

### 36. Fourier Transform of a Space of Holomorphic Discrete Series

By Takaaki NOMURA

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University

(Communicated by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., May 13, 1985)

1. Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact real simple Lie group of matrices and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Assume  $G/K$  is a hermitian symmetric space. Then,  $G/K$  can be realized as a Siegel domain  $D$  of type II. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G$  contained in  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie } K$ ,  $\Delta$  the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c)$ . We introduce an order in  $\Delta$  compatible with the complex structure of  $G/K$ . For each  $K$ -dominant  $K$ -integral linear form  $\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_c$  satisfying Harish-Chandra's non-vanishing condition [1, p. 612], the holomorphic discrete series  $\Pi_\lambda$  of  $G$  is realized on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  (see 5) of vector valued holomorphic functions on  $D$ . Let  $S(D)$  be the Šilov boundary of  $D$ . Then, one knows that  $S(D)$  is diffeomorphic to a certain nilpotent subgroup  $N(D)$  of the affine automorphisms of  $D$ . By identifying  $S(D)$  with  $N(D)$ , the aim of this note is a description of the space  $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$  by using the Fourier transform on  $N(D)$ . If  $D$  reduces to a tube domain,  $N(D)$  is abelian. Since such a description in this case is found in [6], we assume from now on that  $D$  does not reduce to a tube domain. Then,  $N(D)$  is a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group.

2. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_+$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_-$ ) the sum of all root subspaces corresponding to positive (resp. negative) non-compact roots in  $\Delta$ .  $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$  are abelian subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  normalized by  $\mathfrak{k}_c$ . Let  $P_\pm$  and  $K_c$  be analytic subgroups of  $G_c$  ( $\text{Lie } G_c = \mathfrak{g}_c$ ) corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}_\pm$  and  $\mathfrak{k}_c$  respectively. Every  $x \in P_+ K_c P_-$  can be expressed in a unique way as  $x = \exp \zeta_+ \cdot k(x) \cdot \exp \zeta_-$  with  $\zeta_\pm \in \mathfrak{p}_\pm$ ,  $k(x) \in K_c$ . We know that  $G$  is contained in  $P_+ K_c P_-$ . Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l\}$  be a maximal system of positive non-compact strongly orthogonal roots such that for each  $j$ ,  $\gamma_j$  is the largest positive non-compact root strongly orthogonal to  $\gamma_{j+1}, \dots, \gamma_l$ . For every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , we choose  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  as in Lemma 3.1 in [2, p. 257]. Then,

$$\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \mathbf{R}(X_{r_i} + X_{-r_i})$$

is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $l = \text{real rank of } G$ . Let

$$(1) \quad c = \exp \pi \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} (X_{r_j} - X_{-r_j})/4 \in P_+ K_c P_-$$

and  $\nu = \text{Ad } c$ . As we are assuming that  $G/K$  does not reduce to a tube domain, there is only one possibility of positive  $\alpha$ -root system  $\Phi(\alpha)^+$  compatible with the original order in  $\Delta$  through  $\nu^*$  [3, p. 364]: put  $2\lambda_j = \nu^*(\gamma_j)$ , then

$$\Phi(\alpha)^+ = \{\lambda_i + \lambda_j; 1 \leq j \leq i \leq l\} \cup \{\lambda_i - \lambda_j; 1 \leq j < i \leq l\} \cup \{\lambda_i; 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{n}$  the sum of all positive  $\alpha$ -root subspaces and put  $\mathfrak{s} = \alpha + \mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $j$  be the complex structure on  $\mathfrak{s}$  obtained by transforming the complex structure on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We set

