

## PICARD CONSTANT OF A FINITELY SHEETED COVERING SURFACE

BY HIROKAZU AOGAI

### §1. Introduction.

Let  $R$  be an open Riemann surface and  $M(R)$  the set of non-constant meromorphic functions on  $R$ . Let  $f$  be a member of  $M(R)$  and  $P(f)$  the number of lacunary values of  $f$ . Let  $P(R)$  be

$$\sup_{f \in M(R)} P(f).$$

This is called the Picard constant of  $R$ . It is known that  $P(R) \geq 2$  and  $P(R)$  is conformally invariant. If  $R$  is an  $n$ -sheeted covering surface of  $|z| < \infty$ , then  $2 \leq P(R) \leq 2n$  [4].

In this paper we shall consider the following problem:

PROBLEM. Determine the Picard constant of a finitely sheeted covering surface of  $|z| < \infty$ .

This problem is very difficult to solve, in general. We shall restrict ourselves to an  $n$ -sheeted covering surface  $R$  which is called regularly branched, that is, a surface which has no branch point other than those of order  $n-1$ .

Ozawa [5] has proved the following result:

If  $R$  is a two-sheeted covering surface of  $|z| < \infty$  and if  $P(R)=4$ , then  $R$  is essentially equivalent to the surface defined by an algebroid function  $y$  such that  $y^2=(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)$ , where  $H$  is an entire function and  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants satisfying  $\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta) \neq 0$ .

Niino and Hiromi [1] have proved the following result:

If  $R$  is a three-sheeted regularly branched covering surface and if  $P(R) \geq 5$ , then  $P(R)=6$  and  $R$  is essentially equivalent to the surface defined by  $y^3=(e^H-\alpha) \times (e^H-\beta)^2$ , where  $H$  is an entire function and  $\alpha, \beta$  and non-zero constants satisfying  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

In §2 we shall consider a preliminary result on  $P(f)$ .

In §3 we shall prove a generalization of the above results.

---

Received May 24, 1972.

In §4 we shall prove a theorem concerning the Picard constant of a surface defined by  $y^n = g(z)$ .

2. Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -valued algebroid function. Assume that  $P(f) \geq n+2$  and  $f$  is entire. Then the defining equation of  $f$  is of the form

$$(1) \quad F(f, z) \equiv f^n - S_1(z)f^{n-1} + S_2(z)f^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n(z) = 0,$$

where  $\{S_j(z)\}$  are entire functions. Let  $\{\alpha_j\}$  be finite lacunary values of  $f$ . Then

$$(2) \quad F(\alpha_j, z) = e^{H_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq l, \quad H_j \equiv \text{constant}, \quad l+1 \leq j \leq k, \quad H_j \equiv \text{constant},$$

where  $\alpha_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$  are exceptional values of the second kind and remaining  $\alpha_j$  are those of the first kind. Here  $k \geq n+1$  and  $l \leq n$ . (Remark: the inequality  $l \leq n$  is due to Rémondos [6])

Pick up  $n+1$  members  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}\}$  from  $\{\alpha_j\}$ , and let  $L_j$  be the function or constant  $H_j$  which corresponds to  $\beta_j$ .

Then, from (2),

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\beta_1^n - S_1\beta_1^{n-1} + S_2\beta_1^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = e^{L_1}, \\ &\beta_2^n - S_1\beta_2^{n-1} + S_2\beta_2^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = e^{L_2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ &\beta_{n+1}^n - S_1\beta_{n+1}^{n-1} + S_2\beta_{n+1}^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = e^{L_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\beta_1^n - e^{L_1}) - S_1\beta_1^{n-1} + S_2\beta_1^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = 0, \\ &(\beta_2^n - e^{L_2}) - S_1\beta_2^{n-1} + S_2\beta_2^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = 0, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ &(\beta_{n+1}^n - e^{L_{n+1}}) - S_1\beta_{n+1}^{n-1} + S_2\beta_{n+1}^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This linear system has a non-trivial solution  $(1, -S_1, S_2, \dots, (-1)^n S_n)$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1^n - e^{L_1} & \beta_1^{n-1} & \beta_1^{n-2} & \dots & 1 \\ \beta_2^n - e^{L_2} & \beta_2^{n-1} & \beta_2^{n-2} & \dots & 1 \\ \dots\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots & \dots\dots\dots \\ \beta_{n+1}^n - e^{L_{n+1}} & \beta_{n+1}^{n-1} & \beta_{n+1}^{n-2} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0.$$

In this equation (5), the coefficient of  $e^{L_j}$  is the determinant of Vandermonde, and so it is not zero.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the first  $m$  members  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$  are lacunary values of the second kind and remaining  $\beta_j$  are those of the first kind. Then, we have

$$(6) \quad a_0 = a_1 e^{L_1} + a_2 e^{L_2} + \dots + a_m e^{L_m}, \quad a_1 a_2 \dots a_m \neq 0.$$

Hence, by the impossibility of Borel's identity (cf. [3]), we can divide the set  $\{L_j\}$  into some classes  $A_\nu$ , any one of which contains more than two members, such that for any  $L_j, L_k \in A_\nu, L_j - L_k \equiv \text{constant}$ , and for any  $L_j \in A_\nu, L_k \in A_\mu (\nu \neq \mu), L_j - L_k \not\equiv \text{constant}$ .

