

On the maximal toral action on aspherical fibered 4-manifolds over S^1

Dedicated to Professor Shoôrô Araki on his 60th birthday

KAZUO SAITO AND TSUYOSI WATABE

Introduction.

In this note, we shall consider piecewise linear closed aspherical 4-manifolds M fibered over S^1 . In particular, we consider the following problem ;

- (1) Is the center $z(\pi_1(M))$ of the fundamental group of M finitely generated ?
- (2) If (1) is affirmative, say, $z(\pi_1(M)) \cong Z^k$ ($k \geq 1$), then does M admit a topological action of k - dimensional toral group ?

We say that M admits a maximal toral action when (1) and (2) are true.

In this note, we shall prove the following

THEOREM A. *Let M be as above. If rank of the center of the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is greater than 1, then M admits a maximal torus action.*

Concerning the case of $\text{rank}(z(\pi_1(M))) = 1$, we shall prove the followings, where F is the typical fiber F , p the projection and h the attaching map.

THEOREM B. *Assume F is irreducible. If h is a homeomorphism of a finite order, then M admits a T^1 action.*

THEOREM C. *Assume F is irreducible. If F admits a maximal toral action and $p_*(z(\pi_1(M))) = 1$, then M admits a maximal toral action.*

In this note, we shall use the following notations;

1. \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R} denote the groups of integers or reals respectively.
2. $z(G)$ denotes the center of a group G .
3. \mathbf{Z}^k denotes the direct sum $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ (m times).
4. T^k denotes the group $SO(2) \times \cdots \times SO(2)$ (k times).
5. Let N be a manifold and $h : N \rightarrow N$ a homeomorphism. Then N_h denotes the manifold

$$\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} N,$$

where \mathbf{Z} acts on $\mathbf{R} \times N$ by $n(x, a) = (x - n, h^n(a))$.

6. The sequenc of groups and homomorphisms

$$1 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_3 \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact, unless the contrary is stated.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we shall list some basic facts and some results on 3-dimensional manifolds. Let N be a closed 3-dimensional manifold. We refer basic definitions to [H1] and [W].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *If N is aspherical and its universal covering \tilde{N} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 , then N has no fake 3-cell.*

PROOF. Let $p : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ be the projection and D a 3-cell in N . Then D lifts to \mathbb{R}^3 and hence D is not fake. ■

COROLLARY 1. *Let N be as in Proposition 1.1. Then $N = \wp(N)$ ($\wp(N)$ denotes the Poincaré associate of N).*

See [H1]([H1], Chap.10).

COROLLARY 2. *If N is aspherical and fibered over S^1 , then $N = \wp(N)$.*

PROPOSITION 1.2 ([S]). *If N is irreducible, then N is prime.*

PROPOSITION 1.3. *If N is aspherical and fibered over S^1 , then N is irreducible.*

PROOF. Since N is aspherical, N is prime. It follows from 3.13 in [H1] that N is irreducible. ■

We can define a homomorphism ([Z]);

$$\Omega : \text{Homeo}(N)/\text{Isot}(N) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(N))/\text{Inn}(\pi_1(N))$$

We have the following

THEOREM 1.1([W]). *If N is orientable, irreducible and sufficiently large, then Ω is injective.*

COROLLARY. *Let N be as above and $h : N \rightarrow N$ a homeomorphism such that $h_* : \pi_1(N) \rightarrow \pi_1(N)$ is an inner automorphism. Then there exists a homeomorphism $h' : N \rightarrow N$ such that h' is isotopic to h and h'_* is isotopic to the identity.*

THEOREM 1.2([H1], 6.6). *If $H_1(N)$ is infinite, then N is sufficiently large.*

PROPOSITION 1.4. *If M is aspherical and fibered over S^1 , then M is sufficiently large.*

PROOF. Let $M = I \times_{\phi} F$. We have the following exact sequence;

$$\rightarrow H_i(M) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(F) \xrightarrow{id-\phi_*} H_{i-1}(F) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(M) \rightarrow H_{i-2}(F) \xrightarrow{id-\phi_*} H_{i-2}(F) \rightarrow$$

Since $id - \phi_* : H_0(F) \rightarrow H_0(F)$ is zero map, we have the following exact sequence;

$$\rightarrow H_2(M) \rightarrow H_1(F) \rightarrow H_1(F) \rightarrow H_1(M) \rightarrow H_0(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the order of $H_1(M) = \infty$. Since M is irreducible, it follows from Theorem 4 that M is sufficiently large. ■

PROPOSITION 1.5. *If $z(\pi_1(N))$ contains \mathbf{Z}^2 , then $z(\pi_1(N))$ is finitely generated.*

This follows from Theorem 9.14 in [H1].

