ON INNER FUNCTIONS WITH BP DERIVATIVE

P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark

As the title suggests, this paper contains results similar to those in [2], with the spaces H^p replaced by B^p . The basic problem we consider is that of determining the B^p classes (p>0) to which the derivative ϕ' of an inner function ϕ in the unit disk belongs. Recall that the space B^p is by definition the class of functions f(z) analytic in the unit disk U and satisfying

$$\|f\|_{p} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| (1-r)^{1/p-2} d\theta dr < \infty.$$

(Here and in what follows, $d\theta$ denotes normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle.)

M. R. Cullen [8] first considered the problem of determining the B^p classes of ϕ' , for ϕ a singular inner function, and he conjectured that $\phi' \not\in B^{1/2}$ for such a function. Cullen's idea was to use this to prove a conjecture of J. G. Caughran and A. L. Shields [6] to the effect that $\phi' \not\in H^{1/2}$. H. A. Allen and C. L. Belna [3] disproved Cullen's conjecture by giving examples of singular inner functions ϕ with $\phi' \in B^p$ for all p < 2/3. The conjecture that $\phi' \not\in B^{2/3}$ for inner functions with singular factors then seemed reasonable (see, for instance, Caughran and Shields [7]). Finally, D. Protas [11] gave a sufficient condition for $\phi' \in B^p$ (p > 1/2) for ϕ a Blaschke product. (For p < 1/2, we have $\phi' \in B^p$ for any inner function [9, Theorem 5].)

In this paper we prove that if ϕ has a singular factor, then $\phi' \notin B^{2/3}$. To do this we develop (in Section 1) an integrated analogue of the angular derivative, the latter having been used in [2] to prove, among other things, the $H^{1/2}$ conjecture of Caughran and Shields. The methods of Section 1 are also applied to give a sufficient condition for the relation $\phi' \in B^p$ for ϕ a singular inner function (Section 3), to give a partial converse to Protas' condition for Blaschke products (Section 4), and to show that both Protas' condition and the partial converse are "best possible" (Sections 4 and 5).

The original $\mathrm{H}^{1/2}$ conjecture of Caughran and Shields in [6] arose in connection with problems on exceptional sets, and the solution of the $\mathrm{B}^{2/3}$ conjecture has applications to exceptional sets, as did our solution of the $\mathrm{H}^{1/2}$ conjecture in [2]. These applications are discussed in Section 2.

Throughout this paper, the similarity of our results with those in [2] is apparent; however, it seems unlikely that the results of the present paper can be obtained directly from those in [2]. One reason for this is our example (Lemma 2) of a Blaschke product B with B' ϵ B^{2/3} but B' \notin H^{1/2}.

Received September 15, 1975.

This research was supported by NSF Grants.

Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976).

PART I. INNER FUNCTIONS

1. *Preliminaries*. In [2], it was seen that the classical angular derivative of an inner function ϕ was a useful tool in determining necessary conditions for the relation $\phi' \in H^P$. We introduce here an integrated analogue of the angular derivative which bears a similar relationship to the class B^P .

Let ϕ be an inner function and 1/2 . We define

$$I_p(\phi, \theta) = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - |\phi(re^{i\theta})|}{1 - r} (1 - r)^{1/p-2} dr.$$

The relationship between $I_p(\phi, \theta)$ and the main problem of the present paper is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. If ϕ is inner and if 1/2 , then

(1)
$$\|\phi'\|_{p} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{2\pi} I_{p}(\phi, \theta) d\theta \leq 2p(2p-1)^{-1} \|\phi'\|_{p}.$$

Proof. The inequality

$$|\phi'(z)| \le (1 - |\phi(z)|^2)/(1 - |z|^2) \le 2(1 - |\phi(z)|)/(1 - |z|)$$

holds, for any function ϕ holomorphic and bounded by 1 in the unit disk [4, p. 18], and it implies the first inequality in (1). To prove the second, we note first that, since ϕ is inner,

$$1 - |\phi(\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| \le \int_r^1 |\phi'(\operatorname{te}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| \, \mathrm{dt} \quad \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence we have the inequalities

$$\begin{split} I_p(\phi,\;\theta) &\leq \int_0^1 \; (1-r)^{1/p-3} \; \int_r^1 \; \left| \phi'(t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &= \int_0^1 \; \left| \phi'(t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \; \int_0^t \; (1-r)^{1/p-3} \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= p(2p-1)^{-1} \; \int_0^1 \; \left| \phi'(t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \; [(1-t)^{1/p-2} - 1] \mathrm{d}t \\ &\leq p(2p-1)^{-1} \; \int_0^1 \; \left| \phi'(t \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \; (1-t)^{1/p-2} \, \mathrm{d}t \; , \end{split}$$

and integrating with respect to θ yields the second inequality in (1).

