

Automorphisms of ω -Octahedral Graphs

J. C. E. DEKKER

1 Preliminaries This paper is closely related to [2] which deals with automorphisms of the ω -graph Q_N associated with the ω -cube Q^N and [3] which deals with the ω -graph Oc_N associated with the ω -octahedron Oc^N . We use the notations, terminology, and results of [2]. The propositions of [2] are referred to as A1.1, A1.2, . . . , A2.1, A2.2, . . . etc., those of [3] as B1.1, B1.2, . . . , B2.1, B2.2, . . . etc.

For $n \geq 1$ the n -octahedral graph is defined as the complete n -partite graph $K(2, \dots, 2)$ with two vertices in each of its partite sets ([4], p. 69). Let Oc_n have $\mu = (0, \dots, 2n - 1)$ as set of vertices and $((0, 1), \dots, (2n - 2, 2n - 1))$ as class of its partite sets. Define f as the permutation of μ which for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ interchanges $2k$ and $2k + 1$. Call the vertices p and q of Oc_n *opposite*, if they correspond to each other under f , then p and q are adjacent, iff they are not opposite. Throughout this paper the symbols ν, ν_0, ν_1 denote nonempty sets, and μ and μ_ν stand for sets of cardinality ≥ 2 . An *involution without fixed points* (abbreviated: iwfp) of a set μ is a permutation f of μ such that $f^2 = i_\mu$ and $f(x) \neq x$, for $x \in \mu$. The iwfp f of μ is an ω -iwfp, if it has a partial recursive one-to-one extension. With every iwfp f of μ we associate a graph $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$, where θ consists of all numbers $can(x, y) \in [\mu; 2]$ such that $f(x) \neq y$. Note that the iwfp f is uniquely determined by G_f . The graph $G = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$ is *octahedral*, if $G = G_f$, for some iwfp f of μ . The octahedral graph $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$ is ω -*octahedral*, if f is an ω -iwfp of μ . The vertices p and q of the octahedral graph G_f are *opposite*, if $f(p) = q$; thus p and q are adjacent iff they are not opposite. According to B2.2 an ω -octahedral graph $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$ is a uniform ω -graph for which there exists a nonzero RET N such that $Req \mu = 2N$ and $Req \theta = 2N(N - 1)$. Define the functions d_0 and d_1 by: $\delta d_0 = \delta d_1 = \varepsilon$, $d_0(x) = 2x$, $d_1(x) = 2x + 1$. With every set ν we associate the sets $\nu_0 = d_0(\nu)$, $\nu_1 = d_1(\nu)$, and $\mu_\nu = \nu_0 \cup \nu_1$. The *standard ω -iwfp associated with the set ν* is the ω -iwfp f of μ_ν such that $f(2x) = 2x + 1$ and $f(2x + 1) = 2x$, for $x \in \nu$. The *standard ω -octahedral graph Oc_ν associated with the set ν* is the ω -graph $G_f = \langle \mu_\nu, \theta_\nu \rangle$,

Received May 27, 1981

where f is the standard ω -iwfp of μ_ν associated with the set ν . According to B2.3 a graph is ω -octahedral iff it is ω -isomorphic to some standard ω -octahedral graph. When studying the effective automorphisms of ω -octahedral graphs we may therefore restrict our attention to standard ω -octahedral graphs.

For nonempty sets α and β we have by B2.4: $\alpha \simeq \beta$ iff $Oc_\alpha \cong_\omega Oc_\beta$. For a nonzero RET N we define Oc_N as Oc_ν , for any $\nu \in N$. Thus Oc_N is uniquely determined by N up to ω -isomorphism.

2 Automorphisms of Oc_ν An automorphism (ω -automorphism) of Oc_ν is an isomorphism (ω -isomorphism) from Oc_ν onto itself. We choose the notion of an ω -automorphism of Oc_ν as the formal equivalent of the intuitive notion of an effective automorphism of Oc_ν . We refer to [2] (p. 122) for the definitions of the groups $Per(\nu)$, $Per_\omega(\nu)$, P_ν of permutations. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Aut\ Oc_\nu &= \text{the group of all automorphisms of } Oc_\nu, \\ Aut_\omega\ Oc_\nu &= \text{the group of all } \omega\text{-automorphisms of } Oc_\nu, \end{aligned}$$

and put $\sigma_x = (2x, 2x + 1)$, for $x \in \varepsilon$. An automorphism of $Oc_\nu = \langle \mu_\nu, \theta_\nu \rangle$ is a permutation of μ_ν which preserves adjacency or equivalently which preserves nonadjacency, i.e., which maps each pair of opposite vertices of Oc_ν onto a pair of opposite vertices. A permutation g of μ_ν is therefore an automorphism of $Oc_\nu = \langle \mu_\nu, \theta_\nu \rangle$ iff it permutes $\{\sigma_x | x \in \nu\}$. In symbols,

$$(1) \quad g \in Aut\ Oc_\nu \iff (\exists f)[f \in Per(\nu) \ \& \ g(\sigma_x) = \sigma_{f(x)}, \text{ for } x \in \nu].$$

