

## A NOTE ON TURING MACHINE REGULARITY AND PRIMITIVE RECURSION

NICHOLAS J. de LILLO

**1 Introduction** The purpose of this paper is to present an explicit Turing machine  $\mathbf{Z}$  which computes any function which is defined by means of primitive recursion from two given computable functions. The formulation of  $\mathbf{Z}$  uses results of Davis [1] and Mal'cev [3], with the added feature that  $\mathbf{Z}$  yields outputs in a standard form, such outputs usable as inputs in subsequent Turing machines which can be activated after  $\mathbf{Z}$  has completed its computation. Such machines as  $\mathbf{Z}$  are defined as  $n$ -regular, for a positive integer  $n$ . The course of a computation in  $\mathbf{Z}$  follows along lines suggested by Davis [2], for a similar computation using abstract programs instead of Turing machines.

**2 Preliminary concepts** We will assume a general familiarity with [1], explicitly defining only those concepts which are absolutely necessary for the continuity of this discussion. A Turing machine<sup>1</sup> is any non-empty and finite set of quadruples, any one of which assumes the form (i)  $q_i S_j S_k q_l$ , or (ii)  $q_i S_j R q_l$ , or (iii)  $q_i S_j L q_l$ , where  $i, j, k, l$  are positive integers. The symbols  $q_i, q_l$  are elements of a finite set  $Q$ , called the *internal states* of the machine; the symbols  $S_j, S_k$  are elements of the set  $A = \{1, B\}$  disjoint from  $Q$  and called the alphabet of the machine; the symbols  $L$  and  $R$  are distinct symbols not in  $Q \cup A$ . It is understood that no two distinct quadruples of a given Turing machine begin with the same first two symbols. The usual meanings are attached to the quadruples: (i) is the instruction which, when the state of the machine is  $q_i$  and the symbol  $S_j$  is being scanned, erases  $S_j$  and prints  $S_k$  in its place, the machine then moving to state  $q_l$ ; (ii) instructs the machine to move one square to the right and change to state  $q_l$  when the machine is in state  $q_i$  and scans a square with  $S_j$  printed there; (iii) is the instruction similar to (ii), except the machine moves one square to the left.

---

1. Using the terminology of [1], this paper will deal only with *simple* Turing machines, but these results can easily be generalized to the case of relative computability.

Let  $T$  be a fixed Turing machine. Then  $\theta(T)$  will denote the largest subscript of an internal state symbol appearing in  $T$ . Furthermore, for any natural number  $m$ ,  $T^{(m)}$  will denote the Turing machine obtained from  $T$  by adding  $m$  to the value of each subscript of an internal state symbol of  $T$ . By an instantaneous description of  $T$  we mean any finite string of symbols from  $Q \cup A$  containing exactly one element of  $Q$ , and where this element of  $Q$  is not permitted to be the rightmost member of the string. In any instantaneous description  $\alpha$ , we regard the symbol appearing immediately to the right of the internal state symbol  $q_i$  of  $\alpha$  as the symbol being scanned by  $T$  when  $T$  is in state  $q_i$ . If  $\alpha, \beta$  are instantaneous descriptions of  $T$ , we write  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta(T)$  (or simply  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  when  $T$  is understood) to signify that  $\beta$  is the result of an application to  $\alpha$  of a single quadruple of  $T$ . If, for a given instantaneous description  $\beta$  of  $T$ , there is no instantaneous description  $\gamma$  of  $T$  such that  $\beta \rightarrow \gamma(T)$ , we say that  $\beta$  is final with respect to  $T$ . Any finite sequence  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of instantaneous descriptions of  $T$  such that  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_{i+1}(T)$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ , and such that  $\alpha_n$  is final with respect to  $T$ , is called a computation in  $T$  with resultant (or output)  $\alpha_n$ . We denote this by  $\text{Res}_T(\alpha_1) = \alpha_n$ .

