

MATRIX ALGEBRAS OVER O_n

William L. Paschke and Norberto Salinas

This paper is concerned with the extension theory of the C^* -algebras O_n studied by J. Cuntz in [7] and their tensor products with the algebra M_k of complex $k \times k$ matrices. We show by computing various Ext groups that the O_n 's are pairwise non-isomorphic (a result which has also been obtained independently by M. Pimsner and S. Popa [8]), and that O_n and $O_n \otimes M_k$ are non-isomorphic if k and $n - 1$ are not relatively prime. We also prove that O_n is isomorphic to $O_n \otimes M_k$ if k divides n or is congruent to 1 mod $(n - 1)$.

We briefly indicate our notation and summarize essential prerequisites from extension theory for C^* -algebras. Throughout, H is complex, infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space. We write $L(H)$ and $Q(H)$ for, respectively, the algebra of all bounded operators on H and the Calkin algebra (the quotient of $L(H)$ by the compacts), and let $\pi: L(H) \rightarrow Q(H)$ denote the quotient map. To avoid unnecessary clumsiness of expression, we once and for all make fixed identifications of $H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ (the direct sum of n copies of H) with H for $n = 2, 3, \dots$, and thereby identify $L(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $L(H)$ and $Q(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $Q(H)$. We also identify $L(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ and $Q(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $L(H) \otimes M_n$ and $Q(H) \otimes M_n$, respectively, in the natural way. For a separable unital C^* -algebra A , we write $E(A)$ for the set of all unital $*$ -monomorphisms (*extensions*) of A into $Q(H)$. We say that extensions τ and σ are *strongly* (respectively, *weakly*) *equivalent* if there is a unitary $U \in L(H)$ (respectively, unitary $u \in Q(H)$) such that $\tau(\cdot) = \pi(U) \sigma(\cdot) \pi(U^*)$ (resp. $u \sigma(\cdot) u^*$). For $\tau \in E(A)$, $[\tau]$ denotes the strong equivalence class of τ . We write $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ for $\{[\tau]: \tau \in E(A)\}$ and let $\text{Ext}^w(A)$ denote the set of weak equivalence classes in $E(A)$. Given $\tau, \sigma \in E(A)$, we define $\tau \oplus \sigma \in E(A)$ (via our identification of $Q(H)$ with $Q(H) \otimes M_2$) by

$$(\tau \oplus \sigma)(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operations thereby induced on $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ and $\text{Ext}^w(A)$ make them into abelian semigroups. An extension τ is called *trivial* if it lifts to a unital $*$ -representation of A on H . D. Voiculescu showed in [12] (see also [2]) that all trivial extensions of A are strongly equivalent and that the resulting strong equivalence class serves as the zero element of $\text{Ext}^s(A)$. Correspondingly, the weak equivalence class of any trivial extension is the zero element of $\text{Ext}^w(A)$. It is not the case in general that $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ (and hence $\text{Ext}^w(A)$) is a group; see [1] for an example of a non-invertible extension. When $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ is a group, though, $\text{Ext}^w(A)$ can be naturally identified with the quotient of $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ by the subgroup consisting of those $[\tau]$ for which τ is weakly equivalent to a trivial extension.

Received December 30, 1977.

Both authors partially supported by NSF grant MCS 77-01850.

Michigan Math. J. 26 (1979).

For further information on extension theory for non-commutative C^* -algebras, we refer the reader to [2], [3], [4], and [10].

1. $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ AND $\text{Ext}^w(O_n)$

In this section we give a concise account of the extension theory for the C^* -algebras O_n studied by J. Cuntz in [7]. Our results here have been obtained recently (and independently) by M. Pimsner and S. Popa [8], and also by L. Brown (private communication) but our treatment has direct bearing on the material in the next section.

As in [7], O_n (for $n = 2, 3, \dots$) is the C^* -algebra generated by isometries S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n of H with orthogonal ranges whose direct sum is H . For fixed n , all choices of n isometries subject to these requirements give rise to isomorphic C^* -algebras [7]. Let $\tau: O_n \rightarrow Q(H)$ be a unital $*$ -monomorphism. Our immediate goal is to associate to τ an integer $m(\tau)$ that will measure the obstruction to lifting τ to a $*$ -representation on H . Let v_τ be the $n \times n$ matrix in $Q(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ ($= Q(H) \otimes M_n$) with zeros in the second through n^{th} rows and with first row

$$\tau(S_1) \quad \tau(S_2) \quad \dots \quad \tau(S_n)$$

Since $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I$ and $S_1 S_1^* + \dots + S_n S_n^* = I$, we see that v_τ is an isometry in the Calkin algebra with $v_\tau v_\tau^* = \pi(P_1)$, where P_1 is the projection of $H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ ($= H \oplus \dots \oplus H$) onto the first direct summand.