EXAMPLE 1. *The following gives periodic homeomorphisms ϕ_i of $S^1 \times S^1$, which are needed in the sequel, and describes the corresponding manifold $N_{\phi_i} = N_i$.*

(1) $\phi_1 = 1. N_1 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1.$

$$\pi_1(N_1) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, t] = [\beta, t] = 1 \rangle.$$

(2) $\phi_2(x, y) = (-x, -y). \phi_2^2 = 1.$

$$\pi_1(N_2) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = 1, t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta^{-1} \rangle.$$

(3) $\phi_3(x, y) = (x, -y). \phi_3^2 = 1.$

$$\pi_1(N_3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = 1, t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta^{-1} \rangle.$$

(4) $\phi_4(x, y) = (x + y, -y). \phi_4^2 = 1.$

$$\pi_1(N_4) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = 1, t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle.$$

EXAMPLE 2. *The following gives all periodic homeomorphisms $(S^1)^3 = T^3$ and describes the corresponding manifold $M_{\phi_i} = M_i$.*

In the following, α, β, γ are generators of $\pi_1(T^3)$ and

$$(*) \quad [\alpha, \beta] = [\beta, \gamma] = [\gamma, \alpha] = 1.$$

(1) $\phi_1 = 1. M_1 = T^4.$

(2) $\phi_2(x, y, z) = (x + y, -y, -z). \phi_2^2 = 1.$

$$\pi_1(M_2) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \rangle.$$

(3) $\phi_3(x, y, z) = (x, -y, -z). \phi_3^2 = 1.$

$$\pi_1(M_3) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \rangle.$$

(4) $\phi_4(x, y, z) = (x, y, -z). \phi_4^2 = 1.$

- $\pi_1(M_4) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta, t\gamma t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (5) $\phi_4(x, y, z) = (x + z, y, -z). \quad \phi_5^2 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_5) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta, t\gamma t^{-1} = \alpha\gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (6) $\phi_6(x, y, z) = (x + z, -z, y - z). \quad \phi_8^3 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_6) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (7) $\phi_7(x, y, z) = (x, -z, y - z). \quad \phi_7^3 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_7) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (8) $\phi_8(x, y, z) = (x, z, -y). \quad \phi_8^4 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_8) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta \rangle .$
- (9) $\phi_9(x, y, z) = (x + z, z, -y). \quad \phi_9^4 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_9) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \alpha\beta \rangle .$
- (10) $\phi_{10}(x, y, z) = (x, -z, y + z). \quad \phi_{10}^6 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{10}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}\gamma \rangle .$
- (11) $\phi_{11}(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -z). \quad \phi_{11}^2 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{11}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (12) $\phi_{12}(x, y, z) = (-x + y, y, -z). \quad \phi_{12}^2 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{12}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \alpha\beta, t\gamma t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (13) $\phi_{13}(x, y, z) = (-x + z, -z, y - z). \quad \phi_{13}^3 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{13}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (14) $\phi_{14}(x, y, z) = (-x, -z, y - z). \quad \phi_{14}^3 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{14}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \rangle .$
- (15) $\phi_{15}(x, y, z) = (-x, z, -y). \quad \phi_{15}^4 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{15}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta \rangle .$
- (16) $\phi_{16}(x, y, z) = (-x + z, z, -y). \quad \phi_{16}^4 = 1.$
- $\pi_1(M_{16}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}, t\gamma t^{-1} = \alpha\beta \rangle .$

$$(17) \quad \phi_{17}(x, y, z) = (-x, -z, y + z). \quad \phi_{17}^6 = 1.$$

$$\pi_1(M_{17}) = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma, t : (*), t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}, t\beta t^{-1} = \gamma, t\gamma t^{-1} = \beta^{-1}\gamma \rangle.$$

These follow from results in [H1] and [H2].

