167. On Closed Mappings and M-Spaces. II

By Tadashi Ishii

Department of Mathematics, Utsunomiya University (Comm. by Kinjirô Kunugi, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1967)

1. Introduction. The main purpose of this paper is to give the affirmative answer to an open problem raised by A. Arhangel'skii in his recent communication to K. Morita whether the image Y under a perfect mapping f of a paracompact normal M-space X is an M-space or not. A closed continuous mapping f of a topological space X onto a topological space Y is said to be perfect if the inverse images under f of points y of Y are compact subspaces of X. We shall prove the following main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let f be a closed continuous mapping of an M-space X onto a normal space Y, where X is T_1 . If $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact for any point y of Y, then Y is also an M-space.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain the following

Cororally 1.2. Let f be a closed continuous mapping of a normal M-space X onto a topological space Y, where X is T_1 . If $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact for any point y of Y, then Y is also a normal M-space.

Some applications and a generalization of our main theorem will be mentioned in §4.

- 2. Lemmas. Lemma 2.1. Let T be a metric space. If $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ is a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of T such that $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ satisfies the condition (*) and that \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{F}_n for every n, then there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_{nm} \mid n=1, 2, \cdots; m=1, 2, \cdots\}$ of locally finite open coverings of T such that
 - (1) $\{\mathfrak{U}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*),
- (2) $F_{n\lambda} \subset U_{nm\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in A_n$; $n=1, 2, \dots, m=1, 2, \dots$, where $\mathfrak{F}_n = \{F_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A_n\}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{nm} = \{U_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A_n\}$.

Proof. For any $F_{n\lambda}$ of \mathfrak{F}_n , let us put

$$V_{nm\lambda} = \{x \mid d(x, F_{n\lambda}) < 1/m\},$$

where d is a metric function in T and m is an arbitrary positive integer. Clearly $F_{n\lambda} \subset V_{nm\lambda}$. Let us put further

$$\mathfrak{B}_{nm} = \{ V_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n \}.$$

Then we can prove that $\{\mathfrak{V}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*). Indeed, let $\mathfrak{R}^k = \{K_i \mid i=1, 2, \cdots\}$ be a family of subsets of T which has the finite intersection property and contains as a member a subset of

¹⁾ Prof. K. Morita has kindly informed me of this open problem.

St (x_0, \mathfrak{B}_{nm}) for every n, m and for some fixed point x_0 of T. We can assume without loss of generality that $K_{i+1} \subset K_i$ for every i. Let $K_{i(n,m)} \subset \text{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_{nm})$ for any n, m, and let us put

$$\varepsilon_n(x_0) = d(x_0, \cup) \{F \mid x_0 \notin F, F \in \mathfrak{F}_n\}$$

for each n. Then clearly $\varepsilon_n(x_0) > 0$. Further, if $1/m < \varepsilon_n(x_0)$, then St $(x_0, \mathfrak{B}_{nm}) = S(\text{St }(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_n); 1/m)$, and hence

$$S(K_{i(n,m)}; 1/m) \cap St(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_n) \neq \phi$$
,

where $S(A; \varepsilon) = \{x \mid d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$ for any subset A of T and for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently for each n we can find a positive integer m_n and a point x_n of T such that (1) $1/m_n < \varepsilon_n(x_0)$, $n < m_n$, (2) $i(n, m_n) > n$, and (3) $x_n \in S(K_{i(n,m_n)}; 1/m_n) \cap St(x_0, \mathfrak{F}_n)$. If we put $A_k = \{x_n \mid n \ge k\}$, then by the condition (*) for $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ we have

$$\cap \{\overline{A}_k \mid k=1,2,\cdots\} \neq \phi$$
.

Let $t_0 \in \cap \{\bar{A}_k \mid k=1, 2, \cdots\}$. Then it can be proved that $t_0 \in \cap \{\bar{K}_i \mid i=1, 2, \cdots\}$.

If otherwise, then there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some positive integer i_0 such that

$$S(t_0; \varepsilon) \cap K_j = \phi$$
 for any $j \ge i_0$.

Let n be a positive integer such that $3/\varepsilon < n$, $i_0 < n$ and $d(t_0, x_n) < \varepsilon/3$. Then there exists a point y_n of $K_{i(n,m_n)}$ such that

$$d(x_n, y_n) < 1/m_n < 1/n < \varepsilon/3$$
.

Since $d(t_0, y_n) < 2\varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon$, we have

$$S(t_0, \varepsilon) \cap K_{i(n,m_n)} \neq \phi$$
.

This is a contradiction, because $i(n, m_n) > n > i_0$. Thus $\{\mathfrak{B}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*).

Finally for each n we can find a locally finite open covering $\mathfrak{W}_n = \{W_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \varLambda_n\}$ of T such that $F_{n\lambda} \subset W_{n\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in \varLambda_n$. This is possible in case Y is strongly normal, i.e., collectionwise normal and countably paracompact (cf. M. Katětov [2]). Let us put $U_{nm\lambda} = V_{nm\lambda} \cap W_{n\lambda}$, $\mathfrak{U}_{nm} = \{U_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \varLambda_n\}$. Then each \mathfrak{U}_{nm} is a locally finite open covering of T, and $\{\mathfrak{U}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2). Thus we complete the proof.