Our definition of $m(\tau)$ requires a lemma which is frequently cited as a consequence of the proof for 2.5 of [5]. This result has been used to compute obstructions in other situations also, *e.g.* J. Thayer's treatment (essentially a computation of Ext^s) of extensions of UHF algebras in [11]. We indicate the proof of the lemma here for completeness.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let P and Q be projections in $L(H)$ and v a partial isometry in $Q(H)$ such that $vv^* = \pi(P)$ and $v^*v = \pi(Q)$. There is a partial isometry V in $L(H)$ such that*

- (a) $\pi(V) = v$; and
- (b) $VV^* \leq P$ and $V^*V \leq Q$.

*Moreover, the integer $\dim(Q - V^*V) - \dim(P - VV^*)$ is uniquely determined by these conditions.*

Proof. Let $T \in L(H)$ be such that $\pi(T) = v$. If we let V be the partial isometry in the polar decomposition of PTQ , then V clearly satisfies (b). Noting that

$$\pi(|PTQ|) = |\pi(PTQ)| = |v| = \pi(Q),$$

we have $v = \pi(V) \pi(Q) = \pi(V)$ (because $V^*V \leq Q$), so (a) holds as well. For the last assertion, regard V as a map from QH to PH and let W be a unitary transformation from PH onto QH . The partial isometry \hat{V} in $L(PH \oplus QH)$ with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is easily seen to be Fredholm with index $\dim(Q - V^*V) - \dim(P - VV^*)$.

We apply Lemma 1.1 (with $v = v_\tau$, $P = P_1$ as above, and $Q = I$) to get a partial isometry V_τ of $H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\pi(V_\tau) = v_\tau$, $V_\tau V_\tau^* \leq P_1$ and $V_\tau^* V_\tau$ a projection of finite co-dimension.

Definition 1.2. We set

$$m(\tau) = \dim(I - V_\tau^* V_\tau) - \dim(P_1 - V_\tau V_\tau^*).$$

By the lemma, this definition of $m(\tau)$ is unambiguous. Suppose that $\tau' \in E(O_n)$ is strongly equivalent to τ , so there is a unitary U on H such that

$$\tau'(\cdot) = \pi(U^*)\tau(\cdot)\pi(U).$$

Let $\tilde{U} \in L(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$ be the direct sum of n copies of U , so \tilde{U} commutes with P_1 and $v_{\tau'} = \pi(\tilde{U}^*)v_\tau\pi(\tilde{U})$. It is clear that we may take $V_{\tau'} = \tilde{U}^* V_\tau \tilde{U}$ and hence $m(\tau') = m(\tau)$, so m is constant on strong equivalence classes. For any $\sigma \in E(O_n)$, we have $m(\tau \oplus \sigma) = m(\tau) + m(\sigma)$ because after making a natural identification of $(H \oplus H) \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ with $(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \oplus (H \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)$, we may take $V_{\tau \oplus \sigma} = V_\tau \oplus V_\sigma$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} m(\tau \oplus \sigma) &= \dim(I \oplus I - V_\tau^* V_\tau \oplus V_\sigma^* V_\sigma) \\ &\quad - \dim(P_1 \oplus P_1 - V_\tau V_\tau^* \oplus V_\sigma V_\sigma^*) \\ &= m(\tau) + m(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

These remarks show that m induces an additive map (which we will also call m) from $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ to \mathbb{Z} .

LEMMA 1.3. $m(\tau) = 0$ if and only if τ is trivial.