The set of natural numbers will be denoted by  $N$ , and  $N^n$  will denote the set of all  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $N$ . If  $x > 0$ , we define  $1^x$  (respectively  $B^x$ ) to be string of length  $x$  of the symbol 1 (respectively  $B$ ) of  $A$ . We also define  $1^0$  and  $B^0$  to be the empty string. If  $n \in N$ , we define  $\bar{n}$  to be  $1^{n+1}$ , and if  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in N^n$ , we define  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  to be the string  $1^{a_1+1}B1^{a_2+1}B \dots B1^{a_n+1}$ . We will consider instantaneous descriptions of  $T$  of the form  $q_1 \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  as usable inputs of  $T$ . For any instantaneous description  $\alpha$  of  $T$ , we define  $[\alpha]$  to be the number of occurrences of the symbol 1 in  $\alpha$ .

If  $n$  is a fixed positive integer, then  $T$  is called  $n$ -regular if (1) there is a positive integer  $p$  such that

$$\text{Res}_T(q_1 \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = q_{\theta(T)} \langle b_1, \dots, b_p \rangle$$

whenever  $\text{Res}_T(q_1 \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle)$  is defined, and if (2)  $T$  has no quadruple beginning with  $q_{\theta(T)}$ .

A function  $F$  whose domain is a subset of  $N^n$  and having values in  $N$  is called partially computable if there is some Turing machine  $T$  such that for any  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  in the domain of  $F$ , it is the case that

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_n) = [\text{Res}_T(q_1 \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle)].$$

Thus, for an input of the form  $\alpha = q_1 \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ ,  $T$  will yield an output for just those  $n$ -tuples  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  which happen to be members of the domain of  $F$ ; otherwise,  $T$  will not yield an output for the input  $\alpha$ . Furthermore,  $F$  is called a total function if its domain is all of  $N^n$ , and is called computable if it is partially computable and is total. If  $F$  is (partially) computable via the Turing machine  $T$ , then we say that  $T$  (partially) computes  $F$ .

### 3 Main result We prove the following

**Theorem** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. If  $f$  is a total function of  $n + 1$*

variables, and is defined by primitive recursion in terms of the computable functions  $g, h$ , of  $n$  and  $n + 2$  variables, respectively, i.e., if for any  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \in N^n$  and  $t \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t + 1) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, t, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t)), \end{aligned}$$

then there is an  $(n + 1)$ -regular Turing machine  $\mathbf{Z}$  which computes  $f$ . More precisely, for any  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle \in N^{n+1}$ .

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\overline{q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle}) = q_{\theta(\mathbf{Z})} \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)}.$$

*Proof:* Suppose  $\mathbf{G}$  is a Turing machine which computes  $g$ , and  $\mathbf{H}$  is a Turing machine which computes  $h$ . By results of [1], we may assume that  $\mathbf{G}$  is  $n$ -regular, and that  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $(n + 2)$ -regular. Indeed, using results of [1], there then exists an  $(n + 1)$ -regular Turing machine  $\mathbf{V}_1$  such that, for any  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle \in N^{n+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\mathbf{V}_1}(\overline{q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle}) &= \overline{q_p \langle g(x_1, \dots, x_n), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle} \\ &= \overline{q_p \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = \theta(\mathbf{V}_1)$ . Similarly, using the fact that  $\mathbf{H}$  is  $(n + 2)$ -regular, there is an  $(n + 2)$ -regular Turing machine  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  such that, for all  $t, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Res}_{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}(\overline{q_1 \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}) \\ &= \overline{q_{\theta(\overline{\mathbf{H}})} \langle h(x_1, \dots, x_n, t, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t)), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle} \\ &= \overline{q_{\theta(\overline{\mathbf{H}})} \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t + 1), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, there is a Turing machine  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$  such that, for every  $t, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}}(1B^2 \overline{q_1 \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}) \\ = 1B^2 \overline{q_{\theta(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}})} \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t + 1), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$  may be regarded as the Turing machine which first moves left to erase the leftmost 1 of the input  $1B^2 \overline{q_1 \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}$ , then moves right until a 1 is found.  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$  then performs the identical computation which  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  performs on  $q_1 \langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . After this last computation has been completed,  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$  then moves left to reprint a 1 three squares to the left of the leftmost 1 of the resultant  $\beta$  of the  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$ -computation. Finally,  $\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}$  moves right until it scans the leftmost 1 of  $\beta$ .