One example of the use of $I_p(\phi, \theta)$ is the following corollary of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose ϕ is inner and $1/2 . Then the relation <math>\phi' \in B^p$ implies $\psi' \in B^p$ for any divisor ψ of ϕ .

Proof. If ψ is a divisor of ϕ , we have that $|\psi(z)| \ge |\phi(z)|$ for all |z| < 1, and hence that

$$I_p(\psi, \theta) \leq I_p(\phi, \theta)$$
.

The result now follows from Theorem 1.

Next we use Theorem 1 to give a "geometric" criterion which is necessary in order that the derivative of an inner function belong to B^p . The criterion involves the $R(\delta, \gamma, \zeta)$ regions of G. T. Cargo [5]. If $\delta > 0$, $\gamma \ge 1$ and $|\zeta| = 1$, we have, by definition,

$$R(\delta, \gamma, \zeta) = \{z: 1 - |z| > \delta |arg(\zeta - z)|^{\gamma}\}.$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose that ϕ is inner and that $|\phi(z)|$ is bounded away from 1 in $R(\delta, \gamma, \zeta)$, for some δ, γ , and ζ , with $\delta > 0$, $\gamma \ge 1$ and $|\zeta| = 1$. Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - |\phi(re^{i\, heta})|) d heta \geq \epsilon (1 - r)^{1/\gamma}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. In particular $\phi' \notin B^{\gamma/(2\gamma-1)}$.

H. Somadasa [12] has studied conditions sufficient for $|\phi|$ to tend to 0 uniformly in a region R(δ , γ , ζ); we shall refer to some of his results later in the construction of examples.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha_r = \{\theta: |\theta - \theta_0| < [(1 - r)/\delta]^{1/\gamma}\}$$

and suppose that $|\phi(z)| \leq \rho < 1$ in $R(\delta, \gamma, \zeta)$, where $\zeta = e^{i\theta_0}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| \,\mathrm{d}\theta &\leq \int_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}} |\phi(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| \,\mathrm{d}\theta + \int_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{C}}} |\phi(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &\leq \rho |\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}| + 1 - |\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}| = 1 - (1 - \rho) |\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}| \\ &= 1 - (2/\delta^{1/\gamma}) (1 - \rho) (1 - \mathbf{r})^{1/\gamma}, \end{split}$$

and the theorem follows.

COROLLARY 2. If $\phi_{\lambda}(z) = \exp[-\lambda(\zeta + z)/(\zeta - z)]$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $|\zeta| = 1$, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left|\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})\right|\right) \mathrm{d}\theta \, \geq \, \epsilon (1 - \mathbf{r})^{1/2} \, .$$

In particular, if ϕ is any inner function having ϕ_{λ} as a divisor, then $\phi' \notin B^{2/3}$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $|\phi_{\lambda}|$ is bounded from 1 in R(δ , 2, ζ), for some $\delta > 0$. Now $|\phi_{\lambda}(z)| = \exp[-\lambda(1-|z|^2)/|\zeta-z|^2]$, and

$$\{z: (1 - |z|^2)/|\zeta - z|^2 > 1/2\}$$

is a disk inside U and tangent to ∂ U at ζ . It is not hard to see that, for suitable δ , $R(\delta, 2, \zeta)$ lies inside this disk.

2. Inner functions. In this section, we prove a slightly strengthened version of the $\mathrm{B}^{2/3}$ conjecture. We begin with a simple inequality for the Poisson integral of a measure.

LEMMA 1. If σ is a positive measure and $1/2 \le r < 1$, and if

$$|e^{i\theta} - e^{i\lambda}| > (1 - r)^{1/2}$$

for all $\lambda \in \text{supp } \sigma$, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \; (1 \, - \, r^2) \; \left| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \, \lambda} \, - \, r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \, \theta} \, \right|^{-2} \, d\sigma(\lambda) \, \leq 4 \; \int_0^{2\pi} \; d\sigma \; .$$