Proof. If τ is trivial, then τ is strongly equivalent to the $*$ -monomorphism τ_0 that sends each S_j to $\pi(S_j)$ (1.4 and 1.5 of [12], 1.12 of [7]). There is an obvious choice of V_{τ_0} such that $V_{\tau_0}^* V_{\tau_0} = I$ and $V_{\tau_0} V_{\tau_0}^* = P_1$, so $m(\tau) = m(\tau_0) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $m(\tau) = 0$. Since $\dim(I - V_\tau^* V_\tau) = \dim(P_1 - V_\tau V_\tau^*)$, we may add to V_τ a (finite-rank) partial isometry with initial space $(I - V_\tau^* V_\tau)H$ and final space $(P_1 - V_\tau V_\tau^*)H$ and assume that $V_\tau^* V_\tau = I$ and $V_\tau V_\tau^* = P_1$. Regard V_τ as an $n \times n$ operator matrix. The second through n^{th} rows of V_τ must be 0 because the second through n^{th} diagonal entries of $V_\tau V_\tau^*$ are 0. Let T_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be the j^{th} entry in the first row of V_τ . We have $T_j^* T_j = I$ for each j (because $V_\tau^* V_\tau = I$) and $T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* = I$ (because the first diagonal entry of $V_\tau V_\tau^*$ is I). By 1.12 of [7], there is a $*$ -isomorphism $\theta: O_n \rightarrow C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ such that $\theta(S_j) = T_j$ for each j . Since $\pi \circ \theta(S_j) = \pi(T_j) = \tau(S_j)$, τ is trivial.

LEMMA 1.4. *The range of m is \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. It will suffice to find $\sigma, \tau \in E(O_n)$ such that $m(\sigma) = 1$ and $m(\tau) = -1$. Let $R_1, \dots, R_n \in L(H)$ be isometries with orthogonal ranges such that

$$R_1 R_1^* + \dots + R_n R_n^* = I - Q,$$

where Q is a one dimensional projection. Further, choose $T_1, \dots, T_n \in L(H)$ with orthogonal ranges such that $T_1^* T_1 = I - Q$, the other T_j 's are isometries, and

$$T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* = I.$$

By 1.12 of [7], there exist $\sigma, \tau \in E(O_n)$ with $\sigma(S_j) = \pi(T_j)$ and $\tau(S_j) = \pi(R_j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Obvious choices of V_σ and V_τ yield $V_\sigma^* V_\sigma = (I - Q) \oplus I \oplus \dots \oplus I$, $V_\sigma V_\sigma^* = P_1$, $V_\tau^* V_\tau = I$, $V_\tau V_\tau^* = (I - Q) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$, so $m(\sigma) = 1$ and $m(\tau) = -1$.

Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4 imply that $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ is a group (because if $\tau \in E(O_n)$, we can find $\tau' \in E(O_n)$ with $m(\tau') = -m(\tau)$, so $m(\tau \oplus \tau') = 0$, so $\tau \oplus \tau'$ is trivial) and hence that m is an isomorphism of $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ with \mathbb{Z} . We record this as

THEOREM 1.5. *$\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ is a group isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} for $n = 2, 3, \dots$*

That $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ is a group follows also from the fact that O_n is nuclear (see 2.3 of [7]) and Theorem 8 of [2].

To compute $\text{Ext}^w(O_n)$, let U_+ be the standard unilateral shift and let $\tau_1: O_n \rightarrow Q(H)$ be the $*$ -monomorphism that maps each S_j to $\pi(U_+ S_j U_+^*)$; the subgroup of $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ generated by $[\tau_1]$ consists precisely of the weakly trivial classes. If we choose for V_{τ_1} the $n \times n$ operator matrix with zeros in the second through n^{th} rows and first row

$$U_+ S_1 U_+^* \quad \dots \quad U_+ S_n U_+^*,$$

then $V_{\tau_1} V_{\tau_1}^*$ has $U_+ U_+^*$ in the (1,1)-position and zeros elsewhere, while $V_{\tau_1}^* V_{\tau_1}$ is diagonal with $U_+ U_+^*$ in each diagonal position. Hence $m(\tau_1) = n - 1$. This proves

THEOREM 1.6. *$\text{Ext}^w(O_n)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} for $n = 2, 3, \dots$*

It is of course immediate from this that O_n and O_m are nonisomorphic if $m \neq n$.

2. ISOMORPHISM AND NON-ISOMORPHISM OF $O_n \otimes M_k$ WITH O_n

For the time being, we fix the integers $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$. For $r = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, let $U_{k,r} \in L(H \otimes \mathbb{C}^k)$ be the direct sum of r copies of U_+ (the standard unilateral shift) and $k - r$ copies of I_H . Notice that the last direct summand of $U_{k,r}$ is always I_H . It is well known that every $\rho \in E(M_k)$ is strongly equivalent to one of the unital $*$ -monomorphisms $\rho_r: M_k \rightarrow Q(H) \otimes M_k$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$) defined by

$$\rho_r(T) = \pi(U_{k,r} (I_H \otimes T) U_{k,r}^*).$$

If we let $d(\rho)$ be the integer $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ such that ρ is strongly equivalent to ρ_r , then d induces an isomorphism of $\text{Ext}^s(M_k)$ with \mathbb{Z}_k .