Now set  $k = \theta(\overline{\overline{\mathbf{H}}}^{(u+3)})$ , where  $u = p + 10 + 2n$ , and let  $\mathbf{W}$  be the following set of quadruples:

$$\left. \begin{array}{ll} q_p 1L q_p & q_{p+9} 1B q_{p+10} \\ q_p BL q_{p+1} & q_{p+10} BR q_{p+9} \\ q_{p+1} BL q_{p+1} & q_{p+7+2i} BR q_{p+7+2(i+1)} \\ q_{p+1} 1R q_{p+2} & q_{p+7+2(i+1)} 1B q_{p+7+2(i+1)+1} \\ q_{p+2} BR q_{p+3} & q_{p+7+2(i+1)+1} BR q_{p+7+2(i+1)} \\ q_{p+3} 1B q_{p+4} & q_{p+8} 1L q_{u+1} \\ q_{p+4} BR q_{p+5} & q_{u+1} BL q_{u+2} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{for} \\ \text{each } i, \\ 1 \leq i \leq n \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
q_{p+5}1R q_{p+5} & q_{u+2}B1q_{u+3} \\
q_{p+5}BR q_{p+6} & q_{u+3}1L q_{u+3} \\
q_{p+6}1Bq_{p+7} & q_{u+3}BR q_{u+4} \\
q_{p+7}BR q_{p+8} & q_{u-1}BBq_{k+1} \\
q_{p+8}BR q_{p+9} & 
\end{array}$$

Let  $\mathbf{E}$  be the following set of quadruples:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
q_{k+1}BL q_{k+1} & q_{k+4}BR q_{k+7} \\
q_{k+1}1L q_{k+2} & q_{k+5}BR q_{k+5} \\
q_{k+2}1L q_{k+2} & q_{k+5}1L q_{k+6} \\
q_{k+2}BL q_{k+3} & q_{k+6}B1q_{k+7} \\
q_{k+3}BL q_{k+4} & q_{k+7}BR q_{k+7} \\
q_{k+4}1Bq_{k+5} & q_{k+7}11q_{k+8}.
\end{array}$$

Finally, let  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{V}_1 \cup \overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)} \cup \mathbf{W} \cup \mathbf{E} \cup \{q_k 11q_p\}$ . We claim that  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a Turing machine which computes  $f$ . Note first that  $\theta(\mathbf{Z}) = k + 8$ , and that there is no quadruple of  $\mathbf{Z}$  beginning with  $\theta(\mathbf{Z})$ . Hence the second property of  $(n + 1)$ -regularity is satisfied by  $\mathbf{Z}$ . The first property of  $(n + 1)$ -regularity will be verified if we can show that for any  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n y \rangle \in N^{n+1}$ ,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{Z}}(q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle) = q_{\theta(\mathbf{Z})} \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)}.$$

This will be done by tracing a computation in  $\mathbf{Z}$  beginning with an input of the form  $q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle$ . First of all,

$$q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow q_p \overline{\langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0), y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle} \quad (\text{using } \mathbf{V}_1).$$

(i) Suppose that  $y = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& q_p \overline{\langle f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0), 0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle} \rightarrow \dots \\
& \rightarrow 1B^2 1^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)} B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots BB^{x_n+1} q_{k+1} B = \alpha_1, \text{ (using } \mathbf{W}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying  $\mathbf{E}$  to  $\alpha_1$ , either of two possibilities exist as the resultant of a computation in  $\mathbf{E}$  beginning with  $\alpha_1$ ; namely,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{E}}(\alpha_1) = \begin{cases} B^2 q_{k+8} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots BB^{x_n+1} B, & \text{in case } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0, \\ B^3 q_{k+8} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) B^5 B^{x_1+1} B \dots BB^{x_n+1} B, & \text{if } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Each of these resultants is final with respect to  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Hence, if we disregard initial and terminal blocks of 1's, we get

$$\text{Res}_{\mathbf{Z}}(q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, 0 \rangle) = q_{\theta(\mathbf{Z})} \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)},$$

which is the desired result when  $y = 0$ . Note that, in this case, the machine  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)}$  was never activated. Indeed,  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)}$  would not be activated unless the function  $h$  were used in evaluating  $f$ , which is not the case if  $y = 0$ .

