## ON THE NUMBER OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF A COMPACT BORDERED RIEMANN SURFACE

## Βγ Τακάο Κάτο

1. Introduction. For nonnegative integers g and k  $(2g+k-1\geq 2)$ , let N(g, k) be the order of the largest group of conformal selfmappings (automorphisms) which a compact bordered Riemann surface of genus g and with k boundary components can admit. (If k=0 we understand the number N(g, k) for a compact Riemann surface of genus g.) Hurwitz [4] proved that  $N(g, 0) \leq 84 (g-1)$ . Accola [1] and Maclachlan [7] proved independently that  $N(g, 0) \geq 8(g+1)$  for all g's. Furthermore, Macbeath [6] showed that N(g, 0)=84(g-1) for infinitely many values of g, and many other exact estimations for N(g, 0) were given by Accola [1], Maclachlan [7] and Kiley [5]. The problem seems, however, to remain still open for nfinitelyi many values of g.

On the other hand, for  $k \ge 1$ , Oikawa [8, 9] gave a general estimation such that  $N(g, k) \le 12(g-1)+6k$ , and he determined N(1, k) completely. Earlier than he, Heins [3] had determined N(0, k) (in this case naturally  $k \ge 3$ ) completely. Tsuji [10] treated hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, and determined N(2, k) exactly.

In this paper we shall prove the following results.

THEOREM 1.	N(g, 1) = 4g + 2,	for all $g \ge 1$ .
THEOREM 2.	N(g, 2) = 8g,	for all $g \ge 1$ .
Theorem 3.	N(g, 3) = 12g + 6,	if $g=0$ or $g=1$ ,
	N(g, 3) = 6g + 3,	if $g \neq 0$ , $g \neq 1$ and $j^2+j+1 \equiv 0$ (mod $2g+1$ ) has a solution,
	N(g, 3) = 4g + 14,	if $g\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ and $j^2+j+1\equiv 0$ (mod $2g+1$ ) does not have a solution,
	N(g, 3) = 4g + 6,	if $g \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $j^2+j+1 \equiv 0$ (mod $2g+1$ ) does not have a solution,

$$N(g, 3) = \frac{24g + 12}{5}$$
, if  $g=2$  or  $g=7$ ,

and

$$N(g, 3) = 4g + 2,$$

otherwise.

Received May 10, 1971.

Let N'(g, k) be the order of the largest group of automorphisms of a k-times punctured compact Riemann surface of genus g. Oikawa [8, 9] has proved that N(g, k) = N'(g, k), therefore, it is sufficient to prove the theorems for N'(g, k).

2. Before proving these theorems we shall state some preparatory results. Let W be a Riemann surface and let G be a properly discontinuous group of automorphisms of W. For any subgroup H of G, we can regard W/H as a Riemann surface having a conformal structure which is induced from the conformal structure of W[2]. Let  $\pi$  be the natural projection of W onto W/H. Then we have

LEMMA. If H is a normal subgroup of G, then for each element f in G there is an automorphism h of W/H satisfying  $\pi \circ f = h \circ \pi$ .

Let W be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. We project all the branch points of W with respect to  $\pi$  into W/H and denote them by  $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_r$ . Noting that the ramification indices of all the points over  $\hat{p}_i, i=1, \dots, r$ , are the same, respectively, we denote the corresponding indices by  $\nu_1-1, \dots, \nu_r-1$ . Then from the Riemann-Hurwitz relation [4] we have

(1) 
$$\frac{2g-2}{\text{ord}(H)} = 2g_0 - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right),$$

where ord (H) denotes the order of H and  $g_0$  denotes the genus of W/H. We shall also use the notation  $\langle f_1, f_2, \cdots \rangle$  to denote the group generated by the elements  $f_1, f_2, \cdots$ .

3. Proof of theorem 1. Wiman  $[11]^{1}$  proved the following: 4g+2 is the order of the largest cyclic group of automorphisms which a compact Riemann surface of genus g can admit. From this fact we can easily conclude theorem 1. We shall, however, give a proof for the sake of completeness.

Let W be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g (\geq 1)$ . We take a point p on W and let G be the group of automorphisms of  $W - \{p\}$ . It is obvious that G is a cyclic group of finite order. Then from the formula (1) we have

$$\frac{2g - 2}{\text{ord }(G)} = 2g_0 - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right)$$

where  $g_0$  denotes the genus of W/G, and  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r$  are as in paragraph 2. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\nu_1$  corresponds to p and is equal to ord (G).

If  $g_0 \ge 1$ , then we have ord  $(G) \le 2g-1$ .

Assume that  $g_0=0$  and  $r \ge 4$ , then we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{\operatorname{ord}(G)} = -2 + 1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(G)} + \sum_{i=2}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right)$$
$$\geq -1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(G)} + \frac{3}{2}.$$

1) Unfortunately, the anthor could not see directly his paper.

This implies that ord  $(G) \leq 4g-2$ .

Assume that  $g_0=0$  and r=3, then we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{\mathrm{ord}\,(G)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\mathrm{ord}\,(G)} - \frac{1}{\nu_2} - \frac{1}{\nu_3}.$$

Noting that ord (G) is the least common multiple of  $\nu_2$  and  $\nu_3$ , we have ord (G)  $\leq 4g+2$ .