3. 4-manifolds

In this section, we shall consider the problem stated in Introduction. Let M be a 4-dimensional closed aspherical manifold fibered over S^1 with F as a fiber and a projection p . We assume F is irreducible. Then we have the following

PROPOSITION. *There exists a homeomorphism $h : F \rightarrow F$ such that M is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} F$, where the group \mathbf{Z} acts on $\mathbf{R} \times F$ by the formula;*

$$n(t, x) = (t - n, h^n(x)).$$

This follows from the standard arguments.

We have the following exact sequence ;

$$(1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(F) \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1$$

Let $t \in \pi_1(M)$ be an element such that $p_*(t) = 1 \in \mathbf{Z}$.

The following Propositions are easily proved.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If αt^n ($n \geq 1$) is contained in $z(\pi_1(M))$, $h_*^n(\beta) = \alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha$ for β any element of $\pi_1(F)$.*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *If $z(\pi_1(F))$ is finitely generated, then $z(\pi_1(M)) \cap \pi_1(F) = z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*}$.*

We consider the oriented double \tilde{F} of $F = N_3, N_4$. Recall F is written as follows. Define an action of \mathbf{Z} on $\mathbf{R} \times T^2$ by the formula; $n(x, (z_1, z_2)) = (x - n, h_0^n(z_1, z_2))$. Then F is homeomorphic to the orbit space $(\mathbf{R} \times T^2)/\mathbf{Z}$. Then \tilde{F} is represented as the orbit space $(\mathbf{R} \times T^2)/2\mathbf{Z}$. We write element of F and \tilde{F} as $[x, (z_1, z_2)]_1$ and $[x, (z_1, z_2)]_0$, respectively. Then the natural covering map $\pi : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F$ is given by $\pi[x, (z_1, z_2)]_0 = [x, (z_1, z_2)]_1$ and the non-trivial covering transformation $w : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ given by $w[x, (z_1, z_2)]_0 = [x - 1, h_0(z_1, z_2)]_0$.

We have the following

THEOREM 3.3. *If $F = N_3, N_4$ and $z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*} = \mathbf{Z}^2$, then h lifts to a homeomorphism \tilde{h} of \tilde{F} .*

PROOF. We shall consider only $F = N_4$. Recall that

$$\pi(F) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = 1, t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha t\beta t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$$

$$z(\pi(F)) = \langle \alpha, t^2 \rangle$$

Since $h_*(t^2) = t^2$, $z(\pi(F))$ is h_* -invariant. This implies that there exists a homeomorphism $\tilde{h} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{F}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{h}_* & & \downarrow h_* & & \downarrow id \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{F}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}_2 \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Note that we can speak of rank of $z(\pi_1(M))$ since $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion free.

3.1. The case of rank $z(\pi_1(M))=4$.

We have the following

PROPOSITION 3.3 ([H1], 11.6). *Let G be a group. If the index of $z(G)$ in G is finite, then $[G, G]$ (=commutator group) is finite.*

It follows from this that $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbf{Z}^4$. Then M is homeomorphic to T^4 .

3.2. The case of rank $z(\pi_1(M)) = 3$.

It follows from (1) that rank of $z(\pi_1(F))$ is at least 2. Proposition 1.5 shows that $z(\pi_1(F))$ and hence $z(\pi_1(M))$ is also finitely generated.

PROPOSITION 3.5. $p_*z(\pi_1(M)) \neq 1$.