Our next lemma is a refined version of 3.15 of [4] and 3.4 of [10].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be a separable unital C^* -algebra and let $\tau \in E(A \otimes M_k)$. If ρ is the restriction of τ to $1 \otimes M_k$ and $r = d(\rho)$, then there is a $\sigma \in E(A)$ such that τ is strongly equivalent to the unital $*$ -monomorphism*

$$\pi(U_{k,r})(\sigma \otimes \text{id}_k)(\cdot) \pi(U_{k,r}^*): A \otimes M_k \rightarrow Q(H) \otimes M_k.$$

Proof. We may assume that τ maps $A \otimes M_k$ to $Q(H) \otimes M_k$ and that $\tau(1 \otimes T) = \rho_r(T)$ for $T \in M_k$. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k$ be the standard matrix units for M_k . We have $\tau(1 \otimes e_{kk}) = 1 \otimes e_{kk} \in Q(H) \otimes M_k$ and hence for any $a \in A$ we have $\tau(a \otimes e_{kk}) = (1 \otimes e_{kk}) \tau(a \otimes 1_k)(1 \otimes e_{kk})$, where 1_k is the identity matrix in M_k . Regarded as a $k \times k$ matrix in $Q(H) \otimes M_k$, $\tau(a \otimes e_{kk})$ must therefore have the form $\sigma(a) \otimes e_{kk}$ for some $\sigma(a) \in Q(H)$. The map $\sigma: A \rightarrow Q(H)$ so obtained is clearly a unital $*$ -monomorphism. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a \otimes e_{jj}) &= \tau(1 \otimes e_{jk})(\sigma(a) \otimes e_{kk}) \tau(1 \otimes e_{kj}) \\ &= \pi(U_{k,r})(1 \otimes e_{jk})(\sigma(a) \otimes e_{kk})(1 \otimes e_{kj}) \pi(U_{k,r}^*) \\ &= \pi(U_{k,r})(\sigma(a) \otimes e_{jj}) \pi(U_{k,r}^*). \end{aligned}$$

(In the second equality we have used the fact that $\sigma(a) \otimes e_{kk}$ commutes with $\pi(U_{k,r})$.) Summing on j , we obtain $\tau(a \otimes 1_k) = \pi(U_{k,r})(\sigma(a) \otimes 1_k) \pi(U_{k,r}^*)$, and finally for $T \in M_k$, $\tau(a \otimes T) = \tau(a \otimes 1_k) \rho_r(T) = \pi(U_{k,r})(\sigma(a) \otimes T) \pi(U_{k,r}^*)$, as required.

We can use this simple fact to describe $\text{Ext}^s(A \otimes M_k)$ in terms of k , $\text{Ext}^s(A)$, and the weakly trivial extensions of A when $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ has no elements of order k . For $\tau \in E(A \otimes M_k)$, define $i_* \tau \in E(A)$ (respectively, $j_* \tau \in E(M_k)$) to be the restriction of τ to $A \otimes 1_k$ (respectively, $1 \otimes M_k$). We then obtain a homomorphism $\gamma: \text{Ext}^s(A \otimes M_k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^s(A) \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ defined by $\gamma([\tau]) = ([i_* \tau], d(j_* \tau))$. Further, for $\sigma \in E(A)$ we define $\tilde{\sigma}(a) = \pi(U_+) \sigma(a) \pi(U_+^*)$. One checks easily that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is strongly equivalent to any $*$ -monomorphism obtained from σ by conjugating with a unitary in the Calkin algebra of index 1. Let $\sigma_0 \in E(A)$ be trivial and set $\sigma_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_0$. Since σ is strongly equivalent to $\sigma_0 \oplus \sigma$ and $(\sigma_0 \oplus \sigma)^{\sim}$ is strongly equivalent to

$$\pi(U_{2,1})(\sigma_0 \oplus \sigma)(\cdot) \pi(U_{2,1}^*) = \sigma_1 \oplus \sigma,$$

we have

$$(*) \quad [\tilde{\sigma}] = [\sigma] + [\sigma_1].$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let A be a separable unital C^* -algebra such that $\text{Ext}^s(A)$ is a group with no elements of order k . Then $\text{Ext}^s(A \otimes M_k)$ is a group isomorphic to*

$$\{(k[\sigma] + r[\sigma_1], \langle r \rangle) \in \text{Ext}^s(A) \times \mathbb{Z}_k : \sigma \in E(A), r \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

(where $r \rightarrow \langle r \rangle$ is the quotient map of \mathbb{Z} onto \mathbb{Z}_k).