If the automorphism g of Oc_ν and the permutation f of ν are related by (1), then g is not uniquely determined by f . For given f , the function g can for each $x \in \nu$ still map σ_x onto $\sigma_{f(x)}$ in either of two ways, namely:

- (i) $g(2x) = 2f(x) + 1, g(2x + 1) = 2f(x)$ or
- (ii) $g(2x) = 2f(x), g(2x + 1) = 2f(x) + 1$.

Consider the case where ν is finite, say $\nu = (0, \dots, n - 1)$, for $n \geq 1$, hence $\mu_\nu = (0, \dots, 2n - 1)$. Then the function f such that $g(\sigma_x) = \sigma_{f(x)}$, for $x \in \nu$, can be chosen in $n!$ different ways. For each choice of f we can still choose g in 2^n different ways by choosing a subset α of ν such that: (i) holds for $x \in \alpha$ and (ii) for $x \notin \alpha$. Thus if ν is a finite set of cardinality n , the automorphism group of Oc_ν is a finite group of cardinality $2^n \cdot n!$ Let us now examine $Aut\ Oc_\nu$ for an arbitrary set ν , i.e., let us drop the condition that ν be finite. We define

- (2) $H(\nu) =_{df} \{g \in Aut\ Oc_\nu | g(\sigma_x) = \sigma_x, \text{ for } x \in \nu\}$,
- (3) $K(\nu) =_{df} \{g \in Aut\ Oc_\nu | g(x) \equiv x \pmod{2}, \text{ for } x \in \mu_\nu\}$.

Note that $H(\nu), K(\nu) \leq Aut\ Oc_\nu$. In order to characterize $H(\nu)$ and $K(\nu)$ in a different manner we define for $\alpha \subset \nu, h \in Per(\nu)$,

- (4) $\delta\phi_\alpha = \mu_\nu, \begin{cases} \phi_\alpha(2x) = 2x + 1, \phi_\alpha(2x + 1) = 2x, \text{ for } x \in \alpha, \\ \phi_\alpha(2x) = 2x, \phi_\alpha(2x + 1) = 2x + 1, \text{ for } x \notin \alpha, \end{cases}$
- (5) $\delta\psi_h = \mu_\nu, \psi_h(2x) = 2h(x), \psi_h(2x + 1) = 2h(x) + 1, \text{ for } x \in \nu$.

We write $S(\nu)$ for the class of all subsets of ν and $\alpha \oplus \beta$ for the symmetric difference of α and β .

Proposition C2.1 For every set ν ,

- (a) $H(\nu) = \{\phi_\alpha \in \text{Aut } Oc_\nu \mid \alpha \in S(\nu)\}$,
- (b) $K(\nu) = \{\psi_h \in \text{Aut } Oc_\nu \mid h \in \text{Per}(\nu)\}$,
- (c) $H(\nu) \cong \langle S(\nu), \oplus \rangle$ and $K(\nu) \cong \text{Per}(\nu)$.