PROOF. Assume the contrary. We have

$$z(\pi_1(M)) \cap \pi_1(F) \subset z(\pi_1(F)) \subset \pi_1(F).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $\pi_1(F) \cong \mathbf{Z}^3$ and hence $F \cong T^3$. From the assumption, we have

$$z(\pi_1(M)) \subset \{(\alpha, 0) : \alpha \in \pi_1(F)\},$$

and hence we have

$$z(\pi_1(M)) \subset \pi_1(F).$$

Since $\pi_1(F)$ is abelian, we have $\pi_1(F)/z(\pi_1(M))$ is finite. Consider the commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & z(\pi_1(M)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F)/z(\pi_1(M)) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{h}_* & & \downarrow h_* & & \downarrow \bar{h}_* \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & z(\pi_1(M)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F)/z(\pi_1(M)) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

It is clear that \bar{h}_* is of finite order and \tilde{h}_* is identity, and hence h_* is of finite order, which contradicts the assumption.

PROPOSITION 3.6. F is one of T^3, N_3, N_4 .

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that there exists a subgroup Z^2 of $\pi_1(F)$ with finite index. Then $\pi_1(F)$ is finitely generated. It follows from [H1]([H1] Theorem 12.10) that F is one of T^3, N_3, N_4 . ■

PROPOSITION 3.7. h is of finite order.

PROOF. Assume the contrary. If F is orientable, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that h_* is also of infinite order modulo $\text{Inn}(\pi_1(F))$, which contradicts Proposition 3.5. If F is not orientable, consider the orientable double \tilde{F} . It follows from Proposition 3.3 that h lifts to homeomorphism $\tilde{h} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$. We put $\tilde{M} = \tilde{F}_{\tilde{h}}$. Then it is clear that h_* is of infinite order mod $\text{Inn}(\pi_1(F))$ if and only if \tilde{h}_* is of infinite order mod $\text{Inn}(\pi_1(\tilde{F}))$. ■

3.2.1. The case of $F = T^3$.

We have the following

THEOREM 3.1. If $F = T^3$, then M admits a maximal toral action.

PROOF. Note that M is one of manifolds in Example 2. Since $z(\pi_1(M)) = \mathbf{Z}^3$, $M = M_4$ or M_5 . We can define a T^3 -action on M_5 as follows ;

First define of \mathbf{R}^3 on $\mathbf{R} \times T^3$ by the formula ;

$$(x, y, z)(t, (z_1, z_2, z_3)) = (t + x, (\exp(2\pi iy)z_1, (\exp(2\pi iz)z_2, z_3)).$$

This is compatible with the action of ϕ_5 . It is easy to show that this action induces an action of T^3 . ■

3.2.2. The case of $F = N_3$ or N_4 .

We shall consider only the case of $F = N_4$. Let $\tilde{F} = T^3$ be the oriented double of F and $\tilde{h} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ be the lifting of h . Put $\tilde{M} = \tilde{F}_{\tilde{h}}$.

Now we define a new bundle structure over S^1 on N_4 . The followings are easily shown ;

$$\pi_1(N_4) = \langle \alpha, \beta, t : [\alpha, \beta] = 1, t\alpha t^{-1} = \alpha, t\beta t^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1} \rangle$$

$$[\pi_1(N_4), \pi_1(N_4)] = \langle \alpha\beta^{-2} \rangle$$

$$H_1(N_4) = \langle \bar{\beta} \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{t} \rangle,$$

where \bar{x} denotes the image of x by the projection $\pi_1(N_4) \rightarrow H_1(N_4)$.

Define a homomorphism $\rho_1 : H_1(N_4) \rightarrow Z$ $\bar{\beta} \oplus \bar{t} \mapsto 1$ and ρ composition of the natural projection $\pi_1(N_4) \rightarrow H_1(N_4)$ and ρ_1 . Clearly $\ker \rho = \langle \alpha\beta^{-2}, t \rangle$.

Now we define a fiber bundle $N \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow S^1$ associated to the exact sequence ;

$$1 \longrightarrow \ker \rho \longrightarrow \pi_1(N_4) \longrightarrow Z = \langle \bar{\beta}\bar{t} \rangle \longrightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\pi_1(N) = \ker \rho$ and $N \cong T^2$. We write $N_4 = N_{h_0}$. We have the following

LEMMA. h_* preserves $\ker \rho$.

