

## 48. On the Normal Generation by a Line Bundle on an Abelian Variety

By Tsutomu SEKIGUCHI

Department of Mathematics, Chuo University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1978)

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ ,  $X$  an abelian variety over  $k$ , and  $L$  an ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ . In the previous paper [7], the author proved, unfortunately providing  $p \neq 2, 3$ , that the embedded variety of  $X$  into the projective space  $P(\Gamma(L^3))$  by means of the global sections of  $L^3$ , is ideal-theoretically an intersection of cubics. But he has recently found that the method in it can be extended for  $p=2$ . Namely, it can be checked easily even for  $p=2$  that the canonical map  $\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{-\alpha}) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^4)$  is surjective for almost all  $\alpha$  in  $\hat{X}$ , and which was the only obstacle to the proof of the fact for  $p=2$  in [7]. Moreover, as mentioned in [7], the surjectivity of the canonical maps lead us easily to the main results given in [1], [5] and [6].

We start with the following Mumford's theta structure theorem.

**Theorem** (Mumford's theta structure theorem). *Let  $M$  be a non-degenerate invertible sheaf on  $X$  of index  $i$ , and*

$$1 \longrightarrow G_m \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(M) \xrightarrow{j(M)} K(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

*the theta group scheme of  $M$ , where  $K(M)$  is the scheme-theoretic kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi_M: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$  defined by  $x \rightarrow T_x^* M \otimes M^{-1}$ . Then the canonical action  $U$  of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$  on  $H^i(M) = H^i(X, M)$  is the unique irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$ , with  $G_m$  acting naturally (cf. Appendix to [6]).*

**Corollary.** *Under the same notation as in above, let  $V$  and  $W$  be two subspaces of  $H^i(M)$  with  $V \supset W \neq \{0\}$ . Assume that for any local ring  $(B, \mathfrak{M})$  over  $k$  with the residue field  $k$  and any  $B$ -valued point  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{G}(M)$ ,  $U_\lambda(W \otimes B) \subset V \otimes B$ . Then we have  $V = H^i(M)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $R = \Gamma(\mathcal{G}(M), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}(M)})$ , and  $\sigma: H^i(M) \rightarrow H^i(M) \otimes R$  be the co-module structure corresponding to the action  $U$ . We denote by  $\gamma$  the composition:

$$H^i(M) \otimes R^* \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1_{R^*}} H^i(M) \otimes R \otimes R^* \xrightarrow{1_{H^i(M)} \otimes \langle \rangle} H^i(M),$$

where  $R^* = \text{Hom}_k(R, k)$  and  $\langle \rangle$  stands for "contraction". Here we put  $\bar{W} = \gamma(W \otimes R^*)$ . Then obviously  $\{0\} \neq W \subset \bar{W} \subset V$  and  $\bar{W}$  becomes a stable subspace under the action  $U$ . Hence, by Mumford's theta structure theorem, we have  $\bar{W} = V = H^i(M)$ . Q.E.D.

The following is the main result in this paper, and which is a generalization of Proposition 1.5 in [7] for any characteristic.

**Main theorem.** *Let  $L$  be any ample invertible sheaf on  $X$ . Then for any  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $\hat{X}$ , if we take a point  $\gamma$  of  $\hat{X}$  in general position,*

$$\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\alpha+\gamma}) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\beta-\gamma}) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})$$

*is surjective, where  $P$  is the Poincaré invertible sheaf on  $X \times \hat{X}$  and  $P_{\hat{x}} = P|_{X \times \{\hat{x}\}}$  for any point  $\hat{x} \in \hat{X}$ .*

