### ON DOUBLY TRANSITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS

#### YUTAKA HIRAMINE

(Received April 22, 1977)

### 1. Introduction

Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on a finite set  $\Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Using the notation of [9], we denote a normal subgroup of  $G_{\alpha}$  by  $N^{\alpha}$ . Then, for  $\beta \in \Omega$  other, we define  $N^{\beta}$  so that  $g^{-1}N^{\beta}g=N^{\gamma}$  where  $\gamma=\beta^{g}$ .

In this paper we shall prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on a finite set  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an element of  $\Omega$ . If  $G_{\alpha}$  has a normal simple subgroup  $N^{\alpha}$  which is isomorphic to PSL(2, q), Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) with  $q=2^n$ ,  $n\geq 2$ , then one of the following holds:

- (i)  $|\Omega|=6$ ,  $G \simeq A_6$  or  $S_6$  and  $N^{\bullet} \simeq PSL(2, 4)$ .
- (ii)  $|\Omega|=11$ ,  $G\simeq PSL(2, 11)$  and  $N^{\alpha}\simeq PSL(2, 4)$ .
- (iii) G has a regular normal subgroup.

We introduce some notations: Let G be a permutation group on  $\Omega$ . For  $X \leq G$  and  $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ , we define  $F(X) = \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid \alpha^x = \alpha \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ ,  $X(\Delta) = \{x \in X \mid \Delta^x = \Delta\}$ ,  $X_{\Delta} = \{x \in X \mid \alpha^x = \alpha \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}$  and  $X^{\Delta} = X(\Delta)/X_{\Delta}$ , the restriction of X on  $\Delta$ . If p is a prime, we denote by  $O^p(X)$ , the subgroup of X generated by all p'-elements in X. Other notations are standard ([6], [16]).

### 2. Preliminary results

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  of even degree and  $N^{\mathfrak{a}}$  a nonabelian simple normal subgroup of  $G_{\mathfrak{a}}$  with  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . If  $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(N^{\mathfrak{a}}) \neq 1$ , then  $N^{\mathfrak{a}}_{\beta} = N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\beta}$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Omega$  and  $C_{\mathfrak{a}}(N^{\mathfrak{a}})$  is semi-regular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ .

Proof. Set  $C^{\sigma} = C_G(N^{\sigma})$ . By Corollary B3 and Lemma 2.8 of [17],  $C^{\sigma}$  is semi-regular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  or  $N^{\sigma}$  is a T.I. set in G. Since  $|\Omega|$  is even and  $N^{\sigma}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -transitive on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ ,  $|N^{\sigma}: N^{\sigma}_{\beta}|$  is odd for  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Omega$ . Hence  $N^{\sigma}$  is not semiregular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . By Theorem A of [9],  $N^{\sigma}$  is not a T.I. set in G. Hence  $C^{\sigma}$  is semi-regular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ .

Set  $\Delta = F(N_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ . Since  $C^{\alpha} \leq G(\Delta)$ ,  $[C^{\alpha}, G_{\Delta}] \leq C^{\alpha} \cap G_{\Delta} = 1$ . By Corollary

B1 of [17],  $N_{\alpha}^{\beta} \leq G_{\Delta}$  and so  $[C^{\alpha}, N_{\alpha}^{\beta}] = 1$ . Let  $1 \neq x \in C^{\alpha}$  and set  $\beta^{x} = \gamma$ . Then  $N_{\alpha}^{\beta} = x^{-1}N_{\alpha}^{\beta}x = N_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ . Hence  $N_{\alpha}^{\beta} \leq N_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ . Since  $\beta \neq \gamma$  and G is doubly transitive on  $\Omega$ ,  $|N_{\alpha}^{\beta}| = |N_{\gamma}^{\beta}|$ . Hence  $N_{\alpha}^{\beta} = N_{\gamma}^{\beta}$ . Similarly we have  $N_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = N_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ . Hence  $N_{\gamma}^{\beta} = N_{\gamma}^{\beta}$  and so  $N_{\gamma}^{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{\beta} \cap N^{\gamma}$ . Since G is doubly transitive on  $\Omega$ ,  $N_{\alpha}^{\beta} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let G be a transitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$ , H a stabilizer of a point of  $\Omega$  and M a nonempty subset of G. Then

$$|F(M)| = |N_c(M)| \times |ccl_c(M) \cap H|/|H|$$
.

Here  $ccl_{G}(M) \cap H = \{g^{-1}Mg \mid g^{-1}Mg \subseteq H, g \in G\}.$ 

Proof. Set  $W = \{(L, \alpha) | L \in ccl_G(M), \alpha \in F(L)\}$  and  $W_{\alpha} = \{L | L \in ccl_G(M), F(L) \ni \alpha\}$ . By the transitivity of G,  $|W_{\alpha}| = |W_{\beta}|$  holds for every  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \Omega$ . Counting the number of elements of W in two ways, we obtain  $|G: N_G(M)| \times |F(M)| = |G: H| \times |ccl_G(M) \cap H|$ . Thus we have Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) with  $q=2^n>2$  and suppose that G is a transitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$  of odd degree. Let H be a stabilizer of a point of  $\Omega$ . Then we have the following:

- (i) H has a unique Sylow 2-subgroup S of G and H=DS for a Hall 2'-subgroup D of H where  $D \le Z_{g^2-1}$ .
  - (ii) Let L be a subgroup of G such that |L| = |H|. Then  $L \in ccl_G(H)$ .
  - (iii) S is semi-regular on  $\Omega F(S)$  and  $|F(S)| = |F(H)| = |N_G(S)| H|$ .
- (iv) Set  $D = V \times K$  where  $V \leq Z_{q+1}$ ,  $K \leq Z_{q-1}$ . Then K acts semiregularly on  $\Omega F(K)$  and if  $K \neq 1$ , |F(K)| = 2|F(S)|.

Proof. Since G is generated by its two distinct Sylow 2-subgroups and  $1 \neq |G:H|$  is odd, H contains a unique Sylow 2-subgroup S of G where  $S = O_2(H)$ . By the structure of  $N_G(S)$  we have (i) (cf. § 3 of [2]).

To prove (ii) we may assume that  $S \le L$ . As above  $S = O_2(L)$  and  $L = D_1 S$  where  $D_1 \le Z_{q^2-1}$ . Since  $N_G(S)/S$  is cyclic and |H| = |L|, we get H = L. Thus (ii) holds.

Let  $t \in I(S)$ . Applying Lemma 2.2,  $|F(t)| = |N_G(t)| \times |ccl_G(t) \cap H|/|H|$  =  $(|N_G(t)| \times |ccl_G(t) \cap N_G(S)|/|N_G(S)|) \times (|N_G(S)|/|H|)$ . Since  $N_G(S)$  is a stabilizer of the usual doubly transitive permutation representation of G, we have  $|N_G(t)| \times |ccl_G(t) \cap N_G(S)|/|N_G(S)| = 1$ , hence  $|F(t)| = |N_G(S): H|$ . On the other hand,  $|F(S)| = |N_G(S)| \times |ccl_G(S) \cap H|/|H| = |N_G(S): H|$ . Therefore S acts semi-regularly on  $\Omega - F(S)$ . As  $N_G(H) = N_G(S)$ , similarly we have |F(S)| = |F(H)|. Thus (iii) holds.

Let x be a nontrivial element of K. Then we have  $|F(\langle x \rangle)| = |N_G(\langle x \rangle)| \times |ccl_G(\langle x \rangle) \cap H|/|H| = (|N_G(\langle x \rangle)| \times |ccl_G(\langle x \rangle) \cap N_G(S)|/|N_G(S)|)(|N_G(S)|/|H|)$ . As before we have  $|N_G(\langle x \rangle)| \times |ccl_G(\langle x \rangle) \cap N_G(S)|/|N_G(S)| = 2$ . Hence  $|F(x)| = 2 \cdot |N_G(S)| \cdot H|$  and this is independent of the choice of  $x \in K^{\sharp}$ . Thus (iv)

holds.

- **Lemma 2.4.** Let  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) with  $q=2^n>2$  and S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G,  $H=N_G(S)$ , t an involution outside H,  $D=H\cap H^t$ ,  $V=C_D(t)$  and  $K=\{d\in D\mid d^t=d^{-1}\}$ . Then the following hold:
  - (i)  $N_G(\langle k \rangle) = \langle t \rangle D$  whenever  $1 \neq k \in K$ .
- (ii) If  $G \simeq PSU(3, q)$  and  $1 \neq U$  is a subgroup of V, then  $N_G(U) = C_G(V) = N \times V$  where N is a subgroup of G isomorphic to PSL(2, q).
- Proof. (i) follows from the structure of PSL(2, q), Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) (§ 3 of [2]).

We now regard PSU(3,q) as a usual doubly transitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$  with  $q^3+1$  points. Then V is semi-regular on  $\Omega-F(V)$  and  $G(F(U))/G_{F(U)}$  is doubly transitive on F(U)=F(V). Clearly  $N_G(U)\leq G(F(U))$  and  $G_{F(U)}=V$ . Hence  $N_G(U)\leq N_G(V)$ . Since V is cyclic,  $N_G(V)\leq N_G(U)$  and so  $N_G(U)=N_G(V)$ . We now set  $M=O^{2'}(N_G(V))$ . Then as [Z(S),V]=1 and Z(S) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(V)$ , M centralizes V. By the Frattini argument  $N_G(V)=(N_G(V)\cap N(Z(S))M=N_H(V)M=DZ(S)\cdot M\leq C_G(V)$ . Hence  $N_G(V)=C_G(V)$ . By the direct computation, we obtain (ii).

- **Lemma 2.5.** Let  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) with  $q=2^n>2$  and let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G.
  - (i) If T is a maximal subgroup of S, then  $N_G(T)=S$ .
- (ii) Unless  $G \simeq PSU(3, q)$  where  $q=2^n$  and n is odd, then by conjugation  $N_G(S)$  acts regularly on the set of all maximal subgroups of S.

Proof. Since  $N_G(S)$  is strongly embedded in G,  $S \leq N_G(T) \leq N_G(S)$  and so  $N_G(T) = RS$  where R is a Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N_G(T)$ . As |S:T| = 2, R centralizes  $S/T \simeq Z_2$  and hence there exists an element  $t \in C_S(R) - T$ . If  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$  or Sz(q), then R=1 (§ 3 of [2]). If  $G \simeq PSU(3, q)$  and  $R \neq 1$ , then by (ii) of Lemma 2.4,  $t \in I(S) = \Omega_1(S) \leq T$ , a contradiction. Thus (i) holds.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all maximal subgroups of S. Then by conjugation,  $N_G(S)$  acts on  $\Gamma$  and  $(N_G(S))_T = S$  for  $T \in \Gamma$  by (i). Under the assumption of (ii), we can easily verify  $|\Gamma| = |N_G(S)|$ : |S|. From this (ii) follows at once.