Proof. We have the inequalities

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} \; (1 - \mathbf{r}^2) \; \left| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} \; - \; \mathrm{r}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} \, \right|^{\,-2} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \; &= \; \int_0^{2\pi} \; (1 - \mathbf{r}^2) / [(1 - \mathbf{r}^2) + \mathbf{r} \; \left| \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} \; - \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} \, \right|^2] \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \\ &\leq \; \int_0^{2\pi} \; (1 - \mathbf{r}^2) / \left[(1 - \mathbf{r})^2 + \mathbf{r}(1 - \mathbf{r}) \right] \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \\ &\leq \; 2\mathbf{r}^{\,-1} \, \int_0^{2\pi} \; \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \; . \end{split}$$

THEOREM 3. If ϕ is an inner function that is not a Blaschke product, then, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - |\phi(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta})|) d\theta \geq \varepsilon (1 - \mathbf{r})^{1/2}.$$

In particular $\phi' \notin B^{2/3}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2, we may assume ϕ has no divisor of the form $\phi_{\lambda}(z) = \exp[-\lambda(\zeta + z)/(\zeta - z)]$. Suppose, therefore, that ϕ has a divisor of the form

$$\psi(z) = \exp \left[-\int_0^{2\pi} (e^{i\lambda} + z)/(e^{i\lambda} - z) d\sigma(\lambda) \right],$$

where σ is a singular measure with no atoms. By the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [1] (specifically, by the second and ninth lines following (1.4) on p. 194 of [1]), we may write

$$\frac{1 - |\psi(\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})|^2}{1 - \mathrm{r}^2} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|\psi_{\lambda}(\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\theta})|^2}{|\operatorname{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} - \operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}|^2} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda),$$

where
$$\psi_{\lambda}(z) = \exp \left[- \int_{0}^{\lambda} (e^{it} + z)/(e^{it} - z) d\sigma(t) \right]$$
.

Without loss of generality, we may suppose the measure σ to carry some mass on the interval $(0, \pi)$. We have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left| \phi(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \right) \mathrm{d}\theta \, &\geq \, \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left| \psi(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right| \right) \mathrm{d}\theta \, \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left| \psi(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right|^2 \right) \mathrm{d}\theta \, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \psi_\lambda(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right|^2 \left(1 - \mathrm{r}^2\right) \, \left| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} - \mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} \right|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \, \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \int_{\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(\lambda)} \left| \psi_\lambda(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) \right|^2 \, \left(1 - \mathrm{r}^2\right) \, \left| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} - \mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} \right|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda), \end{split}$$

where $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(\lambda) = \left\{ \theta \colon (1-\mathbf{r})^{1/2} \le \left| e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} - e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} \right| \le 2(1-\mathbf{r})^{1/2} \right\}$. By Lemma 1, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\psi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})|^2 \ge \epsilon$, if $\theta \in \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}(\lambda)$, and we arrive at the relations

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left|\phi(\mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})\right|\right) \mathrm{d}\theta \, &\geq \, (\epsilon/2) \, \int_0^\pi \int_{\alpha_\mathbf{r}(\lambda)} \left(1 - \mathbf{r}^2\right) \, \left|e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda} - \mathbf{r}e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}\right|^{-2} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \\ &= (\epsilon/2) \, \int_0^\pi \int_{\alpha_\mathbf{r}(\lambda)} \left(1 - \mathbf{r}\right) / \left[(1 - \mathbf{r})^2 + \mathbf{r} \, \left|e^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta} - e^{\mathrm{i}\lambda}\right|^2\right] \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \\ &\geq (\epsilon/8\mathbf{r}) \, \int_0^\pi \left|\alpha_\mathbf{r}(\lambda)\right| \, \mathrm{d}\sigma(\lambda) \, \geq \, \epsilon_0 (1 - \mathbf{r})^{1/2} \end{split}$$

if $r \ge 1/2$, since $|\alpha_r(\lambda)| \ge c(1-r)^{1/2}$ for some constant c, and $\int_0^{\pi} d\sigma(\lambda) > 0$. This completes the proof.

As stated in the introduction, one point of interest in the $B^{2/3}$ conjecture is its relationship to exceptional sets. We now give an application of Theorem 3 in this direction. Recall that, for an inner function ϕ , we define the exceptional set $E(\phi)$ as

$$E(\phi) = \{ \mu : |\mu| < 1 \text{ and } (\phi - \mu)/(1 - \bar{\mu}\phi) \text{ is not a Blaschke product} \}.$$

See [2] for a brief discussion of $E(\phi)$.