Proof. Let G be the subgroup of $\text{Ext}^s(A) \times \mathbb{Z}_k$ described in the statement of the proposition. Take $\sigma \in E(A)$, $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and consider

$$\tau = \pi(U_{k,r})(\sigma \otimes \text{id}_k)(\cdot) \pi(U_{k,r}^*): A \otimes M_k \rightarrow Q(H) \otimes M_k.$$

We have $j_* \tau = \rho_r$ and $[i_* \tau] = r[\tilde{\sigma}] + (k-r)[\sigma] = k[\sigma] + r[\sigma_1]$ by (*). It now follows from Lemma 2.1 that the range of γ is G . Now suppose that

$\tau \in E(A \otimes M_k)$ is such that $\gamma([\tau]) = (0,0)$; *i.e.*, that the restrictions of τ to $A \otimes 1_k$ and $1 \otimes M_k$ are trivial. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $\tau = \sigma \otimes \text{id}_k$ for some $\sigma \in E(A)$. But then $[i_* \tau] = k[\sigma] = 0$, so σ must be trivial by our assumption on $\text{Ext}^s(A)$, and we conclude $[\tau] = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5 this is enough to show that $\text{Ext}^s(A \otimes M_k)$ is a group and γ is an isomorphism.

We specialize to the case $A = O_n$.

THEOREM 2.3. $\text{Ext}^s(O_n \otimes M_k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_g$, where g is the greatest common divisor of k and $n - 1$.

Proof. Using the isomorphism m of $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$ with \mathbb{Z} obtained in Section 1 and recalling that $m([\sigma_1]) = n - 1$, we see from the previous proposition that $\text{Ext}^s(O_n \otimes M_k)$ is isomorphic to

$$G = \{(sk + r(n - 1), \langle r \rangle) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_k : r, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is immediate that $(k,0)$ and $(n - 1,1)$ belong to G . Let $x = (n - 1)/g$ and $y = k/g$. Since x and y are relatively prime, we can find $s_0, r_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $s_0 y + r_0 x = 1$ and hence $s_0 k + r_0(n - 1) = g$. We have $s_0(k,0) + r_0(n - 1,1) = (g, \langle r_0 \rangle) \in G$. Further, $y(n - 1, 1) - x(k,0) = (kx - kx, \langle y \rangle) = (0, \langle y \rangle) \in G$. We claim that G is generated by $(g, \langle r_0 \rangle)$ and $(0, \langle y \rangle)$. Every element of G has the form $((sy + rx)g, \langle r \rangle)$ for some $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write

$$((sy + rx)g, \langle r \rangle) = (sy + rx)(g, \langle r_0 \rangle) - r_0 s(0, \langle y \rangle) + (0, \langle r - rr_0 x \rangle).$$

Since $r - rr_0 x = rs_0 y$, this shows that $G = \mathbb{Z}(g, \langle r_0 \rangle) + \mathbb{Z}(0, \langle y \rangle)$, as claimed. This sum is clearly direct, and since $\langle y \rangle$ has order g in \mathbb{Z}_k and $(g, \langle r_0 \rangle)$ has infinite order, the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 2.4. *If k and $n - 1$ are not relatively prime, then $O_n \otimes M_k$ and O_n are non-isomorphic.*

Proof. In this case, $\text{Ext}^s(O_n \otimes M_k)$ contains a nonzero element of finite order and so cannot be isomorphic to $\text{Ext}^s(O_n)$.

Question. Conversely, are $O_n \otimes M_k$ and O_n isomorphic whenever k and $n - 1$ are relatively prime?