- **Lemma 2.6.** Let  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , Sz(q) or PSU(3, q) with  $q=2^n>2$  and A be the full automorphism gruop of G. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Then  $C_A(S)=Z(S)$ . Here we identify G with the inner automorphism group of G.
- Proof. Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all Sylow 2-subgroups of G. Then A acts faithfully on  $\Omega$  and the action of G on  $\Omega$  is the same as the usual doubly transitive permutation representation. Hence S is regular on  $\Omega \{S\}$  and so  $C_A(S)$  is a 2-subgroup of A. If  $G \simeq Sz(q)$ , A/G is cyclic of odd order and so  $C_A(S) \leq G$ . Hence  $C_A(S) = C_G(S) = Z(S)$ . If  $G \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , S is abelian, so that  $C_A(S) = S$

=Z(S). If  $G \simeq PSU(3, q)$ , there exists a field automorphism such that  $\langle f \rangle S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_A(S)$ . From this  $C_A(S) \leq O_2(N_A(S)) \leq \langle f \rangle S$ . If  $gs \in C_A(S) - S$  where  $g \in \langle f \rangle$  and  $s \in S$ , then g centralizes Z(S) and so g is a field automorphism of order 2 by the structural property of A. Since g centralizes s, s must be contained in Z(S). Therefore g centralizes S, while g is a field automorphism of order 2. This is a contradiction. Thus  $C_A(S) = S \cap C_A(S) = Z(S)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $G \simeq PSU(3, q)$ ,  $q=2^n$  such that n is even. Then  $Aut(G) = \langle f \rangle G$  for a field automorphism f of G (see [14]). Let B be a Borel subgroup and let D be a diagonal subgroup of G. Then B=DS and  $S=O_2(B)$  for some Sylow 2-subgroup S of G. Set  $D=V\times K$  with  $V\simeq Z_{q+1}$ ,  $K\simeq Z_{q-1}$ . Then  $C_A(Z(S))=\langle \tau \rangle VS$  where  $A=\langle f \rangle G$  and  $\{\tau\}=I(\langle f \rangle)$ .

Proof. By the structural properties of A, [V,Z(S)]=1 and  $C_{\langle f \rangle}(Z(S))=\langle \tau \rangle$ . Since  $N_A(Z(S)) \triangleright O_2(N_G(Z(S)))=S$ ,  $N_A(Z(S))=\langle f \rangle N_G(S)$ . Hence  $C_A(Z(S))=C(Z(S))\cap \langle f \rangle DS=C_{\langle f \rangle K}(Z(S))VS$ . Let  $gk\in C_{\langle f \rangle K}(Z(S))$  with  $g\in \langle f \rangle$ ,  $k\in K$ . Since g is a field automorphism of G, it centralizes a nontrivial element s in Z(S). Then k centralizes s and so k=1, for otherwise  $s\in C_G(k)=VK$ , a contradiction. So  $C_{\langle f \rangle K}(Z(S))=C_{\langle f \rangle}(Z(S))=\langle \tau \rangle$ . Thus  $C_A(Z(S))=\langle \tau \rangle VS$ .

### 3. The case $|\Omega|$ is even

Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on a finite set  $\Omega$  of even degree satisfying the assumption of our theorem. Let  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $\{\alpha\}$ ,  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$  be the set of all  $N^{\sigma}$ -orbits on  $\Omega$ . Since  $N^{\sigma}$  is normal in  $G_{\sigma}$ ,  $|\Delta_i| = |\Delta_j|$  for  $1 \le i, j \le r$ . Hence  $|\Omega| = 1 + |\Delta_i| r$  and so both  $|\Delta_i|$  and r are odd. From this,  $N^{\sigma}_{\beta}$  contains a unique Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\sigma}$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$  by (i) of Lemma 2.3. Set  $S = O_2(N^{\sigma}_{\beta})$ .

- (3.1) The following hold.
- (i) For each  $\Delta_i$  with  $1 \le i \le r$ , there exists  $\beta_i \in \Delta_i$  such that  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N_{\beta_i}^{\alpha}$ .
- (ii)  $F(S) = F(N_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ ,  $|F(S)| = |N_{N}^{\alpha}(S): N_{\beta}^{\alpha}| \times r + 1$  and S is semi-regular on  $\Omega F(S)$ .
  - (iii) Set  $C^{\alpha} = C_{\alpha}(N^{\alpha})$ . Then  $C^{\alpha} = O(G_{\alpha})$  and is semi-regular on  $\Omega \{\alpha\}$ .

Proof. Let  $\gamma \in \Delta_i$ . Since  $|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}| = |N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}|$ , by (ii) of Lemma 2.3,  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = (N_{\gamma}^{\alpha})^x$  for some  $x \in N^{\alpha}$ . Put  $\gamma^x = \beta_i$ . Then  $\beta_i \in \Delta_i$  and  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N_{\beta_i}^{\alpha}$ . Thus (i) holds.

Hence by (iii) of Lemma 2.3, for each  $\Delta_i$  with  $1 \le i \le r$ ,  $F(S) \cap \Delta_i = F(N_{\beta}^{\omega}) \cap \Delta_i$ ,  $|F(S) \cap \Delta_i| = |N_N^{\omega}(S)$ :  $N_{\beta}^{\omega}|$  and S is semi-regular on  $\Delta_i - (\Delta_i \cap F(S))$ . Thus (ii) holds.

Since  $[O(G_{\alpha}), N^{\alpha}] \leq O(G_{\alpha}) \cap N^{\alpha}$  and  $N^{\alpha}$  is a non abelian simple group,  $[O(G_{\alpha}), N^{\alpha}] = 1$  and so  $O(G_{\alpha}) \leq C^{\alpha}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $C^{\alpha}$  is semi-regular on

 $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $G_{\alpha} \triangleright C^{\alpha}$ ,  $C^{\alpha}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ -transitive on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . Hence  $|C^{\alpha}| \mid |\Omega| - 1$ . From this  $C^{\alpha}$  is of odd order and hence  $C^{\alpha} \le O(G_{\alpha})$ . Thus  $C^{\alpha} = O(G_{\alpha})$ .

As a Chevalley group,  $N^{\omega}$  has a Borel subgroup  $N_{N^{\omega}}(S)$ . Let D be a diagonal subgroup of  $N_{N^{\omega}}(S)$ . Then  $N_{N^{\omega}}(S) = DS$ . We now denote  $G_{\omega}/C^{\omega}$  by  $\overline{G}_{\omega}$ . By the properties of PSL(2,q), Sz(q) or PSU(3,q) ([14]), there exists a field automorphism  $\overline{f}$  such that  $\langle \overline{f} \rangle \overline{N}^{\omega}/\overline{N}^{\omega}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\overline{G}_{\omega}/\overline{N}^{\omega}$ . Since  $C^{\omega} = O(G_{\omega})$ , we may assume f is a 2-element in  $G_{\omega}$ . Since  $DC^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega} = D$  and  $SC^{\omega} \cap N^{\omega} = S$ , D and S are f-invariant. Clearly  $\langle f \rangle S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\omega}$ . Since  $\langle \overline{f} \rangle \cap \overline{N}^{\omega} = 1$ ,  $\langle f \rangle \cap S \leq C^{\omega}$  and so  $\langle f \rangle \cap S = 1$ . Thus we have the following.

- (3.1)' There exists a 2-element f in  $G_{\alpha}$  satisfying the following.
- (i) f acts on  $N^{\omega}$  as a field automorphism of  $N^{\omega}$ .
- (ii) D and S are f-invariant and  $\langle f \rangle \cap S = 1$ .
- (iii)  $\langle f \rangle S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\alpha}$ .
- (3.2)  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is cyclic of odd order.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and (iii) of (3.1), we may assume that  $C^{\mathfrak{a}}=1$ . First we claim that  $|S:S\cap N^{\beta}|=1$  or 2. Since  $S/S\cap N^{\beta}\simeq SN^{\beta}/N^{\beta}$  is isomorphic to a 2-subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $N^{\beta}$ ,  $S/S\cap N^{\beta}$  is cyclic. But S/S' is an elementary abelian 2-group and so  $S/S\cap N^{\beta}\simeq 1$  or  $Z_2$  and hence  $|S:S\cap N^{\beta}|=1$  or 2.

To prove (3.2), it suffices to show that  $|S:S\cap N^{\beta}| \neq 2$ . Assume that  $|S:S\cap N^{\beta}| = 2$ . Then as S and  $S\cap N^{\beta}$  are normal subgroups of  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . Then it follows from (i) of Lemma 2.5 that  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} = S$  and  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}:N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}| = 2$ . Since a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}$  is cyclic and  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \simeq G_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  is cyclic. As  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}N^{\beta}_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  of order 2,  $I(G_{\alpha\beta}) \subseteq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}N^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$ . Let f be as defined in (3.1)'. Then  $f \neq 1$  as  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$   $\leq N^{\alpha}$ . Let  $\tau \in I(\langle f \rangle)$ . Since  $\tau \in N_{G_{\alpha}}(S)$ ,  $S=N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  and  $|F(S)-\{\alpha\}|$  is odd, there exists  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in F(\tau) \cap F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$  and  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ . Clearly  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \leq N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$ , so that  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} = N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$ . Therefore we may assume  $F(\tau) \supseteq \beta$  and  $\tau \in G_{\alpha\beta}$ . By Corollary B1 of [17]  $F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta}) = F(N^{\alpha}_{\alpha})$ . From this  $F(\tau) \supseteq F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta}N^{\beta}_{\alpha}) = F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$  because  $\tau \in I(G_{\alpha\beta}) \subseteq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}N^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ . So  $\langle \tau \rangle N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \leq (\langle \tau \rangle N^{\alpha} \cap N(N^{\alpha}_{\beta}))_{F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})}$ . Let D be as defined in (3.1)'. Then  $D \leq N_{N^{\alpha}}(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$  and D is  $\tau$ -invariant. Hence  $[D, \tau] \leq (\langle \tau \rangle N^{\alpha} \cap N(N^{\alpha}_{\beta}))_{F(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})} \cap D=1$ . Therefore  $\tau$  centralizes D. Since  $\tau$  is a field automorphism of  $N^{\alpha}$  of order 2 and D is a diagonal subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ , this is a contradiction.

- (3.3) The following hold.
- (i)  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} = N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\delta}$  for,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta \in F(N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta})$  with  $\gamma \neq \delta$ .
- (ii)  $G(F(S))=N_G(N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}).$
- (iii) Let M be a subgroup of  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  which contains S. Then F(M)=

- F(S) and  $N_G(M)$  is doubly transitive on F(S).
  - (iv)  $C_{Ga}(S) = Z(S) \times C^{a}$ .
- (v) Let M be as defined in (iii) and suppose  $C^{\bullet} \neq 1$ . Then  $O_2(C_G(M))^{F(S)}$  is a regular normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $N_G(M)^{F(S)}$ .

Proof. Let  $\gamma, \delta \in F(N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta})$  with  $\gamma \neq \delta$ . We may assume  $\alpha \neq \gamma$ . Since G is doubly transitive on  $\Omega$ ,  $|N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta}| = |N^{\omega} \cap N^{\gamma}|$ . By the choice of  $\gamma, N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta} \leq N^{\omega}_{\gamma}$  and  $N_{N^{\omega}}(S)/S$  is cyclic. Hence  $N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta} = N^{\omega} \cap N^{\gamma}$ . Similarly  $N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\omega} = N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\delta}$ . Thus (i) holds.

Since  $N_G(N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}}) \leq N_G(S)$ ,  $N_G(N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}}) \leq G(F(S))$ . Let  $x \in G(F(S))$ . Then  $\alpha^x$ ,  $\beta^x \in F(S)$  and  $F(S) = F(N^{\mathfrak{a}}_{\mathfrak{b}})$  by (ii) of (3.1). Hence  $\alpha^x$ ,  $\beta^x \in F(N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}})$ . Therefore by (i)  $N^{\mathfrak{a}^x} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}^x} = N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}}$  and so  $x \in N_G(N^{\mathfrak{a}} \cap N^{\mathfrak{b}})$ . Thus (ii) holds.