COROLLARY 3. If ϕ is inner and satisfies $\phi' \in B^{2/3}$, then $E(\phi) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let
$$\phi_{\mu} = (\phi - \mu)/(1 - \bar{\mu}\phi)$$
. Then

$$|\phi'_{\mu}| = (1 - |\mu|^2) |\phi'| |1 - \bar{\mu}\phi|^{-2} \le c |\phi'|,$$

so that $\phi' \in B^{2/3}$ implies $\phi'_\mu \in B^{2/3}$. Theorem 3 now tells us that ϕ_μ must be a Blaschke product.

COROLLARY 4. If B is a Blaschke product with zeros $\{a_n\}$ and if $\Sigma(1 - |a_n|)^{1/2} < \infty$, then $E(B) = \emptyset$.

Proof. The condition $\Sigma(1 - |a_n|)^{1/2} < \infty$ implies $B' \in B^{2/3}$, by a theorem of Protas [11] (to which we shall return in Section 4).

In [2, Theorem 6], we proved that if ϕ is an inner function satisfying $\phi' \in H^{1/2}$, then $E(\phi) = \emptyset$. Combining this with another theorem of Protas ([11, Theorem 2]) we see that [2, Theorem 6] implies a result very close to Corollary 4: *if* B *is* a Blaschke product with $\Sigma(1 - |a_n|)^p < \infty$ for some p < 1/2, then $E(B) = \emptyset$. We assert that Corollary 4 above is actually stronger than [2, Theorem 6]. Indeed, there exist Blaschke products B with $\Sigma(1 - |a_n|)^{1/2} < \infty$ (hence with $B' \in B^{2/3}$) but with $B' \notin H^{1/2}$. The existence of such B is obtained from the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Suppose a sequence $\{d_n\}$ is given, with $1>d_n>0,\ \Sigma\,d_n^{1/2}<\infty$ and $\Sigma\,d_n^{1/2}\log(1/d_n)=\infty.$ Then there is a Blaschke product B with zeros $\{a_n\}$ satisfying $1-\left|a_n\right|=d_n$ (in particular $B'\in B^{2/3}$) and with $B'\not\in H^{1/2}$. Moreover, the a_n may be chosen so that $a_n\to 1$ as $n\to\infty.$

Proof. Assume for convenience that $\sum d_n^{1/2} < \pi/2$, and define

$$\theta_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} d_k^{1/2}$$
 and $a_n = (1 - d_n) e^{i\theta_n}$.

By Lemma 1 of [2], we need only show that

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n / [d_n^2 + (\theta - \theta_n)^2] \notin L^{1/2}.$$

Now, in the interval (θ_{n+1}, θ_n) , we have

$$f(\theta) > d_n/[d_n^2 + (\theta - \theta_n)^2]$$
,

so that

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta)^{1/2} d\theta &\geq \sum_n d_n^{1/2} \int_{\theta_{n+1}}^{\theta_n} \left[d_n^2 + (\theta - \theta_n)^2 \right]^{-1/2} d\theta \\ &= \sum_n d_n^{1/2} \int_0^{d_n^{1/2}} (d_n^2 + t^2)^{-1/2} dt \\ &= \sum_n d_n^{1/2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log(1/d_n) + \log(1 + (1 + d_n^2)^{1/2}) \right] = \infty \,. \end{split}$$

3. Singular inner functions. In this section, we show how Theorem 1 may be used to obtain a sufficient condition for the relation $\phi' \in B^P$, when ϕ is a singular inner function. Our theorem contains as a special case the result of Allen and Belna [3] that if the singular measure associated with ϕ consists of a finite number of point masses, then $\phi' \in B^P$ for all p < 2/3. It also enables us to give examples of singular inner functions ϕ having purely nonatomic singular measures and satisfying $\phi' \in B^P$, for all p < 2/3.

We say a compact subset E of $[0, 2\pi]$ is of type β $(0 < \beta \le 1)$ if there is a constant c such that $|E_{\mathcal{E}}| \le c\epsilon^{\beta}$, where

$$E_{\varepsilon} = \{\theta : dist(\theta, E) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Roughly speaking, if β is close to 1, then a set E of type β has small ϵ -neighborhoods. For example, if E = $\{0, 1/2, 1/3, \cdots\}$, then E is of type 1/2, but of no larger type; if E = $\{0, 1/2, 1/4, \cdots\}$, then E is of type β for all $\beta < 1$; and E is finite if and only if E is of type 1.

