**, 663-666 (1965).