$$\mathfrak{s}(0) = \alpha + \sum_{k < m} \mathfrak{n}_{\lambda_m - \lambda_k}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(1/2) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l} \mathfrak{n}_{\lambda_k}, \quad \mathfrak{s}(1) = \sum_{k \leq m} \mathfrak{n}_{\lambda_m + \lambda_k}.$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}(0) + \mathfrak{s}(1/2) + \mathfrak{s}(1)$  and  $\mathfrak{s}(0)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $S(0)$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{s}(0)$ . Choose  $s \in \mathfrak{s}(1)$  as in [7, p. 15] and let  $\Omega$  be the  $S(0)$ -orbit of  $s$  in  $\mathfrak{s}(1)$  under the adjoint representation. By [6, Theorem 4.15],  $\Omega$  is a regular open convex cone in  $\mathfrak{s}(1)$  and diffeomorphic to  $S(0)$ . For every  $t \in \Omega$ , we denote by  $\eta_0(t)$  the unique element in  $S(0)$  for which  $(\text{Ad } \eta_0(t))s = t$ . On the other hand, it is known that  $\mathfrak{s}(1/2)$  can be considered as a complex vector space  $V$  by  $j|_{\mathfrak{s}(1/2)}$ . Put  $W = \mathfrak{s}(1)_C$ . Then,  $Q(x, y) = ([jx, y] + i[x, y])/4$  is an  $\Omega$ -positive hermitian map  $V \times V \rightarrow W$ . By using this pair of  $\Omega$  and  $Q$ , we now define a Siegel domain  $D$  of type II:  $D = \{(w, v) \in W \times V; \text{Im } w - Q(v, v) \in \Omega\}$ . Then,  $S(D) = \{(x + iQ(\zeta, \zeta), \zeta); x \in \mathfrak{s}(1), \zeta \in V\}$  and  $N(D) = \{n(x, \zeta); x \in \mathfrak{s}(1), \zeta \in V\}$  with multiplication

$$n(x, \zeta)n(x', \zeta') = n(x + x' + 2 \text{Im } Q(\zeta, \zeta'), \zeta + \zeta').$$

3. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{s}(1)^*$  such that the hermitian form  $\lambda \circ Q$  is nondegenerate.  $\mathcal{E}$  contains the dual cone  $\Omega^*$ . Now we have a family  $(\pi_\lambda, \mathfrak{F}_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}}$  of concrete irreducible unitary representations of  $N(D)$  enough to decompose  $L^2(N(D))$  (Kirillov model). For  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $\rho(\lambda)$  be the Pfaffian of the alternating bilinear form  $\text{Im } \lambda \circ Q$  on  $\mathfrak{s}(1/2)$ . The Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f \in C_c^\infty(N(D))$  is by definition  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_{N(D)} f(n)\pi_\lambda(n^{-1})dn$ , where  $dn$  is the Haar measure on  $N(D)$ . Then, the Plancherel formula for  $N(D)$  is written as  $\|f\|^2 = C \int_{\mathcal{E}} \|\hat{f}(\lambda)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \rho(\lambda) d\lambda$ . The positive constant  $C$  depends only on the normalization of  $dn$ . One can define the Fourier transform of  $f \in L^2(N(D))$  in the standard way.

4. Let  $\psi \in C(\Omega)$  be everywhere positive such that  $\psi(at) = a^\delta \psi(t)$  ( $a > 0, t \in \Omega$ ) for some  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $H^2(D, \psi)$  be the Hilbert space of  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued holomorphic functions on  $D$  satisfying

$$\|F\|^2 = \int_D |F(x + iy, \zeta)|^2 \psi(y - Q(\zeta, \zeta)) dx dy d\zeta < \infty.$$

For  $F \in H^2(D, \psi)$ , put  $f_t(x, \zeta) = F(x + i(t + Q(\zeta, \zeta)), \zeta)$  for every  $t \in \Omega$ . Then,  $f_t$  belongs to  $L^2(N(D))$ , so one can consider the Fourier transform  $(f_t)^\wedge$ . Now  $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$  can be identified with  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , where  $n = \dim_C V$ . Let  $\phi_0^\lambda$  be the zero-th Hermite function and  $V_\lambda$  the one dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$  spanned by  $\phi_0^\lambda$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^*, \psi)$  the Hilbert space of functions  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  taking value at  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  in the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $\mathfrak{F}_\lambda$  such that (i)  $\Phi(\lambda) = 0$  if  $\lambda \notin \Omega^*$ ; (ii)  $\text{Range } \Phi(\lambda) \subset V_\lambda$  if  $\lambda \in \Omega^*$ ;

