32. On Some Inequalities in the Theory of Uniform Distribution. II

By Petko D. PROINOV and Nedyalka A. MITREVA Department of Mathematics, University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria (Communicated by Shokichi Iyanaga, M. J. A., April 12, 1988)

This is a continuation of Proinov and Mitreva [0].

2. In this section, we apply Theorem 1 to the theory of uniform distribution mod 1. Let $\sigma = (x_n)_1^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers, and let g be a continuous distribution function on E. (A function g is called distribution function if it is nondecreasing on E with g(0) = 0 and g(1) = 1.) For an integer $N \ge 1$ and $x \in E$, write $\Delta_N(\sigma; g; x) = A_N(\sigma; x)/N - g(x)$, where $A_N(\sigma; x)$ denotes the number of indices $n \le N$ such that the fractional parts $\{x_n\}$ are less than x. The sequence σ is called asymptotically distributed mod 1, with the asymptotic distribution function g, if $\lim_{N\to\infty} \Delta_N(\sigma; g; x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$. The study of asymptotically distributed sequences was initiated by Schoenberg (see [10] or [2]).

Define the discrepancies $D_N(\sigma;g)$ and $D_N^*(\sigma;g)$ to be the oscillation and the supremum norm of $\Delta_N(\sigma;g;x)$, respectively. It is well known (see [4]) that both $\lim_{N\to\infty} D_N(\sigma;g)=0$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty} D_N^*(\sigma;g)=0$ are equivalent to the sequence σ being asymptotically distributed mod 1 with the distribution function g. In the next definition, we define the notion of φ -discrepancy which was given by Proinov [7] in the case g(x)=x.

Definition 2. Suppose that φ is a basic function, i.e., it is a non-decreasing positive function on $(0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0+)=\varphi(0)=0$. Then for $N \ge 1$, the φ -discrepancy $D_N^{(\varphi)}(\sigma;g)$ of σ with respect to the distribution function g, is defined by

$$D_N^{(\varphi)}(\sigma;g) = \int_0^1 \varphi(|A_N(\sigma;g;x)|) dx.$$

Theorem 2. Let g be a continuous distribution function on E, and let φ be a basic function. Then the sequence σ is asymptotically distributed mod 1 with the distribution function g, if and only if

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} D_N^{(\varphi)}(\sigma;g) = 0.$$

This criterion in the case $\varphi(x) = x^p$ ($1 \le p < \infty$) was proved by Niederreiter [4], and in the case g(x) = x by Proinov [7]. In the classical case $\varphi(x) = x^p$ and g(x) = x, Theorem 2 is due to Sobol' [11]. We omit the proof of Theorem 2 since it can be done in the same way as in the case $\varphi(x) = x^p$.

In the next theorem, we present two inequalities for the φ -discrepancy. They might be regarded as quantitative versions of Theorem 2 in the case where the distribution function g satisfies a Lipschitz condition on E.

Theorem 3. Let φ be a basic function, and let g be a distribution function satisfying on E the Lipschitz condition with constant L>0. Then

for the φ -discrepancy of the sequence σ with respect to the distribution function g, we have

$$(1/L)F(D_N^*(\sigma;g)) \leq D_N^{(\varphi)}(\sigma;g) \leq \varphi(D_N^*(\sigma;g))$$

and

$$(14) \hspace{1cm} (2/L)F\left(\frac{1}{2}D_{N}(\sigma;g)\right) \leq D_{N}^{(\varphi)}(\sigma;g) \leq \varphi(D_{N}(\sigma;g)),$$

where the function F is defined by (5).

In the case g(x) = x these inequalities were obtained by Proinov ([7], [8]). In the classical case $\varphi(x) = x^p$ and g(x) = x, they are due to Niederreiter [6].

Proof. The upper bounds in (13) and (14) are trivial. The lower bounds are special cases of (3) and (4), respectively, since the function f defined on E by $f(x) = \Delta_N(\sigma; g; x)$ satisfies the requirements of Theorem 1. Q.E.D.

Remark. It is easy to see that Theorem 3 remains true also for discrepancies with respect to weights.

Reference*)

[0] P. D. Proinov and N. A. Mitreva: On some inequalities in the theory of uniform distribution. I. Proc. Japan Acad., 64A, 80-83 (1988).

^{*)} Other references are given in [0].