Proof: Denote the right sides of (a) and (b) by $H^*(\nu)$ and $K^*(\nu)$ respectively. Relations (4) and (5) imply that $H^*(\nu) \subset H(\nu)$ and $K^*(\nu) \subset K(\nu)$. Now assume $f \in H(\nu)$ and $g \in K(\nu)$. Put $\alpha = \{x \in \nu \mid f(2x) = 2x + 1\}$, $h(x) =$ the number y such that $g(\sigma_x) = \sigma_y$. Then $f = \phi_\alpha$, $g = \psi_h$, hence $H(\nu) = H^*(\nu)$ and $K(\nu) = K^*(\nu)$. This proves (a) and (b). As far as (c) is concerned, $\psi_h \psi_k = \psi_{hk}$, for $h, k \in \text{Per}(\nu)$, so that $K(\nu) \cong \text{Per}(\nu)$. The mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \phi_\alpha$ maps $S(\nu)$ onto $H(\nu)$ by (4) and C2.1(a). This mapping is one-to-one, since $\alpha = \{x \in \nu \mid \phi_\alpha(2x) = 2x + 1\}$, for $\alpha \in S(\nu)$. Now assume $\alpha, \beta \in S(\nu)$. Then $\phi_\alpha \phi_\beta(2x) = 2x + 1$, for $x \in \alpha \oplus \beta$, while $\phi_\alpha \phi_\beta(2x) = 2x$, for $x \notin \alpha \oplus \beta$. Thus $\phi_\alpha \phi_\beta(2x) = \phi_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(2x)$ and similarly we see that $\phi_\alpha \phi_\beta(2x + 1) = \phi_{\alpha \oplus \beta}(2x + 1)$. Hence $\phi_\alpha \phi_\beta = \phi_{\alpha \oplus \beta}$ and $\langle S(\nu), \oplus \rangle \cong H(\nu)$. This completes the proof of (c).

Let $H, K \leq G$, where G is a group with unit element i . We write $G = H \times K$, if G is the semidirect product of H by K , i.e., ([5], p. 212), if

- (6) $HK = G$,
- (7) $H \cap K = (i)$,
- (8) $H \triangleleft G$.

If we also have $K \triangleleft G$ we call G the direct product of H and K . For a set ν we define

- (9) $H_\omega(\nu) = \{g \in H(\nu) \mid g \text{ has a partial recursive 1-1 extension}\}$,
- (10) $K_\omega(\nu) = \{g \in K(\nu) \mid g \text{ has a partial recursive 1-1 extension}\}$,

so that $H_\omega(\nu) \leq H(\nu)$, $K_\omega(\nu) \leq K(\nu)$ and $H_\omega(\nu), K_\omega(\nu) \leq \text{Aut}_\omega Oc_\nu$. We also see that $H_\omega(\nu) = H(\nu)$, $K_\omega(\nu) = K(\nu)$, if ν is finite, while $H_\omega(\nu) < H(\nu)$, $K_\omega(\nu) < K(\nu)$, if ν is infinite. For in the latter case, $H_\omega(\nu)$ and $K_\omega(\nu)$ are denumerable, while $H(\nu)$ and $K(\nu)$ have cardinality c .

Proposition C2.2 For every set ν ,

- (a) $\text{Aut } Oc_\nu = H(\nu) \times K(\nu)$,
- (b) $\text{Aut}_\omega Oc_\nu = H_\omega(\nu) \times K_\omega(\nu)$.

Proof: To prove (a) we shall verify (6), (7), and (8) for $H = H(\nu)$, $K = K(\nu)$, and $G = \text{Aut } Oc_\nu$.

Re (6). Since $H(\nu), K(\nu) \leq \text{Aut } Oc_\nu$, it suffices to prove

$$g \in \text{Aut } Oc_\nu \Rightarrow (\exists \alpha)(\exists h)[\alpha \in S(\nu) \ \& \ h \in \text{Per}(\nu) \ \& \ g = \phi_\alpha \psi_h].$$

Assume the hypothesis. By (1) there is an $f \in \text{Per}(\nu)$ such that $g(\sigma_x) = \sigma_{f(x)}$, for $x \in \nu$. Then ψ_f is an automorphism of Oc_ν by C2.1(b), hence so are ψ_f^{-1} and $g\psi_f^{-1}$. However,

$$g\psi_f^{-1}(\sigma_x) = g\psi_f^{-1}(\sigma_x) = g(\sigma_{f^{-1}(x)}) = \sigma_{ff^{-1}(x)} = \sigma_x,$$

so that $g\psi_f^{-1} \in H(\nu)$, say $g\psi_f^{-1} = \phi_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in S(\nu)$. Then $g = \phi_\alpha \psi_f$ and $g \in H(\nu)K(\nu)$.

Re(7). Immediate by (4) and (5).

Re(8). We only need to prove $\psi_f^{-1}H(\nu)\psi_f \subset H(\nu)$, for $f \in Per(\nu)$, i.e.,

$$h \in H(\nu) \ \& \ f \in Per(\nu) \Rightarrow \psi_f^{-1}h\psi_f \in H(\nu).$$

Assume the hypothesis. Then $\psi_f^{-1}h\psi_f \in H(\nu)$, since

$$\psi_f^{-1}h\psi_f(\sigma_x) = \psi_f^{-1}h\psi_f(\sigma_x) = \psi_f^{-1}h(\sigma_{f(x)}) = \psi_f^{-1}(\sigma_{f(x)}) = \sigma_x.$$

This proves (a). To verify (b) we need to show that (6), (7), and (8) hold for $H = H_\omega(\nu)$, $K = K_\omega(\nu)$, and $G = Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$.