Lemma 2.2. Let Y be a topological space in which there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$ of (not necessarily open or closed) coverings of Y satisfying the condition (*), and f a closed continuous mapping of a topological space X onto Y. If $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact for any point y of Y, then $\{\mathfrak{U}_n\}$ satisfies also the condition (*), where $\mathfrak{U}_n = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_n)$.

Since this lemma can be proved similarly as [1, Theorem 2.4], we omit the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be a normal sequence of open coverings of X which satisfies the condition (*). Then there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}$ of locally finite open coverings of X

such that \mathfrak{V}_n is a refinement of \mathfrak{V}_n , where $\mathfrak{V}_n = \{\overline{V} \mid V \in \mathfrak{V}_n\}$. For brevity we put $\mathfrak{F}_n = \overline{\mathfrak{V}}_n$ for every n. Then \mathfrak{F}_n is a locally finite closed covering of X and \mathfrak{F}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{F}_n for each n. Furthermore it is clear that $\{\mathfrak{F}_n\}$ satisfies the condition (*). Let us put $\mathfrak{F}_n = \{F_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$, $L_{n\lambda} = f(F_{n\lambda})$, $\mathfrak{L}_n = \{L_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$. Then \mathfrak{L}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{L}_n for every n, and by the proof of [1, Theorem 2.3] $\{\mathfrak{L}_n\}$ is a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of Y which satisfies the condition (*). If we put $M_{n\lambda} = f^{-1}(L_{n\lambda})$, $\mathfrak{M}_n = \{M_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$, then \mathfrak{M}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{M}_n for every n, and by the proof of [1, Theorem 2.4] $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}$ is a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of X which satisfies the condition (*). We note that $F_{n\lambda} \subset M_{n\lambda}$.

Now, since X is an M-space, there exists a closed continuous mapping g of X onto a metrizable space T such that $g^{-1}(t)$ is countably compact for any point t of T (cf. [4, Theorem 6.1]). Let us put $S_{n\lambda} = g(M_{n\lambda})$, $\mathfrak{S}_n = \{S_{n\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A_n\}$. Then \mathfrak{S}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathfrak{S}_n for every n, and by the proof of [1, Theorem 2.3] $\{\mathfrak{S}_n\}$ is a sequence of locally finite closed coverings of T which satisfies the condition (*). Hence by Lemma 2.1 there exists a sequence $\{\mathfrak{D}_{nm}\}$ of locally finite open coverings of T such that

- (1) $\{\mathfrak{D}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*),
- (2) $S_{n\lambda} \subset O_{nm\lambda}$

where $\mathfrak{O}_{nm} = \{O_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A_n\}$. If we put further $W_{nm\lambda} = g^{-1}(O_{nm\lambda})$, $\mathfrak{B}_{nm} = \{W_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in A_n\}$, then $M_{n\lambda} \subset W_{nm\lambda}$ for each n, m, and λ , and by the proof of [1,T] heorem 2.4 $[\mathfrak{B}_{nm}]$ is a sequence of locally finite open coverings of X which satisfies the condition (*). Let us put

$$G_{nm\lambda} = Y - f(X - W_{nm\lambda}).$$

Since f is a closed mapping of X onto Y, each $G_{nm\lambda}$ is open in Y, and $L_{n\lambda} \subset G_{nm\lambda}$, $M_{n\lambda} \subset f^{-1}(G_{nm\lambda}) \subset W_{nm\lambda}$. Finally let us put

$$\mathfrak{G}_{nm} = \{G_{nm\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$$

for each n, m. Then each \mathfrak{G}_{nm} is a locally finite open covering of Y. This follows from [1, Lemma 2.1], because $\{f^{-1}(G_{nm\lambda}) \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n\}$ is locally finite in X. Furthermore it can be proved that $\{\mathfrak{G}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*). In fact, let \mathfrak{R} be a family consisting of a countable number of subsets of Y which has the finite intersection property and contains as a member a subset of $\operatorname{St}(y_0,\mathfrak{G}_{nm})$ for every n,m, and for some point y_0 of Y. If we put $\mathfrak{R}^* = \{f^{-1}(K) \mid K \in \mathfrak{R}\}$, then \mathfrak{R}^* is a family consisting of a countable number of subsets of X which has the finite intersection property, and further contains as a member a subset of $\operatorname{St}(x_0,\mathfrak{W}_{nm})$ for every n,m, where x_0 is an arbitrary point of $f^{-1}(y_0)$. Consequently we have $\bigcap \{f^{-1}(K) \mid K \in \mathfrak{R}\} \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $\bigcap \{K \mid K \in \mathfrak{R}\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\{\mathfrak{G}_{nm}\}$ satisfies the condition (*). By a suitable ordering of $\{\mathfrak{G}_{nm}\}$ we can put $\{\mathfrak{G}_{nm}\} = \{\mathfrak{G}_n \mid n=1,2,\cdots\}$.