**Proof.** If necessary, slightly modifying  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we may assume that  $L$  is symmetric. Let  $\xi: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  be the homomorphism defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x - y, x + y)$ . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\xi^*(p_1^*(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes p_2^*(L^2 \otimes P_\beta)) \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}),$$

where  $p_i: X \times X \rightarrow X$  is the projection to the  $i$ -th component for each  $i=1, 2$ . This isomorphism defines a lifting of the group  $K = \ker(\xi)$ :

$$1 \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha})) \xrightarrow{j} K(L^4) \times K(L^4) \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cup & & \cup \\ K^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K \end{array}$$

where  $j = j(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))$ . Moreover, by the descent theory, passing through  $\xi^*$ ,  $\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta)$  is isomorphic to the  $K^*$ -invariant subspace  $(\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))^{K^*}$  of  $\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha})$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal{G}^*$  the centralizer of  $K^*$ , then we have a canonical exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(\xi)} \mathcal{G}(p_1^*(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes p_2^*(L^2 \otimes P_\beta)) \rightarrow 0,$$

and a commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes B) \otimes_B (\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta) \otimes B) & & \\ \downarrow U_{\mathcal{G}(\xi)(\mu)} & & \\ (\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes B) \otimes_B (\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta) \otimes B) & & \\ \xrightarrow{B \otimes \xi^*} & (\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes B) \otimes_B (\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}) \otimes B) & \\ & \downarrow U_\mu & \\ \xrightarrow{B \otimes \xi^*} & (\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes B) \otimes_B (\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}) \otimes B) & \end{array}$$

for any  $k$ -algebra  $B$  and any  $B$ -valued point  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{G}(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))$ . For any integer  $n$ , we put  $X_n = \{x \in X \mid nx = 0\}$ . Since  $X_2 \times X_2$  is isotropic in  $K(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))$  and contains  $K$ , we can lift  $X_2 \times X_2$  up to a level subgroup  $(X_2 \times X_2)^*$  containing  $K^*$ . Moreover, obviously there exist level subgroups  $X_2^* \subset \mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})$  and  $X_2^{**} \subset \mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha})$  such that  $X_2^* \times X_2^{**}$  is isomorphic to  $(X_2 \times X_2)^*$  passing through the canonical homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \times \mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))$ . Here we take non-trivial sections  $\theta \in \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})^{X_2^*}$  and  $\theta' \in \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha})^{X_2^{**}}$ . Then, since  $X_2^* \times X_2^{**} \simeq (X_2 \times X_2)^* \supset K^*$ ,  $\theta \otimes \theta' \in (\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-\alpha}))^{K^*}$ , i.e., there exists an element  $\theta$  in  $\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta)$  such that  $\xi^* \theta = \theta \otimes \theta'$ . Now we choose a point  $y_0 \in X$  satisfying the condition.

(2)  $\theta'(y_0 + x) \neq 0$  for any  $k$ -valued point  $x$  of  $K(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a})$ , and we put  $\gamma = -2\phi_L(y_0)$ . Then, since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times X & \xleftarrow{\Delta} & X \simeq X \times \text{Spec}(k(y_0)) \\ T_{-y_0} \times T_{y_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_X \times y_0 \\ X \times X & \xleftarrow{\xi} & X \times X \end{array}$$

commutes, we have a commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\alpha+\gamma}) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\beta-\gamma}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} & \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \uparrow 1_X^* \otimes y_0^* \\ \Gamma(T_{-y_0}^* L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(T_{y_0}^* L^2 \otimes P_\beta) & & \\ \uparrow T_{y_0}^* \otimes T_{y_0}^* & & \uparrow \xi^* \\ \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, if we put  $V = \text{Im}[\tau = \Delta^* : \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\alpha+\gamma}) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\beta-\gamma}) \rightarrow \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})]$ , it contains the subspace  $W$  spanned by only one element  $\theta$ . Hence, by virtue of Corollary to Mumford's theta structure theorem, we have only to show that

- {for any local ring  $(B, \mathfrak{M})$  over  $k$  with the residue field  $k$
- {and any  $B$ -valued point  $\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})$ ,  $U_\lambda(W \otimes B) \subset V \otimes B$ .