THEOREM 4. Suppose σ is a singular measure carried on a set E of type β ($\beta>0$). Let ϕ be the corresponding singular inner function. Then there is a constant c such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \left| \phi(re^{i\,\theta}) \right|) d\theta \, \leq c (1 - r)^q$$

for all $q > \beta/2$. In particular, $\phi' \in B^p$ for all $p < 2/(4 - \beta)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{\sigma}$ denote the Poisson integral of σ :

$$\hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - \mathbf{r}^2) |e^{i\lambda} - \mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}|^{-2} d\sigma(\lambda).$$

There is a constant c such that

$$\hat{\sigma}(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta}) < \mathrm{c}(1-\mathrm{r})\,\mathrm{d}(\theta)^{-2}$$

where $d(\theta)$ is the distance from θ to E. Now

$$|\phi(\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})| = \exp[-\hat{\sigma}(\operatorname{re}^{\mathrm{i}\,\theta})],$$

and since $1 - e^{-x} \le x$ for $x \ge 0$, we have the inequality

1 -
$$|\phi(re^{i\theta})| < \min\{1, \hat{\sigma}(re^{i\theta})\}$$
.

Now fix $q<\beta/2$, and define a sequence γ_0 , γ_1 , \cdots , by: $\gamma_0=q/\beta$ and, if γ_0 , \cdots , γ_{k-1} are defined, $\gamma_k=\gamma_0+\beta^{-1}(2\gamma_{k-1}-1)$. Notice that $\gamma_0<1/2$ and hence that $\epsilon_0=-\beta^{-1}(2\gamma_0-1)>0$. We assert that

(2)
$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \gamma_0 - k \varepsilon_0 \qquad (\mathbf{k} = 0, 1, \cdots).$$

For k = 0, this is clear. Proceeding by induction, suppose that (2) holds for a given value of k. Then

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{k+1} &= \gamma_0 + \beta^{-1}(2\gamma_{k-1} - 1) \leq \gamma_0 + \beta^{-1}(2(\gamma_0 - k\epsilon_0) - 1) \\ &= \gamma_0 - \epsilon_0 - 2k\epsilon_0 \beta^{-1} \leq \gamma_0 - (k+1)\epsilon_0 \,, \end{split}$$

since $2/\beta > 1$. This proves (2), which we use to infer that eventually we have $\gamma_k < 0$. Corresponding to the γ_k , define a sequence of sets

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_0 = \left\{\theta \colon d(\theta) \le (1-r)^{\gamma_0}\right\} \\ &\alpha_k = \left\{\theta \colon (1-r)^{\gamma_{k-1}} < d(\theta) \le (1-r)^{\gamma_k}\right\} \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots). \end{split}$$

Since eventually $\gamma_k < 0$, a finite number of the α_i will cover $[0, 2\pi]$, the number required being independent of r.

Now we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\alpha_0} \; & (1 - |\phi(\mathbf{r} e^{i\,\theta})|) \, d\theta \, \leq \, |\alpha_0| \, \leq \, (1 - \mathbf{r})^{\gamma_0\,\beta} \, = \, (1 - \mathbf{r})^q \, , \\ \int_{\alpha_k} \; & (1 - |\phi(\mathbf{r} e^{i\,\theta})|) \, d\theta \, \leq \, c (1 - \mathbf{r}) \, (1 - \mathbf{r})^{-2\gamma_{k-1}} \, |\alpha_k| \\ & \leq c (1 - \mathbf{r})^{1 - 2\gamma_{k-1} + \gamma_k \beta} \, \leq \, c (1 - \mathbf{r})^q \, , \end{split}$$

and hence

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left|\phi(\mathrm{re}^{\mathrm{i}\, heta})\right|\right) \mathrm{d} heta \leq \mathrm{c}(1 - \mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{q}}$$
 .

The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 5. If supp σ is of type β for every $\beta < 1$, then $\phi' \in B^p$, for all p < 2/3.

If supp σ is a finite set, then Corollary 5 yields the above mentioned result of Allen and Belna. We now show how to construct a set E which is of type β for every eta < 1 and which supports a continuous measure. Take a sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ with $\delta_0 = 2\pi$ and $\delta_n \downarrow 0$ and construct a Cantor set in the usual way: E_n consists of 2^n intervals each of length $2^{-n}\delta_n$ and E_{n+1} is obtained from E_n by deleting an open interval from the center of each of the intervals in E_n , so that E_{n+1} consists of 2^{n+1} intervals, each of length $2^{-(n+1)}\delta_{n+1}$. Let $E=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty}E_n$. If $\epsilon>0$ is given, choose n

so that

$$2^{\text{-(n+1)}} \, \delta_{n+1} \, \le \, \epsilon \, < \, 2^{\text{-n}} \, \delta_{n} \, .$$

Thus

$$|E_{\epsilon}| \leq 3\delta_n = 3(\delta_n/\delta_{n+1}) \, 2^{n+1} (2^{-(n+1)} \, \delta_{n+1}) \leq 3 \cdot 2^{n+1} (\delta_n/\delta_{n+1}) \, \epsilon \, .$$

Now pick $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta_n = 2^n \rho^{n^2}$. We have

$$\big|E_{\epsilon}\big| \, \leq \, 3 \cdot 2^{n+1} \, [2^n \rho^{n^2}/(2^{n+1} \, \rho^{(n+1)^2})] \epsilon \, = \, 3(2^n/\rho^{2n+1}) \, \epsilon \, = \, 3\rho^{-1} (2/\rho^2)^n \, \epsilon \, .$$

Since $\varepsilon < \rho^{n^2}$, we have $\log \varepsilon < n^2 \log \rho$ and $n^2 < \log \varepsilon / \log \rho$ so that

$$(2/\rho^2)^n \leq \exp\left[(\log \varepsilon/\log \rho)^{1/2}\log(2/\rho^2)\right] = \exp\left[\alpha(\log \varepsilon^{-1})^{1/2}\right]$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. It follows easily that for every $\beta < 1$, there is a constant C such that

$$|E_{\varepsilon}| \leq C \varepsilon^{\beta}$$
.

Thus E is of type β and the Cantor function on E induces the required type of measure σ .

PART II. BLASCHKE PRODUCTS

4. Arbitrary Blaschke products. In this section, we consider a Blaschke product B(z) with zeros $\{a_n\}$; $1 - |a_n|$ will be denoted by d_n . As in [2, Section 3], we deal with two theorems giving a sufficient condition for $B' \in B^p$ and a partial converse. Both theorems are shown to be the best possible of their type. The first theorem is due to Protas [11], and we include only the statement, as the use of Theorem 1 does not appear to simplify Protas' proof.

THEOREM 5. Suppose $\Sigma d_n^{\alpha} < \infty$, for some $\alpha < 1$. Then $B' \in B^{1/(1+\alpha)}$.

THEOREM 6. Suppose B' ϵ B^p, for some p>2/3. Then $\Sigma d_n^{\alpha}<\infty$ for all $\alpha>(1$ - p)/(2p - 1).

Before beginning the proof, we prove a lemma.

LEMMA 3. If $\rho > 1$, if 0 < x < 1, and if $\rho \log(1/x) \le \log 2$, then $1 - x^{\rho} \ge (\rho/2)(1 - x)$.

Proof. We have the relations

$$1 - x^{\rho} = \rho \int_{x}^{1} t^{\rho - 1} dt \ge \rho (1 - x) x^{\rho - 1} \ge \rho (1 - x) x^{\rho} \ge (\rho / 2) (1 - x)$$

if $x^{\rho} \ge 1/2$, that is, if $\rho \log(1/x) \ge \log 2$.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $\alpha_0=\inf\left\{\alpha\colon \Sigma\, d_n^\alpha<\infty\right\}$, and suppose that $\alpha_0>(1-p)/(2p-1)$. Choose $\alpha>\alpha_0$ (so $\Sigma\, d_n^\alpha<\infty$) and let $\rho_n=d_n^{\alpha-1}$. Then $\prod |a_n|^{\rho_n}$ converges, since

$$\log |a_n|^{\rho_n} = \rho_n \log |a_n| = d_n^{\alpha} [(\log |a_n|)/d_n].$$

In order to estimate the partial products of B from below, we want to find the least number \mathbf{r}_i such that

$$\frac{|z| - |a_{j}|}{1 - |a_{j}| |z|} \ge |a_{j}|^{\rho_{j}},$$

for all $|z| \ge r_i$. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$r_j = (|a_j| + |a_j|^{\rho_j})/(1 + |a_j|^{1+\rho_j}).$$

Now if we assume, as we may, that the $|a_j|$ form an increasing sequence it follows easily that the numbers \mathbf{r}_i form an increasing sequence also. So, if

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (z - a_j)/(1 - \bar{a}_j z)$$
, then,

$$\left|B_{n}(z)\right| \geq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left|\frac{z-a_{j}}{1-\bar{a}_{j}z}\right| \geq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left|z\right|-\left|a_{j}\right|}{1-\left|a_{j}\right|\left|z\right|} \geq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left|a_{j}\right|^{\rho_{j}} \geq \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left|a_{j}\right|^{\rho_{j}} = \epsilon_{0} > 0$$

if $|z| \ge r_{n-1}$.