Re(6). Since $H_\omega(\nu)$, $K_\omega(\nu) \leq Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$, it suffices to prove

$$g \in Aut_\omega Oc_\nu \Rightarrow (\exists h)(\exists k)[h \in H_\omega(\nu) \ \& \ k \in K_\omega(\nu) \ \& \ g = hk].$$

Assume the hypothesis. By (a) there exists a unique ordered pair $\langle h, k \rangle$ of functions such that $h \in H(\nu)$, $k \in K(\nu)$ and $g = hk$. Let \bar{g} be a partial recursive one-to-one extension of g . Put $\bar{\nu} = \{x \mid 2x \in \delta\bar{g} \ \& \ 2x + 1 \in \delta\bar{g}\}$, then $\nu \subset \bar{\nu}$, where $\bar{\nu}$ is r.e. Define $\bar{\nu}_0 = \{2x \mid x \in \bar{\nu}\}$, $\bar{\nu}_1 = \{2x + 1 \mid x \in \bar{\nu}\}$, then $\bar{\nu}_0 \cup \bar{\nu}_1$ is a r.e. superset of $\nu_0 \cup \nu_1$. Define the function \bar{h} by: $\delta\bar{h} = \bar{\nu}_0 \cup \bar{\nu}_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(2x) &= 2x \ \& \ \bar{h}(2x + 1) = 2x + 1, \text{ if } \bar{g}(2x) \text{ is even and } \bar{g}(2x + 1) \text{ odd,} \\ \bar{h}(2x) &= 2x + 1 \ \& \ \bar{h}(2x + 1) = 2x, \text{ if } \bar{g}(2x) \text{ is odd and } \bar{g}(2x + 1) \text{ even.} \end{aligned}$$

Then \bar{h} is a partial recursive extension of h . Let $p, q \in \delta\bar{h}$, $p \neq q$, say $p \in \sigma_{x_2}$, $q \in \sigma_y$, for $x, y \in \bar{\nu}$. If $x = y$ we have $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = (p, q)$; then $\bar{h}(p) \neq \bar{h}(q)$, since \bar{h} is one-to-one on σ_x . If $x \neq y$ we have $\bar{h}(p) \in \sigma_x$, $\bar{h}(q) \in \sigma_y$, where σ_x and σ_y are disjoint, hence $\bar{h}(p) \neq \bar{h}(q)$. Thus the partial recursive function \bar{h} is one-to-one and $h \in H_\omega(\nu)$. Since g and h have partial recursive one-to-one extensions, so has $h^{-1}g = k$; thus $k \in K_\omega(\nu)$.

Re(7). From $H_\omega(\nu) \leq H(\nu)$, $K_\omega(\nu) \leq K(\nu)$ and $H(\nu) \cap K(\nu) = (i)$.

Re(8). We only need to prove

$$h \in H_\omega(\nu) \ \& \ k \in Per_\omega(\nu) \Rightarrow \psi_h^{-1}h\psi_k \in H_\omega(\nu).$$

Assume the hypothesis. Then ψ_k has a partial recursive one-to-one extension (since k has one), hence so has $\psi_k^{-1}h\psi_k$. However, $\psi_k^{-1}h\psi_k \in H(\nu)$ by (a), hence $\psi_k^{-1}h\psi_k \in H_\omega(\nu)$.

Remark: If $\text{card } \nu \geq 2$ the two semidirect products are not direct. For let $p, q \in \nu$, $p \neq q$ and h be the permutation of ν which interchanges p and q , then $\psi_h \in K(\nu)$. Put $\alpha = (p)$, then

$$\phi_\alpha \psi_h \phi_\alpha^{-1}(2p) = \phi_\alpha \psi_h \phi_\alpha(2p) = \phi_\alpha \psi_h(2p + 1) = \phi_\alpha(2q + 1) = 2q + 1,$$

so that $\phi_\alpha \psi_h \phi_\alpha^{-1}(2p) \not\equiv 2p \pmod{2}$ and $\phi_\alpha \psi_h \phi_\alpha^{-1} \notin K(\nu)$. Hence $K(\nu) \triangleleft Aut Oc_\nu$ is false. The functions ϕ_α and ψ_h can also be used to show that $K_\omega(\nu) \triangleleft Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$ is false.

