

## GEODESIC CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS AND SYMMETRIC SPACES

BY J. E. D'ATRI

**Introduction.** In [3], S. Tachibana introduced the notion of a (local) geodesic conformal transformation around a point in a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and showed that when  $M$  has constant scalar curvature and possesses around each point a non-homothetic geodesic conformal transformation, then  $M$  is harmonic (see [2] for the theory of harmonic spaces in this sense). In this note, we show that these conditions also imply that  $M$  is locally symmetric (and so the universal covering space of  $M$  is symmetric). This is of interest for two reasons: (1) There is a conjecture, still unresolved to the author's knowledge, that a harmonic Riemannian space (Riemannian always means positive-definite metric) is locally symmetric (see [2], p. 231). (2) The harmonic Riemannian spaces which are decomposable are locally flat and the indecomposable harmonic symmetric Riemannian spaces are precisely the rank one symmetric spaces which are completely classified (see [2], pp. 235, 230; for the theory of Riemannian symmetric and locally symmetric spaces, see [1]). In particular, it should now be easy to determine which of these spaces actually possess local geodesic conformal transformations but we shall not pursue this.

Derivation of results. Let  $M$  be an  $n(>2)$  dimensional connected  $C^\infty$  Riemannian manifold with a normal coordinate  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  with origin at the point 0 and orthonormal at 0. Let  $g_{ij}$ ,  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  be the components of the metric tensor and the Christoffel symbols in this coordinate system. We have

$$(1) \quad g_{ij}x^j = g_{ij}(0)x^j = x^i$$

from which we get

$$(2) \quad \sum_i x^i g^{ik} = x^k.$$

Differentiating (1) with respect to  $x^k$  gives

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} x^j + g_{ik} = \delta_{ik}.$$

Of course

$$(4) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kh} \left( \frac{\partial g_{ih}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^h} + \frac{\partial g_{jh}}{\partial x^i} \right).$$

Combining these, we get

$$(5) \quad \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k x^k = \delta_{ij} - g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} x^h \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^h}.$$

Now introduce the function

$$(6) \quad s = (\sum_i (x^i)^2)^{1/2}$$

and note

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^i} = \frac{x^i}{s} \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

Now Tachibana considers a function  $\phi$  defined in a punctured neighborhood of 0 and of the form  $\phi : x^i \rightarrow \rho(s)x^i$ . The given Riemannian metric on  $M$  is pulled back via  $\phi$  to give a new Riemannian metric  $g_{ij}^*$  on this punctured neighborhood. It is assumed that  $g_{ij}^*$  is conformally related to  $g_{ij}$  by

$$(8) \quad g_{ij}^* = e^\sigma g_{ij},$$

where  $\sigma$  is shown to be a function of  $s$  alone. This is what is meant by saying that  $\phi$  is a geodesic conformal transformation and the further condition that  $\phi$  is non-homothetic means that  $\sigma'$  is nowhere zero in some interval  $(0, \epsilon)$ .

Assume from now on that  $M$  has constant scalar curvature  $n(n-1)k$  and possesses a non-homothetic geodesic conformal transformation around each point. Then Tachibana derives the formulas

$$(9) \quad \tau_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \tau_h^h g_{ij}$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{n} \tau_h^h = \sigma'' - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'^2$$

where essentially  $\tau_{ij}$  and  $\tau_h^h$  are defined by

$$(11) \quad \tau_{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^j} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma'^2 g_{ij}$$

$$(12) \quad \tau_h^h = g^{ij} \tau_{ij}.$$

We also have, using (7),

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^j} = \sigma' \frac{x^j}{s}$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} = \sigma'' \frac{x^i}{s} \frac{x^j}{s} + \frac{\sigma'}{s} \delta_{ij} - \frac{\sigma'}{s} \frac{x^i}{s} \frac{x^j}{s}.$$

Using (9), (10), (11), (13) and (14) gives

$$(15) \quad \left( \sigma'' - \sigma'^2 - \frac{\sigma'}{s} \right) \frac{x^i}{s} \frac{x^j}{s} - \frac{\sigma'}{s} \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k x^k + \frac{\sigma'}{s} \delta_{ij} \\ = (\sigma'' - \sigma'^2) g_{ij}.$$

Using (4) in (15) gives

$$(16) \quad \left( \sigma'' - \sigma'^2 - \frac{\sigma'}{s} \right) \frac{x^i}{s} \frac{x^j}{s} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma' \frac{x^k}{s} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = \left( \sigma'' - \sigma'^2 - \frac{\sigma'}{s} \right) g_{ij}.$$

Now Tachibana also derives the formula

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{2} (\log g)' = (n-1)(\sigma''/\sigma' - \sigma' - 1/s)$$

where  $g = \det(g_{ij})$  is a function of  $s$  alone, since  $M$  is harmonic. Let  $X = a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_0$  be a unit tangent vector at 0 and let  $\gamma$  be the geodesic emanating from 0 with velocity vector  $X$ . Then  $s$  can be taken as the arclength parameter along  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma$  has the equations  $x^i = a^i s$ . If we restrict equation (16) to the geodesic  $\gamma$  (treating  $g_{ij}(s) \equiv g_{ij}(\gamma(s))$  as a function of  $s$  along  $\gamma$ ) and use (17), we get

$$(18) \quad a^i a^j (\log g)' + (n+1) \frac{d}{ds} g_{ij} = (\log g)' g_{ij}$$

for  $s > 0$  and by continuity also for  $s = 0$ . Then, given the function  $g(s)$  and the constants  $a^i$ , the function  $g_{ij}(s)$  is completely determined by the first order differential equation (18) and the initial condition

$$(19) \quad g_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}.$$

If we do the same for the geodesic corresponding to  $-X$ , we must replace each  $a^i$  by  $-a^i$  but we get the same differential equation (18) and the same initial conditions (19). This shows that  $g_{ij}(x) = g_{ij}(-x)$  for  $x$  sufficiently close to 0 and hence the geodesic symmetry map  $x \rightarrow -x$  is an isometry at each point 0. This is of course equivalent to saying  $M$  is locally symmetric.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. HELGASON, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [2] H.S. RUSE, A.G. WALKER AND T.J. WILLMORE, Harmonic spaces, Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1961.
- [3] S. TACHIBANA, On Riemannian spaces admitting geodesic conformal transformations, Tensor, N.S., 25 (1972), 323-331.

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY  
NEW BRUNSWICK, N.J., U.S.A.