

# POWER SERIES WITH MULTIPLY MONOTONIC COEFFICIENTS

M. S. Robertson

Let

$$s_n^{(k)}(z) = \binom{n+k}{k} + \binom{n+k-1}{k} z + \binom{n+k-2}{k} z^2 + \cdots + z^n$$

$$(k = 1, 2, \dots; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

The polynomials  $s_n^{(k)}(z)$  are connected with the Cesàro sums of the geometric series, and

$$s_n^{(k+1)}(z) = s_0^{(k)}(z) + s_1^{(k)}(z) + \cdots + s_n^{(k)}(z).$$

E. Egerváry [1] showed that  $s_n^{(k)}(z)$  is univalent in  $|z| \leq 1$  for  $n > 0$  and  $k = 1, 2$ , and  $3$ , and that  $s_n^{(1)}(z)$  maps  $|z| < 1$  onto a domain whose closure is starlike with respect to the boundary point  $s_n^{(1)}(1)$ . However,  $s_n^{(2)}(z)$  is starlike with respect to the interior point  $s_n^{(2)}(0)$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ , and  $s_n^{(3)}(z)$  maps the unit disk onto a convex domain. It follows that the functions  $s_n^{(k)}(z)$  are close-to-convex in  $|z| < 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$  and  $n > 0$ . This implies the existence of regular and univalent functions  $\phi_n^{(k)}(z)$  that map the unit disk onto convex domains and for which

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{[s_n^{(k)}(z)]'}{[\phi_n^{(k)}(z)]'} \right\} \geq 0 \quad (|z| < 1).$$

The question arises whether, for some values  $k$ , there exists a  $\phi^{(k)}(z)$  that is independent of  $n$ .

For  $k = 1$ ,  $\phi_n^{(k)}(z)$  cannot be independent of  $n$ . This follows from an observation of G. Szegő [9], who constructed a function of the form

$$f(z) = p s_m^{(1)}(z) + q s_n^{(1)}(z),$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are positive constants and  $m$  and  $n$  are integers, and where  $p, q, m, n$  are carefully chosen so that  $f'(z)$  vanishes at an interior point of the unit disk, with the consequence that  $f(z)$  is not univalent for  $|z| < 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\phi_n^{(1)}(z) = \phi^{(1)}(z)$  were independent of  $n$ , it would follow from the equation

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{[\phi^{(1)}(z)]'} \right\} = p \Re \left\{ \frac{[s_m^{(1)}(z)]'}{[\phi^{(1)}(z)]'} \right\} + q \Re \left\{ \frac{[s_n^{(1)}(z)]'}{[\phi^{(1)}(z)]'} \right\} \geq 0$$

that  $f(z)$  is univalent for  $|z| < 1$ , and we would be faced with a contradiction. We conclude that  $\phi_n^{(1)}(z)$  cannot be independent of  $n$ .

---

Received August 2, 1968.

The author acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation (Contract NSF-GP-7439).

Nevertheless, we shall show that in the case  $k = 2$ ,  $\phi_n^{(k)}(z)$  can be chosen independent of  $n$ . In fact, we may take  $\phi_n^{(2)}(z) = \log 1/(1 - z)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

This basic result allows us to sharpen the theorem due to G. Szegő [9], which in turn is an extension of a theorem of L. Fejér [2], [3], for sequences  $\{a_n\}$  that are monotonic of order 4.

**THEOREM (Szegő).** *Let the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  be monotonic of order 3. Then the power series  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is regular for  $|z| < 1$ , and if it is not a constant function, it is univalent for  $|z| < 1$ .*

Here a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is called *monotonic of order  $\mu$*  if all the differences

$$\Delta^{(\nu)} a_n = a_n - \binom{\nu}{1} a_{n+1} + \binom{\nu}{2} a_{n+2} - \dots + (-1)^\nu \binom{\nu}{\nu} a_{n+\nu}$$

are nonnegative for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \mu$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Our main results are contained in the following two theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let*

$$s_n^{(2)}(z) = \binom{n+2}{2} + \binom{n+1}{2} z + \binom{n}{2} z^2 + \dots + z^n.$$