3 Representation by ω -groups We define the following subclasses of the class $S(\nu)$ of all subsets of ν :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{fin}(\nu) &= \{\alpha \subset \nu \mid \alpha \text{ is finite}\}, \ S_{cof}(\nu) = \{\alpha \subset \nu \mid \nu - \alpha \text{ is finite}\}, \\ S_{cf}(\nu) &= S_{fin}(\nu) \cup S_{cof}(\nu), \ S_\omega(\nu) = \{\alpha \subset \nu \mid \alpha \text{ is separable from } \nu - \alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

The classes $S_{fin}(\nu)$ and $S_{cof}(\nu)$ are equal iff ν is finite, disjoint iff ν is infinite. Moreover,

- (11) $S_{fin}(\nu) \subset S_{cof}(\nu) \subset S_\omega(\nu) \subset S(\nu)$, for all ν ,
- (12) $S_{fin}(\nu) = S_{cof}(\nu) = S_\omega(\nu) = S(\nu)$, if ν is finite,
- (13) $S_{cof}(\nu) \subset S_\omega(\nu) \subset_+ S(\nu)$, if ν is infinite.

The proper inclusion in (13) follows from: if ν is infinite, then $\text{card } S_\omega(\nu) = \aleph_0$ and $\text{card } S(\nu) = c$. We need a characterization of the sets ν for which $S_{cof}(\nu) = S_\omega(\nu)$. This clearly depends only on $N = \text{Req } \nu$. Recall that an RET N is *indecomposable*, if $A + B = N$ implies that A or B is finite. Thus every finite RET is indecomposable and every indecomposable RET is an isol. It is known that there are c infinite, indecomposable isols. Note that for $N = \text{Req } \nu$,

- (14) $(\exists \alpha)[\alpha \subset \nu \ \& \ \alpha \upharpoonright \nu - \alpha \ \& \ \alpha \notin S_{cof}(\nu)] \iff N \text{ decomposable,}$
- (15) $S_{cof}(\nu) = S_\omega(\nu) \iff N \text{ indecomposable.}$

We define

- (16) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} D_{fin}(\nu), D_{cof}(\nu), D_\omega(\nu), D(\nu) \text{ are the groups under } \oplus \text{ formed} \\ \text{by the classes } S_{fin}(\nu), S_{cof}(\nu), S_\omega(\nu), S(\nu) \text{ respectively.} \end{array} \right.$

It follows from (11), (12), (13), (15), and (16) that for $N = \text{Req } \nu$,

- (17) $D_{fin}(\nu) \leq D_{cof}(\nu) \leq D_\omega(\nu) \leq D(\nu)$, for all ν ,
- (18) $D_{fin}(\nu) = D_{cof}(\nu) = D_\omega(\nu) = D(\nu)$, if ν is finite,
- (19) $D_{cof}(\nu) \leq D_\omega(\nu) < D(\nu)$, if ν is infinite,
- (20) $D_{cof}(\nu) = D_\omega(\nu) \iff N \text{ is indecomposable.}$

In the proof of C2.1(c) we noted that the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \phi_\alpha$, for $\alpha \in S(\nu)$ is an isomorphism from $D(\nu)$ onto $H(\nu)$.

Proposition C3.1 *The mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \phi_\alpha$, for $\alpha \in D_\omega(\nu)$ is an isomorphism from $D_\omega(\nu)$ onto $H_\omega(\nu)$.*

Proof: Let $H'(\nu)$ be the image of $D_\omega(\nu)$ under the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \phi_\alpha$, for $\alpha \in D(\nu)$. Suppose $\alpha \in D_\omega(\nu)$, say $\alpha = \nu \cap \bar{\alpha}$, $\nu - \alpha = \nu \cap \bar{\beta}$, for disjoint r.e. sets $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$. Put $\bar{\nu} = \bar{\alpha} \cup \bar{\beta}$ and let $\phi_{\bar{\alpha}}$ be defined in terms of $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\nu}$ as ϕ_α is defined by (4) in terms of α and ν . Then $\phi_{\bar{\alpha}}$ is a partial recursive one-to-one extension of ϕ_α so that $\phi_{\bar{\alpha}} \in H_\omega(\nu)$; hence $H'(\nu) \subset H_\omega(\nu)$. Now suppose $\phi_\alpha \in H_\omega(\nu)$ and \bar{g} is a partial recursive extension of ϕ_α . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \{x \in \nu \mid \phi_\alpha(2x) = 2x + 1\}, & \nu - \alpha &= \{x \in \nu \mid \phi_\alpha(2x) = 2x\}, \\ \alpha \subset \{x \mid 2x \in \delta\bar{g} \ \& \ \bar{g}(2x) = 2x + 1\}, & \nu - \alpha &\subset \{x \mid 2x \in \delta\bar{g} \ \& \ \bar{g}(2x) = 2x\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the sets on the right sides of the inclusions are r.e. and disjoint. Thus $\alpha \in D_\omega(\nu)$ and $\phi_\alpha \in H'(\nu)$; hence $H_\omega(\nu) \subset H'(\nu)$. We conclude that $H'(\nu) = H_\omega(\nu)$.

Let $N = \text{Req } \nu$. We know ([2], Sections 4 and 5) that the group P_ν of all finite permutations of ν can be represented by (i.e., is isomorphic to) the uniform ω -group P_N of order $N!$ In order to represent the group $D_{cof}(\nu)$ by an ω -group we need an effective enumeration without repetitions of the class $S_{cof}(\nu)$. We choose the enumeration $\langle \sigma_n \rangle$, where

$$(21) \quad \sigma_{2n} = \rho_n, \quad \sigma_{2n+1} = \varepsilon - \rho_n, \text{ for } n \in \varepsilon.$$

Henceforth “ σ_x ” will only be used as defined in (21). Define for $\nu \subset \varepsilon$ and $x, y \in \varepsilon$,

$$\delta_\nu = \begin{cases} \{2n \in \varepsilon \mid \sigma_{2n} \subset \nu\}, & \text{if } \nu \text{ is finite,} \\ \{2n \in \varepsilon \mid \sigma_{2n} \subset \nu\} \cup \{2n + 1 \in \varepsilon \mid \sigma_{2n} \subset \nu\}, & \text{if } \nu \text{ is infinite,} \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{d}(x, y) = \text{can}(\sigma_x \oplus \sigma_y), D_{fcf}(\nu) = \langle \delta_\nu, d_\nu \rangle, \text{ where } d_\nu = \bar{d} \upharpoonright \delta_\nu \times \delta_\nu,$$

then it is readily seen that

$$\alpha \cong \beta \Rightarrow D_{fcf}(\alpha) \cong_\omega D_{fcf}(\beta), \text{ for nonempty sets } \alpha \text{ and } \beta.$$

For a nonzero RET N we define $D_{fcf}(N) = D_{fcf}(\nu)$, for any $\nu \in N$. Thus $D_{fcf}(N)$ is uniquely determined by N up to ω -isomorphism.

Proposition C3.2 *Let $N = \text{Req } \nu$. Then the group $D_{fcf}(\nu)$ is isomorphic to the uniform ω -group $D_{fcf}(N)$. Moreover, $D_{fcf}(N)$ has order 2^N , if N is finite, but 2^{N+1} , if N is infinite.*

Proof: Let $N = \text{Req } \nu$. The function \bar{d} is recursive, hence $D_{fcf}(\varepsilon)$ is a r.e. group. Also, $D_{fcf}(\nu)$ is a finite group if ν is finite, while $D_{fcf}(\nu) \leq D_{fcf}(\varepsilon)$ if ν is infinite. Thus $D_{fcf}(\nu)$ is a uniform ω -group for every ν . Clearly,

$$\{2n \in \varepsilon \mid \sigma_{2n} \subset \nu\} \simeq \{2n + 1 \in \varepsilon \mid \sigma_{2n} \subset \nu\} \simeq 2^\nu,$$

for every set ν , so that $\text{Req } \delta_\nu$ equals 2^N , if N is finite, but 2^{N+1} if N is infinite.

4 The main result

Theorem *Let $\nu \in N$ and $N \in \Omega_0$. Then*

- (a) $\text{Aut}_\omega \text{Oc}_\nu = H_\omega(\nu) \times K_\omega(\nu)$, i.e., $\text{Aut}_\omega \text{Oc}_\nu$ is the semidirect product of $H_\omega(\nu)$ by $K_\omega(\nu)$,
- (b) if N is an indecomposable isol, the group $H_\omega(\nu)$ can be represented by the uniform ω -group $D_{fcf}(N)$ whose order is 2^N , if N is finite, but 2^{N+1} , if N is infinite,
- (c) if N is a multiple-free isol, the group $K_\omega(\nu)$ can be represented by the uniform ω -group P_N of order $N!$,
- (d) if N is an indecomposable isol, the group $\text{Aut}_\omega \text{Oc}_\nu$ can be represented by a uniform ω -group whose order is $2^N \cdot N!$, if N is finite, but $2^{N+1} \cdot N!$, if N is infinite.