Now, divide the set  $\{H_j\}$  into classes which have the same property of the above partition of  $\{L_j\}$ .

By the assumption  $P(f) \geq n + 2$ , we have  $K = k - (n + 1) \geq 0$ . If some class  $A_\nu$  contains fewer than  $K + 2$  members, then we can obtain the equation (3) which contains only one member of this class  $A_\nu$ . Then the above argument shows that another member belongs to  $A_\nu$ . This is a contradiction.

Hence, any one of these classes contains at least  $K + 2$  members.

This fact implies that, if  $2(K + 2) > l$ , the difference of any two of  $\{H_j\}_{j=1, \dots, l}$  is constant.

Therefore, if  $2(K + 2) > n \geq l$  (i.e.  $k > (3/2)n - 1$ ), the difference of any two of  $\{H_j\}$ , which correspond to the lacunary values of the second kind, is constant.

Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -valued entire algebraic function satisfying  $P(f) > (3/2)n$ . From the above fact, the equation (3) may be written in the following form:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\beta_1^n - S_1 \beta_1^{n-1} + S_2 \beta_1^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = \gamma_1 e^{H_1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ &\beta_m^n - S_1 \beta_m^{n-1} + S_2 \beta_m^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = \gamma_m e^{H_m}, \\ &\beta_{m+1}^n - S_1 \beta_{m+1}^{n-1} + S_2 \beta_{m+1}^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = \gamma_{m+1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ &\beta_{n+1}^n - S_1 \beta_{n+1}^{n-1} + S_2 \beta_{n+1}^{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^n S_n = \gamma_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H$  is a non-constant entire function and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}$  are non-zero constants.

Then, we have

$$(8) \quad (-1)^j S_j = a_j e^{H_j} + b_j, \quad a_j, b_j \text{ being constants, } j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Substituting (8) into (1),

$$(9) \quad F(f, z) \equiv G_1(f) + G_2(f) e^{H_j} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(f) &= f^n + b_1 f^{n-1} + b_2 f^{n-2} + \dots + b_n, \\ G_2(f) &= a_1 f^{n-1} + a_2 f^{n-2} + \dots + a_n. \end{aligned}$$

The algebraic equations  $G_1(z) = 0, G_2(z) = 0$  have no common root, because of the irreducibility of  $F(f, z)$ . And, the roots of  $G_1(z) = 0$  are lacunary values of

the second kind of  $f$ , and the roots of  $G_2(z)=0$  are lacunary values of the first kind of  $f$ . Moreover,  $f$  has no other finite lacunary value. In fact, a function  $b+ae^H$  ( $ab \neq 0$ ) has at least one zero (Picard's small theorem).

Summing up these facts, we have the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be an  $n$ -valued entire algebroid function satisfying  $P(f) > (3/2)n$ . Then there exist an entire function  $H$  and constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ , such that the defining equation of  $f$  is  $F(f, z) \equiv G_1(f) + G_2(f)e^H = 0$ , where  $G_1(f) = f^n + b_1f^{n-1} + b_2f^{n-2} + \dots + b_n$  and  $G_2(f) = a_1f^{n-1} + a_2f^{n-2} + \dots + a_n$ . Furthermore, the roots of the algebraic equation  $G_2(z)=0$  are lacunary values of the first kind, and the roots of  $G_1(z)=0$  are those of the second kind, and  $f$  has no other lacunary value. Moreover, these two algebraic equations have no common root.*

**§ 3.** We shall prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be an  $n$ -sheeted regularly branched covering surface of  $|z| < \infty$ , and if  $P(R) > (3/2)n$ , then  $P(R) = 2n$  and  $R$  can be represented by an algebroid function  $y$  such that  $y^n = (e^H - \alpha)(e^H - \beta)^{n-1}$ , where  $H$  is a non-constant entire function and  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants satisfying  $\alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption, there exists an algebroid function  $f$  on  $R$  such that  $P(f) > (3/2)n$ . We may assume that  $f$  is entire. Then,  $f$  may be regarded as a function defined by the equation of type (9). By the way, (9) is irreducible, and therefore the existence domain of  $f$  is equivalent to  $R$ .