PROOF. Let $\pi : \tilde{N}_4 \rightarrow N_4$ be the projection. We have the following commutative diagram ;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(T^2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{N}_4) & \longrightarrow & Z \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow 2 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(T^2) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(N_4) & \longrightarrow & Z \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

It follows that we can take generators α_1, β_1 , and t_1 of $\pi_1(\tilde{N}_4)$ such that $\pi_*(\alpha_1) = \alpha$, $\pi_*(\beta_1) = \beta$ and $\pi_*(t_1) = t^2$. According to diagram (2), we have $\tilde{h}(\alpha_1) = \alpha$, $\tilde{h}(\beta_1) = \beta$ and hence $h_*(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $h_*(\beta) = \beta$. Now Lemma is proved by the direct computation using the fact that $h_*(t^2) = t^2$. ■

Let $G = \langle h \rangle$ be the subgroup of homeomorphisms of N_4 . According to results in [CR](section 2 in [CR]), we have an exact sequence ;

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(N_4) \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

It follows from Theorem 61.1 in [Z] that h preserves the above bundle structure over S^1 . Thus we get a homeomorphism $h_1 : N \rightarrow N$ such that $h_0 \circ h_1 = h_1 \circ h_0$. Then M is homeomorphic to the manifold $R^2 \times_{Z^2} N$, where Z^2 acts on $R^2 \times N$ by $(n, m)(x, y, z) = (x - n, y - m, h_1^n h_0^m(z))$.

Moreover N admits an action of T^1 with respect to which h_1 and h_0 are equivariant.

In fact, we have the following commutative diagram ;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \rho = \langle \alpha\beta^{-2} \rangle & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(N_4) & \longrightarrow & Z = \langle \bar{\beta}\bar{t} \rangle \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z = \langle \alpha \rangle & \longrightarrow & z(\pi_1(N_4)) & \longrightarrow & Z = \langle \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{t}^2 \rangle \longrightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Decompose $N = T^1 \times T^1$ such that the first factor T^1 corresponds to $\langle \alpha \rangle$. Define an action of T^1 on N by $z(z_1, z_2) = (zz_1, z_2)$. It is clear that h_0 and h_1 are equivariant with

this action. Now define an action of T^3 on M . First define an action of \mathbf{R}^3 on $\mathbf{R}^2 \times T^2$ by the formula ;

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3)(x, y, (z_1, z_2)) = (x + t_1, y + t_2, ((\exp 2\pi i t_3)z_1, z_2)).$$

It is easily to see that this action is commutative with the above action of \mathbf{Z}^2 and hence we get an action of \mathbf{R}^3 on M . It is also easy to see the restriction to the subgroup $\{(2n, 2m, l) \in \mathbf{Z}^3\}$ of \mathbf{R}^3 is trivial and hence we get an action of T^3 on M . Thus we have the following

THEOREM 3.2. *If $F = N_3$ or N_4 , then M admits a maximal toral action.*

3.3. The case of rank $z(\pi_1(M))=2$.

In this case, we assume F is irreducible and sufficiently large. It follows from results in [H1] (Corollary 12.8 in [H1]) that F is a Seifert fibered space and hence $z(\pi_1(F))$ and $z(\pi_1(M))$ are finitely generated.

3.3.1. The case of $F = T^3$.

3.3.1.1. The case when h is of finite order.

In this case, M is one of manifolds $M_2, M_3, M_6, M_7, M_8, M_9$ or M_{10} in Example 2. We shall show that M_{10} , for example, admits a maximal toral action.

Recall that

$$M_{10} = T_{\phi_{10}}^3,$$

$$\phi_{10} : T^3 \rightarrow T^3 \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (z_1, z_3^{-1}, z_2 z_3)$$

Define an action of \mathbf{R}^2 on $\mathbf{R} \times T^3$ by

$$(t_1, t_2)(x, (z_1, z_2, z_3)) = (x + t, ((\exp(2\pi i t_2)z_1, z_2, z_3))).$$

It is easy to see that this action is compatible with ϕ_{10} and induces an action of $T^2 = \mathbf{R}^2/\mathbf{Z}^2$ on M_{10} .