Proof: Part (a) holds by C2.2(b), part (b) by (20) and C3.1, and part (c) holds by [2], section 3. Now consider part (d). The statement is trivial, if N is finite, for then $\text{Aut}_\omega \text{Oc}_\nu$ is a finite group. Assume that N is an infinite, indecomposable isol. Then $H_\omega(\nu)$ and $K_\omega(\nu)$ can be represented by the uniform ω -groups $D_{fcf}(\nu)$ and P_ν , respectively, where $D_{fcf}(\nu) \leq D_{fcf}(\varepsilon)$, $P_\nu \leq P_\varepsilon$. By C2.2(b) we have $\text{Aut}_\omega \text{Oc}_\varepsilon = H_\omega(\varepsilon) \times K_\omega(\varepsilon)$, where $H_\omega(\varepsilon) \cap K_\omega(\varepsilon) = (i)$. Define

$$\beta_\varepsilon = \{j(a, \tilde{f}) \mid a \in \delta_\varepsilon \ \& \ \tilde{f} \in P_\varepsilon\},$$

$$\delta h_\varepsilon = \beta_\varepsilon, \quad h_\varepsilon j(a, \tilde{f}) = \phi_\alpha f, \text{ where } \alpha = \sigma_a,$$

Let for $x, y \in \beta_\varepsilon$, say $x = j(a, \tilde{f}), y = j(b, \tilde{g}), \alpha = \sigma_a, \beta = \sigma_b$,

$$t_\varepsilon(x, y) = \text{the unique number } z \text{ such that } h_\varepsilon(z) = \phi_\alpha f \phi_\beta g.$$

Now consider the group $G_\varepsilon = \langle \beta_\varepsilon, t_\varepsilon \rangle$. The set β_ε is r.e. We claim that the function t_ε is partial recursive. For given the numbers $x, y \in \beta_\varepsilon$, we can compute the numbers $a, b, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ such that $x = j(a, \tilde{f}), y = j(b, \tilde{g})$, hence also the finite or cofinite sets α and β such that $h_\varepsilon(x) = \phi_\alpha f, h_\varepsilon(y) = \phi_\beta g$ and the number $t_\varepsilon(x, y) = z$ such that $h_\varepsilon(z) = \phi_\alpha f \phi_\beta g$. Thus the group G_ε is r.e. Define

$$\beta_\nu = \{j(a, \tilde{f}) \mid a \in \delta_\nu \ \& \ \tilde{f} \in P_\nu\}, \ t_\nu = t_\varepsilon \mid \beta_\nu \times \beta_\nu,$$

and $G_\nu = \langle \beta_\nu, t_\nu \rangle$. Then $G_\nu \leq G_\varepsilon$, hence G_ν is a uniform ω -group. Put

$$H_\omega(\nu) = \{j(a, \tilde{i}) \mid a \in \delta_\nu\}, \ K_\omega(\nu) = \{j(0, \tilde{f}) \mid \tilde{f} \in P_\nu\},$$

where i is the identity permutation on ε , hence $\tilde{i} = 1$. Then $H_\omega(\nu)$ and $K_\omega(\nu)$ are uniform ω -groups and since $N = Req \ \nu$ is indecomposable, $H_\omega(\nu) \cong_\omega D_{fcf}(\nu)$ and $K_\omega(\nu) \cong_\omega P_\nu$. We conclude that

$$oG_\nu = oH_\omega(\nu) \cdot oK_\omega(\nu) = oD_{fcf}(\nu) \cdot oP_\nu = 2^{N+1} \cdot N!$$

5 Concluding remarks (A) Comparison with Q_ν . Let $N = Req \ \nu$ be an indecomposable isol, then N is also multiple-free. Comparing the group $Aut_\omega Q_\nu$ discussed in [2] with the group $Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$ discussed in the present paper, we notice an essential difference:

(1) $Aut_\omega Q_\nu$ can be represented by a uniform ω -group of order $2^N \cdot N!$,

(2) $Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$ can be represented by a uniform ω -group which has order $2^N \cdot N!$, if N is finite, but $2^{N+1} \cdot N!$, if N is infinite.