(iii)  $\|\Phi\|^2 = C \int_{\Omega^*} \|\Phi(\lambda)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 I_\psi(\lambda) \rho(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty$ , where  $I_\psi(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{o}} e^{-2\lambda(x)} \psi(x) dx$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F \in H^2(D, \psi)$  and  $f_t$  be as above. Then,  $\Phi(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(t)} (f_t)^\wedge(\lambda)$  is independent of  $t \in \Omega$  and belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^*, \psi)$ . Conversely, let  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^*, \psi)$ . Then,*

$$F(x + i(t + Q(\zeta, \zeta)), \zeta) = C \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\lambda(t)} \text{Tr} [\pi_\lambda(x, \zeta)\Phi(\lambda)]\rho(\lambda)d\lambda$$

is absolutely convergent and gives an element  $F \in H^2(D, \psi)$  such that  $\Phi(\lambda) = e^{\lambda(t)}(f_t)^\wedge(\lambda)$ . Moreover, the map  $F \mapsto \Phi$  is unitary.

5. Let  $A$  be as in 1 and  $\tau_A$  the irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $E$  with highest weight  $A$ . Since  $P_+K_C$  is a semidirect product,  $\tau_A$  can be naturally extended to a representation of  $P_+K_C$ . Let  $c \in G_C$  be the element defined by (1) and put  $\Phi_A(g) = \tau_A(k(c)^{-1})\tau_A(k(cg))$ . We note  $cg \in P_+K_C P_-$  for  $g \in G$ . Put

$$\theta_0(t) = |\det_{\mathfrak{s}(1/2)} \text{Ad } \eta_0(t)|^{-1} |\det_{\mathfrak{s}(1)} \text{Ad } \eta_0(t)|^{-2} \quad (t \in \Omega)$$

and  $\Theta_A(\alpha(h)) = \Phi_A(h)$  ( $h \in S = \exp \mathfrak{s}$ ), where  $\alpha$  is the map  $G \rightarrow D$  which induces a  $G$ -equivariant biholomorphism of  $G/K$  onto  $D$ . Now,  $\mathcal{H}(A)$  consists of  $E$ -valued holomorphic functions on  $D$  with

$$\|F\|^2 = \int_D \|\Theta_A(iy, \zeta)^{-1}F(x + iy, \zeta)\|^2 \theta_0(y - Q(\zeta, \zeta)) dx dy d\zeta < \infty.$$

Let  $v_A$  be a highest weight vector for  $\tau_A$  normalized so that  $\|v_A\| = 1$ . We take an orthonormal basis  $v_1 = v_A, v_2, \dots, v_d$  ( $d = \text{deg } \tau_A$ ) in  $E$  consisting of weight vectors arranged in order so that any vector in the root subspaces corresponding to positive compact roots in  $A$  is represented, under  $\tau_A$ , by an upper triangular matrix. We denote by  $A_j$  the weight for  $v_j$ . Let  $E_k$  be the one dimensional subspace of  $E$  spanned by  $v_k$  and  $\mathcal{H}_j(A) = \{F \in \mathcal{H}(A); F(w, \zeta) \in E^j\}$ , where  $E^j = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_j$ . Then,  $\mathcal{H}_j(A)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}(A)$  invariant under  $\Pi_A|_S$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^1(A) = \mathcal{H}_1(A)$  and  $\mathcal{H}^j(A)$  = the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{H}_{j-1}(A)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_j(A)$  ( $j \geq 2$ ). Put  $Y_i = X_{r_i} + X_{-r_i}$  and define a positive character  $\chi_j$  of  $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$  by  $\chi_j(\exp \sum a_i Y_i) = \prod \exp a_i A_j(\nu(Y_i))$ . Extending  $\chi_j$  canonically to a character of  $S$ , we put  $\psi_j(t) = \chi_j(\eta_0(t))^{-2} \theta_0(t)$  for  $t \in \Omega$ . Then,  $\psi_j(at) = a^{\delta_j} \psi_j(t)$  ( $a > 0, t \in \Omega$ ) for some  $\delta_j \in \mathbf{R}$ . Consider the Hilbert space  $H^2(D, \psi_j)$  of the type in 4 and define an operator  $T_j$  by  $T_j F(w, \zeta) = (F(w, \zeta), v_j)$  ( $F \in \mathcal{H}_j(A)$ ).  $T_j$  is a bounded operator  $\mathcal{H}_j(A) \rightarrow H^2(D, \psi_j)$  with dense range. Therefore by considering the polar decomposition of  $T_j$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^j(A)$  is unitarily isomorphic to  $H^2(D, \psi_j)$ . Thus we have an irreducible decomposition  $\mathcal{H}(A) = \mathcal{H}^1(A) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}^d(A)$  for  $\Pi_A|_S$  [6, p. 381].