3.3.1.2. The case when h is of infinite order.

Since $z(\pi_1(M)) \cong \mathbf{Z}^2$ and $p_* z(\pi_1(M)) = 1$, we have

$$z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*} = \{\alpha \in z(\pi_1(F)); h_*(\alpha) = \alpha\} \cong \mathbf{Z}^2.$$

Hence we have

$$h_* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

in other words,

$$h : T^3 \rightarrow T^3 \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (z_1 z_3^a, z_2 z_3^b, z_3).$$

Define an action of T^2 on M by

$$(z_1, z_2)[t, (z_3, z_4, z_5)] = [t, (z_1 z_3, z_2 z_4, z_5)].$$

This action is the one we want.

3.3.2. The case of $F = N_4$ or N_3 .

We shall consider only N_4 .

We have the following commutative diagram;

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}^2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\tilde{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{h}_* & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow t^2 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}^2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

We can take generators α_1, β_1 and t_1 of $\pi_1(\tilde{F})$ such that $\pi_*(\alpha_1) = \alpha, \pi_*(\beta_1) = \beta$ and $\pi_*(t_1) = t^2$.

3.3.2.1. The case when h is of infinite order.

Since $\text{rank}_z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*} = \text{rank}_z(\pi_1(F))$, we have $h_*(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $h_*(t^2) = t^2$ and hence $\tilde{h}_*(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$ and $\tilde{h}_*(t_1) = t_1$. This implies that $\tilde{h} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ is assumed to be $\tilde{h}[x, (z_1, z_2)]_0 = [x, (z_1 z_2^a, z_2)]_0$. Define an action of \mathbf{R}^2 on \tilde{F} by the formula;

$$(t_1, t_2)[x, (z_1, z_2)]_0 = [x + t_1, (\exp(2\pi i t_2) z_1, z_2)]_0$$

By direct computations, we can show that \tilde{h} and w are equivariant with respect to this action. It is easy to see the above action of \mathbf{R}^2 defines of T^2 on \tilde{F} with respect to which \tilde{h} and w are equivariant. This defines an action of T^2 on F with respect to which h is equivariant and hence M admits an action of T^2 .

3.3.2.2. The case when h is of finite order.

In this case, we have $z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*} = \mathbf{Z}$. We can construct an action of T^2 on F which satisfies the following;

Let $ev^2 : T^2 \rightarrow F$ be the map defined by $ev^2(t) = tx$. Then $\text{Im}\{ev_*^2 : \pi_1(T^2) \rightarrow \pi_1(F)\} = z(\pi_1(F))$.

Consider the action of T^1 which is obtained by the restriction of the above action to $z(\pi_1(F))^{h_*}$.

We have an exact sequence;

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_1(F) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $h_*|Z = \text{id}$.

Since h_* is of finite order, there exists a normal subgroup Γ of $\pi_1(F)$ with the properties;

(i) the index $[\pi_1(F) : \Gamma]$ is finite

(ii) $h_*(\Gamma) = \Gamma$

(iii) Γ is an extension of Z by a group N , where N is the fundamental group of an orientable surface.

Let F_1 be the covering of F associated to Γ . Then F_1 is an orientable 3-manifold fibered over S having T^1 as fiber. It follows from Theorem 11 in [CR2] that the restriction of Ω to the subset

$$G(T^1, F_1) = \{(g, H) : g \in GL(1, Z), H : F_1 \rightarrow F_1, H(tx) = g(t)H(x)\}$$

is surjective. Let h_1 be the lifting of h to F_1 . Note that h_1 exists, because $\pi_1(F_1)$ is invariant under h_* . Then $h_{1*} = \Omega(g, H)$. It follows from results in [W] that h_1 is isotopic to a fiber preserving homeomorphism of F_1 ; $h_1(tx) = th_1(x)$. This implies h is also T^1 -invariant. Thus we have the following

THEOREM 3.3. *If $F = N_3, N_4$, then M admits a maximal toral action.*

3.3.3. The case of F =other Seifert fibered space.

Assume h is of infinite order. Then $z(\pi_1(F))$ contains Z^2 . Thus we may assume that h is of finite order.

