

80. Microlocalization at Infinity of the Sheaf of Real Analytic Functions

By Jean-Louis LIEUTENANT

Senior Research Associate of the F.N.R.S. of Belgium,
Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1984)

The theory of the sheaf \mathcal{C}^t described in [3] allows to precise the global behavior at infinity of functions which are real analytic over the whole space \mathbf{R}^n . Here, following a suggestion of A. Kaneko, we improve this theory by considering the direct image of \mathcal{A} in the radial compactification of \mathbf{R}^n . This enables us to characterize the different kinds of decompositions in terms of holomorphic functions in conic tubes, which are admitted by a real analytic function near a single point at infinity in \mathbf{D}^n . The geometric methods of microlocalization described in [2] and [4] constitute again the basic tool to carry out the proofs.

As usual, we shall denote by \mathbf{R}^n the n -dimensional euclidean space but to provide more simplicity in some formulas, we shall assume $n > 1$, despite the fact that this theory may be carried out with slight modifications in the one-dimensional case. Let us then consider the following topological spaces: \mathbf{D}^n the radial compactification of \mathbf{R}^n , $S\mathbf{R}^n$ (resp. $S\mathbf{D}^n$) the spherical normal bundle to \mathbf{R}^n (resp. \mathbf{D}^n), $S^*\mathbf{R}^n$ (resp. $S^*\mathbf{D}^n$) the cospherical normal bundle to \mathbf{R}^n (resp. \mathbf{D}^n), $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^n$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^n$), the real monoidal transform of \mathbf{C}^n (resp. $\mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$) with center \mathbf{R}^n (resp. \mathbf{D}^n), $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{n*}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{n*}$) the real comonoidal transform of \mathbf{C}^n (resp. $\mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$) with center \mathbf{R}^n (resp. \mathbf{D}^n), $D\mathbf{R}^n$ (resp. DD^n) the set $\{(x, \xi, \eta) \in S\mathbf{R}^n \times_{\mathbf{R}^n} S^*\mathbf{R}^n : \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0\}$ (resp. $\{(x, \xi, \eta) \in S\mathbf{D}^n \times_{\mathbf{D}^n} S^*\mathbf{D}^n : \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0\}$). We denote respectively by ι, α, β and γ the natural injections $\mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^n$ (or its restriction $S\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow S\mathbf{D}^n$), $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{n*} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{n*}$ (or its restriction $S^*\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow S^*\mathbf{D}^n$) and $D\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow DD^n$. Following [2], we denote also respectively by $\tau, \tau', \pi, \pi', \tau, \tau', \pi, \pi', \varepsilon'$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}'$ the natural projections $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ (or its restriction $S\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$), $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$ (or its restriction $S\mathbf{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n$), $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}^{n*} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$ (or its restriction $S^*\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$), $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{n*} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$ (or its restriction $S^*\mathbf{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n$), $D\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow S^*\mathbf{R}^n$, $DD^n \rightarrow S^*\mathbf{D}^n$, $D\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow S\mathbf{R}^n$, $DD^n \rightarrow S\mathbf{D}^n$, $(\mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \mathbf{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^n \setminus S\mathbf{D}^n [\simeq (\mathbf{D}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \mathbf{D}^n] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{D}}^n$.

Let us denote respectively by $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{Q} the sheaves of germs of holomorphic functions over \mathbf{C}^n , of real analytic functions over \mathbf{R}^n , of hyperfunctions over \mathbf{R}^n , of microfunctions over $S^*\mathbf{R}^n$ and the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}_{S\mathbf{R}^n}^1(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})$ introduced in [2] and [4]. The fol-

lowing two sheaves will also play a capital role in this theory :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}' &:= (\varepsilon'_* \varepsilon'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}} &:= [(\alpha_* \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}] / \tau'^{-1}[(\iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{D^n}]. \end{aligned}$$

On this point, it is interesting to notice that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ “concentrates” all its informations over the “boundary” of D^n , i.e. : $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}|_{SD^n \setminus SR^n} = 0$.

Proposition 1. *With the above notations, we obtain for any k in \mathbb{Z} :*

$$\begin{aligned} (R^k \iota_*) \mathcal{O} &= \iota_* \mathcal{O} \cdot \delta_{k,0}; & (R^k \varepsilon'_*) \varepsilon'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O} &= \varepsilon'_* \varepsilon'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O} \cdot \delta_{k,0}; \\ (R^k \alpha_*) \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O} &= \tau'^{-1} (R^k \iota_*) \mathcal{O} = \tau'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O} \cdot \delta_{k,0} = \alpha_* \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O} \cdot \delta_{k,0}; \\ \mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^k(\tau'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O}) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}' / \tau'^{-1}[(\iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] \cdot \delta_{k,1}; \\ (R^k \alpha_*) Q &= \alpha_* Q \cdot \delta_{k,0} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}' / (\alpha_* \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O})|_{SD^n} \cdot \delta_{k,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{k,j}$ (“multiplication” by Kronecker’s symbol) means that the considered k -th derived functor vanishes for every $k \neq j$.