(ii) Now suppose  $y > 0$ . Then, after  $\mathbf{V}_1$  has completed its computation,

$q_p \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)}, y, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \dots$   
 $\rightarrow 1B^2 q_{u+4} \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)}, y-1, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  (using **W**),  
 $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1B^2 q_k \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1)}, y-1, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  (using  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)}$ ),  
 $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1B^2 q_p \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 1)}, y-1, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$  (using  $q_k 11 q_p$ ),  
 $\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1B^2 1^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} q_{k+1} B$ ,  
 iterating the sequence, **W**,  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)}$ ,  $q_k 11 q_p$ , until  $y = 0$ .

Let  $\bar{\beta} = 1B^2 1^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} q_{k+1} B$ . Then, using the quadruple  $q_{k+1} B 1 q_{k+1}$  as many times as is applicable, we get either

$$\bar{\beta} \rightarrow q_{k+1} 1B^5 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} B = \beta_1,$$

if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$ , or

$$\bar{\beta} \rightarrow 1B^2 1^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)-1} q_{k+1} 1B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} B = \beta_2,$$

if  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) > 0$ .

(a) Suppose  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$ ; then, using the remaining quadruples of **E**,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow B^3 q_{k+8} 1B^5 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} B \\ &= B^3 q_{k+8} \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} B^5 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} B \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) > 0$ ; then, using the remaining quadruples of **E**,

$$\beta_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow B^2 q_{k+8} \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} B^3 B^{x_1+1} B \dots B B^{x_n+1} B \rangle.$$

The resultants obtained in (a) and (b) above are each final with respect to **Z**. Thus, omitting initial and terminal block's of *B*'s, we get

$$\text{Res } \mathbf{Z} \langle \overline{q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle} \rangle = q_{\theta(\mathbf{Z})} \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} \rangle$$

whenever  $y > 0$ .

This completes the proof of (ii), and thus the proof of the Theorem is complete.

**4 Additional notes** In case  $y > 0$ , the machine **Z** decreases the value of  $y$  by 1 whenever **W** is activated. The quadruple  $q_k 11 q_p$  acts as a recycling instruction, demanding a repetition of the sequence **W**,  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}^{(u+3)}$  as many times as is necessary to obtain  $y = 0$ . When  $y = 0$ , the machine **E** acts as an exiting mechanism, yielding an output in the standard form  $q_{\theta(\mathbf{Z})} \langle \overline{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)} \rangle$ .

The leftmost 1 in the instantaneous descriptions  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2$  plays the role of a "marker", in the sense that it prevents an infinite leftward movement of **Z** via the quadruple  $q_{k+1} B 1 q_{k+1}$ , in case  $y > 0$  and  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$ . This 1 is then erased by **E** as part of its computation.

Finally, if **Z** is augmented by the single quadruple  $q_{k+8} 1B q_{k+8}$ , then the resulting machine **Z'** has the property of  $(n+1)$ -regularity, and, in addition

$$[\text{Res } \mathbf{Z}, \langle \overline{q_1 \langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle} \rangle] = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y),$$

for every  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y \rangle \in N^{n+1}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Davis, M., *Computability and Unsolvability*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York (1958).
- [2] Davis, M., *Computability*, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York (1974).
- [3] Mal'cev, A. I., *Algorithms and Recursive Functions*, Walters-Nordhoff Publishing, Groningen (1970).

*Manhattan College*  
*New York, New York*