Since Y is a normal space, any locally finite open covering of Y is normal (cf. A. H. Stone $\lceil 7 \rceil$). Hence there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{H}_n\}$ of open coverings of Y such that \mathfrak{H}_n is a refinement of \mathfrak{G}_n for each n. It is obvious that $\{\mathfrak{S}_n\}$ satisfies the condition (*). Thus we complete the proof.

- 4. Applications and a generalization of the main theorem. Theorem 4.1. Let Y be the image under a closed continuous mapping f of a normal M-space X, where X is T_1 . Then the following statements are equivalent.
 - Y is an M-space. (1)
 - (2) Y is a q-space in the sense of E. Michael [3].
- The boundary $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y)$ of the inverse image $f^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact for every point y of Y.

Proof. The implication $(1)\rightarrow(2)$ is trivial, and $(2)\rightarrow(3)$ was proved by E. Michael $\lceil 3 \rceil$. Hence it is sufficient to prove only $(3) \rightarrow (1)$. For each point y of Y, we shall define an open subset L(y) of X as follows:

$$L(y) = \begin{cases} \text{Int } f^{-1}(y), & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi, \\ f^{-1}(y) - p_y, & \text{if } \mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y) = \phi, \end{cases}$$
 Where p_y is an arbitrary point of $f^{-1}(y)$ (cf. $[5]$). Let us put

$$L = \bigcup \{L(y) \mid y \in Y\}, \qquad F = X - L.$$

Then F is a closed subset of X. Since any closed subspace of an M-space is also an M-space, F is an M-space as a subspace of X. If we denote by \tilde{f} the restriction of f on F, then the mapping $\widetilde{f}: F \rightarrow Y$ is closed, continuous and $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(y)$ is countably compact for any point y of Y. Hence by Theorem 1.1, Y is an M-space. Thus we complete the proof.

Theorem 4.2. (K. Morita and S. Hanai [5, Theorem 1]). Let f be a closed continuous mapping of a metric space X onto a topological space Y. In order that Y be metrizable it is necessary and sufficient that the boundary $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y)$ of the inverse image $f^{-1}(y)$ be compact for every point y of Y.

Proof. If Y is metrizable, then it is an M-space. Hence by Theorem 4.1, the boundary $\mathfrak{B}f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for every point y of Y. To prove sufficiency, it suffices to consider the case when f is perfect, i.e., $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for every point y of Y. As is well known, the image under a closed continuous mapping of a paracompact Hausdorff space is also a paracompact Hausdorff space. Hence by Theorem 1.1, Y is a paracompact Hausdorff M-space. Since the product mapping $f \times f: X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is perfect, the product space $Y \times Y$ is perfectly normal as the image under a closed continuous mapping $f \times f$ of a perfectly normal space $X \times X$. Therefore by a metrization theorem of Okuyama $\lceil 6 \rceil$, Y is metrizable. Thus we complete the proof.

Now let m be an infinite cardinal. We shall say that a topological space X is an $M(\mathfrak{m})$ -space if there exists a normal sequence $\{\mathfrak{U}_i\}$ of open coverings of X satisfying the condition below:

(**) $\begin{cases} \text{If a family } \Re \text{ consisting of at most } m \text{ subsets of } X \text{ has the finite intersection property and contains as a member a subset of } \operatorname{St}(x_0, \mathfrak{U}_i) \text{ for every } i \text{ and for some fixed point } x_0 \text{ of } X, \text{ then } \bigcap \{\bar{K} \mid K \in \Re\} \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$

In case $m = \aleph_0$, $M(\aleph_0)$ -spaces are M-spaces.

As for M(m)-spaces, we can prove analogously the following theorems.

Theorem 4.3. A topological space X is an M(m)-space if and only if there exists a closed continuous mapping f of X onto a metrizable space T such that $f^{-1}(t)$ is m-compact for each point t of T.

Theorem 4.4. Let f be a closed continuous mapping of an $M(\mathfrak{m})$ -space X onto a normal space Y, where X is T_1 . If $f^{-1}(y)$ is \mathfrak{m} -compact for any point y of Y, then Y is also an $M(\mathfrak{m})$ -space.

Corollary 4.5. Let f be a closed continuous mapping of a normal $M(\mathfrak{m})$ -space X onto a topological space Y, where X is T_1 . If $f^{-1}(y)$ is \mathfrak{m} -compact for any point y of Y, then Y is also a normal $M(\mathfrak{m})$ -space.

References

- [1] T. Ishii: On closed mappings and M-spaces. I. Proc. Japan Acad, 43, 752-756 (1967).
- [2] M. Katětov: Extensions of locally finite coverings. Colloq. Math., 6, 145-151 (1958).
- [3] E. Michael: A note on closed maps and compact sets. Israel J. Math., 2, 173-176 (1964).
- [4] K. Morita: Products of normal spaces with metric spaces. Math. Ann., 154, 365-382 (1964).
- [5] K. Morita and S. Hanai: Closed mappings and metric spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 32, 10-14 (1956).
- [6] A. Okuyama: On metrization of *M*-spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., **40**, 176-179 (1964).
- [7] A. H. Stone: Paracompactness and product spaces. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 54, 977-982 (1948).