As there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms of sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \tau'^{-1}[(\iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] & = & \tau'^{-1}[(\iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & (\alpha_* \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O})|_{SD^n} & \rightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{A}}' & \rightarrow & \alpha_* Q & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{O}} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1} \mathcal{O}) & \rightarrow & \alpha_* Q & \rightarrow 0, \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ & 0 & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

the nine lemma gives immediately the

Theorem 1. *There exists a short exact sequence of sheaves :*

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1} \iota_* \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \alpha_* Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us then extend the concept of tuboid defined in [1] as follows : If Ω is an open subset of D^n , we shall say that $A = \bigcup_{x \in \Omega} [x] + iA_x \subset D^n + iR^n$ is a *profile with base Ω* if A is open and if for any $x \in \Omega$, the fiber A_x is an open convex cone in R^n ; moreover, an open subset V of A will be said to be a *tuboid of profile A in $D^n + iR^n$* if, given a compact set $K \subset A$, there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for every $x + iy \in K$ and every $\rho \in [0, \rho_0]$, the point $x + i\rho y$ belongs to V . We can then extend naturally Bros-Iagolnitzer’s result [1] by the

Proposition 2. *Let V be a tuboid of profile A in $D^n + iR^n$. There exists then a tuboid $V' \subset V$ of profile A in $D^n + iR^n$ such that $V' \cap C^n$ is pseudoconvex.*

Using this proposition together with Dolbeault’s resolution of \mathcal{O} , we get :

Proposition 3. *The open subsets U of SD^n such that for every $x \in D^n$, $\{t\xi \in R^n : t > 0, (x, \xi) \in U\}$ is convex, are acyclic for the sheaves $\tau'^{-1}[(\iota_* \mathcal{O})|_{D^n}]$ and $(\alpha_* \tau^{-1} \mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}$ hence also for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$.*

Adapting the Sato-Malgrange-Fourier (SMF) transform to our particular situation, we define the operator T which transforms sheaves

over SD^n in sheaves over S^*D^n by

$$T\mathcal{F} := (R^{n-1}\tau'_{*,*})\pi'^{-1}\mathcal{F}^a,$$

where \mathcal{F}^a denotes indifferently the direct or inverse image of a sheaf \mathcal{F} over SD^n or S^*D^n by the antipodal diffeomorphism $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x, -\xi)$ or $(x, \eta) \rightarrow (x, -\eta)$. Let us then define by \mathcal{C}^∞ the sheaf $T\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Combining the preceding results with Morimoto's global "Edge of the wedge" theorem and the flabbiness of the sheaves \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} , we also get:

Proposition 4. *The following hold for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{D^n}^k(\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= \mathcal{H}_{D^n}^k(\iota_*\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \cdot \delta_{k,n}; \\ (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\pi'^{-1}\tau'^{-1}[(\iota_*\mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] &= \pi'^{-1}[(\iota_*\mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] \cdot \delta_{k,n-1}; \\ \mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^k(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= (R^{k-n+1}\tau'_{*,*})\pi'^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \cdot \delta_{k,n}; \\ (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\pi'^{-1}(\alpha_*Q)^a &= \beta_*\mathcal{C} \cdot \delta_{k,n}; \quad (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\pi'^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^a = \mathcal{C}^\infty \cdot \delta_{k,n}; \\ (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^a &= (R^{k-n+1}\pi'_*)\mathcal{C}^\infty = \pi'_*\mathcal{C}^\infty \cdot \delta_{k,n-1}; \\ (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= (R^{k-n+1}\pi'_*)\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}); \\ \tau'^{-1}(R^k\tau'_{*,*})\alpha_*Q &= \tau'^{-1}(\pi' \circ \beta)_*\mathcal{C}^a \cdot \delta_{k,n-1}; \quad (R^k\pi'_{*,*})\tau'^{-1}\beta_*\mathcal{C} = \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\beta_*\mathcal{C} \cdot \delta_{k,0}; \\ (R^k\pi'_{*,*})\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= \pi'_{*,*}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \cdot \delta_{k,0}; \\ (R^k\tau'_{*,*})\mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= (R^{n-1}\tau'_{*,*})\mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \cdot \delta_{k,n-1}; \\ (R^k\pi'_{*,*})\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\infty &= \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\infty \cdot \delta_{k,0}; \\ (R^k\pi'_{*,*})\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) &= \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \cdot \delta_{k,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there also exist canonical morphisms making exact the following short sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \beta_*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \tau'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}[(\iota_*\mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] &\rightarrow \iota_*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}} &\rightarrow \tau'^{-1}\pi'_*\mathcal{C}^\infty \rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\infty \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{SD^n}^1(\tau'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O})^a &\rightarrow \tau'^{-1}\pi'_*\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi'_*\mathcal{C}^\infty &\rightarrow \pi'_*\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O})^a \rightarrow \iota_*\pi'_*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\infty &\rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O})^a \rightarrow \pi'_{*,*}\tau'^{-1}\beta_*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow \tau'^{-1}\pi'_*\mathcal{C}^\infty &\rightarrow \tau'^{-1}\pi'_*\mathcal{H}_{S^*D^n}^n(\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{O})^a \rightarrow \tau'^{-1}\pi'_*\beta_*\mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining those results and using SMF transform, we then obtain:

Theorem 2. *There exist canonical morphisms b and sp which make exact the sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow (\iota_*\mathcal{O})|_{D^n} \xrightarrow{b} \iota_*\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{sp} \pi'_*\mathcal{C}^\infty \longrightarrow 0.$$

Pulling this sequence back, we also get

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi'^{-1}[(\iota_*\mathcal{O})|_{D^n}] \xrightarrow{\pi'^{-1}b} \pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi'^{-1}sp} \mathcal{C}^\infty \longrightarrow 0.$$

Such exact sequences provide the opportunity to define corresponding wave-front sets for real analytic functions as follows:

Definition. For any open set Ω in D^n and any $f \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega \cap \mathbf{R}^n)$, the wave-front set at infinity of f may be defined as:

$$WF^\infty(f) := \text{supp}_{\mathcal{C}^\infty}(sp f)$$

if we consider f as a section of $\pi'^{-1}\iota_*\mathcal{A}$. The meaning of this concept may then be clarified by the following theorems:

Theorem 3. *If U is an open set of SD^n such that, for each $x \in \tau'U$, the set $\{\lambda\xi : \lambda > 0, (x, \xi) \in U\}$ is convex, the space $[(\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}](U)$ is contained in $(\iota_*\mathcal{A})(\tau'U)$ and any $f \in [(\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}](U)$ verifies :*

$$W.F^\infty(f) \subset \{(x, \eta) \in S^*D^n : x \in \tau'U \text{ and } (x, \xi) \in U \Rightarrow \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

Theorem 4. *The sheaf $\iota_*\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $(R^{n-1}\tau'_*)([\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O}]|_{SD^n})$ and this isomorphism can be represented in terms of Čech cohomology as follows : given any open set $\Omega \subset D^n$, any section f of $\iota_*\mathcal{A}$ over Ω and any covering \mathcal{U} of the unit sphere S_{n-1} by sets $\omega_j := \{\xi \in S_{n-1} : \langle \xi, \eta_j \rangle > 0\}$, ($\eta_j \in S_{n-1}^*$, $j=1, \dots, n+1$), there exist $f_j \in [(\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}]$ ($\Omega \times \bigcap_{k \neq j} \omega_k$) such that $f = \Sigma f_j$; the image of f under the above isomorphism coincides then with the equivalence class of (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}) in $\check{H}^{n-1}[\mathcal{U}, (\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}]$.*

Theorem 5. *For any point (x, η) of S^*D^n , one has $(x, \eta) \notin W.F^\infty(f)$ if and only if there exist some neighborhood Ω of x , some open convex cones $\Gamma_j \subset \{\xi : \langle \xi, \eta \rangle < 0\}$ ($j=1, \dots, J$) and some $f_j \in [(\alpha_*\tau^{-1}\mathcal{O})|_{SD^n}]$ ($\Omega \times (\Gamma_j \cap S_{n-1})$) such that $f = \Sigma f_j$.*

Finally, the author would thank here Pr. A. Kaneko for fruitful discussions, Pr. H. Komatsu for welcoming him in the Department of Mathematics of the Faculty of Science of the University of Tokyo and the Rotary Foundation of Rotary International for financial support.

References

- [1] J. Bros and D. Iagolnitzer: Tuboïdes dans C^n et généralisation d'un théorème de Cartan et Grauert. Ann. Inst. Fourier, XXVI, 1, 49–72 (1976).
- [2] T. Kawai, M. Kashiwara and T. Kimura: Daisukaisekigyakunokiso. Kinokuniya shoten, Tokyo (1980).
- [3] J.-L. Lieutenant: Fronts d'onde à l'infini des fonctions analytiques réelles. Ann. Inst. Fourier, XXXIV, 1 (1984).
- [4] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara: Hyperfunctions and partial differential equations. Lect. Notes in Math., 287, 265–529 (1973).