So, let  $(B, \mathfrak{M})$  be such a local ring, and  $\lambda$  be such a point of  $\mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})$ . We choose a  $B$ -valued point  $\lambda'$  of  $\mathcal{G}(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a})$  such that  $j(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a})(\lambda') = j(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta})(\lambda)$ . Since  $\Delta(K(L^4)) \subset K(p_1^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes p_2^*(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a}))$  and  $j^{-1}(\Delta(K(L^4))) \subset \mathcal{G}^*$ ,  $\pi(\lambda, \lambda') \in \mathcal{G}^*$ . Therefore, by commutativity of (1), we have

$$U_\lambda \theta \otimes U_{\lambda'} \theta' = (\xi^* \otimes B)(U_{\mathcal{G}(\xi)\pi(\lambda, \lambda')} \theta).$$

Here we put  $S = \text{Spec}(B)$ ,  $\iota : \text{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{M}) \rightarrow S$  the closed point,  $X_S = X \times S$ ,  $L_S = L \otimes B$  and  $y'_0 = y_0 \times 1_S : \text{Spec}(k) \times S = S \rightarrow X \times S$ . Then we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_S \times_S X_S & \xleftarrow{\Delta \times 1_S} & X_S \simeq X_S \times_S S \\ T_{-y'_0} \times T_{y'_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{X_S} \times y'_0 \\ X_S \times_S X_S & \xleftarrow{\xi \times 1_S} & X \times X \times S \simeq X_S \times_S X_S \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\alpha+\gamma}) \otimes B\} \otimes_B \{\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\beta-\gamma}) \otimes B\} & \simeq & \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\alpha+\gamma}) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_{\beta-\gamma}) \otimes B \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \\ \Gamma(T_{-y'_0}^* (L^2 \otimes P_\alpha)_S) \otimes \Gamma(T_{y'_0}^* (L^2 \otimes P_\beta)_S) & & \\ \uparrow T_{-y'_0}^* \otimes T_{y'_0}^* & & \uparrow \xi^* \otimes B \\ \{\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes B\} \otimes_B \{\Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta) \otimes B\} & \simeq & \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\alpha) \otimes \Gamma(L^2 \otimes P_\beta) \otimes B \\ \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes B} & & \Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes B \\ & & \uparrow (1_{X_S} \times y'_0)^* \\ \xrightarrow{\xi^* \otimes B} & & \{\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\alpha+\beta}) \otimes B\} \otimes_B \{\Gamma(L^4 \otimes P_{\beta-a}) \otimes B\}. \end{array}$$

Hence

$$U_i\theta \cdot (U_{i'}\theta')(y'_0) \in \text{Im}(\tau \otimes B) = V \otimes B.$$

On the other hand, if we put  $j(L^A \otimes P_{\beta-a})(\lambda') = u \in K(L^A \otimes P_{\beta-a})(B)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i^*((U_{i'}\theta')(y'_0)) &= i^*(u + y'_0) * \theta' \\ &= \theta'(y_0 + u \circ i). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u \circ i \in K(L^A \otimes P_{\beta-a})$ , the condition (2) implies  $\theta'(y_0 + u \circ i) \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $(U_i\theta')(y'_0)$  is a unit of  $B$ . Therefore  $U_i\theta \in V \otimes B$  and we are done.

Q.E.D.

### References

- [1] S. Koizumi: Theta relations and projective normality of abelian varieties. *Amer. J. Math.*, **98**(3), 865–889 (1976).
- [2] —: The rank theorem on matrices of theta functions. *J. Fac. Sci. University of Tokyo, Sec. IA*, **24**(1), 115–122 (1977).
- [3] D. Mumford: On the equations defining abelian varieties. I. *Invent. Math.*, **1**, 287–354 (1966).
- [4] —: Varieties defined by quadratic equations. *Questioni sulle Varieta Algebriche, Corsi dal C.I.M.E., Edizioni Cremonese, Roma* (1969).
- [5] T. Sekiguchi: On projective normality of abelian varieties. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **28**(2), 307–322 (1976).
- [6] —: On projective normality of abelian varieties. II. *Ibid.*, **29**(4), 709–727 (1977).
- [7] —: On the cubics defining abelian varieties (to appear in *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **30**(4) (1978)).