We shall define an algebraic function  $f_0$ , which is associated to  $f$ , by the equation:

$$(10) \quad F(f_0, z) \equiv G_1(f_0) + zG_2(f_0) = 0.$$

In this case, we can see easily

$$(11) \quad f = f_0 \circ e^H.$$

A simple application of Nevanlinna's ramification relation shows that

$$(12) \quad \text{for any } a \in \{0 < |z| < \infty\}, \text{ the equation } a = e^{H(z)} \text{ has at least one simple root } z_0.$$

**REMARK.** More precisely, Hiromi and Ozawa [2] have proved that  $N_1(r, a - e^H) \sim m(r, e^H)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $N_1(r, a - e^H)$  is the counting function of simple zeros of the function  $a - e^H$ .

From the assumption of regularly branched property of  $R$ ,  $f$  has no algebraic singularity other than those of order  $n-1$ . Considering this fact together with (11) and (12), we can conclude that  $f_0$  has no singularity other than algebraic singularities of order  $n-1$  over  $0 < |z| < \infty$ .

By the way, (10) may be written in the following form:

$$(13) \quad z = -\frac{G_1(f_0)}{G_2(f_0)}.$$

Therefore,  $f_0$  is an algebraic function of genus zero. From these properties of  $f_0$  and Hurwitz's formula for a covering surface, essentially,  $f_0$  must be an algebraic function  $y$  such that  $y^n=(z-\alpha)(z-\beta)^{n-1}$ , where  $\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)\neq 0$ . Hence,  $f$  is essentially equal to  $y$  such that  $y^n=(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)^{n-1}$ .

Thus we have proved that, if  $R$  is regularly branched and if  $P(R)>(3/2)n$ ,  $R$  is equivalent to the surface defined by an algebroid function  $y$  such that  $y^n=(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)^{n-1}$ , where  $H$  is an entire function and  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants satisfying  $\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)\neq 0$ .

On the other hand, on the surface defined by  $y^n=(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)^{n-1}$ , there exists an algebroid function  $\sqrt[n]{(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)^{n-1}/(e^H-\beta)}$ , which omits  $2n$  values (i.e. the  $n$ -th roots of 1 and those of  $\alpha/\beta\neq 1$ ). Then  $P(R)=2n$ . Q. E. D.

§4. By an analogous argument, we shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. *Let  $R$  be an  $n$ -sheeted covering surface of  $|z|<\infty$  defined by an algebroid function  $y$  such that  $y^n=g(z)$ , where  $g(z)$  is a meromorphic function. If  $P(R)=2n$ , and if  $n$  is odd, then  $R$  can be represented by an algebroid function  $f$  such that  $f^n=(e^H-\alpha)(e^H-\beta)^{n-1}$ , where  $H$  is a non-constant entire function and  $\alpha, \beta$  are constants satisfying  $\alpha\beta(\alpha-\beta)\neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a function  $f$  on  $R$  such that  $P(f)=2n$ . We may assume that  $f$  is defined by the equation of type (9). Let  $f_0$  be an algebraic function defined by (11) from this function  $f$ . The function  $f$  represents  $R$ .

Investigating branch points of the surface  $y^n=g(z)$ , we can see that the total order of algebraic singularities of  $f$ , which exist over one point, is equal to  $P(n/P-1)$ , where  $P$  is a divisor of  $n$ .

Therefore,  $f_0$  has also the same property (by (11) and (12)) and  $f_0$  has no singularity over 0 and  $\infty$  (by theorem 1).

Hence

$$(14) \quad P\left(\frac{n}{P}-1\right)=n-P\geq\frac{n}{2}$$

and by Hurwitz's formula

$$(15) \quad \sum(\text{order of ramification of ramified points})=2n-2.$$

Therefore,  $f_0$  is ramified over at most three points. But, if there are three such points,  $n$  must be even. In fact, in such a case, there must exist three divisors  $p, q$  and  $r$  of  $n$  such that

$$(16) \quad p+q+r=n+2 \quad (\text{by (14) and (15)}).$$

If  $n$  is odd, then  $p, q, r\leq n/3$ . But, under this condition, (16) cannot be satisfied. Thus,  $f_0$  has two algebraic singularities of order  $n-1$ . This fact completes the proof (cf. the proof of theorem 2). Q. E. D.

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] HIROMI, G., AND K. NIINO, On a characterization of regularly branched three-sheeted covering Riemann surfaces. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 250-260.
- [ 2 ] HIROMI, G., AND M. OZAWA, On the existence of analytic mappings between two ultrahyperelliptic surfaces. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 281-306.
- [ 3 ] NEVANLINNA, R., *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes.* Paris (1929).
- [ 4 ] OZAWA, M., On complex analytic mappings. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 93-102.
- [ 5 ] OZAWA, M., On ultrahyperelliptic surfaces. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **17** (1965), 103-108.
- [ 6 ] RÉMOUNDOS, G., Extention aux fonctions algébroides multiformes du théorème de M. Picard et ses généralisation. *Mém. Sci. Math. Paris* (1927).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY