

# MULTIPLICATIONS ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

E. Rees

In this paper, we consider the collection of multiplications on projective spaces. The results of [1] imply that the only projective spaces that admit a multiplication are the real projective spaces  $P^n$ , for  $n = 1, 3$ , and  $7$ . We describe the collection of multiplications on  $P^3$  as a group and determine the number of multiplications on  $P^7$ . C. M. Naylor [4] previously found the number of multiplications on  $P^3$ .

1. Let  $\phi: X \vee X \rightarrow X$  denote the "folding" map.

A multiplication on a space  $X$  is a map  $\mu: X \times X \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mu \mid X \vee X = \phi$ . Two multiplications on a space  $X$  are said to be homotopic if they are homotopic as maps relative to  $X \vee X$ .

M. Arkowitz and C. R. Curjel showed in [2] that if  $X$  is a finite CW-complex admitting a multiplication, then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the set of homotopy classes of multiplications on  $X$  and the homotopy set  $[X \wedge X, X]$ . When  $X$  has a homotopy associative multiplication,  $[X \wedge X, X]$  has a group structure.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $M$  be a smooth, connected manifold of dimension  $n$ , and let  $M_0$  be  $M$  with an open disc removed. If there exists a smooth embedding  $f: M \rightarrow S^{m+n}$  with trivial normal bundle, then  $S^m M \simeq S^m M_0 \vee S^{n+m}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  denote a closed tubular neighbourhood of the embedding, and let  $T$  denote the Thom complex of the normal bundle.  $T$  is  $N/\partial N$  by definition, and it is homotopically equivalent to  $S^m \vee S^m M$ . If  $D$  is a small disc of dimension  $m+n$  lying in the interior of  $N$ , the space  $T - D$  is homotopically equivalent to  $S^m \vee S^m M_0$ . The attaching map of  $D$  is homotopically trivial in  $S^{n+m}$  and therefore is also trivial in  $T$ . This proves that

$$S^m \vee S^m M \simeq S^m \vee S^m M_0 \vee S^{n+m},$$

and therefore we have that  $S^m M \simeq S^m M_0 \vee S^{n+m}$ .

I am extremely grateful to Dr. B. J. Sanderson for showing me this lemma. It enables us to avoid a rather long direct proof of the following statement (when  $n = 6$ ).

**COROLLARY 2.** *The covering map  $\pi: S^n \rightarrow P^n$  is stably trivial when  $n = 2$  or  $n = 6$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the result for  $n = 6$ . The case  $n = 2$  is similar (and easy to prove directly, anyway).

Embed  $P^7$  in  $R^{15}$ . Since  $P^7$  is parallelizable, the normal bundle is trivial. The space  $P^7$  with an open disc removed is homotopically equivalent to  $P^6$ , and the attaching map for this disc is  $S^8\pi$ . It follows from the proof of the lemma that  $S^8\pi \simeq 0$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex, then  $S: [K, S^m] \rightarrow [SK, S^{m+1}]$  is an isomorphism provided*

(1)  $n < 2m - 1$ , (2)  $n = 5, m = 3$ , or (3)  $n = 13, m = 7$ .

*Proof.* Condition (1) is Freudenthal's suspension theorem.

For (2), look at the following exact sequence, obtained from the Hopf fibration  $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$ :

$$[SK, S^7] \rightarrow [SK, S^4] \xrightarrow{\partial} [K, S^3] \rightarrow [K, S^7].$$

The map  $\partial$  is an isomorphism for dimensional reasons. However, the suspension map  $S$  splits the sequence, so that  $S$  is also an isomorphism.

To prove (3), we use the fibration  $S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$  and proceed as in the proof of (2).

2. Let  $\{X, Y\} = \lim [S^n X, S^n Y]$ , the limit maps being suspensions. The following result is due to M. G. Barratt [3].

LEMMA 4.  $\{P^2, P^2\}$  is a cyclic group of order 4 and is generated by the identity 1. The map 2·1 is the composite

$$SP^2 \xrightarrow{p} S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^2 \xrightarrow{i} SP^2,$$

where  $p$  collapses  $SP^1 \subset SP^2$  to a point,  $\eta$  is the Hopf map, and  $i$  is the inclusion map. (2·1 is twice the identity.)

*Proof.* One can easily show that  $\{S^1, P^2\}$  and  $\{S^2, P^2\}$  both have exactly two elements. Hence, from the stable Puppe sequence of a map  $f: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  of degree two, we see that  $\{P^2, P^2\}$  has four elements.