Next we observe that, for every n,

$$\frac{1 - |B(re^{i\theta})|^2}{1 - r^2} \ge \frac{1 - |B_n(re^{i\theta})|^2}{1 - r^2} = \sum_{j=1}^n |B_j(re^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{1 - |a_j|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}_j re^{i\theta}|^2},$$

the last equality being easily proved by induction. If we let n go to infinity we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{1 - |B(re^{i\theta})|^2}{1 - r^2} \ge \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |B_j(re^{i\theta})|^2 \frac{1 - |a_j|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}_j re^{i\theta}|^2}.$$

(Equality actually holds, as can be proved by the methods of [1]; we do not use this fact however.) Now multiply both sides by $(1 - r)^{1/p-2}$ and integrate to get

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} I_p(B,\,\theta)\,d\theta \, &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_n \int_{r_n}^1 \frac{\left|B_n(re^{i\,\theta})\right|^2 (1-\left|a_n\right|^2) (1-r)^{1/p-2}}{\left|1-\bar{a}_n re^{i\,\theta}\right|^2} \,dr\,d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0^2 \sum_n \int_{r_n}^1 (1-r)^{1/p-2} \int_0^{2\pi} (1-\left|a_n\right|^2)/\left|1-\bar{a}_n re^{i\,\theta}\right|^2 d\theta \,dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0^2 \sum_n (1-\left|a_n\right|^2) \int_{r_n}^1 (1-r)^{1/p-2}/(1-\left|a_n\right|^2r^2) \,dr \\ &\geq \epsilon_1 \sum_n d_n \int_{r_n}^1 (1-r)^{1/p-2}/\left[d_n+(1-r)\right] dr \\ &= \epsilon_1 \sum_n d_n^{1/p-1} \int_0^{(1-r_n)/d_n} (t^{1/p-2})/(1+t) \,dt \\ &\geq \epsilon_2 \sum_n d_n^{1/p-1} \int_0^{(1-r_n)/d_n} t^{1/p-2} dt = \epsilon_2 \sum_n (1-r_n)^{1/p-1} \,. \end{split}$$

Computing 1 - r_n gives

$$1 - r_n = d_n(1 - |a_n|^{\rho_n})/(1 + |a_n|^{1+\rho_n}) \ge (1/4) d_n \rho_n d_n$$

(by Lemma 3) so that $1 - r_n \ge (1/4) d_n^{1+\alpha}$. It follows that

$$\sum d_n^{(1+\alpha)(1/p-1)} < \infty,$$

for any $\alpha>\alpha_0$. But if $\alpha_0>(1-p)/(2p-1)$, then $(1+\alpha_0)(1/p-1)<\alpha_0$ and hence $(1+\alpha)(1/p-1)<\alpha_0$ for all α such that $\alpha<\alpha_0+\epsilon$. But this contradicts the definition of α_0 , and completes the proof.

We shall now show (in the rest of this section and in the next) that Theorems 5 and 6 represent the best possible results obtainable for general Blaschke products, involving a relationship between $B' \in B^p$ and $\sum d_n^{\alpha} < \infty$.

To show Theorem 5 is best possible, we will show that if $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0 < 1$, there is a Blaschke product B such that $\sum d_n^{\alpha_0} < \infty$, but $B' \notin B^{1/(1+\alpha)}$. In fact, choose γ and β with $\gamma > 1$, $\beta > 1$ and $(\beta - 1)/\beta < 1/\gamma$. Somadasa has shown [12] that there exists a Blaschke product B such that $d_n = n^{-\beta}$ and B(z) tends uniformly to 0, as $z \to 1$, $z \in R(\delta, \gamma, 1)$, for any $\delta > 0$. By Theorem 2, this implies $B' \notin B^{\gamma/(2\gamma-1)}$. If we let $(\alpha + 1)^{-1} = \gamma/(2\gamma - 1)$, we see that there is a Blaschke product B with $d_n = n^{-\beta}$ and $B' \notin B^{1/(1+\alpha)}$, as long as $\alpha\beta < 1$. So our goal is achieved if we pick β so that $\alpha\beta < 1$ but $\alpha_0 \beta > 1$.

Theorem 6 will be shown to be best possible in the next section.

