

A NOTE ON SEMIGROUPS OF MARKOV OPERATORS ON $C(X)$

BY MASAYOSHI EGUCHI AND YŌICHI KIJIMA

1. Introduction.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(X)$ be the commutative C^* -algebra of all continuous complex functions on X . A bounded linear operator T of $C(X)$ into itself is called a Markov operator if $T \geq 0$, $\|T\|=1$, and $T1=1$.

Let Σ be a semigroup of Markov operators. For each $f \in C(X)$, $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$ denotes the closed convex hull of $\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$. $g \in C(X)$ is called a Σ -invariant function if $Tg=g$ for all $T \in \Sigma$.

In ergodic theory the following conditions on Σ are interesting: (I) Each $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$ contains exactly one Σ -invariant function. (II) Each $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$ contains at least one Σ -invariant function. In Theorem 1, we shall give some necessary and sufficient conditions that (I) holds.

Let $C(X)^*$ be the dual Banach space of $C(X)$. $\mu \in C(X)^*$ is called a state if $\mu \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\| = \mu(1) = 1$. If T is a Markov operator and if μ is a state, then $T^*\mu$ is also a state where T^* denotes the adjoint operator of T . A state μ is called a Σ -invariant state if $T^*\mu = \mu$ for all $T \in \Sigma$.

Let K_Σ be the set of all Σ -invariant states. Then K_Σ is a weak*-compact convex subset of $C(X)^*$. $\mu \in K_\Sigma$ is called an extremal Σ -invariant state if μ is an extreme point of K_Σ .

A proper closed ideal I of $C(X)$ is called a Σ -invariant ideal if $T(I) \subset I$ for all $T \in \Sigma$. There exists at least one maximal Σ -invariant ideal, and each Σ -invariant ideal is contained in some maximal Σ -invariant ideal. If μ is a Σ -invariant state, then $I_\mu = \{f \in C(X) : \mu(|f|) = 0\}$ is a Σ -invariant ideal.

In Theorem 2, we shall show that if (I) holds, then $\mu \rightarrow I_\mu$ is a bijection of the set of all extremal Σ -invariant states onto the family of all maximal Σ -invariant ideals.

Our discussion is much due to Deleeuw and Glicksberg [1], Schaefer [2], Sine [3], and Takahashi [4].

2. Theorems.

$\text{co } \Sigma$ denotes the set of all finite convex linear combinations of operators in Σ . $\text{co } \Sigma$ is also a semigroup of Markov operators. We note that $\overline{\text{co}}\{Tf :$

Received May 17, 1973.

$T \in \Sigma\} = \overline{\{Af : A \in \text{co } \Sigma\}}$. \tilde{f} denotes the unique Σ -invariant function in $\overline{\text{co } \{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}}$ whenever (I) holds.

LEMMA 1. *If (I) holds, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_i \in C(X)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$), there exists an $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i - Af_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$).*

Proof. It is easy to see that $\tilde{A}f = \tilde{f}$ for all $f \in C(X)$ and $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$. First we choose an $A_1 \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_1 - A_1 f_1\| \leq \varepsilon$. Next we choose an $A_2 \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{A}_1 f_2 - A_2(A_1 f_2)\| \leq \varepsilon$. Let $A = A_2 A_1$. Then $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$ and $\|\tilde{f}_i - Af_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ ($i=1, 2$). An induction argument completes the proof.

Let $B(\Sigma)$ be the commutative C^* -algebra of all bounded complex functions on Σ . For each $f \in C(X)$ and $\nu \in C(X)^*$, we define $f \otimes \nu \in B(\Sigma)$ by $(f \otimes \nu)(T) = \nu(Tf)$. Let $L(\Sigma)$ be the linear span of $\{f \otimes \nu : f \in C(X), \nu \in C(X)^*\}$ in $B(\Sigma)$. We note that $1 \in L(\Sigma)$ and $\varphi^* \in L(\Sigma)$ if $\varphi \in L(\Sigma)$ where φ^* denotes the complex conjugate function of φ , and that φ_s (or ${}_s\varphi$) $\in L(\Sigma)$ if $S \in \Sigma$ and $\varphi \in L(\Sigma)$ where φ_s (or ${}_s\varphi$) denotes the right (or left) translation of φ by S . $m \in L(\Sigma)^*$ is called a right (or left) invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$ if $m(\varphi) \geq 0$ whenever $\varphi \geq 0$, $\|m\| = m(1) = 1$, and $m(\varphi_s)$ (or $m({}_s\varphi)$) $= m(\varphi)$ for all $S \in \Sigma$ and $\varphi \in L(\Sigma)$. A right and left invariant mean m on $L(\Sigma)$ is called a two-sided invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$. If m is a right invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$, then for each state μ we can define $\tilde{\mu} \in K_\Sigma$ by $\tilde{\mu}(f) = m(f \otimes \mu)$. In the following theorem, M_Σ denotes the set of all Σ -invariant functions in $C(X)$.

THEOREM 1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) (I) holds.
- (2) *There exists a two-sided invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$, and M_Σ separates K_Σ .*
- (3) *There exists a right invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$, and M_Σ separates K_Σ .*
- (4) *There exists a right invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$, and (II) holds.*

Proof. (1) implies (2): If μ_1 and μ_2 are distinct Σ -invariant states, then $\mu_1(f) \neq \mu_2(f)$ for some $f \in C(X)$. This implies that $\mu_1(\tilde{f}) = \mu_1(f) \neq \mu_2(f) = \mu_2(\tilde{f})$. Thus M_Σ separates K_Σ . For each $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \nu_i \in L(\Sigma)$, we define $m(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(\tilde{f}_i)$. We shall show that $m(\varphi)$ is independent of the particular representation of φ and that m is a two-sided invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$. Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \nu_i$ is identically zero. By Lemma 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i - Af_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Then we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(\tilde{f}_i) \right| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(\tilde{f}_i - Af_i) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(Af_i) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\nu_i\| \right) \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(\tilde{f}_i) = 0$. Thus we may unambiguously define $m(\varphi)$. It is easy to see that m is linear and $m(1) = 1$. We shall show that $\|m\| = 1$. Since $m(1) = 1$, it suffices to show that $\|m\| \leq 1$. Suppose $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \nu_i$. Again by Lemma 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i - Af_i\| \leq \varepsilon$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Then we have

$$|m(\varphi)| \leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(\tilde{f}_i - Af_i) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(Af_i) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|\nu_i\| \right) \varepsilon + \|\varphi\|.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $|m(\varphi)| \leq \|\varphi\|$. It is easy to see that $m(\varphi^*) = \overline{m(\varphi)}$. Suppose $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \nu_i \geq 0$. Then $m(\varphi)$ is real. We assume that $\alpha = m(\varphi) < 0$. Let ε be a number such that $0 < \varepsilon < -\alpha$. By Lemma 1, we can choose an $A \in \text{co } \Sigma$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i - Af_i\| \leq \varepsilon / \sum_{i=1}^n \|\nu_i\|$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$). Let $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i(Af_i)$. Then $\beta \geq 0$ and $|\alpha - \beta| < \varepsilon$, so we have $0 \leq \beta = |\alpha - \beta| + \alpha \leq \varepsilon + \alpha < 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus $m(\varphi) > 0$. If $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes \nu_i$, then $\varphi_s = \sum_{i=1}^n Sf_i \otimes \nu_i$ and ${}_s\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes S^*\nu_i$. It is easy to see that $m(\varphi_s) = m({}_s\varphi) = m(\varphi)$.

(2) implies (3): Evident.

(3) implies (4): Let m be a right invariant mean on $L(\Sigma)$, and let δ_x be the point measure at $x \in X$. For each $f \in C(X)$, we can define $Pf \in C(X)$ by $(Pf)(x) = m(f \otimes \delta_x)$ (see [3] and [4]). Then P is a Markov operator such that $PT = P$ for all $T \in \Sigma$ and $Pg = g$ for all $g \in M_\Sigma$. We shall show that Pf is a Σ -invariant function in $\overline{\text{co}} \{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$. Let μ be a state. Then $P^*T^*\mu, P^*\mu$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are Σ -invariant states. If g is a Σ -invariant function, then $(P^*T^*\mu)(g) = \mu(TPg) = \mu(Tg) = \mu(g)$, $(P^*\mu)(g) = \mu(Pg) = \mu(g)$, and $\tilde{\mu}(g) = m(g \otimes \mu) = \mu(g)$. Since M_Σ separates K_Σ , we have $P^*T^*\mu = P^*\mu = \tilde{\mu}$, which implies that $TP = P$ for all $T \in \Sigma$ and $\nu(Pf) = m(f \otimes \nu)$ for all $f \in C(X)$ and $\nu \in C(X)^*$. Thus Pf is a Σ -invariant function. If Pf is not contained in $\overline{\text{co}} \{Tf : T \in \Sigma\}$, there exists a $\nu \in C(X)^*$ such that $\sup \{\Re \nu(Tf) : T \in \Sigma\} < \Re \nu(Pf)$, but $\Re \nu(Pf) = \Re m(f \otimes \nu) = m(\Re(f \otimes \nu)) \leq \sup \{\Re \nu(Tf) : T \in \Sigma\}$. This is a contradiction.