To complete the proof of the first statement, we show that 2·1 is essential. The cofibre of 2·1:  $S^3 P^2 \rightarrow S^3 P^2$  is the space  $S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2$ . If  $2 \cdot 1 \simeq 0$ , then  $S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2$  would be homotopically equivalent to  $S^3 P^2 \vee S^4 P^2$ . However, the Steenrod operation  $Sq^2$  is nonzero in  $S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2$  and zero in  $S^3 P^2 \vee S^4 P^2$ , so that 2·1 is essential.

Clearly, the Puppe sequence of  $f$  implies that 2·1 is the composition

$$S^3 P^2 \xrightarrow{p} S^5 \xrightarrow{g} S^3 P^2,$$

where  $g$  generates  $\pi_5 S^3 P^2$ ; the generator  $g$  is  $i\eta$ .

COROLLARY 5. (1)  $[S^3 P^2, S^3] \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

(2)  $[S^3 P^3, S^3] \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

(3)  $[P^2 \wedge P^2, S^3] \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

(4)  $[P^3 \wedge P^2, S^3] \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $[S^3 P^2, S^3]$  has four elements. The essential composition  $S^3 P^2 \xrightarrow{p} S^5 \xrightarrow{\eta^2} S^3$  can be halved, as the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^3 P^2 & \xrightarrow{2 \cdot 1} & S^3 P^2 & & \\ \downarrow p & & \uparrow i & \searrow f & \\ S^5 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S^4 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & S^3 \end{array}$$

shows. The map  $f$ , an extension of  $\eta$ , exists because  $2\eta = 0$ . This establishes (1).

Isomorphism (2) follows from (1), because  $S^3 P^3 \simeq S^3 P^2 \vee S^6$  by Corollary 2 and because  $\pi_6 S^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

The group  $[P^2 \wedge P^2, S^3]$  is stable and has four elements. The group  $[S^2 \wedge P^2, S^3]$  has two elements, the nontrivial one being  $\eta \circ (1 \wedge p)$ . To prove isomorphism (3), it suffices to show that the composition

$$S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2 \xrightarrow{p \wedge 1} S^4 \wedge P^2 \xrightarrow{1 \wedge p} S^4 \wedge S^2 \cong S^6 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^5$$

can be halved. This is an immediate consequence of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2 & \xrightarrow{p \wedge 1} & S^4 \wedge P^2 & \xrightarrow{1 \wedge p} & S^4 \wedge S^2 \\ \downarrow 2 \cdot 1 & & \downarrow \eta \wedge 1 & & \downarrow \eta \wedge 1 \\ & & S^3 \wedge P^2 & & \\ \swarrow i \wedge 1 & & \searrow 1 \wedge p & & \\ S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2 & \xrightarrow{h} & S^3 \wedge S^2 & & \end{array}$$

The extension  $h$  exists because  $(1 \wedge p) \circ 2: S^3 \wedge P^2 \rightarrow S^3 \wedge S^2$  is null-homotopic.

The group  $[P^3 \wedge P^2, S^3]$  is stable by Lemma 3. Isomorphism (4) now follows from the existence of the homotopy equivalence  $S^2 P^3 \wedge P^2 \simeq (S^2 P^2 \wedge P^2) \vee S^5 P^2$ , which is a consequence of Corollary 2.

**THEOREM 6.**  $[P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3]$  is isomorphic with  $\mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

*Proof.* We look at the Puppe sequence of the map  $\pi \wedge 1: S^2 \wedge P^3 \rightarrow P^2 \wedge P^3$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} [SP^2 \wedge P^3, S^3] &\xrightarrow{p_1} [S^3 \wedge P^3, S^3] \rightarrow [P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3] \\ &\rightarrow [P^2 \wedge P^3, S^3] \xrightarrow{p_2} [S^2 \wedge P^3, S^3]. \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are both zero and that the resulting short exact sequence splits. Corollary 2 and Lemma 3 imply that  $p_2$  is zero. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [S^2 P^2 \wedge P^3, S^4] & \xrightarrow{p_3} & [S^4 \wedge P^3, S^4] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [SP^2 \wedge P^3, S^3] & \xrightarrow{p_1} & [S^3 \wedge P^3, S^3], \end{array}$$

the vertical maps are boundary maps in exact sequences derived from the fibration  $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$  and are epimorphisms. The map  $p_3$  is zero; therefore  $p_1$  is also zero.

