EXTENSIONS OF THE GROSS STAR THEOREM

Wilfred Kaplan

1. BACKGROUND. The Gross star theorem ([3]; [5], p. 276) asserts that each element z(w) of the inverse of a function $w = \phi(z)$, meromorphic for $|z| < \infty$, can be continued to infinity along almost all rays from the center of the element. This has been generalized [4]: first, by replacement of the rays by very general families of "parallel curves"; and second, by replacement of the class of inverses of meromorphic functions by a considerably broader class. The generalizations depended on the following theorem concerning schlicht functions ([4], p. 4):

THEOREM I. Let t = h(s) be lower semi-continuous for 0 < s < 1, where $0 < b \le h(s) \le +\infty$. Let $w = \psi(\sigma)$ ($\sigma = s + it$) be schlicht in the domain G: 0 < s < 1, -b < t < h(s); and let

(1)
$$\lim_{t \to h(s)} \psi(s + it) = 0, h(s) < \infty$$

for each s in a subset E of (0,1). Then E has measure 0.

In the same paper ([4], p. 20) the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM II. Let E be a closed set of capacity zero on |z| = 1. Then there exists a schlicht function $w = \phi(z)$ in |z| < 1 such that $\lim_{z \to z_0} \phi(z) = \infty$ for each z_0 in E, while $\lim_{z \to z_1} \phi(z)$ is finite for $|z_1| = 1$ and z_1 not in E.

2. TWO THEOREMS ON SCHLICHT FUNCTIONS.

THEOREM 1. Let B be a closed countable subset of the extended plane. In Theorem I let (1) be replaced by the condition

(1')
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi(s+it_n) \in B, \ h(s) < \infty$$

for every sequence $t_n \rightarrow h(s)$, whenever $\lim \psi(s+it_n)$ exists or is ∞ . Then the conclusion that E has measure zero remains valid.

Proof. The limits in (1') are the "cluster values" of ψ on the segment s = const., -b < t < h(s), as t approaches the boundary h(s). These cluster values must form a closed connected set. However, B is totally disconnected. Hence, for each s in E the limit in (1') exists for all sequences $t_n \rightarrow h(s)$; that is, $\lim_{t \rightarrow h(s)} \psi(s+it)$ exists or is ∞ and is an element b_k of B $(k=1,2,\cdots)$. For each b_k , let E_k be the subset of E for which the limit equals b_k . Then E_k has measure zero, by Theorem I, so that $E = U_k E_k$ has measure zero.

Remark 1. It is natural to conjecture that the theorem remains true if B is an arbitrary totally disconnected closed set. It is certainly false in this generality; for we can choose E to be closed, of positive measure and totally disconnected, h(s) to be 1 and ψ to be the identity. However, it may remain true if B has linear measure zero or if B has capacity zero. The following theorem is a result in this direction.

THEOREM 2. In Theorem I let the function $z = \psi(\sigma)$ satisfy the additional hypothesis: $|\psi(\sigma)| < 1$ in G. Let B be a closed subset of |z| = 1 having capacity

Received by the editors February 1, 1954.

zero. Let the condition (1) be replaced by the following:

(1")
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi(s+it_n) \in B, \ h(s) < \infty$$

for every sequence $t_n \rightarrow h(s)$, whenever $\lim \psi(s+it_n)$ exists. Then the conclusion that E has measure zero remains valid.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that for s in E $\lim_{t \to h(s)} \psi(s+it)$ exists and is an element of B. Now it follows from Theorem II that we can choose a function $\xi = \phi(z)$ which is schlicht for |z| < 1 and for which $\phi(z) \to 0$ as z approaches a point of B. Hence, $\psi_1(\sigma) = \phi(\psi(\sigma))$ is schlicht in G and $\psi_1(s+it) \to 0$ as $t \to h(s)$ for s in E. Therefore, by Theorem I, E has measure zero.

3. CONTINUATION OF THE INVERSE OF A MEROMORPHIC FUNCTION ALONG A FAMILY OF CURVES. Let $w = \phi(z)$ be meromorphic in a domain D of the z-plane or, more generally, of a Riemann surface R. By local inversion of $w = \phi(z)$ at each noncritical point z_0 we obtain a functional element z(w); at a critical point z_0 we obtain an algebraic functional element (that is, a series in $(w - w_0)^{1/n}$ or in $(1/w)^{1/n}$). These are the "internal elements" ([1], p. 100) of the inverse function. They are all analytic continuations of each other, but do not necessarily form all such continuations; for $\phi(z)$ may itself be continuable. For this reason we call the set of these elements the restricted inverse of $\phi(z)$.

Let z(w) be a functional element of the restricted inverse, with center at w_0 and with $z(w_0)$ noncritical. Let γ_w : w = w(t) $(0 \le t < a)$ be a continuous path in the extended plane with $w(0) = w_0$. Then there exists a $t_1 \le a$ and a unique continuation of z(w) by regular elements of the restricted inverse along γ_w , for $0 \le t < t_1$. This continuation assigns to each t a value $z = \psi(t)$ in D such that $\phi(\psi(t)) = w(t)$. The function $\psi(t)$ is continuous and represents a path γ_z in the z-plane.

Suppose that continuation is possible for $0 \le t < t_1$ but no farther, without leaving the restricted inverse. If $t_1 < a$, there are then two possibilities: as $t \to t_1$, $\psi(t)$ approaches a critical point of $\phi(z)$; or, as $t \to t_1$, $\psi(t)$ approaches the boundary of D—that is, for every compact subset A of D, $\psi(t)$ remains outside A for t sufficiently close to t_1 . In the latter case, $\psi(t)$ must approach a unique component of the boundary; since $\phi(\psi(t)) \to w(t_1)$ as $t \to t_1$, γ_z is an asymptotic path for $\phi(z)$.

We now define a family of paths γ_w as follows: As in Theorem I, let t = h(s) be lower semi-continuous for 0 < s < 1, and let $0 < b \le h(s) < +\infty$; let G be the domain -b < t < h(s), 0 < s < 1; and let $w = f(\sigma)$ ($\sigma = s + it$) be meromorphic in G. Then, for each fixed s, w = f(s + it) defines a path γ_w on which t is the parameter for $0 \le t < h(s)$. We assume there exists one regular element z(w) of the restricted inverse of $\phi(z)$ such that $z[f(\sigma)]$ is defined for 0 < s < 1, |t| < b. We also assume that z(w) can be continued along each path γ_w for $0 \le t < h_1(s)$ and no farther. This continuation defines $\psi(\sigma)$ as a meromorphic function for $0 < t < h_1(s)$, 0 < s < 1, and $\phi(\psi(\sigma)) = f(\sigma)$; the curve $z = \psi(s + it)$ is for each fixed s a path γ_z^s in D and, if $h_1(s) < h(s)$, γ_z^s either approaches a critical point as $t \rightarrow h_1(s)$ or is an asymptotic path for $\phi(z)$ relative to some boundary component.

If $f(\sigma)$ is of form $\alpha \sigma + \beta$ and $h(s) \equiv +\infty$, then we are studying continuation of the inverse of $\phi(z)$ along the parallel lines γ_w^s ; if $f(\sigma)$ is an exponential function, we are studying continuation of the inverse along rays radiating from a point, as in the Gross star theorem.

4. MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH A COUNTABLE NUMBER OF SINGULARITIES.

THEOREM 3. In the notations of Section 3, let D be the extended z-plane minus a countable closed set B. Then $h_1(s) = h(s)$ for almost all s (0 < s < 1); that is, the inverse can be continued indefinitely along almost all curves γ_{w}^{s} .

Proof. Where $h_1(s) < h(s)$ and the path γ_z^s does not approach a critical point, it must approach B. Thus $\psi(\sigma)$ satisfies a boundary condition as in Theorem 1. The proof is completed exactly as the proof of Theorem 2 in [4], with the new Theorem 1 replacing Theorem 1 of [4].

- Remark 2. In accordance with Remark 1, I conjecture that the theorem remains true for more general sets B, also, that it remains valid when ϕ is meromorphic on a Riemann surface R with "null boundary" in an appropriate sense. If R has a parabolic universal covering surface, this is indeed true, for this case can at once be reduced to Theorem 2 of [4].
- 5. FUNCTIONS HAVING AN ISOLATED SINGULARITY. When $\phi(z)$ is meromorphic in a region whose boundary is large (for example, in a region whose boundary contains an arc), then one cannot expect to be able to continue the inverse function in almost all directions, for the cluster values of ϕ on the arc form a barrier to continuation in a set of directions which in general has positive measure. However, one can state that continuation is possible almost everywhere except for such a barrier. The following theorem deals with an example of the sort of case which can be treated.

THEOREM 4. In the notations of Section 3, let D be the domain 0 < |z| < 1. Then wherever $h_1(s) < h(s)$, either (a) $|\psi(s+it)| \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow h_1(s)$, or (b) $\psi(\sigma)$ converges to 0 or to a critical point of $\phi(z)$ as $t \rightarrow h_1(s)$. The case (b) arises only for a set E of values of s of measure zero.

Proof. Since, for $h_1(s) < h(s)$, the path γ_z^s must approach a critical point or a unique boundary component, (a) and (b) are the only two possibilities. The proof of the last assertion is the same as that for Theorem 2 in [4], with "approaches infinity" replaced by "approaches zero."

This theorem permits of wide generalization. For example, the domain 0 < |z| < 1 can be replaced by an arbitrary domain D obtained from a domain D' by removing a countable closed set B, if in case (a) approach to |z| = 1 is replaced by approach to the boundary of D' and in case (b) approach to zero is replaced by approach to a point of B.

6. FUNCTIONS MEROMORPHIC IN THE UNIT CIRCLE.

THEOREM 5. In the notations of Section 3, let D be the domain |z|<1. Let B be a closed subset of |z|=1 having capacity zero. Let E be a subset of (0, 1) such that, for each s in E, $h_1(s)< h(s)$ and the sequence $\psi(s+it_n)$ has all its limit points in B for every sequence t_n converging to $h_1(s)$. Then E has measure zero; that is, the set of paths γ_w^s on which unlimited continuation fails because γ_z^s approaches B has measure zero.

Proof. Again the proof of Theorem 2 of [4] can be repeated, with Theorem 2 above replacing Theorem 1 of [4].

Discussion. If we assume that $\phi(z)$ is bounded or, more generally, of bounded type, then $\lim_{t\to h_1(s)}\psi(s+it)$ exists wherever $h_1(s)< h(s)$. For if $\psi(s+it)$ does not converge to a critical point of $\phi(z)$, it must tend to an arc α on |z|=1. By the Fatou theorem, $\phi(z)\to f(s+ih_1(s))$ radially almost everywhere on α ; this is

impossible by the Riesz-Nevanlinna theorem ([5], p. 197), unless ϕ is constant (cf. [1], pp. 94-95). Hence, each γ_z^s has a unique endpoint, wherever $h_1(s) < h(s)$. The same conclusion holds for all s (0 < s < 1), if we assume that for each s the function f(s+it) has a limit, finite or ∞ , as t approaches h(s). For then the path γ_z^s is defined in the closed interval $0 \le t \le h(s)$, and the corresponding path γ_z^s must approach a regular point (possibly a pole) or a critical point of $\phi(z)$, or else approach the boundary |z| = 1. For example, $f(\sigma)$ could be linear and $h(s) = +\infty$. Theorem 5 asserts that each class B of endpoints of capacity zero is mapped by ϕ onto a set which, as viewed from a point of the Riemann surface of the restricted inverse along the curves γ_z^s , appears as a set of measure zero. If ϕ is itself schlicht, this has even more concrete meaning. The theorem can be regarded as a complement to a theorem of Nevanlinna and Frostman ([5], p. 198; [2], p. 97).

If no restriction is made on $\phi(z)$, we cannot expect existence of a unique endpoint for each γ_z^s , for γ_z^s can even spiral towards |z|=1 ([6]). Let one such spiral γ_z^s exist; then every γ_z^s which approaches |z|=1 must also be a spiral. In this case, if there are two spirals $\gamma_z^{s_1}$ and $\gamma_z^{s_2}$, then the two bound a simply connected domain D_0 for which |z|=1 appears as a prime end. If we map D_0 conformally onto the disc $|\zeta|<1$ by $z=H(\zeta)$, the prime end becomes a single point ζ_0 . The function $\phi_1(\zeta)=\phi(H(\zeta))$ is then meromorphic in D_0 . In the previous reasoning, we can now replace $w=\phi(z)$ by $w=\phi_1(\zeta)$. The paths γ_z^s now correspond to paths γ_z^s in $|\zeta|<1$, and for $s_1< s< s_2$ those paths which approach $|\zeta|=1$, as t approaches $h_1(s)$, must approach ζ_0 . We can now apply Theorem 5 to conclude that $h_1(s)< h(s)$ only for a set of measure 0, for $s_1< s< s_2$. Similar remarks can be made if, for $s_1< s< s_2$, all γ_z^s have the same arc α as set of limit points on |z|=1; for such an arc again forms a single prime end.

In general, there are interesting relations between Theorem 5 and the paper of Collingwood and Cartwright [1].

REFERENCES

- 1. E. F. Collingwood and M. L. Cartwright, Boundary theorems for a function meromorphic in the unit circle, Acta Math., 87 (1952), 83-146.
- 2. O. Frostman, Potential d'équilibre avec quelques applications à la théorie des fonctions, Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem., 3 (1935), 1-115.
- 3. W. Gross, Über die Singularitäten analytischer Funktionen, Monatsh. Math., 29 (1918), 3-47.
- 4. W. Kaplan, On Gross's star theorem, schlicht functions, logarithmic potentials and Fourier series, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae Ser. A. I. Math.-Phys., no. 86 (1951), 1-23.
- 5. R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Berlin, 1936.
- 6. G. Valiron, Sur les singularités des fonctions holomorphes dans un cercle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 198 (1934), 2065-2067.