Suppose  $S \leq M \leq N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ . If  $M^{g} \leq G_{\alpha\beta}$  for some  $g \in G_{\alpha}$ . Then  $M^{g} \leq N^{\alpha} \cap G_{\alpha\beta} = N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . Hence  $M^{g} = M$  because  $S \leq M$  and  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}/S$  is cyclic of odd order. By the Witt's Theorem  $N_{G\alpha}(M)$  is transitive on  $F(M) - \{\alpha\}$ . Similarly  $N_{G\beta}(M)$  is transitive on  $F(M) - \{\beta\}$ . We may assume |F(M)| > 2. Hence  $N_{G}(M)$  is doubly transitive on F(M). By (ii) of (3.1), F(M) = F(S). Thus (iii) holds.

We denote  $G_{\alpha}/C^{\alpha}$  by  $\bar{G}_{\alpha}$ . Clearly  $C_{\bar{G}_{\alpha}}(\bar{N}^{\alpha})=\bar{1}$ . Applying Lemma 2.6,  $C_{\bar{G}_{\alpha}}(\bar{S})=Z(\bar{S})$ , hence  $C_{G_{\alpha}}(S)\leq Z(S)\times C^{\alpha}$ . The converse implication is obvious. Thus (iv) holds.

Suppose  $C^{\sigma} \neq 1$ . Then since  $C^{\sigma}$  is semi-regular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ ,  $C_G(M)^{F(S)} \geq (C^{\sigma})^{F(S)} \neq 1$ . As  $N_G(M)^{F(S)}$  is doubly transitive by (iii),  $C_G(M)^{F(S)}$  is transitive. By (iv),  $(C^{\sigma})^{F(S)} \leq C_{G\sigma}(M)^{F(S)} \leq (Z(S) \times C^{\sigma})^{F(S)}$  and so  $C_{G\sigma}(M)^{F(S)} = (C^{\sigma})^{F(S)}$ . Hence  $C_G(M)^{F(S)}$  is a Frobenius group and so  $O_2(C_G(M)^{F(S)}) \neq 1$  because |F(S)| is even. Since  $C_G(M)_{F(S)} \leq (Z(S) \times C^{\sigma})_{F(S)} = Z(S)$ ,  $O_2(C_F(M)^{F(S)}) = O_2(C_G(M))^{F(S)}$  and this is regular on F(S). As  $N_G(M)^{F(S)} \triangleright O_2(C_G(M))^{F(S)}$ ,  $O_2(C_G(M))^{F(S)}$  must be a regular normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $N_G(M)^{F(S)}$ . Thus (v) holds.

- (3.4) There exists an involution t such that  $ccl_G(t) \cap S \neq \phi$ ,  $\alpha^t = \beta$  and  $F(t) \cap F(S) = \phi$ . Set  $\mu = |N_{N^{\alpha}}(S): N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|$  and  $|S| = q^t$ . Then we have
  - (i)  $|\Omega| = (q^i + 1)\mu r + 1$ .
- (ii)  $|C_s(t)| \ge \sqrt{q}$ ,  $\sqrt{2q}$  or q according as  $N^a \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , Sz(q) or PSU(3, q), respectively. Furthermore  $|C_s(t)| |F(S)| = \mu r + 1$ .
  - (iii) If  $\mu=1$ , then  $|\Omega|=6$  and  $G \simeq A_6$  or  $S_6$ .
  - (iv)  $|\Omega|_2 = |F(S)|_2 \cdot |G: N_G(S)|_2$ .

Proof. Since  $|\Delta_i| = |N^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = |N^{\alpha}: N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)| \times |N_{N^{\alpha}}(S): N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = (q^i + 1)\mu$  and  $|\Omega| = |\Delta_i|r + 1$ . Hence (i) holds.

Since G is doubly transitive on  $\Omega$ , there exists an involution t such that  $ccl_G(t) \cap S \neq \phi$  and  $\alpha^t = \beta$ . Then t normalizes  $O_2(N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta}) = S$ . Claim  $F(t) \cap F(S) = \phi$ . Suppose not and let  $\gamma \in F(t) \cap F(S)$ . As  $S \leq N^{\omega}_{\gamma}$ ,  $S \leq N^{\omega} \cap N^{\gamma}$  by (i) of (3.3). Let g be such that  $t^g \in S$ . Then  $t \in N^{\delta} \cap G_{\gamma} = N^{\delta}_{\gamma}$  where  $\delta = \alpha^{g^{-1}}$  and

hence  $t \in N^{\gamma}$ . Since t normalizes S and  $\langle t \rangle S \leq N^{\gamma}$ , t must be contained in S, a contradiction. Hence  $F(t) \cap F(S) = \phi$ . From this  $C_S(t)$  acts semi-regularly on F(t) and so |F(t)| is divisibly by  $|C_S(t)|$ . Since  $t^g \in S$ ,  $|F(t)| = |F(t^g)| = |F(S)|$ , hence  $|C_S(t)| |F(S)|$ .

If  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , then  $|\Omega_1(S/S')| = |S| = q$  and by Lemma 1 of [7],  $|C_S(t)| \ge \sqrt{q}$ . If  $N^{\omega} \simeq Sz(q)$ , then  $|\Omega_1(S/S')| = q$ . Since q is an odd power of 2 in this case, similarly  $|C_S(t)| \ge \sqrt{2q}$ . If  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSU(3, q)$ , then  $|\Omega_1(S/S')| = q^2$  and so similarly  $|C_S(t)| \ge q$ . Thus we have (ii).

Suppose  $\mu=1$ . Then  $N^{\sigma}$  is doubly transitive on each  $N^{\sigma}$ -orbit  $\pm \{\alpha\}$ . Applying Theorem D of [10], r=1. Therefore,  $|F(S)| = \mu r + 1 = 2$  and so by (i) and (ii), q=4,  $N^{\sigma} \approx PSL(2, 4)$  and  $|\Omega|=6$ . Thus (iii) holds.

Since  $|\Omega| = |G:N_G(S)| \times |N_G(S):N_{G_{\alpha}}(S)|/|G_{\alpha}:N_{G_{\alpha}}(S)|$  and  $|G_{\alpha}:N_{G_{\alpha}}(S)|$  is odd, (iv) holds.

(3.5) Let  $\pi$  be the set of primes which divides q-1 and K a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ . If  $K \neq 1$ , then  $C^{\alpha} = 1$ .

Proof. Suppose  $K \neq 1$  and  $C^{\bullet} \neq 1$ . Set  $\Gamma_i = \Delta_i \cap F(S)$  and  $\Lambda_i = \Delta_i \cap F(K)$ . Then by (i) of (3.1) and Lemma 2.3, for each i with  $1 \le i \le r$   $|\Lambda_i| = 2|\Gamma_i| =$  $2|N_N\alpha(S):N_{\beta_i}^\alpha|=2|N_N\alpha(S):N_{\beta}^\alpha|$  and K is semi-regular on  $\Delta_i-\Lambda_i$ . By (v) of (3.3),  $O_2(C_G(KS))^{F(S)}$  is a regular normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $N_G(KS)^{F(S)}$ . Set  $E=O_2(C_G(KS))$ . It follows from (iv) of (3.3) that  $E_{F(S)} \leq (Z(S) \times C^{\omega})_{F(S)}$ . Since F(Z(S)) = F(S) by (ii) of (3.1) and  $(C^{\omega})_{F(S)} = 1$  by (iii) of (3.1),  $(Z(S) \times C^{\bullet})_{F(S)} = Z(S)$ . On the other hand  $Z(S) \cap C(K) = 1$  (cf. § 3) of [2]) and so  $E_{F(S)}=1$ . Hence  $E \simeq E^{F(S)}$ . Since E is regular on F(S), |F(S)| $=|E^{F(S)}|$  and so we have |F(S)|=|E|. Since KS is a subgroup of  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  which contains S, by (ii) of (3.1) we have F(S)=F(KS). From this F(S) is a subset of F(K). Hence  $|F(K)-F(S)|=|F(K)-\{\alpha\}|-|F(S)-\{\alpha\}|=\sum\limits_{i=1}^r|\Lambda_i|-\sum\limits_{i=1}^r|\Gamma_i|=r\times|N_N\alpha(S)\colon N_\beta^\alpha|$ . Since r is odd, |F(K)-F(S)| is odd. On the other hand E fixes F(K)-F(S) setwise because E centralizes S and K. fore E fixes an element  $\gamma \in F(K) - F(S)$  as E is a 2-subgroup of G. Since  $N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}/O_2(N_{\gamma}^{\alpha})$  is cyclic of odd order,  $K \leq N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}$  and  $|K \cdot O_2(N_{\gamma}^{\alpha})| |N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\gamma}|$ , we have  $K \cdot O_2(N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}) \leq N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\gamma}$ . Hence  $K \leq N^{\gamma}$  and so  $|C_{N^{\gamma}}(K)|$  is odd by (i) of Lemma 2.4. Since  $C_{G_{\gamma}}(K)/C_N^{\gamma}(K)C^{\gamma} \simeq C_{G_{\gamma}}(K)N^{\gamma}C^{\gamma}/N^{\gamma}C^{\gamma}$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_{G_n}(K)$  is cyclic. But  $E \le C_{G_n}(K)$  and hence  $|E| = |F(S)| = 2 = \mu r + 1$ . From this  $\mu=r=1$ . By (iii) of (3.4)  $C^{\infty}=1$ , which is contrary to the assumption  $C^{\alpha} \neq 1$ . So (3.5) holds.

(3.6) Suppose  $K \neq 1$  and let  $S_1$  be a subgroup of S. If  $S_1^g \leq N_G(S)$  and  $S_1^g \leq S$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $S_1 \leq Z_2 \times Z_4$  and  $|S_1| |2|G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$ .

Proof. Set  $S_1^{\ell} = T$ . By (ii) of (3.1), T is semi-regular on  $\Omega - F(T)$ . Claim

 $F(T)\cap F(S)=\phi$ . Suppose not and let  $\gamma\in F(T)\cap F(S)$ . Then  $T\leq N_{\gamma}^{ab}$  and  $S\leq N_{\gamma}^{a}$ . By (3.2)  $T\leq N^{ab}\cap N^{\gamma}$  and  $S\leq N^{ab}\cap N^{\gamma}$  and so  $TS\leq N^{\gamma}$ . Since S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\gamma}$ , TS=S. Hence  $T\leq S$ , a contradiction. Thus  $F(T)\cap F(S)=\phi$ . From this T acts semi-regularly on F(S). By (ii) of (3.3), T normalizes  $N^{ab}\cap N^{\beta}$  and so  $T\leq N_G(S)\cap N_G(KS)$ . By the Frattini argument  $KST=N_{KST}(K)\cdot KS=N_{ST}(K)\cdot KS$ , so that  $N_{ST}(K)^{F(S)}=T^{F(S)}$  as F(S)=F(KS). For an arbitrary  $\gamma\in F(S)$ ,  $N_{ST}(K)_{\gamma}=N_S(K)=C_S(K)=1$ , whence  $N_{ST}(K)\simeq N_{ST}(K)^{F(S)}$ . Hence  $T\simeq N_{ST}(K)$ . Now  $N_{ST}(K)$  acts on F(K)-F(S) and |F(K)-F(S)| is odd. Hence  $N_{ST}(K)$  fixes some  $\delta\in F(K)-F(S)$ . Since  $K\leq N_{\delta}^{a}$  and  $|K\cdot O_2(N_{\delta}^{a})|\,|\,|N^{a}\cap N^{\delta}|$ , we have  $K\leq N^{a}\cap N^{\delta}$  as in the proof of (3.5). By (i) of Lemma 2.4,  $N_N^{\delta}(K)=D(u)>D$  where u is an involution and D is a cyclic subgroup of  $N^{\delta}$  of odd order. Since  $N_{G\delta}(K)/N_N^{\delta}(K)\simeq N_{G\delta}(K)N^{\delta}/N^{\delta}$  and a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $S_{\delta}^{\delta}(N)$  is cyclic, a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $S_{\delta}^{\delta}(N)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_{\delta}^{\delta}(N)$  is cyclic, a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $S_{\delta}^{\delta}(N)$  is of exponent at most 4, (3.6) follows immediately.