5. Blaschke products with $\arg a_n$ in a set of type β . In this section, we obtain a sufficient condition for B' to belong to B^P, in case the arguments of the zeros lie in a set of type β (as defined in Section 3). In the case of a set of type β for all $\beta < 1$, this condition yields the same degree of convergence of $\sum d_n^{\alpha}$ as our general necessary condition (Theorem 6), and so in the case of real zeros, parallelism with the problem of B' ϵ H^P [2, Section 4] is again to be noted.

THEOREM 7. Suppose B is a Blaschke product with zeros $\{a_n\}$ having $\arg a_n \in E$ for some set E of type β $(0 < \beta \le 1)$. Suppose also that $\sum d_n^{\alpha} < \infty$, for some α $(0 < \alpha \le 1)$. Then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \left| \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{re}^{\,\mathrm{i}\, heta}) \right| \right) \mathrm{d} heta \, \leq \, \mathrm{c}(1 - \mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{q}}$$

for all $q < \beta/(1+\alpha)$. In particular, $B' \in B^p$ for

$$p < (1 + \alpha)/(2 + 2\alpha - \beta)$$
.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4 and will only be sketched. First by [10, p. 170],

1 -
$$|B(re^{i\theta})| \le 4 \sum d_n(1 - r) |1 - \bar{a}_n re^{i\theta}|^{-2} \equiv \tau(re^{i\theta})$$
,

and so we get the inequality

$$1 - |B(re^{i\theta})| < min\{1, \tau(re^{i\theta})\}.$$

Now by the proof of [2, Theorem 11], there is a constant c such that

$$\tau(re^{i\theta}) \le c(1 - r)/d(\theta)^{1+\alpha}$$
,

since $\sum d_n^{\alpha} < \infty$ (recall that $d(\theta) = dist(\theta, E)$). Choose

$$\gamma_0 = q/\beta$$
 and $\gamma_k = \gamma_0 + \beta^{-1} [\gamma_{k-1}(1+\alpha) - 1]$,

$$\alpha_0 = \left\{ \theta \colon d(\theta) < (1-r)^{\gamma_0} \right\} \quad \text{ and } \quad \alpha_k = \left\{ \theta \colon (1-r)^{\gamma_{k-1}} \le d(\theta) < (1-r)^{\gamma_k} \right\},$$

and proceed as in the proof of Theorem 4.

COROLLARY 6. If B(z) is a Blaschke product with $\arg a_n$ belonging to some set E of type β for all $\beta < 1$, then B' ϵ B^p for all p < 2/3. If in addition $\sum d_n^{\alpha} < \infty$, then B' ϵ B^p for $p < (1+\alpha)/(1+2\alpha)$.

We remark that there exist Blaschke products with B' $\not\in$ B^p for p > 1/2 (even with $a_n \to 1$). An example can be got from Somadasa's Example 2 [12, p. 299], together with Theorem 2.

Added in proof. Since submission of this paper, we learned that Corollary 2 had been obtained previously by C. L. Belna, in his paper *The derivative of the atomic function is in* B^p *iff* 0 , to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. R. Ahern and D. N. Clark, On functions orthogonal to invariant subspaces. Acta Math. 124 (1970), 191-204.
- 2. ——, On inner functions with H^p-derivative. Michigan Math. J. 21 (1974), 115-127.
- 3. H. A. Allen and C. L. Belna, Singular inner functions with derivative in B^p. Michigan Math. J. 19 (1972), 185-188.
- 4. C. Carathéodory, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*. Volume 2. Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, N.Y., 1954.
- 5. G. T. Cargo, Angular and tangential limits of Blaschke products and their successive derivatives. Canad. J. Math. 14 (1962), 334-348.
- 6. J. G. Caughran and A. L. Shields, Singular inner factors of analytic functions. Michigan Math. J. 16 (1969), 409-410.
- 7. ——, Singular measures and singular inner functions. Unpublished handwritten manuscript, 1972.
- 8. M. R. Cullen, Derivatives of singular inner functions. Michigan Math. J. 18 (1971), 283-287.
- 9. P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg and A. L. Shields, Linear functionals on H^p spaces with 0 . J. Reine Angew. Math. 238 (1969), 32-60.
- 10. O. Frostman, Sur les produits de Blaschke. Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. i Lund Förh. 12, no. 15 (1942), 169-182.
- 11. D. Protas, Blaschke products with derivative in H^p and B^p. Michigan Math. J. 20 (1973), 393-396.
- 12. H. Somadasa, Blaschke products with zero tangential limits. J. London Math. Soc. 41 (1966), 293-303.

University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 and University of Georgia Athens, Georgia 30602