We provide a partial answer to this question by showing below that $O_n \otimes M_k$ is isomorphic to O_n at least in the following two cases:

- (i) when k divides n ; and
- (ii) when $k \equiv 1 \pmod{(n - 1)}$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *If k divides n , then O_n and $O_n \otimes M_k$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Our argument is modeled after M. D. Choi's proof in [6] that $O_2 \otimes M_2$ is isomorphic to O_2 . Let $j = n/k$. For $r = 0, 1, \dots, j - 1$ and $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$, let $T_{rk+s} \in O_n \otimes M_k$ be the $k \times k$ matrix whose s^{th} row is

$$S_{rk+1} \quad S_{rk+2} \quad \cdots \quad S_{(r+1)k}$$

and all of whose other rows are zero. One checks easily that each T_i is an isometry,

and that $T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* = I$, so by 1.12 of [7] the C^* -subalgebra A of $O_n \otimes M_k$ generated by the T_i 's is isomorphic to O_n . Further, a straightforward computation shows that for $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the matrix $\sum_{r=0}^{j-1} T_{rk+s} T_{rk+t}^*$ has I in the (s, t) -position and zeros in all other positions, so A contains all of the standard matrix units for $1 \otimes M_k$. Since all of the S 's occur as entries of the T 's, this means that $A = O_n \otimes M_k$.

In [6], Choi considers unitaries u and v on H described as follows. Break H into two isomorphic direct summands; let u be an order-two unitary permuting these. Now break the second direct summand further into two isomorphic direct summands; let v be an order-three unitary that cyclically permutes the resulting three direct summands of H . The proof of our next lemma requires a generalization of this construction in which H is initially broken into k pieces to define a unitary u of order k , with the last of these pieces being broken further into n pieces to define a unitary v of order $k + n - 1$.

LEMMA 2.6. *For any $n, k \geq 2$, $O_n \otimes M_k$ is isomorphic to $O_n \otimes M_{k+n-1}$.*

Proof. Let $H = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_{k-1} \oplus K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n$, where each of the $k + n - 1$ direct summands is isomorphic to H , and let $H_k = K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n$, which we regard as a subspace of H . Let e be the projection of H on H_1 and let $u \in L(H)$ be a unitary of order k that permutes the H_j 's one notch to the left, that is $u^k = I$, $uH_{k-1} = H_{k-2}, \dots, uH_2 = H_1$, and $uH_1 = H_k$. Let v be a unitary of order $k + n - 1$ that permutes the original direct summands one notch to the left, that is $v^{k+n-1} = I$, $vK_n = K_{n-1}, \dots, vK_2 = K_1, vK_1 = H_{k-1}, vH_{k-1} = H_{k-2}, \dots, vH_2 = H_1$, and $vH_1 = K_n$. We further require that $v|_{H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_{k-1}} = u|_{H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_{k-1}}$. Consider the C^* -algebra $C^*(e, u, v)$ generated by e, u and v . We will prove the lemma by showing first that $C^*(e, u, v)$ is isomorphic to $O_n \otimes M_k$ and then that $C^*(e, u, v)$ is isomorphic to $O_n \otimes M_{k+n-1}$.

Identify H_2, H_3, \dots, H_k with H_1 in such a way that u is represented with respect to the decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_k$ by a $k \times k$ matrix of O 's and I 's. The projection e is of course the $k \times k$ matrix with I in the $(1, 1)$ -position and O 's elsewhere. Notice that e and u together generate all of the scalar matrices because u is a complete permutation matrix. The $k \times k$ matrix that represents v in this setting has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & B \\ A & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$

We observe the following:

- (i) $A^*A = I, B^*B + C^*C = I, BB^* = I, AA^* + CC^* = I$ (because v is unitary);

(ii) $C^n = 0$ (because the compression of v to H_k annihilates K_1 and moves K_j to K_{j-1} for $j = 2, \dots, n$);

(iii) $BC^j A = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ (because $v^{j+1} H_1 = K_{n-j}$ is orthogonal to K_1 for such j); and

(iv) $BC^{n-1} A = I$.

(To see that (iv) holds, take $\xi \in H_1$ and set $\bar{\xi} = \xi \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \in H$. We have $v\bar{\xi} = 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus A\xi$ and successive applications of v yield

$$\begin{aligned} v^n \bar{\xi} &= 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus C^{n-1} A\xi, \\ v^{n+1} \bar{\xi} &= 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus BC^{n-1} A\xi \oplus 0, \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\bar{\xi} = v^{n+k-1} \bar{\xi} = BC^{n-1} A\xi \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0.)$$