Let  $K^5$  be the 5-skeleton of  $P^3 \wedge P^3$ . Using Puppe sequences derived from  $S^5 \rightarrow K^5 \rightarrow P^3 \wedge P^3$ , we get the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 [SK^5, S^3] & \xrightarrow{q_1} & [S^6, S^3] & \rightarrow & [P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3] & \rightarrow & [K^5, S^3] \xrightarrow{q_2} [S^5, S^3] \\
 \downarrow \Sigma_1 & & \downarrow \Sigma_2 & & \downarrow \Sigma_3 & & \downarrow \Sigma_4 & & \downarrow \Sigma_5 \\
 \{SK^5, S^3\} & \xrightarrow{q'_1} & \{S^6, S^3\} & \rightarrow & \{P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3\} & \rightarrow & \{K^5, S^3\} \xrightarrow{q'_2} & \{S^5, S^3\} ,
 \end{array}$$

in which the maps  $q_2, q'_2, q'_1$  are zero by stability. The map  $\Sigma_2$  is a monomorphism; hence  $q_1$  is also zero. The map  $\Sigma_3$  is a monomorphism by the 5-lemma. It is a consequence of Corollary 2 that  $\{P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3\}$  is isomorphic with

$$\{S^6, S^3\} + \{S^3 P^2, S^3\} + \{S^3 P^2, S^3\} + \{P^2 \wedge P^2, S^3\} ,$$

which we have already shown to be isomorphic with  $\mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_4 + \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ . Because  $\Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$  are monomorphisms and  $\Sigma_4$  is an isomorphism, we have proved the result.

**COROLLARY 7.** *There are exactly 768 homotopy classes of multiplications on  $P^3$ .*

*Proof.* The set of homotopy classes of multiplications on  $P^3$  is in one-to-one correspondence with the group  $[P^3 \wedge P^3, P^3]$ . The space  $P^3 \wedge P^3$  is simply connected; hence  $[P^3 \wedge P^3, P^3]$  is isomorphic with  $[P^3 \wedge P^3, S^3]$ .

3. We now show how to enumerate the number of multiplications on  $P^7$ .

Using the stable cohomotopy spectral sequence, we can prove the following lemma (compare [5]).

**LEMMA 8.** (1)  $[S^5 P^6, S^7] = 0$ .

(2)  $[S^6 P^6, S^7] = 0$ .

(3)  $[S^7 P^6, S^7]$  has order 8.

**LEMMA 9.** (1)  $[S^7 P^7, S^7]$  has order  $120 \cdot 2^3$ .

(2)  $[P^6 \wedge P^6, S^7]$  has order 4.

(3)  $[P^6 \wedge P^7, S^7]$  has order  $2^5$ .

The proof of Lemma 9 is similar to the proofs in Section 2, and we omit it. It uses the Puppe sequences, part (3) of Lemma 3, and Lemma 8.

**THEOREM 10.** *There are exactly  $120 \cdot 2^8 = 30\,720$  homotopy classes of multiplications on  $P^7$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 [SP^6 \wedge P^7, S^7] & \xrightarrow{p_1} [S^7 \wedge P^7, S^7] \rightarrow [P^7 \wedge P^7, S^7] \\
 & \rightarrow [P^6 \wedge P^7, S^7] \xrightarrow{p_2} [S^6 \wedge P^7, S^7] ,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_2$  is zero by Corollary 2 and Lemma 3. It only remains to show that  $p_1$  is also zero.

Suppose  $p_1$  is not zero. Choose  $x \in [SP^6 \wedge P^7, S^7]$  such that  $p_1 x$  is nonzero. The suspension map  $S: [S^7 \wedge P^7, S^7] \rightarrow [S^8 \wedge P^7, S^8]$  is a monomorphism (it splits the homotopy sequence derived from the Hopf fibration  $S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$ ); therefore  $Sp_1 x \neq 0$ . Lemma 3 and Corollary 2 imply that  $S^2 p_1 x = 0$ . The kernel of  $S: [S^8 P^7, S^8] \rightarrow [S^9 P^7, S^9]$  is generated by the element  $2\sigma - \sigma'$ , where  $\sigma, \sigma'$

generate the respective summands in  $\pi_{15} S^8 \cong \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}_{120}$ . Hence  $Sx$  has infinite order, but it lies in the finite group  $[S^2 P^6 \wedge P^7, S^8]$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $p_1 = 0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, *On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one*. Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 20-104.
2. M. Arkowitz and C. R. Curjel, *On the number of multiplications of an H-space*. Topology 2 (1963), 205-209.
3. M. G. Barratt, *Track groups*. II. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 5 (1955), 285-329.
4. C. M. Naylor, *Multiplications on SO(3)*. Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 27-31.
5. E. Rees, *Symmetric maps*. (To appear.)

The University  
Hull, England