In this case, by the same arguments as above, we can prove that M admits a T^2 -action. Thus we have

THEOREM 3.4. *If F is the Seifert fibered space other than T^3, N_3, N_4 , then M admits a maximal toral action.*

3.4. The case of rank $z(\pi_1(M))=1$.

3.4.1 The case when h is of finite order.

We have the following

THEOREM. *If h is finite order, say of order n , then M admits an S^1 -action.*

PROOF. Define an action of R on M by the formula;

$$s[t, x] = [t + s, x].$$

It is easy to see that this action is well defined and nZ acts trivially.

$$\begin{aligned} [t', x'] &= [t, x] \Rightarrow t' = t - m, x' = h^m(x) \\ s[t', x'] &= [t' + s, x'] = [t - m + s, h^m(x)] = [t + s, x] = s[t, x] \end{aligned}$$

$$mn[t, x] = [t + nm, x] = [t + nm, (h^n)^m(x)] = [t, x]$$

Then R/nZ acts on M . This action is effective. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s[t, x] = [t, x] &\Leftrightarrow [t + s, x] = [t, x] \\ &\Leftrightarrow t + s = t - m, x = h^m(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow s = -m, m \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ &\Leftrightarrow s \in nZ \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3.4.2. The case when h is of infinite order.

In this case we have the following

THEOREM. *Let M be with $p_*(z(\pi_1(M))) = 1$. If the fiber F admits a maximal toral action, then M does also.*

PROOF. Let \tilde{X} denote the universal covering space of X . Then \tilde{M} is homeomorphic to $\tilde{F} \times \mathbf{R}$. Since the action on F is injective, so \tilde{F} splits as $\mathbf{R}^k \times W$ where k is the rank of $z(\pi_1(F))$. There exists the following central exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow z(\pi_1(F)) \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Associated to this sequence, we have

$$F = (\mathbf{R}^k \times W) / \pi_1(F) = (\mathbf{R}^k / z(\pi_1(F)) \times W) / \Gamma = (T^k \times W) / \Gamma.$$

Then $T^k \times W$ admits a natural T^k action compatible with Γ action.

Let r be the rank of $z(\pi_1(M))$. Since $z(\pi_1(M))$ is a subgroup of $z(\pi_1(F))$, $r \leq k$ and h_* is an identity on $z(\pi_1(M))$. On the covering space corresponding to the factor $z(\pi_1(M))$, we have the T^r action by the same argument of 3.3.2.2, which is commutative with the lifting of h . So we can construct T^r action on $M = F_h$.

REFERENCES

- [CR1] P.E.Conner and F.Raymond, *Manifolds with few periodic homeomorphisms*, Proc.Second Conference on Compact Transformation Groups,Part II,Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 299,Springer-Verlag,New York, (1972), 1-75.
- [CR2] ———, *Deforming homotopy equivalence to homeomorphisms in aspherical manifolds*, Bull.AMS **83** (1977), 36-85.
- [H1] J.Hempel, "3-Manifolds," Princeton Univ.Press, New Jersey, 1976.
- [H2] J.Hempel, *Free cyclic actions on $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$* , Proc.AMS **48** (1975), 221-227.
- [J] W.Jaco, "Lectures on Three-Manifolds topology," Amer.Math.Soc..
- [M] A.Morgan, *The classification of flat solvmanifolds*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **239** (1978), 321-365.

- [O] P.Orlik, "Seifert Manifolds," Lectures Notes in Math.291, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
[S] P.Scott, *The classification of compact 3-manifolds*, London Math.Soc.Lecture note 48.
[W] F.Waldhausen, *On irreducible 3-manifolds which are sufficiently large*, Ann.of Math. 87 (1968), 56-88.
[Wa] T.Watabe, *Maximal toral action on aspherical manifolds $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ and $G/H.$* , J.Math.Soc.Japan 40 (1988), 629-645.
[Z] H.Zieschang, "Finite groups of Mapping Classes of Surfaces," Lectures Notes in Math.875, Springer, Berlin, 1981.

Kazuo Saito
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Kanazawa University
Kanazawa 920,Japan

Tsuyoshi Watabe
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Niigata University
Niigata 950-21,Japan

Received December 20,1989