*Then  $s_n^{(2)}(z)$  is univalent and close-to-convex in  $|z| < 1$  relative to the convex function  $\log 1/(1 - z)$ , and*

$$\Re \left[ (1 - z) \frac{d}{dz} s_n^{(2)}(z) \right] \geq 0 \quad (|z| \leq 1).$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  be monotonic of order 3, and let  $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ . Then either the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is regular, univalent, and close-to-convex for  $|z| < 1$ , relative to the convex function  $\log 1/(1 - z)$ , and*

$$\Re [(1 - z) f'(z)] > a/2 \quad (|z| < 1),$$

*or else  $f(z)$  is a constant.*

The example  $f(z) = a/(1 - z)$  ( $a > 0$ ) shows that the inequality in Theorem 2 is sharp.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We obtain successively the equations

$$w(z) = s_n^{(2)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(n+2)(n+1) + (n+1)nz + n(n-1)z^2 + \dots + 2z^n],$$

$$w(z) - \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)z - 2n(n+2)z^2 + (n+1)(n+2)z^3 - 2z^{n+3}}{2(1-z)^3},$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z)w'(z) &= \frac{1}{2} [(n+1)n + n(n-3)z + (n-1)(n-6)z^2 + \dots - 2nz^n] \\ &= \frac{n(n+1) - (2n^2 + 6n)z + (n^2 + 5n + 6)z^2 - (2n+6)z^{n+2} + 2nz^{n+3}}{2(1-z)^3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$z w'(z^2) = \frac{n(n+1)z - (2n^2 + 6n)z^3 + (n^2 + 5n + 6)z^5 - (2n+6)z^{2n+5} + 2nz^{2n+7}}{2(1-z^2)^4}.$$

Let  $z = e^{i\phi}$ . Then

$$z w'(z^2) = \frac{n(n+1)z^{-3} - (2n^2 + 6n)z^{-1} + (n^2 + 5n + 6)z - (2n+6)z^{2n+1} + 2nz^{2n+3}}{32 \sin^4 \phi},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Re [(1-z^2)w'(z^2)] &= 2 \sin \phi \Im [z w'(z^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{16 \sin^3 \phi} \Im [n(n+1)z^{-3} - (2n^2 + 6n)z^{-1} + (n^2 + 5n + 6)z - (2n+6)z^{2n+1} + 2nz^{2n+3}] \\ &= \frac{1}{16 \sin^3 \phi} [-n(n+1) \sin 3\phi + (2n^2 + 6n) \sin \phi + (n^2 + 5n + 6) \sin \phi \\ &\quad - (2n+6) \sin (2n+1)\phi + 2n \sin (2n+3)\phi] \\ &= \frac{1}{8 \sin^2 \phi} \left[ 4n+3 + (2n^2 + 2n) \sin^2 \phi - (n+3) \frac{\sin (2n+1)\phi}{\sin \phi} + \frac{n \sin (2n+3)\phi}{\sin \phi} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Re [(1-z)w'(z)] \geq 0$  for  $|z| \leq 1$  if and only if  $h(\phi) \geq 0$  for all  $\phi$ , where  $h(\phi)$  is defined by the equation

$$h(\phi) = 4n+3 + (2n^2 + 2n) \sin^2 \phi - (n+3) \frac{\sin (2n+1)\phi}{\sin \phi} + \frac{n \sin (2n+3)\phi}{\sin \phi}.$$

In proving that  $h(\phi) \geq 0$  for all  $\phi$ , we can restrict  $\phi$  to the interval  $[0, \pi/2]$ , since  $h(\phi)$  and  $h(\phi - \pi/2)$  are even functions.

We show first that  $h(\phi) \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/(2n-1)$ . Since

$$z w'(z^2) = \frac{1}{2} [(n+1)nz + 2n(n-1)z^3 + 3(n-1)(n-2)z^5 + \dots + n \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot z^{2n-1}],$$

we have for  $z = e^{i\phi}$  the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Re [(1-z^2)w'(z^2)] &= 2 \sin \phi \Im [z w'(z^2)] \\ &= \sin \phi \Im [(n+1)nz + 2n(n-1)z^3 + 3(n-1)(n-2)z^5 + \dots + 2nz^{2n-1}] \\ &= \sin \phi [(n+1)n \sin \phi + 2n(n-1) \sin 3\phi + 3(n-1)(n-2) \sin 5\phi + \dots + 2n \sin (2n-1)\phi]. \end{aligned}$$

In the interval  $[0, \pi/(2n-1)]$ , each term in the last expression is nonnegative. Hence  $h(\phi) \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/(2n-1)$ .

Next, let  $\pi/(2n-1) < \phi \leq \pi/2$ . From the identities

$$\sin (2n+3)\phi - \sin (2n+1)\phi = 2 \cos (2n+2)\phi \sin \phi,$$

$$\sin (2n+1)\phi = \sin (2n+2)\phi \cos \phi - \cos (2n+2)\phi \sin \phi,$$

we obtain the equations

$$\begin{aligned} h(\phi) \sin \phi &= (4n+3) \sin \phi + (2n^2+2n) \sin^3 \phi + n \sin(2n+3)\phi - (n+3) \sin(2n+1)\phi \\ &= (4n+3) \sin \phi + (2n^2+2n) \sin^3 \phi + (2n+3) \cos(2n+2)\phi \sin \phi - 3 \sin(2n+2)\phi \cos \phi \\ &> (4n+3) \sin \phi + 0 - [(2n+3)^2 \sin^2 \phi + 9 \cos^2 \phi]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $h(\phi) > 0$  for  $\pi/(2n-1) < \phi \leq \pi/2$  provided

$$(4n+3)^2 \sin^2 \phi \geq (2n+3)^2 \sin^2 \phi + 9 \cos^2 \phi,$$

that is,

$$\tan^2 \phi \geq \frac{3}{4n(n+1)}.$$

In  $(\pi/(2n-1), \pi/2]$ ,

$$\tan^2 \phi > \phi^2 \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2n-1}\right)^2 > \frac{3}{4n(n+1)} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots);$$

consequently,  $h(\phi) \geq 0$  for all  $\phi$ , and thus

$$\Re[(1-z)w'(z)] \geq 0 \quad \text{on } |z| = 1.$$

Because  $\Re[(1-z)w'(z)]$  is a harmonic function for  $|z| \leq 1$ , it assumes its minimum value for  $|z| \leq 1$  on the boundary  $|z| = 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $\Delta^{(0)}a_n \geq 0$  and  $\Delta^{(1)}a_n \geq 0$ , the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges to some nonnegative limit  $a$ . For  $|z| = r < 1$ , the representation

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^{(3)}a_n \cdot s_n^{(2)}(z) + \frac{a}{1-z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re[(1-z)f'(z)] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta^{(3)}a_n \Re \left[ (1-z) \frac{d}{dz} s_n^{(2)}(z) \right] + a \Re \left( \frac{1}{1-z} \right) \\ &\geq 0 + \frac{a}{1+r} > \frac{a}{2} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $f(z)$  is univalent and close-to-convex for  $|z| < 1$ , relative to the convex function  $\phi(z) = \log 1/(1-z)$ . Since  $F(z) = z\phi'(z) = z(1-z)^{-1}$  is also convex and starlike of order  $1/2$  (that is, since  $\Re z F'(z)/F(z) > 1/2$  for  $|z| < 1$ ), we see that

$$\Re[(1-z)f'(z)] = \Re \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{F(z)} \right] > a/2 \quad (|z| < 1).$$

Thus, using R. J. Libera's definition of order and type of a close-to-convex function (see [4]), we can say that the function  $\frac{f(z) - a_0}{a_1}$  is close-to-convex of order  $\frac{a}{2a_1}$  and type  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. E. Egerváry, *Abbildungseigenschaften der arithmetischen Mittel der geometrischen Reihe*. Math. Z. 42 (1937), 221-230.
2. L. Fejér, *Trigonometrische Reihen und Potenzreihen mit mehrfach monotoner Koeffizientenfolge*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1936), 18-59.
3. ———, *Untersuchungen über Potenzreihen mit mehrfach monotoner Koeffizientenfolge*. Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged 8 (1936), 89-115.
4. R. J. Libera, *Some radius of convexity problems*. Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 143-158.
5. M. S. Robertson, *On the univalence of Cesàro sums of univalent functions*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1936), 241-243.
6. ———, *Univalent power series with multiply monotonic sequences of coefficients*. Ann. of Math. (2) 46 (1945), 533-555.
7. M. Schweitzer, *The partial sums of second order of the geometric series*. Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 527-533.
8. S. Sidon, *Über Potenzreihen mit monotoner Koeffizientenfolge*. Acta Litt. Sci. Szeged 9 (1940), 244-246.
9. G. Szegő, *Power series with multiply monotonic sequences of coefficients*. Duke Math. J. 8 (1941), 559-564.

University of Delaware  
Newark, Delaware 19711