- (3.7) One of the following holds.
- (i)  $|\Omega| = 6$  and  $G \simeq A_6$  or  $S_6$ .
- (ii)  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is a  $\pi'$ -group.

Proof. Let K be a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta}$  and suppose  $G \not= A_6$ ,  $S_6$  and  $K \neq 1$ . Let t be an involution as in (3.4) and Q a Sylow 2-subgroup of G containing  $\langle t \rangle S$ . Then  $Q \triangleright S$ . For otherwise, let  $x \in N_Q(N_Q(S)) - N_Q(S)$ , then  $S^x \neq S$  and  $S^x$  normalizes S. Applying (3.6) to  $S^x$ ,  $S \simeq Z_2 \times Z_2$  and  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSL(2, 4)$ . But since  $K \neq 1$ ,  $|N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta}| = 12$  and hence  $\mu = 1$ . It follows from (iii) of (3.4) that  $G \simeq A_6$  or  $S_6$ , which is contrary to the assumption.

Since  $Q \triangleright S$  and all involutions in S are conjugate in G, t is conjugate to s for an involution  $s \in Z(Q) \cap S$ . As s is an extremal element in Q, there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $t^g = s$  and  $(C_Q(t))^g \leq Q$ . Set  $T = (C_s(t))^g$ . If  $T \leq S$ , as S is semi-regular on  $\Omega - F(S)$ ,  $F(S)^g = F(S)$ . Hence  $F(t) = F(s)^{g^{-1}} = F(S)$ , contrary to the choice of t. Therefore  $T \leq S$ . Applying (3.6) again,  $C_s(t) \leq Z_2 \times Z_4$ ,  $|C_s(t)| |2 \cdot |G_a/N^a|$ .

If  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , by (ii) of (3.4),  $\sqrt{q} \leq |C_s(t)| |2 \cdot |G_{\sigma}/N^{\sigma}|$  and so  $q=2^2$  or  $2^4$ . As before,  $q \neq 2^2$ , hence  $q=2^4$ ,  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2, 2^4)$ . Then r=1 because the outer automorphism group of  $PSL(2, 2^4)$  is cyclic of order 4. Since  $\mu \neq 1$  and  $K \neq 1$ ,  $(\mu, |K|, |F(K)|, |\Omega|)$  is (3, 5, 7, 52) or (5, 3, 11, 86) by (iv) of Lemma 2.3 and (i) of (3.4). By the Witt's Theorem,  $N_G(K)$  is doubly transitive on F(K). Hence |G| is divisible by |F(K)|. Since  $C^{\sigma}=1$  by (3.5), we have  $|G| ||\Omega| \cdot |\operatorname{Aut}(PSL(2, 2^4))|$ . Hence we can verify  $|F(K)| \not ||G|$  in both cases. This is a contradiction.

If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq Sz(q)$ , similarly we obtain  $\sqrt{2q} < |C_s(t)| |2|G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$ . But in this case since the outer automorphism group of  $N^{\alpha}$  is cyclic of odd order,  $|G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$ 

is odd and so  $\sqrt{2q} \le 2$ . Hence  $q \le 2$ , a contracdiction.

If  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSU(3, q)$ , similarly  $q \leq |C_s(t)| |2|G_{\sigma}/N^{\sigma}|$ . Hence  $q=2^2$ ,  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSU(3, 2^2)$ . As in the first case, r=1 and  $(\mu, |K|, |F(K)|, |\Omega|) = (5, 3, 11, 326)$  and so  $11 = |F(K)| |\Omega| \cdot |Aut(PSU(3, 2^2))|$ , a contradiction.

In (3.8)–(3.11), we shall prove that  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ . First we note the following.

(3.8) If 
$$C^{\omega} \neq 1$$
,  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N^{\omega} \cap N^{\beta}$ .

Then  $\mu = p$ .

Proof. Since  $N^{\alpha}$  is a nonabelian simple group, (3.8) follows immediately form Lemma 2.1.

(3.9) Let p be a prime with  $p \mid |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$  and assume the following: (\*)  $p \pm 3$  if  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSU/(3, 2^{n})$  and n is odd.

Proof. It follows from (3.8) that  $C^{\sigma}=1$ . Hence  $G_{\sigma}/N^{\sigma}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $N^{\sigma}$  and so under the hypothesis (\*), a Sylow p-subgroup of  $G_{\sigma}/N^{\sigma}$  is normal and cyclic ([14]). Set  $=N_{G}(S)_{F(S)}$ . Since  $W/N^{\sigma}_{\beta} \leq G_{\sigma\beta}/N^{\sigma}_{\beta} \simeq G_{\sigma\beta}N^{\sigma}/N^{\sigma}$ , a Sylow p-subgroup of  $W/N^{\sigma}_{\beta}$  is normal and cyclic. Hence all elements in W of order p is contained in  $N^{\sigma}_{\beta}N^{\sigma}_{\beta}$  because  $|N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}N^{\sigma}_{\beta}/N^{\sigma}_{\beta}| = |N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}:N^{\sigma}\cap N^{\sigma}| = |N^{\sigma}_{\beta}:N^{\sigma}\cap N^{\beta}|$  and  $p \mid |N^{\sigma}_{\beta}:N^{\sigma}\cap N^{\beta}|$ . Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of W. Then  $\Omega_{1}(P) \leq N^{\sigma}_{\beta}N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ . Set  $Q = \Omega_{1}(P)$ . Since  $N^{\sigma}_{\beta}N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}/N^{\sigma}_{\beta} \simeq N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}/N^{\sigma}_{\beta} \simeq N^{\sigma}_{\alpha}/N^{\sigma}_{\beta} \simeq N^{\sigma}_{\beta}/N^{\sigma}_{\alpha} \simeq N^$ 

By the Frattini argument,  $N_G(S) = (N_G(S) \cap N(P))W$ . Let M be a normal subgroup of  $N_G(S) \cap N(P)$  such that  $M^{F(S)}$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$ . We choose M so that its order is minimal. Since  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$  is doubly transitive,  $M^{F(S)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup or a direct product of isomorphic non abelian simple groups. As Q' is cyclic,  $M/C_M(Q')$  is abelian and its Sylow 2-subgroup is cyclic. Hence by the minimality of M,  $M=C_M(Q')$ .

Set  $\bar{Q} = Q/Q'$ . We argue that  $C_M(\bar{Q}) \leq W$ . To prove this, it suffices to show that  $M \neq C_M(\bar{Q})$ . If  $M = C_M(\bar{Q})$ , M stabilizes the normal series  $Q \triangleright Q' \triangleright 1$  and hence  $O^p(M)$  centralizes P by Theorem 5.3.2 and Theorem 5.3.1 of [6]. Obviously  $O^p(M) \nleq W$  and so  $O^p(M) = M$  by the minimality of M. Therefore M centralizes P. Let X be an element of M such that  $\alpha^x = \beta$ , then  $P \cap N^\alpha_\beta \leq N^\alpha \cap N^{\alpha^x} = N^\alpha \cap N^\beta$ . But since  $P \cap N^\alpha_\beta$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of  $N^\alpha_\beta$ ,  $p \not |N^\alpha_\beta : N^\alpha \cap N^\beta|$ , a contradiction.

Set  $C=C_M(\Omega_1(\bar{Q}))$ . Then  $M/C \leq GL(2, p)$  because the *p*-rank of  $\bar{Q}$  is at most 2. By the minimality of M,  $M/C \leq SL(2, p)$ . On the other hand  $O^p(C) \leq C_M(\bar{Q}) \leq W$ . Therefore  $C^{F(S)}$  is a normal *p*-subgroup of  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$ . Since

 $p \neq 2$ ,  $C^{F(S)} = 1$  and so  $C \leq W$ . Hence  $M^{F(S)}$  is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of a subgroup of SL(2, p).

Hence if  $M^{F(S)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-group, we have  $M^{F(S)} \simeq Z_2 \times Z_2$  and |F(S)| = 4. From (ii) and (iii) of (3.4),  $\mu = 3$  and r = 1. By (ii) of (3.4),  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, 4)$ , PSL(2, 16) or PSU(3, 4) and hence  $|G_{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}| = 1$ , 2 or 4, which is contrary to  $p \mid N^{\alpha}_{\alpha}: N^{\beta} \cap N^{\alpha} \mid = |N^{\alpha}_{\alpha}N^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$ .

If  $M^{F(S)}$  is a direct product of isomorphic non abelian simple groups by Dickson's Theorem (Hauptsatz 8.27 [8])  $M^{F(S)} \simeq PSL(2,p)$  with p>5 or  $A_5$ . Claim  $M^{F(S)} \not\simeq A_5$ . Suppose  $M^{F(S)} \simeq A_5$ , then  $N_G(S)^{F(S)} \simeq A_5$  or  $S_5$  and so |F(S)|=6,  $\mu=5$  and r=1. By (ii) of (3.4), we obtain  $q=2^2$  and  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2,4)$ . Hence  $5 \not\upharpoonright |N_N^{\sigma}(S): N_{\beta}^{\sigma}| = \mu = 5$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M^{F(S)} \simeq PSL(2,p)$  with p>5. Hence  $|N_G(S)^{F(S)}: M^{F(S)}|=1$  or 2. From this as |F(S)| is even,  $M^{F(S)}$  is also doubly transitive. Again by Dickson's Theorem, we know all maximal subgroups of PSL(2,p) with p>5 and hence PSL(2,p) with p>5 has a unique doubly transitive permutation representation of even degree, which is the known one. From this |F(S)| = p+1. Since  $|F(S)| = \mu r + 1 = \mu + 1$ , we obtain  $\mu=p$ .

(3.10) If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSU(3, q)$  and n is odd, then  $3 \nmid |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$ .

Proof. By (3.8), we may assume  $C^{\alpha}=1$ . Set  $W=N_G(S)_{F(S)}$  and let P be a Sylow 3-subgroup of W. As  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \simeq G_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha} \leq G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}$ , a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $W/N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  is an abelian 3-group of rank at most 2, so that  $P' \leq N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  and similarly  $P' \leq N_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ . Hence  $P' \leq N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  and P' is cyclic.

Similarly as in the proof of (3.9) we can choose a normal subgroup M of  $N_G(S) \cap N(P)$ . Denote P/P' by  $\bar{P}$ . Then  $\Omega_1(\bar{P})$  is an elementary abelian 3-subgroup of rank at most 3. Then as in the proof of (3.9), M centralizes P' and  $C_M(\Omega_1(\bar{P}))$  is contained in W. Hence  $M/C \leq SL(3, 3)$  where  $C = C_M(\Omega^1(\bar{P}))$ .

If  $M^{F(S)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-group, by the structure of SL(3, 3),  $M^{F(S)} \simeq Z_2 \times Z_2$  and so |F(S)| = 4,  $\mu = 3$  and r = 1. Let  $p_1 \in \pi$ . Since n is odd,  $3 \notin \pi$ . Therefore  $p_1 \neq 3$ . By (3.7),  $p_1 \not \mid |N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$ . Hence  $p_1 \mid |N^{\alpha}_{\beta}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$  and applying (3.9) to  $p_1$ , we have  $\mu = p_1 = 3$ , a contradiction.

If  $M^{F(S)}$  is a direct product of isomorphic non abelian simple groups, we have  $M^{F(S)} \simeq SL(3,3)$  because every proper subgroup of SL(3,3) is solvable. Hence  $|N_G(S)^{F(S)}: M^{F(S)}| = 1$  or 2 and so  $M^{F(S)}$  is also doubly transitive. By (ii) of (3.1),  $N_N^{\omega}(S)_{F(S)} = N_{\beta}^{\omega}$ . Therefore,  $N_N^{\omega}(S)^{F(S)}$  is cyclic of order  $\mu$ . Since  $|SL(3,3)| = 2^4 3^3 13$ ,  $\mu = 3$  or 13. If  $\mu = 3$ , applying (3.7) and (3.9),  $\pi$  is empty, a contradiction. If  $\mu = 13$ , then  $(M_{\omega})^{F(S)} \triangleright N_N^{\omega}(S)^{F(S)} \simeq Z_{13}$ . Hence  $(M_{\omega})^{F(S)}$  is isomorphic to the normalizer of a Sylow 13-subgroup in SL(3,3), while this permutation representation of SL(3,3) is not doubly transitive. Thus (3.10) is proved.

 $(3.11) \quad N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}.$ 

Proof. Suppose not and let p be a prime with  $p \mid |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$ . Then it follows from (3.7), (3.9) and (3.10) that  $q-1=p^{e}$  for some integer  $e \geq 2$ . If e is even,  $p^{e} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , while  $q-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ , a contradiction. If e is odd,  $2^{n} = q = c(p+1)$  where  $c = p^{e-1} - p^{e-2} + \cdots - p + 1$ . We note that  $e \geq 3$ . Since e is odd, e = 1, a contradiction. Thus  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ .

- (3.12) Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  or Sz(q) and  $G \not\simeq A_6$ ,  $S_6$ . Then
- (i)  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ .
- (ii) If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$ , then |F(S)| = q and  $|\Omega| = q^2$ .
- (iii) If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq Sz(q)$ , then  $|F(S)| = q^2$  and  $|\Omega| = q^4$ .
- (iv) There is an element x in G such that  $S \neq S^x$ ,  $[S, S^x] = 1$  and  $F(S) \cap F(S^x) = \phi$ .

Proof. By assumption,  $N_{N^{\alpha}}(S) = (q-1)q^i$  where  $|S| = q^i$ . Hence (i) follows immediately from (3.7) and (3.11).

We now argue that |F(S)| is a power of 2. By (v) of (3.3), it suffices to consider the case  $C^{\alpha}=1$ . Applying (ii) of (3.4),  $q||F(S)|^2$ . By (i),  $\mu=|N_N\alpha(S):N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|=q-1$  and so  $|F(S)|=\mu r+1=(q-1)r+1$ . Hence  $q|(r-1)^2$ , while r is a divisor of n where  $2^n=q$  because  $C^{\alpha}=1$  and  $G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $N^{\alpha}$ . Therefore r=1 and |F(S)|=q, a power of 2.

Hence by (iv) of (3.4), |F(S)| = (q-1)r+1  $|\Omega| = (q^i+1)(q-1)r+1$  and so q|(q-1)r+1 and (q-1)r+1  $|q^i|$ . From this, (i,r)=(1,1), (2,1) or (2,q+1). If (i,r)=(1,1) or (2,q+1), we obtain (ii) or (iii), respectively. We argue (i,r)=(2,1). Suppose (i,r)=(2,1). Then  $N^{\alpha} \cong Sz(q)$ , |F(S)| = q and  $|\Omega| = q(q^2-q+1)$ . In this case, since  $|G_{\alpha}/C^{\alpha}N^{\alpha}|$  is odd, we have  $I(G_{\alpha\beta})=I(N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta})$ . From this, all involutions in a fixed Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  have a common fixed point set. By [12], G has a regular normal subgroup and so  $q^2-q+1=1$ , a contradiction.

Since by (iv) of (3.4)  $|\Omega| = |F(S)| \times |G: N_G(S)|_2$ ,  $|G: N_G(S)|_2$  is divisible by 2. Let  $S_1$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S)$  and  $S_2$  a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S_1)$ . Since  $2||G: N_G(S)|$ ,  $S_1 \neq S_2$ . Let  $x \in S_2 - S_1$ , then  $S \neq S^x$  and  $S_1 \triangleright S$ ,  $S^x$ . Suppose  $\gamma \in F(S) \cap F(S^x)$ . Then by (i),  $SS^x \leq N^\gamma$  and so  $S = S^x$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $F(S) \cap F(S^x) = \phi$  and hence  $[S, S^x] = 1$  by (ii) of (3.1). Thus (iii) holds.

- (3.13) The following hold.
- (i)  $N^{\alpha} \not\simeq Sz(q)$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $N'' \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and let S' be as defined in (3.12). Then  $O_2(C_G(S))$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(S)$  and  $O_2(C_G(S)) = S \times S'$ .

Proof. Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  or Sz(q). If  $C^{\alpha} \neq 1$ ,  $O_2(C_G(S))^{F(S)}$  is a regular normal subgroup of  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$  by (v) of (3.3). If  $C^{\alpha} = 1$ , by (iv) of (3.3)

 $C_{Go}(S)=Z(S)$  and so  $C_G(S)_{F(S)}=Z(S)$ . By (3.12),  $C_G(S)^{F(S)}\geq (S^x)^{F(S)}\pm 1$ , and  $|F(S)|=q^i=|S|$  and so  $C_G(S)=Z(S)\times S^x$ . Hence in both cases  $O_2(C_G(S))$  is regular on F(S).

Since by (iv) of (3.3)  $C_G(S)_{F(S)} = C_{Ga\beta}(S) = Z(S)$  and by (ii), (iii) of (3.12)  $q^i = |S^x| = F|(S)| = |C_G(S): C_{Ga}(S)|$ , we have  $O_2(C_G(S)) = Z(S) \times S^x$  and this is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $C_G(S)$ . Since  $Z(O_2(C_G(S)))^{F(S)} = Z(S^x)^{F(S)}$ ,  $N_G(S) \triangleright Z(O_2(C_G(S)))$  and |F(S)| = |S|,  $|Z(S^x)^{F(S)}| = |S|$ . Hence |Z(S)| = |S| and S is abelian. So (3.13) follows.

- (3.14) Suppose  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and  $G \not\simeq A_6$ ,  $S_6$ . Put  $E = O_2(C_G(S)) = S \times S^{\sigma}$ ,  $W = \{T \mid T \in ccl_G(S), T \leq E\}$ . Then we have the following:
  - (i) |W| = q and  $\Omega = \bigcup_{T} F(T)$  where T runs over every element of W.
  - (ii)  $N_c(E) \cap ccl_c(s) \subseteq E$  for all  $s \in I(S)$ .
  - (iii) If  $E \cap E^g \cap ccl_G(s) \neq \phi$  for some  $g \in G$ , then  $g \in N_G(E)$ .

Proof. Let D be a Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N_N (S)$ . Then  $D \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$  and by (i) of (3.12) D is semi-regular on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$ . If  $d \in \mathbb{N}_D(S^z)$ ,  $\langle d \rangle$  acts semi-regularly on  $F(S^z)$  since  $\alpha \notin F(S^z)$ . Hence the order of d divides |F(S)|. But |F(S)| = q by (ii) of (3.12), hence  $|\langle d \rangle| |(q, q-1)=1$  and so d=1. Therefore  $N_D(S^z)=1$ . Hence  $|\{S^{zd}|d \in D\}| = q-1$  and  $\{S^{zd}|d \in D\} \subseteq W$  as D normalizes E. If  $S=S^{zd}$  for some  $d \in D$ ,  $S^z=S^{d^{-1}}=S$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|W| \geq q$ . If there exist  $S_1$ ,  $S_2 \in W$  such that  $S_1 \neq S_2$  and  $F(S_1) \cap F(S_2) = \phi$ . Let  $\gamma \in F(S_1) \cap F(S_2)$ . Then  $S_1$ ,  $S_2 \leq N^\gamma$  by (i) of (3.12) and so  $\langle S_1, S_2 \rangle = N^\gamma$ , which is contrary to  $\langle S_1, S_2 \rangle \leq E$ . Hence  $F(S_1) \cap F(S_2) = \phi$  for  $S_1, S_2 \in W$  such that  $S_1 \neq S_2$ . Since |F(S)| = q and  $|\Omega| = q^2$  by (ii) of (3.12), we have  $|W| \leq q$ . Thus (i) holds.

Let  $s \in I(S)$  and suppose  $s^g \in N_G(E) - E$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then  $s^g \in N^\gamma$  where  $\gamma = \alpha^g$ . By (i) we choose  $T \in W$  so that  $\gamma \in F(T)$ . Then  $\langle s^g, T \rangle = N^\gamma$  as  $s^g \notin T$  and T is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^\gamma$ . On the other hand  $\langle s^g, T \rangle \leq \langle s^g \rangle E$ , which is a 2-subgroup of  $N_G(E)$ , a contradiction. Thus (ii) holds.

Let  $1 \neq t \in E \cap E^g \cap ccl_G(s)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $s \in I(S)$ . Then there are  $S_1 \leq E$  and  $S_2 \leq E^g$  such that  $t \in S_1 \cap S_2$  and  $S_1$ ,  $gS_2g^{-1} \in W$ . Since  $F(S_1) = F(t) = F(S_2)$  by (ii) of (3.1),  $\langle S_1, S_2 \rangle \leq N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\delta}$  for  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta \in F(t)$ . Hence  $S_1 = S_2$  by (i) of (3.12). Applying (ii) of (3.13) to  $S_1$ , we obtain  $E = O_2(C_G(S_1)) = O_2(C_G(S_2)) = E^g$ . Thus (iii) holds.

(3.15) Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and  $G \not\simeq A_6$ ,  $S_6$ . Then G has a regular normal subgroup.

Proof. We count the set  $\{(\gamma, T) | \gamma \in F(T), T \in ccl_G(S)\}$  in two ways and we have  $q^2 \times (q+1) = |ccl_G(S)| \times q$  by (3.12). Hence  $|ccl_G(S)| = q(q+1)$ . On the other hand we have  $|ccl_G(S)| = |G: N_G(E)| \times q$  by (i), (ii) of (3.14). From this,  $|G: N_G(E)| = q+1$ .

Set  $\Gamma = ccl_G(E)$ . We now consider the action of G on  $\Gamma$ . By definition, G is transitive on  $\Gamma$  and  $N_G(E)$  is a stabilizer of  $E \in \Gamma$ . We argue that S is regular on  $\Gamma - \{E\}$ . Suppose not and let  $1 \neq s \in S$  such that  $s^{-1}E^g s = E^g$  for some  $E^g \in \Gamma - \{E\}$ . Then  $gsg^{-1} \in N_G(E)$ . By (ii) of (3.14),  $gsg^{-1} \in E$  and hence  $gsg^{-1} \in E \cap gEg^{-1}$ . By (iii) of (3.14),  $E = gEg^{-1}$ . Hence  $E = E^g$ , a contradiction. Since  $S \leq N_G(E)$  and  $|S| = |\Gamma| - 1$ , S is regular on  $\Gamma - \{E\}$  and  $G^{\Gamma}$  is doubly transitive. Since S is abelian and regular on  $\Gamma - \{E\}$ ,  $G^{\Gamma} \cap C(S^{\Gamma}) = S^{\Gamma}$ . From this,  $E^{\Gamma} = S^{\Gamma}$  because  $E \geq S$  and E is abelian. Therefore  $G_{\Gamma} \neq 1$ . Set  $M = G_{\Gamma}$ . Suppose  $M \cap N^{\alpha} \neq 1$ , then  $M \geq N^{\alpha}$  as  $N^{\alpha}$  is simple. Hence  $N^{\alpha} \leq N_G(E)$  and so  $N^{\alpha}$  normalizes  $E \cap G_{\alpha} = S$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M \cap N^{\alpha} = 1$ . Hence  $M_{\alpha} \leq C_G(N^{\alpha}) = C^{\alpha}$ , so that  $M_{\alpha} = 1$  or  $M_{\alpha} \neq 1$  and M is a Frobenius group on  $\Omega$  by (iii) of (3.1). In both cases, G has a regular normal subgroup.

We now consider the case that  $N^{\omega} = PSU(3, q)$ . By (3.7) and (3.11),  $N_{\beta}^{\omega} = US$  where U is a Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N_{\beta}^{\omega}$  and  $U \leq Z_{q+1/\epsilon}$  with  $\epsilon = (q+1, 3)$ . As in the proof of (3.1)', we set  $N_{N_{\beta}}(S) = DS$  and  $D = V \times K$ . Here  $V \simeq Z_{q+1/\epsilon}$  and  $K \simeq Z_{q-1}$ . Since  $N_{N_{\beta}}(S) \triangleright N_{\beta}^{\omega}$ , we may assume  $U = V \cap N_{\beta}^{\omega}$ .

(3.16) Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSU(3, q)$ . Then  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} = N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ . In particular  $\mu = q^2 - 1/\varepsilon$ .

Proof. Suppose not and  $U \neq 1$ . If  $U^g \leq G_{m\beta}$  for  $g \in G$ ,  $U^g \leq N_m^{g^g} \cap N_\beta^{g^g}$  $=N^{\alpha^{\beta}}\cap N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta^{\beta}}\cap N^{\beta}\leq N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}$ . Hence U is conjugate to  $U^{\beta}$  in  $N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}\leq G_{\alpha\beta}$ . By the Witt's Theorem  $N_c(U)$  is doubly transitive on F(U). By (ii) of Lemma 2.4,  $N_N = (U) = N \times V$  where  $N \simeq PSL(2, q)$ . Hence  $N_G(U)^{F(U)}$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1. By (i) of (3.1), the number of fixed points of Uon  $\Delta_i$  is constant for each  $N^{\alpha}$ -orbit  $\Delta_i$  and so  $|F(U)| = |F(U) \cap \Delta_i| \times r + 1$  $= (|N_N \alpha(U)| \times |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}: N_N \alpha(U)|/|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|) \times r + 1 = (|PSL(2,q)| \times |V|/|Z(S)| \times |U|)$  $\times r+1=(q^2-1)\times r\times |V:U|+1$ . Hence |F(U)| is even and  $|F(U)|\neq 6$ . Applying (3.12) to  $N_G(U)^{F(U)}$ , we obtain  $|F(U)|=q^2$ ,  $|F(U)\cap F(Z(S))|=q$ . Hence  $r=1, U=V, N_{\beta}^{\alpha}=VS \text{ and } |F(V)|=q^{2} \text{ and so } \mu=|N_{N}^{\alpha}(S):N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|=q-1.$ by (ii) of (3.1)  $F(U) \supseteq F(S)$ , |F(Z(S))| = |F(S)| = q. Furthermore by (3.15),  $N_G(V)^{F(V)}$  has a regular normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup, say  $E^{F(V)}$ . Clearly  $E^{F(V)} \leq C_c(V)^{F(V)}$ . Hence we may assume that E is a 2-subgroup of  $C_G(V)$ . Put  $P=E_{F(V)}$ . Then  $|E|=|P|q^2$ . By (i) of (3.4),  $|\Omega|=q^4-q^3+q$ and so  $2q \not\mid |\Omega - F(V)|$ . Hence there exists  $\gamma \in \Omega - F(V)$  such that  $|E: E_{\gamma}| \leq q$ . Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G_{\gamma}$  containing  $E_{\gamma}$ . Since  $E_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma} \cap T \cap N^{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $T/T \cap N^{\gamma}$  and  $T/T \cap N^{\gamma} \simeq TN^{\gamma}/N^{\gamma} \leq G_{\gamma}/N^{\gamma}$ ,  $E_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma} \cap T \cap N^{\gamma}$  is cyclic. If  $E_{\gamma} \cap T \cap N^{\gamma} = 1$ ,  $E_{\gamma}$  is cyclic and so  $|E_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma} \cap P| \le 2$ . Then  $|E_{\gamma} \cap P| \ge |E_{\gamma}|/2 \ge |P|/2 > |P|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $E_{\gamma} \cap T \cap N^{\gamma}$  $\pm 1$ . Let  $z \in E_{\gamma} \cap T \cap N^{\gamma}$  with  $z \pm 1$ . Since |F(z)| = q < |F(P)|,  $z \in E$  and  $E^{F(V)}$  is regular, we have  $F(z) \cap F(V) = \phi$ . Hence V acts semi-regularly on F(z). From this,  $q = |F(z)| = (q+1/\varepsilon) \times k$  for some integer  $k \ge 1$ . Since q is a power

of 2,  $q+1/\varepsilon=1$ , a contradiction.

- (3.17) Suppose  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSU(3, q)$ . Then the following hold.
- (i)  $|\Omega| = q^5 q^3 + q^2$ ,  $|F(S)| = q^2$ .
- (ii)  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$  has a regular normal subgroup.

Proof. If  $C^{\sigma} \neq 1$ , (ii) follows from (v) of (3.3) and so |F(S)| is a power of 2. By (3.4) and (3.16),  $|F(S)| = (q^2 - 1)r/\varepsilon + 1$  and  $(q^2 - 1)r/\varepsilon + 1 |(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)r/\varepsilon + 1$ , hence  $(q^2 - 1)r/\varepsilon + 1|q^3$ . By calculation, we obtain  $r = \varepsilon$ . So (i) follows.

We now assume  $C^{\omega}=1$ . By (ii) of (3.4),  $q \mid |F(S)| = (q^2-1)r/\varepsilon+1$ , so that  $r=qk+\varepsilon$  for an integer  $k\geq 0$ . Since  $C^{\omega}=1$ , r is a divisor of  $|G_{\omega}/N^{\omega}|$ . Hence  $r\mid 2n\varepsilon$ , so that  $r\mid n\varepsilon$ . Therefore  $n\varepsilon\geq r=qk+\varepsilon=2^n\times k+\varepsilon$ . Hence k=0 and  $r=\varepsilon$ . From this (i) follows.

Let f be a field automorphism as defined in (3.1)' and let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S)$  which contains  $\langle f \rangle S$ . Since  $|N_G(S):N_{Go}(S)|=|F(S)|=q^2$  by (i),  $|T|=2^mq^5$  where  $|\langle f \rangle|=2^m$ . Since  $T \triangleright S$  and  $\Omega-F(S)=q^3(q^2-1)$  there exists  $\gamma \in \Omega-F(S)$  such that  $|T:T_\gamma|=q^3$ , hence  $|T_\gamma|=2^mq^2$  and  $T=ST_\gamma$ . Set  $W=T_\gamma \cap N^\gamma$ . Then W is semi-regular on F(S) because  $\gamma \in \Omega-F(S)$ . In particular  $|W| \leq |F(S)|=q^2$ . We note that  $|T_\gamma N^\gamma/N^\gamma| \leq 2^m$ . Since  $T_\gamma/W \simeq T_\gamma N^\gamma/N^\gamma$ , we have  $|W| \geq q^2$ . Hence  $|W|=q^2$  and W is regular on F(S). Moreover  $|T_\gamma:W|=2^m$ .

Since  $N_{Ga\beta}(S)/S \cong N_{Ga\beta}(S)N^a/N^a$  by (3.16),  $N_{Ga\beta}(S)^{F(S)}$  is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of a subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $N^a$ . Hence  $N_{Ga\beta}(S)^{F(S)}$  is abelian when n is even or f=1. In this case by [1], (ii) holds because  $|F(S)|=q^2$ . We now assume n is odd and  $|\langle f \rangle|=2^m=2$ . Since  $T=ST_\gamma$  and  $|T_\gamma:W|=2$ ,  $|T^{F(S)}:W^{F(S)}|=2$ . Claim  $f^{F(S)} \neq 1$ . For otherwise  $f \in N_G(S)_{F(S)}$  and  $[f,D] \leq N_G(S)_{F(S)} \cap D=1$  as D is f-invariant and  $D \leq N_G(S)$ . But since  $f \neq 1$ , f does not centralize f. Therefore  $f^{F(S)} \neq 1$ . As  $f \in G_a$ ,  $f^{F(S)} \notin W^{F(S)}$ . Hence  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  is regular,  $f^{F(S)}$  is not conjugate to any element in  $f^{F(S)}$ . Hence  $f^{F(S)}$  is not contained in  $f^{F(S)}$  is not conjugate to any element in  $f^{F(S)}$ . Hence  $f^{F(S)}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  by Lemma 2 of [3]. Since  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  is a homomorphic image of a subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  is abelian. Again by [1],  $f^{F(S)} \in W^{F(S)}$  has a regular normal subgroup as  $f^{F(S)} = g^2$ . Thus (ii) also holds in this case

(3.18) 
$$N^{\alpha} \neq PSU(3, q)$$
.

Proof. Let f be as in (3.1)'. By the same argument as in the proof of (ii) of (3.17), we have  $I(\langle f \rangle) \not\equiv N_G(S)_{F(S)}$  and so S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S)_{F(S)}$ . By (ii) of (3.17), there is a normal subgroup L of  $N_G(S)$  such that  $L \geq N_G(S)_{F(S)}$  and  $L^{F(S)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S)^{F(S)}$ . Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $\langle f \rangle L$  which contains f. Set  $E = T \cap L$ . Then E

is a Sylow 2-subgroup of L. Since S is a unique Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N_G(S)_{F(S)}$ ,  $E/S \simeq L^{F(S)}$  is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of order  $q^2$ . As  $\langle f \rangle \cap E = \langle f \rangle \cap E \cap G_{\alpha} = \langle f \rangle \cap S = 1$ ,  $T = \langle f \rangle E \triangleright E$ .

Since  $T \triangleright S$  and  $|\Omega - F(S)| = q^3(q^2 - 1)$  by (i) of (3.17), we can choose  $\gamma \in \Omega - F(S)$  such that  $|T: T_{\gamma}| = q^3$ . Hence  $|T_{\gamma}| = 2^m q^2$  where  $2^m$  is the order of f. Since  $T_{\gamma}/T_{\gamma} \cap C^{\gamma}N^{\gamma} \simeq T_{\gamma}N^{\gamma}C^{\gamma}/C^{\gamma}N^{\gamma}$  is cyclic of order at most  $2^m$ ,  $|T_{\gamma} \cap C^{\gamma}N^{\gamma}| = |T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma}| \ge q^2$ . Moreover  $T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma}/T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E \simeq (T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma})E/E$  is cyclic of order at most  $2^m$ , we have  $|T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E| \ge q^2/2^m$ . Since the order of f is a divisor of  $2^n$ , we have  $|T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E| \ge q(2^n/2^m) \ge q$ .

If  $T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E$  contains no element of order 4, then  $T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E$  is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of  $N^{\gamma}$  of order q and hence  $T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma}/T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E$  is an elementary abelian 2-group. Therefore  $|(T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma})E/E| \leq 2$  and so  $|T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E| \geq q^2/2 > q$ , a contradiction.