(4) implies (1): The proof is similar to [4].

THEOREM 2. *If (I) holds, then $\mu \rightarrow I_\mu$ is a bijection of the set of all extremal Σ -invariant states onto the family of all maximal Σ -invariant ideals.*

Proof. Let I be a maximal Σ -invariant ideal. As well known, there exists an $x_0 \in X$ such that any function in I vanishes at x_0 . For each $f \in C(X)$ we define $\mu(f) = \tilde{f}(x_0)$, then μ is a Σ -invariant state which vanishes on I . The Schwarz inequality $\mu(|f|) \leq \sqrt{\mu(|f|^2)}$ implies that $I \subset I_\mu$ and therefore $I = I_\mu$. Let $K_{\Sigma, I} = \{\mu \in K_\Sigma : I = I_\mu\}$, then $K_{\Sigma, I}$ is a nonempty weak*-compact convex subset of $C(X)^*$. By the Krein-Milman theorem there exists an extreme point μ_0 of $K_{\Sigma, I}$. It is easy to see that μ_0 is also an extreme point of K_Σ .

Let μ be an extremal Σ -invariant state. If I_μ is not maximal, then there exists a maximal Σ -invariant ideal I containing I_μ . We can choose a Σ -invariant function g from $I - I_\mu$ such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ and $0 < \mu(g) < 1$. Let $\mu_1(f) = \mu(\tilde{f}g) / \mu(g)$ and $\mu_2(f) = \mu(\tilde{f}(1-g)) / \mu(1-g)$. Then μ_1 and μ_2 are Σ -invariant states, and $\mu = \alpha\mu_1 + (1-\alpha)\mu_2$ where $\alpha = \mu(g)$. Since μ is extremal, $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ and therefore $\mu_1(g) = \mu_2(g)$, which implies $\mu(g^2) = (\mu(g))^2$. It follows easily from the Schwarz inequality that $\mu(|g - \mu(g)1|) = 0$. This shows that $g - \mu(g)1 \in I$ and therefore $1 \in I$. This is a contradiction.

Let μ_1 and μ_2 be distinct extremal Σ -invariant states. Then there exists a Σ -invariant function g such that $0 \leq g \leq 1$ and $\mu_1(g) \neq \mu_2(g)$. If $I_{\mu_1} = I_{\mu_2}$, then

$0 < \mu_1(g) < 1$. Let $\mu_3(f) = \mu_1(\tilde{f}g) / \mu_1(g)$ and $\mu_4(f) = \mu_1(\tilde{f}(1-g)) / \mu_1(1-g)$. Then μ_3 and μ_4 are Σ -invariant states, and $\mu_1 = \alpha\mu_3 + (1-\alpha)\mu_4$ where $\alpha = \mu_1(g)$. Since μ_1 is extremal, $\mu_3 = \mu_4$. As in the above paragraph, it follows that $g - \mu_1(g)1 \in I_{\mu_1}$ and therefore $g - \mu_1(g)1 \in I_{\mu_2}$, which implies that $\mu_1(g) = \mu_2(g)$. This is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that I_{μ_1} and I_{μ_2} are distinct.

REFERENCES

- [1] DELEEUW, K., AND I. GLICKSBERG, Applications of almost periodic compactifications. *Acta Math.* **105** (1961), 65-97.
- [2] SCHAEFER, H. H., Invariant ideals of positive operators in $C(X)$. *Illinois J. Math.* **12** (1968), 525-538.
- [3] SINE, R., Geometric theory of a single Markov operator. *Pacific J. Math.* **27** (1968), 155-166.
- [4] TAKAHASHI, W., Invariant ideals for amenable semigroups of Markov operators. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **23** (1971), 121-126.

CENTRAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ELECTRIC POWER INDUSTRY, AND
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.