Define operators T_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) by $T_j = C^{j-1} A$. We claim that $C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is isomorphic to O_n . We have $T_1^* T_1 = A^* A = I$ by (i). For $j = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$T_{j+1}^* T_{j+1} = T_j^* C^* C T_j = T_j^* (I - B^* B) T_j$$

by (i). By (iii), we have $BT_j = 0$ for such j and hence $T_{j+1}^* T_{j+1} = T_j^* T_j$, so by induction the T 's are all isometries. Further, (i) shows that

$$T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C^j (I - CC^*) (C^j)^*,$$

and since $C^n = 0$ (ii), this sum collapses to I . It now follows from 1.12 of [7] that $C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is isomorphic to O_n . We next claim that

$$C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n) = C^*(A, B, C).$$

Certainly $A = T_1 \in C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$. That $B \in C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ follows from (iv), (i) and (ii): $B^* = B^* BC^{n-1} A = (I - C^* C) C^{n-1} A = C^{n-1} A = T_n$. We have $C \in C^*(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ because $CT_n = 0$ by (iii) and thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T_{j+1} T_j^* = C \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} T_j T_j^* = C(I - T_n T_n^*) = C.$$

Since $C^*(e, u, v)$ contains all scalar matrices, we see that $C^*(e, u, v)$ is isomorphic to $C^*(A, B, C) \otimes M_k$ and thus to $O_n \otimes M_k$.

We now consider the decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_{k-1} \oplus K_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K_n$, where all summands are identified with H_1 in such a way that the $(n+k-1) \times (n+k-1)$ matrix which represents v is a complete permutation matrix (with all entries 0 or 1). The matrix for e is the obvious one; e and v generate all scalar

$$(n + k - 1) \times (n + k - 1)$$

matrices. The matrix for u has the form

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} X & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ V_1 \dots V_n \end{matrix} \\ \hline \begin{matrix} W_1 \\ \vdots \\ W_n \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right]$$

where X is $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$ with 1 's on the superdiagonal and 0 's elsewhere. Since u is unitary, the V_j 's are isometries and $V_1 V_1^* + \dots + V_n V_n^* = I$ (so $C^*(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is isomorphic to O_n). For $\xi \in H_1$, let $\bar{\xi} = \xi \oplus 0 \dots \oplus 0$. Successive applications of u to $\bar{\xi}$ yield $\bar{\xi} = u^k \bar{\xi} = (V_1 W_1 \xi + \dots + V_n W_n \xi) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$, so

$$V_1 W_1 + \dots + V_n W_n = I.$$

Since the V 's have orthogonal ranges, we can apply V_j^* on the left to get $V_j^* V_j W_j = W_j = V_j^*$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We conclude that $C^*(e, u, v)$ is isomorphic to $O_n \otimes M_{n+k-1}$. This proves the lemma.

Since $O_n \otimes M_n$ is isomorphic to O_n (by Proposition 2.5), we have as an immediate consequence of the lemma

PROPOSITION 2.7. $O_n \otimes M_k$ is isomorphic to O_n whenever $k \equiv 1 \pmod{(n - 1)}$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Anderson, *A C*-algebra A for which Ext(A) is not a group*. Ann. of Math. 107 (1978), 455-458.
2. W. B. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C*-algebras*. Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), 329-355.
3. L. G. Brown, *Extensions and the structure of C*-algebras*. Symposia Mathematica Volume XX, Academic Press, New York, 1976, 539-566.
4. L. G. Brown, R. G. Douglas, and P. A. Fillmore, *Extensions of C*-algebras and K-homology*. Ann. of Math. (2) 105 (1977), 265-324.
5. J. W. Calkin, *Two-sided ideals and congruences in the ring of bounded operators in Hilbert space*. Ann. of Math. (2) 42 (1941), 839-872.
6. M. D. Choi, *A simple C*-algebra generated by two finite-order unitaries*. Canadian J. Math., to appear.
7. J. Cuntz, *Simple C*-algebras generated by isometries*. Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977), 173-185.
8. M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *The Ext-groups of some C*-algebras considered by J. Cuntz*, preprint.

9. J. Rosenberg, *Amenability of crossed products of C^* -algebras*. Comm. Math. Phys. 57 (1977), 187–191.
10. N. Salinas, *Hypoconvexity and essentially n -normal operators*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
11. F. J. Thayer, *Obstructions to lifting $*$ -morphisms into the Calkin algebra*. Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976), 322–328.
12. D. Voiculescu, *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann theorem*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 21 (1976), 97–113.

Department of Mathematics
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas 66045