This essential difference between the ω -graphs Q_ν and Oc_ν is related to the fact that $Q_\nu = \langle 2^\nu, \eta \rangle$ has opposite vertices, i.e., vertices p and q such that $\rho_p = \nu - \rho_q$, iff the set ν is finite, while $Oc_\nu = Oc_f = \langle \mu_\nu, \theta_\nu \rangle$ has opposite vertices, i.e., vertices p and q such that $f(p) = q$, for every set ν . Thus, if ν is infinite, every permutation of μ_ν which maps almost all vertices of Oc_ν onto their opposites (and the others onto themselves) is an ω -automorphism of Oc_ν which has no analogue in Q_ν .

(B) Effective duality. In [2] we used “ Q^ν ” for the *directed* ω -cube on the set ν , i.e., for $\langle 2^\nu, \leq \rangle$, where $x \leq y \iff \rho_x \subset \rho_y$, for $x, y \in 2^\nu$. In [3] we used “ Q^ν ” for the *undirected* ω -cube on the set ν , i.e., for $\langle 2^\nu, F_\nu \rangle$, where F_ν is the class of all faces, i.e., of all subsets σ of 2^ν such that $\sigma = \{x \in 2^\nu \mid \beta \subset \rho_x \subset \beta \cup \gamma\}$, for two disjoint finite subsets β and γ of ν . In both cases the (undirected) ω -graph corresponding to the ω -cube Q^ν is the ω -graph Q_ν . Similarly, Oc_ν is the ω -graph corresponding to the ω -octahedron Oc_ν discussed in [3]. We showed in [3] that for an indecomposable $N = Req \ \nu$, the undirected ω -cube Q^ν is effectively dual to the ω -octahedron Oc^ν iff N is finite. Thus if N is an infinite, indecomposable isol, Q^ν and Oc^ν are not effectively dual and one should therefore not be surprised that the ω -groups we used to represent $Aut_\omega Q_\nu$ and $Aut_\omega Oc_\nu$ have different orders.

(C) The group $D_{fcf}(\nu)$. In this remark “ Q^ν ” denotes the directed cube on the set ν . Let $N = Req \ \nu$. We have

$$(22) \quad \text{Aut}_\omega Q_\nu = C_\nu \times \text{Aut}_\omega Q_\nu, \quad \text{Aut}_\omega Oc_\nu = H_\omega(\nu) \times K_\omega(\nu).$$

Both $\text{Aut}_\omega Q_\nu$ and $K_\omega(\nu)$ are isomorphic to the group $\text{Per}_\omega(\nu)$. The difference between $\text{Aut}_\omega Q_\nu$ and $\text{Aut}_\omega Oc_\nu$ is therefore due to the difference between C_ν and $H_\omega(\nu)$. Note that

$$(23) \quad C_\nu \cong D_{\text{fin}}(\nu), \quad H_\omega(\nu) \cong D_\omega(\nu), \quad \text{for every } N,$$

$$(24) \quad D_\omega(\nu) = D_{\text{fcf}}(\nu), \quad \text{if } N \text{ is indecomposable.}$$

From now on we assume that N is indecomposable. According to (22), (23) and (24) the difference between $\text{Aut}_\omega Q_\nu$ and $\text{Aut}_\omega Oc_\nu$ is due to the difference between the groups $D_{\text{fin}}(\nu)$ and $D_{\text{fcf}}(\nu)$, hence between the ω -groups representing them, namely $D_{\text{fin}}(\nu)$ [or $Z_2(\nu)$] and $D_{\text{fcf}}(\nu)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{fcf}}(\nu) \cong_\omega D_{\text{fin}}(\nu) &\iff \nu \text{ is finite,} \\ \circ D_{\text{fcf}}(\nu) = 2 \cdot \circ D_{\text{fin}}(\nu) &\iff \nu \text{ is infinite.} \end{aligned}$$

This is a direct consequence of the trivial observation that $S_{\text{fin}}(\nu)$ and $S_{\text{cof}}(\nu)$ are equal iff ν is finite, but disjoint iff ν is infinite.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dekker, J. C. E., "Twilight graphs," *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, vol. 46 (1981), pp. 248-280.
- [2] Dekker, J. C. E., "Automorphisms of ω -cubes," *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, vol. 22 (1981), pp. 120-128.
- [3] Dekker, J. C. E., "Recursive equivalence types and octahedra," to appear in the *Australian Journal of Mathematics*.
- [4] Jungerman, M. and G. Ringel, "The genus of the n -octahedron: regular cases," *Journal of Graph Theory*, vol. 2 (1978), pp. 69-75.
- [5] Scott, W. R., *Group Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1964.

Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, New Jersey 08540

and

Rutgers University
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903