6. Put  $I_A(\lambda) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-2\lambda(t)} \Phi_A(\eta_0(t)^{-1})^2 \theta_0(t) dt$  ( $\lambda \in \Omega^*$ ). The integral is absolutely convergent. Now the matrix of  $I_A(\lambda)$  relative to the basis  $(v_k)$  is upper triangular with  $(k, k)$ -entry  $I_{\psi_k}(\lambda) > 0$ . Therefore we can give a meaning to  $I_A(\lambda)^{1/2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$  be the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $\mathfrak{S}_\lambda$ . We put  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda); \text{Range } T \subset V_j\}$ . It is evident that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$ . Consider the Hilbert space tensor product  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda) \otimes E$  of two Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$  and  $E$ . This is regarded as the Hilbert space of anti-linear Hilbert-Schmidt operators mapping  $E$  to  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda)$  via  $(T \otimes v)(u) = (v, u)T$ . For  $\lambda \in \Omega^*$ , we define an operator  $M_A(\lambda)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda) \otimes E$  by  $M_A(\lambda)(T \otimes v) = T \otimes I_A(\lambda)^{1/2} v$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}(A)$  be the Hilbert space of functions  $\Psi$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  whose value at  $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{S}_\lambda) \otimes E$  such that

$$(i) \quad \Psi(\lambda) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda \notin \Omega^*; \quad (ii) \quad \|\Psi\|^2 = C \int_{\Omega^*} \|M_A(\lambda)\Psi(\lambda)\|^2 \rho(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty.$$

Put  $H_j(A) = \{\Psi \in H(A); \Psi(\lambda) \in A(\mathfrak{S}_j) \otimes E^j\}$  and  $T_j\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi(\lambda)v_j \in A(\mathfrak{S}_j)$  for  $\Psi \in H_j(A)$ .  $T_j$  is a bounded operator  $H_j(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^*, \psi_j)$  with dense range. Let  $H^1(A) = H_1(A)$  and  $H^j(A)$  = the orthogonal complement of  $H_{j-1}(A)$  in  $H_j(A)$  ( $j \geq 2$ ). Then,  $H^j(A)$  is unitarily isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}^2(\Omega^*, \psi_j)$  via the polar decomposition of  $T_j$ . Therefore, we have an orthogonal decomposition  $H(A) = H^1(A) \oplus \dots \oplus H^a(A)$ . In view of Theorem 1, we get

**Theorem 2.**  *$\mathcal{H}(A)$  is unitarily isomorphic to  $H(A)$  under the procedure described above.*

### References

- [1] Harish-Chandra: Amer. J. Math., **78**, 564–628 (1956).
- [2] S. Helgason: Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces. Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [3] C. C. Moore: Amer. J. Math., **86**, 358–378 (1964).
- [4] T. Nomura: A description of a space of holomorphic discrete series by means of the Fourier transform on the Šilov boundary (preprint).
- [5] R. D. Ogden and S. Vagi: Adv. Math., **33**, 31–92 (1979).
- [6] H. Rossi and M. Vergne: J. Funct. Anal., **13**, 324–389 (1973).
- [7] M. Vergne and H. Rossi: Acta Math., **136**, 1–59 (1976).