If  $T_{\gamma} \cap N^{\gamma} \cap E$  contains an element x of order 4, then  $1 \neq x^2 \in S$  because E/S is an elementary abelian 2-group. Since  $\gamma \in F(x^2)$ , by (ii) of (3.1) we have  $\gamma \in F(S)$ , which is contrary to  $\gamma \in \Omega - F(S)$ . Thus (3.18) holds.

In this section we have proved the following:

**Theorem 2.** Suppose  $G^{\Omega}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and  $|\Omega|$  is even. Then  $N^{\alpha} \neq Sz(q)$ , PSU(3, q),  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and either

- (i)  $G^{\Omega} \simeq A_6$  or  $S_6$  or
- (ii)  $|\Omega| = q^2$ ,  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = |N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}| = q$  and G has a regular normal subgroup.

## 4. The case $|\Omega|$ is odd

Let G be a doubly transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  of odd degree satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1. By Theorem A of [10] and Theorem B of [11], we may assume  $C_G(N^{\alpha})=1$ . Hence  $G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the outer automorphism group of  $N^{\alpha}$ . Let  $\{\alpha\}$ ,  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$ , ...,  $\Delta_r$ , be the set of all  $N^{\alpha}$ -orbits on  $\Omega$ . Clearly r is a divisor of  $|G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$ .

From now on we assume that G has no regular normal subgroup and prove that  $G \simeq PSL(2, 11)$ . Let M be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Then by assumption,  $M_a \neq 1$ .

# (4.1) M is simple and $N^{\omega} \leq M$ .

Proof. Since G is doubly transitive and  $M_{\alpha} \neq 1$ , M is a simple group (cf. Exercise 12.4 of [16]). If  $N^{\alpha} \leq M$ , then  $M_{\alpha} \cap N^{\alpha} = 1$  as  $N^{\alpha}$  is simple and hence  $M_{\alpha} \leq C_{G}(N^{\alpha}) = 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N^{\alpha} \leq M$ .

As in (3.1)', there is a 2-element f of  $M_{\alpha}$  such that f acts on  $N^{\alpha}$  as a field automorphism,  $\langle f \rangle S \triangleright S$ ,  $\langle f \rangle \cap S = 1$  and  $\langle f \rangle S$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $M_{\alpha}$ , where  $N_{N^{\alpha}}(S) = DS$  is a Borel subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ , S is a unipotent subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ , and D is a diagonal subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$ .

## (4.2) If $f \neq 1$ , then $I(N_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \not\equiv N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$ for $\beta \neq \alpha$ .

Proof. Suppose  $f \neq 1$  and  $\tau \in I(\langle f \rangle)$ . Since M is a simple group with a Sylow 2-subgroup  $\langle f \rangle S$ ,  $\tau^g \in S$  for some  $g \in M_a$  by Lemma 2 of [3]. Set  $\gamma = \alpha^{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $\tau \in N_a^{\gamma}$  and clearly  $\tau \notin N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\alpha}$ , so that  $I(N_a^{\gamma}) \notin N^{\gamma} \cap N^{\alpha}$ . By the transitivity of G, we obtain  $I(N_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \notin N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  for any  $\beta \neq \alpha$ .

# (4.3) Suppose $f \neq 1$ . Then $N^{\alpha} \not\simeq Sz(q)$ , PSU(3, q).

Proof. If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq Sz(q)$ ,  $|G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}|$  is odd and hence f=1, a contradiction. Therefore  $N^{\alpha} \not\simeq Sz(q)$ .

Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSU(3, q)$  and let  $\tau \in I(\langle f \rangle)$ . Let  $s \in Z(\langle f \rangle S) \cap I(S)$ . As in the proof of (4.2),  $ccl_M(\tau) \cap S \neq \phi$ . Then since s is an extremal element there is  $g \in M$  such that  $\tau^g = s$  and  $(C_{\langle f \rangle S}(\tau))^g \leq \langle f \rangle S$ . Since  $\tau$  is a field automorphism of order 2,  $Z(S) \leq C_{\langle f \rangle S}(\tau)$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha^{g-1}$ . Then  $\tau \in N^{\beta}_{\alpha}$  and  $Z(S) \leq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . By (4.2)  $Z(S) \not \leq N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  and so  $|Z(S): Z(S) \cap N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}| = 2$  because  $Z(S)/Z(S) \cap N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \simeq Z(S)(N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta})/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \leq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \simeq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}/N^{\beta}/N^{\beta} \leq G_{\beta}/N^{\beta}$ .

Claim  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \leq N_{N}^{\alpha}(S)$ . Suppose not. Then  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \cap N_{N}^{\alpha}(S)$  is a strongly embedded subgroup of  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ . Since  $|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}|$  is even and  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \geq Z(S) \geq Z_{2} \times Z_{2}$ , by Bender's Theorem ([2]),  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is not solvable, while  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}/N^{\beta} \cap N^{\beta} \simeq N_{\beta}^{\alpha}N^{\beta}/N^{\beta}$  is solvable, a contradiction.

Let  $V_1$  be a  $\tau$ -invariant Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . Then since  $V_1$  normalizes  $\Omega_1(O_2(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})) = Z(S)$ ,  $V_1$  centralizes  $Z(S)/Z(S) \cap N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \cong Z_2$ . Hence by (i) of Lemma 2.4,  $V_1 \leq Z_{q+1}$  and so  $[V_1, Z(S)] = 1$  by (ii) of Lemma 2.4. Therefore  $I(N^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \subseteq Z(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ . Similarly  $I(N^{\beta}_{\alpha}) \subseteq Z(N^{\beta}_{\alpha})$ . Since  $\tau \in I(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ , we have  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \leq C(\tau) \cap N_N^{\alpha}(S)$ . Since  $\tau$  is a field automorphism of  $N^{\alpha}$  of order 2,  $C(\tau) \cap N_N^{\alpha}(S) = KZ(S)$  where K is a cyclic subgroup of  $N_N^{\alpha}(S)$  of order q-1. Hence  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \leq KZ(S) \cap N^{\alpha}_{\beta} = Z(S)(K \cap V_1O_2(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})) = Z(S)$  and so  $|Z(S): N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}| = 2$ .

We claim that F(z)=F(Z(S)) for  $z\in I(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ . Let  $\Delta_i$  be an arbitrary  $N^{\alpha}$ orbit on  $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$ . Since all elementary abelian 2-subgroups of  $N^{\alpha}$  of order qare conjugate in  $N^{\alpha}$ , there exists  $\gamma\in\Delta_i$  with  $Z(S)\leq N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2,  $|F(z)\cap\Delta_i|=|C_{N^{\alpha}}(z)|\times|Z(S)^{\sharp}|/|N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}|=(q+1/\varepsilon)\times q^3(q-1)/|N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}|$  for  $z\in I(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ . On the other hand  $|F(Z(S))\cap\Delta_i|=|N_{N^{\alpha}}(Z(S))|/|N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}|=(q^2-1/\varepsilon)\times q^3/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|$ . Hence  $F(z)\cap\Delta_i=F(Z(S))\cap\Delta_i$  and so F(z)=F(Z(S)). In particular  $F(\tau)=F(Z(S))$  because  $\tau\in I(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$  and  $N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}=1$ .

We claim that  $(V_1)_{F(Z(S))}=1$ . Set  $S_1=O_2(N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ . Let  $d \in V_1$  with  $d \neq 1$ ,  $\Delta_i$  be a  $N^{\alpha}$ -orbit which contains  $\beta$  and let  $D_1$  be a  $\tau$ -invariant Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)$  which contains  $V_1$ . Put  $X=\langle d \rangle Z(S)$ . Then by Lemma 2.2,  $|F(X)\cap \Delta_i|=|N_{N^{\alpha}}(X)|/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|:N_{N^{\alpha}_{\beta}}(X)|/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|=|D_1Z(S)|/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}:V_1Z(S)|/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|=(q^2-1/\varepsilon)|S_1|/|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|=|F(Z(S))\cap \Delta_i|/|S:S_1|$ . Since  $S_1/N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}$  is cyclic and  $N^{\alpha}\cap N^{\beta}\leq Z(S)$ ,  $S\neq S_1$ . Therefore  $F(X)\neq F(Z(S))$  and so  $(V_1)_{F(Z(S))}=1$ .

Since  $D_1 \leq N_N \alpha(Z(S))$  and  $\tau \in N_{G\alpha}(Z(S))_{F(Z(S))}, [\tau, D_1] \leq N_G(Z(S))_{F(Z(S))} \cap D_1$ 

 $=(V_1)_{F(Z(S))}=1$ . Hence  $D_1 \leq C(\tau) \cap N_N \sigma(S) = KZ(S)$  with  $K \simeq Z_{q-1}$ , which is contrary to  $|D_1| = (q^2-1)/\varepsilon$ . So (4.3) is proved.

- (4.4) Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and  $f \neq 1$ . Then the following hold.
- (i)  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  is a 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$  and  $|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}| = 2$ .
- (ii) Let  $\tau \in I(\langle f \rangle)$ . Then for some  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ,  $\tau \in N^{\beta}_{\alpha} N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ ,  $|C_{s}(\tau)| = \sqrt{q}$  and  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \leq C_{s}(\tau) \leq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ .

Proof. As in the proof of (4.3), there exist  $s \in I(S)$  and  $g \in M$  such that  $\tau^g = s$  and  $(C_{\langle f \rangle S}(\tau))^g \le \langle f \rangle S$ . Put  $\beta = \alpha^{g^{-1}}$ . Then  $\tau \in N^{\beta}_{\alpha} - N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  and  $C_{S}(\tau) \le N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . Since  $\tau$  is a field automorphism of  $N^{\alpha}$  of order 2,  $|C_{S}(\tau)| = \sqrt{q}$ . Claim  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \le N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)$ . If  $q = 2^2$ , as  $C_{S}(\tau) \le N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ , a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$  is non cyclic. Hence as in the proof of (4.3),  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \le N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)$ . If  $q = 2^2$ ,  $N^{\alpha} \simeq A_5$  and so  $\langle \tau \rangle N^{\alpha} = M_{\alpha} = G_{\alpha} \simeq S_5$ . In particular r = 1. Hence  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \le N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)$ . For otherwise  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 6$  or 10 and  $|\Omega| = 11$  or 7, respectively. By [13], such groups do not exist. Thus in both cases  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \le N_{N^{\alpha}}(S)$ . On the other hand  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}/N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}$  is cyclic of even order. By (i) of Lemma 2.4,  $N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  must be an abelian 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$  and  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}: N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta}| = 2$ . Since  $N^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \simeq N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  and  $\tau \in N^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ , we obtain  $N^{\alpha} \cap N^{\beta} \le C_{S}(\tau)$ . Thus (i) and (ii) hold.

- (4.5) Suppose  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and  $f \neq 1$ . Let  $T = N_{\beta}^{\alpha} N_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ . Then
- (i)  $N_c(T)$  is doubly transitive on F(T).
- (ii)  $N_N \alpha(T) = S$  and  $S_{\gamma} = N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  for every  $\gamma \in F(T)$ .

Proof. Since  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N_{\beta}^{\alpha}$  is cyclic and by (i) of (4.4)  $T/N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \simeq Z_2$ ,  $I(G_{\alpha\beta}) \subseteq T$ . Clearly  $\langle I(G_{\alpha\beta}) \rangle = T$ . Hence by the Witt's Theorem, we have (i).

Let  $K_1$  be a Hall 2'-subgroup of  $N_{N^{\alpha}}(T)$ . Then  $K_1$  normalizes  $T \cap N^{\alpha} = N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . Since  $T/N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \cong Z_2$ ,  $[K_1, T/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}] = 1$  and so  $T = C_T(K_1)N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ . If  $K_1 \neq 1$ , by (i) of Lemma 2.4  $C_T(K_1) = 1$ . Hence  $K_1 = 1$  and  $N_N \alpha(T) = S$ .

Let  $\gamma \in F(T) - \{\alpha\}$ . Then obviously  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} \leq S_{\gamma} \leq N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}$ . Since G is doubly transitive on  $\Omega$ ,  $|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}| = |N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}|$ , so that  $N_{\beta}^{\alpha} = S_{\gamma} = N_{\gamma}^{\alpha}$ . Thus (ii) holds.

- (4.6) Suppose  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSL(2, q)$  and  $f \neq 1$ . Put  $q=2^n$ . Then
- (i)  $(n, |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|) = (2, 2), (2, 2^2), (4, 2^3) \text{ or } (6, 2^4).$
- (ii) If  $(n, |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|) = (6, 2^4), N_G(T)^{F(T)} \simeq A_5$ .

Proof.  $|G_{\omega}/N^{\alpha}| | n$  and  $f \neq 1$ , n is even and so we set n=2m. By (ii) of (4.4),  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2^{m+\epsilon}$  where  $\epsilon = 0$  or 1. Since  $N_{G\alpha\beta}(T)/T \leq G_{\alpha\beta}/T \simeq (G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta})/(T/N^{\alpha}_{\beta})$  and  $G_{\alpha\beta}/N^{\alpha}_{\beta} \simeq G_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}/N^{\alpha} \leq G_{\alpha}/N^{\alpha}$ ,  $N_{G\alpha\beta}(T)^{F(T)}$  is cyclic and  $|N_{G\alpha\beta}(T)^{F(T)}| | m$ . By (4.5),  $N_G(T)^{F(T)}$  is doubly transitive and  $S^{F(T)} \simeq S/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  is semi-regular on  $F(T) - \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $N_{G\alpha\beta}(T)^{F(T)}$  is cyclic, by [1]  $N_G(T)^{F(T)} \simeq PSL(2, q_1)$  where  $q_1$  is a power of 2 or  $N_G(T)^{F(T)}$  has a regular normal subgroup. If  $(n, |N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|) \neq (2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 2^2)$  and  $(4, 2^3)$ ,  $S^{F(T)}$  contains a four-group, which is semi-regular on  $F(T) - \{\alpha\}$ . Hence  $N_G(T)^{F(T)}$  contains no regular normal subgroup and so

630 Y. Hiramine

 $N_G(T)^{F(T)} \simeq PSL(2, q_1)$ . Since  $N_{N^{\alpha}}(T)^{F(T)} = S^{F(T)} \simeq S/N^{\alpha}_{\beta}$  and  $N_{G_{\alpha}}(T)^{F(T)} \supset N_{N^{\alpha}}(T)^{F(T)}$ ,  $q_1 = 2^{m-\epsilon} > 2$ . Hence  $2^{m-\epsilon} - 1 = |N_{G_{\alpha\beta}}(T)^{F(T)}|$ , so that  $2^{m-\epsilon} - 1 | m$ . From this,  $\epsilon = 1$ , m = 3 and  $N_G(T)^{F(T)} \simeq A_5$ . Thus (4.6) holds.

(4.7) f=1.

Proof. Suppose  $f \neq 1$ . Then by (4.3) and (4.6), it suffices to consider the case (i) of (4.6).

If  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2, 2^2)$  and  $|N^{\sigma}_{\beta}| = 2$ ,  $G_{\sigma} = N^{\beta}_{\sigma} N^{\sigma} \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(N^{\sigma}) \simeq S_6$ . Hence r=1. Therefore  $|\Omega| = 1 + |N^{\sigma}: N^{\sigma}_{\beta}| = 31$  and  $|G| = |\Omega| |G_{\sigma}| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 31$ . By the Sylow's theorem, G has a regular normal subgroup of order 31. But this is a contradiction as  $G \geq N^{\sigma}$ .

If  $N^{\sigma} \simeq PSL(2, 2^2)$  and  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2^4$ , as above  $G_{\sigma} = N^{\beta}_{\alpha} N^{\sigma}$  and hence r=1. From this  $|\Omega| = 1 + |N^{\sigma}: N^{\sigma}_{\beta}| = 16$ , a contradiction.

If  $N^{\alpha} = PSL(2, 2^4)$  and  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2^3$ ,  $|Aut(N^{\alpha}): N^{\alpha}| = 4$  and so  $|G_{\alpha}: N^{\beta}_{\alpha}N^{\alpha}| \le 2$ . Hence r=1 or 2 and  $|\Omega| = 511$  or 1021 respectively. By Lemma 2.2, for  $s \in N^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \{1\} |F(s) - \{\alpha\}| = 14$  or 28 respectively. Let  $\tau$  be a field automorphism of  $N^{\alpha}$  of order 2 as in (4.4). Then  $C_{N^{\alpha}}(\tau) = PSL(2, 2^2)$  and  $|F(\tau) - \{\alpha\}| = 14$  or 28 since  $\tau$  is conjugate to s. From this an element x of  $C_{N^{\alpha}}(\tau)$  of order 5 fixes at least four points in  $\Omega$ . Since  $5 \not\vdash |\Omega|$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  is a Sylow 5-subgroup of G and so  $x^{g} \in N^{\alpha}$  for some  $g \in G$ . But  $F(x^{g}) = \{\alpha\}$  because  $|N^{\alpha}_{\gamma}| = |N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2^3$  for all  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ . Therefore |F(x)| = 1, which is contrary to  $|F(x)| \ge 4$ .

If  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, 2^6)$  and  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2^4$ , by (ii) of (4.6),  $|N_{G\alpha\beta}(T)^{F(T)}| = 3$ . Hence  $3 \mid |G_{\alpha\beta}: N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|$ . Since  $|G_{\alpha\beta}: N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = |G_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}|$  and  $|N^{\beta}_{\alpha}N^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}| = 2$  by (i) of (4.4), we have  $G_{\alpha\beta}N^{\alpha} = G_{\alpha} \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(N^{\alpha})$ . In particular r=1 and  $|\Omega| = 16381$ . Moreover  $|F(s) - \{\alpha\}| = 60$ . As before  $|F(\tau) - \{\alpha\}| = 60$ ,  $C_{N^{\alpha}}(\tau) \simeq PSL(2, 2^3)$  and an element of  $C_{N^{\alpha}}(\tau)$  of order 7 fixes at least five points. But since  $7 \not | |\Omega|$  and  $7 \not | |N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|$ , every element of order 7 fixes exactly one point, a contradiction.

(4.8) 
$$G^{\Omega} \simeq PSL(2, 11), |\Omega| = 11.$$

Proof. By (4.7),  $|M_{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}|$  is odd and so a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $N^{\alpha}$  is also that of M. By [4], [5] and [15], it suffices to consider the following cases:

- (i)  $N^{\bullet} \simeq PSL(2, 2^2)$ ,  $M \simeq PSL(2, q_1)$ ,  $q_1 \equiv 3$  or 5 (mod 8),  $q_1 > 3$ .
- (ii)  $N^{\omega} \simeq PSL(2, 2^3)$ ,  $C_M(t) \simeq Z_2 \times PSL(2, 3^{2m+1})$ ,  $t \in I(M)$   $(m \ge 1)$ .
- (iii)  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, 2^3)$ ,  $M \simeq J_1$ , the smallest Janko group.

First we consider the case (i). If  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}|$  is odd, every involution in M has a unique fixed point and so  $M \simeq PSL(2,5)$  by [2]. But then  $M = N^{\alpha}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 2$ , 4, 6, 10 or 12. On the other hand r = 1 or 2 because  $|\operatorname{Aut}(N^{\alpha}): N^{\alpha}| = 2$ . From this  $|\Omega| = 1 + |N^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| r = 7$ , 11, 13, 21, 31 or 61. Since  $M \simeq PSL(2, q_1)$  and  $|M| = |\Omega| |N^{\alpha}|$ , we get  $|\Omega| = 11$ ,  $|N^{\alpha}_{\beta}| = 6$  and  $M \simeq PSL(2, 11)$ . Thus  $|\Omega| = 11$  and  $G \simeq PSL(2, 11)$ .

Next we consider the case (ii). As in the case (i),  $|N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|$  is even. Let  $t \in I(N_{\beta}^{\alpha})$ . Since  $|M_{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}| = 1$  or 3,  $I(M_{\alpha}) = \{t^{\beta} \mid g \in M_{\alpha}\}$  and so  $C_{M}(t)$  is transitive on F(t). Hence  $|F(t)| = |C_{M}(t): C_{M_{\alpha}}(t)|$ . Since  $|C_{M_{\alpha}}(t)| = |C_{M_{\alpha}}(t)N^{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}| |C_{N_{\alpha}}(t)|$ ,  $|F(t)| \ge (3^{2m+1}-1)3^{2m+1}(3^{2m+1}+1)/24$ . Since  $|M_{\alpha}: N^{\alpha}| = 1$  or 3, r = 1 or 3. Therefore  $|F(t)| = 1 + (|C_{N_{\alpha}}(t)| |I(N_{\beta}^{\alpha})| / |N_{\beta}^{\alpha}|) \cdot r < 1 + 8 \times 3 = 25$ . Hence  $25 > (3^{2m+1}-1)^{3}/24$  and so  $3^{2m+1} < 11$ , a contradiction.

Finally we consider the case (iii). Since  $N^{\alpha} \simeq PSL(2, 2^3)$ ,  $3^2 | |N^{\alpha}|$ . But  $3^2 \times |M| = |J_1| = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ , a contradiction.

### OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY

#### References

- [1] M. Aschbacher: Doubly transitive groups in which the stabilizer of two points is abelian, J. Algebra 18 (1971), 114-136.
- [2] H. Bender: Transitive Gruppen gerader Ordnung, in dene jede Involutionen gerade einen Punkt festlasst, J. Algebra 17 (1971), 527-554.
- [3] F. Buekenhout and P. Rowlinson: On (1,4)-groups II, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 8 (1974), 507-513.
- [4] M.J. Collins: The characterization of the Suzuki groups by their Sylow 2-subgroups, Math. Z. 123 (1971), 32-48.
- [5] M.J. Collins: The characterization of the unitary groups  $U_3(2^n)$  by their Sylow 2-subgroups, Bull. London Math. Soc. 4 (1972), 49-53.
- [6] D. Gorenstein: Finite groups, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
- [7] Y. Hiramine: On multiply transitive groups, Osaka J. Math, to appear.
- [8] B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.
- [9] M.E. O'Nan: Normal structure of the one-point stabilizer of a doubly-transitive permutation group I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 214 (1975), 1-42.
- [10] M.E. O'Nan: Normal structure of the one-point stabilizer of a doubly-transitive permutation group II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 214 (1975), 43-74.
- [11] M. O'Nan: Doubly transitive groups of odd degree whose one point stabilizers are local, J. Algebra, 39 (1976), 440-482.
- [12] E. Shult: On doubly transitive groups of even degree, to appear.
- [13] C.C. Sims: Computional methods in the study of permutation groups, (in Computional Problem in Abstract Algebra), Pergamon Press, London, 1970, 169-183.
- [14] R. Steinburg: Automorphism of finite linear groups, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), 606-615.
- [15] J.H. Walter: The characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups, Ann. of Math. 89 (1969), 405-514.
- [16] H. Wielandt: Finite permutation groups, Academic Press, New York 1964.
- [17] M.E. O'Nan: A characterization of Ln(q) as a permutation group, Math. Z. 127 (1972), 301-314.