

## A PROOF OF THE LÖWENHEIM-SKOLEM THEOREM

GEORGE S. BOOLOS

A modification of the proof procedure described in the appendix to Quine's *Methods of Logic* (revised edition) yields a simple proof<sup>1</sup> of a strong version of the Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem:

*Any interpretation has an elementarily equivalent subinterpretation whose universe is countable.*

( $\mathbf{I}$  is a subinterpretation of  $\mathbf{J}$  if the universe of  $\mathbf{I}$  is a subset of that of  $\mathbf{J}$ ,  $\mathbf{I}$  assigns any (free) variable the same denotation as  $\mathbf{J}$ , and  $\mathbf{I}$  assigns any predicate letter the restriction to its own universe of whatever  $\mathbf{J}$  assigns it. Two interpretations are elementarily equivalent if the same schemata are true under both.)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{J}$  be an interpretation,  $S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots$  an enumeration of all and only the prenex schemata true under  $\mathbf{J}$ , and  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots$  an infinite non-repeating sequence of variables<sup>2</sup> none of which occurs in any  $S_i$ . We suppose all bound variables in the  $S_i$ s to have been relettered so that no variable occurs bound in some  $S_i$  and free in some  $S_j$ . We further suppose  $S_1$  to begin with an existential quantifier. We form an (infinite) list of schemata by writing down  $S_1$ , then applying EI as many times as we can, then applying UI as many times as we can, then writing down  $S_2$ , then applying EI as many times as we can, then applying UI as many times as we can, then writing down  $S_3$ , then applying . . . . In forming the list, we never

---

1. Our proof of the strong version stated above proceeds by a route somewhat different from that taken by the classical proofs, [2] and [3], in that we avoid the use of "Skolem normal forms" for satisfiability, and do not (apparently) close a countable (= finite or countably infinite) set under all Skolem functions for all prenex sentences true under the original interpretation. The method we have used can be extended to give a proof of the still stronger version of the theorem stated in [3]: Any interpretation has an elementary subinterpretation whose universe is countable.

2. Each  $v_i$  is alphabetically later than any variable in any  $S_j$ ; if  $n > m$ ,  $v_n$  is alphabetically later than  $v_m$ . Cf. [1], p. 164.

apply E1 to a schema more than once, and whenever we apply E1 to a schema, the instantial variable is always the earliest unused  $v_i$ ; whenever we apply U1, the instantial variable is always a variable that already occurs free in a schema on the list, but we never repeat a line on the list through a use of U1.

We expand  $\mathbf{J}$  to  $\mathbf{L}$  by assigning the  $v_i$ s denotations as follows: Suppose  $d_k$  to have been assigned to  $v_k$ , for all  $k \leq n$ . Further suppose that any formula on the list above the conclusion  $C$  of the application of E1 that introduces  $v_{n+1}$  is true under the expansion of  $\mathbf{J}$  that assigns  $d_k$  to  $v_k$ , for all  $k \leq n$ . We assign  $v_{n+1}$  a denotation  $d_{n+1}$  in the universe of  $\mathbf{J}$  such that  $C$  is true under the expansion of  $\mathbf{J}$  that assigns  $d_k$  to  $v_k$ , for all  $k \leq n + 1$ . Since the premiss of the application of E1 is true under the expansion of  $\mathbf{J}$  that assigns  $d_k$  to  $v_k$ , for all  $k \leq n$ , a suitable choice of  $d_{n+1}$  will always be possible. Since a schema will always have the same truth value under two interpretations that are alike, except that one of them assigns denotations to variables not occurring in the schema, all schemata on the list are true under  $\mathbf{L}$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the subinterpretation of  $\mathbf{L}$  whose (countable) universe is the set of denotations that  $\mathbf{L}$  assigns to variables.

Suppose there were a schema on the list false under  $\mathbf{K}$ . Let  $S$  be a shortest such.  $S$  can't be universal, as each instance of it whose instantial variable is a variable that occurs free in some schema on the list appears on the list. Since all these instances are shorter than  $S$ , they are all true under  $\mathbf{K}$ . But as the universe of  $\mathbf{K}$  contains just the denotations of the variables that occur free in some schema on the list,  $S$  would then be true under  $\mathbf{K}$ .  $S$  can't be existential, as an instance of it, shorter and hence true under  $\mathbf{K}$ , appears on the list.  $S$  would then be true under  $\mathbf{K}$ , as any instance implies it. But  $S$  can't be an atomic schema or a truth-functional combination of atomic schemata, as such schemata always have the same truth-values under any subinterpretation of an interpretation as under the interpretation. Hence every schema on the list is true under  $\mathbf{K}$ .

If  $\mathbf{I}$  is the "contraction" of  $\mathbf{K}$  that assigns to each predicate letter or variable to which  $\mathbf{J}$  assigns something (and only to these) whatever  $\mathbf{K}$  assigns it, then  $\mathbf{I}$  is a subinterpretation of  $\mathbf{J}$  whose universe is countable. If  $S$  is a schema true under  $\mathbf{J}$ , a prenex equivalent of it containing no  $v_i$ s will occur on the list, and hence be true under  $\mathbf{K}$ , and hence true under  $\mathbf{I}$ . Thus any schema true under  $\mathbf{J}$  is true under  $\mathbf{I}$  and the theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Quine, W. V. O., *Methods of Logic*, revised ed., New York (1959).
- [2] Skolem, Th., "Logisch-kombinatorische Untersuchungen über die Erfüllbarkeit oder Beweisbarkeit mathematischer Sätze nebst einer Theorie über dichte Menger," *Videnskapsselskapets skrifter, I. Matematisknaturvidenskabelig klasse*, no. 3 (1920), of which a translation of section one appears in J. van Heijenoort, *From Frege to Gödel*, Cambridge (1967).

- [3] Tarski, A., and R. L. Vaught, "Arithmetical Extensions of Relational Systems," *Compositio Mathematica*, vol. 13 (1957), pp. 81-102.

*Columbia University*  
*New York City, New York*