Summing up these estimations we obtain  $N(g, 1) \leq 4g+2$ .

To show that N(g, 1)=4g+2 we shall give an example of a once-punctured compact Riemann surface of genus g which admits 4g+2 automorphisms. Let W be the compact Riemann surface of genus g defined by the algebraic equation

$$y^2 = x(x^{2g+1}-1).$$

Let p be the point on W which corresponds to x=0. Then

$$f: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{2\pi i/(2g+1)}x, e^{\pi i/(2g+1)}y)$$

is an automorphism of  $W - \{p\}$ . We conclude that  $\operatorname{ord} (\langle f \rangle) = 4g + 2$ . Therefore, we have N(g, 1) = 4g + 2.

4. Proof of theorem 2. Let W be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g (\geq 1)$ . We distiguish two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  on W. Let G be the group of automorphisms of  $W - \{p_1, p_2\}$ , and let H be the group of automorphisms of W each of which fixes the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Obviously we have ord  $(G) \leq 2$  ord (H). From the formula (1) we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{\text{ord}(H)} = 2g_0 - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right)$$

where  $g_0$  denotes the genus of W/H. Hence H is a cyclic group, we may assume that  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \text{ ord }(H)$  which correspond to  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  respectively.

If  $g_0 \ge 1$  then ord  $(H) \le g$ .

If  $g_0=0$  and r=2, then we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{\text{ord }(H)} = -2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\text{ ord }(H)}\right).$$

This implies that g=0 which is a contradiction.

Therefore, if  $g_0=0$ , then  $r \ge 3$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2g-2}{\operatorname{ord}(H)} &= -2 + 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\operatorname{ord}(H)}\right) + \sum_{i=3}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right) \\ &\geq -\frac{2}{\operatorname{ord}(H)} + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\operatorname{ord}(H) \leq 4g$ . Therefore, we have  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 2 \operatorname{ord}(H) \leq 8g$ . Conse-

quently, we conclude that  $N(g, 2) \leq 8g$ .

An example shows that N(g, 2) = 8g. Let W be the compact Riemann surface of genus g which is defined by the algebraic equation

$$y^2 = x(x^{2g} - 1).$$

Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be the points on W which correspond to x=0 and  $x=\infty$  respectively. Then

and

$$f_1: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{\pi i/g}x, e^{\pi i/2g}y)$$
$$f_2: (x, y) \longrightarrow (1/x, iy/x^{g+1})$$

are automorphisms of  $W - \{p_1, p_2\}$ , and we see that  $\operatorname{ord}(\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle) \geq 8g$ . Therefore, we conclude that N(g, 2) = 8g.

5. Proof of theorem 3. In the first place for each  $g (\geq 1)$  we shall show an example which assures that N(g, 3) is greater than or equal to 4g+2. Let W be the compact Riemann surface of genus g defined by the equation

$$y^2 = x(x^{2g+1}-1)$$

Let  $p_1$  be the point on W which corresponds to x=0, and  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  the points corresponding to  $x=\infty$ . From the proof of theorem 1 we conclude that  $\operatorname{ord}(\langle f \rangle)=4g+2$  which assures that  $N(g, 3) \ge 4g+2$ . For g=0 Heins [3] showed that N(0, 3)=6. Henceforth, we shall omit the case g=0 from our consideration.

6. Let W be a compact Riemann surface of genus g and we distinguish three points  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  on W. Let G be the group of automorphisms of  $W - \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ . Hence, every member of G can be extended to an automorphism of W, we also denote the group which consists of them by G. Let  $f_1$  denote a generator of the cyclic subgroup of G which consists of all the elements of G that fix the points  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$ . For simplicity's sake we shall denote ord  $(\langle f_1 \rangle)$  by n. Let  $f_2$  denote an element of G such that  $f_2(p_1) = p_2, f_2(p_2) = p_3$  and  $f_2(p_3) = p_1$  and let  $f_3$  denote an element of G such that  $f_3(p_1) = p_1, f_3(p_2) = p_3$  and  $f_3(p_3) = p_2$ .

It is easy to see that ord (G) does not exceed 6n regardless of the existence of  $f_2$  or  $f_3$ . More precisely, ord  $(G) \leq 6n$  if  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ , ord  $(G) \leq 3n$  if  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , ord  $(G) \leq 2n$  if  $G = \langle f_1, f_3 \rangle$ , ord (G) = n if  $G = \langle f_1 \rangle$  and ord  $(G) \leq 6$  otherwise.

If the genus of  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle$ , denoted by  $g_0$ , is positive, then by the formula (1) we have

$$\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6n \leq 4g+2.$$

Indeed, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = n$ , and therefore we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} \ge 3\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

## ΤΑΚΑΟ ΚΑΤΟ

Therefore, we may assume that  $g_0$  is equal to zero. In this case from the formula (1) we have

 $n \leq 2g+1.$ 

Hence,  $2n \leq 4g+2$ , it is to be observed only when  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  and  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ .

7. We shall observe the following five cases. During the discussion of these cases we assume that  $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = n$  in the formula (1).

Case (A): r=3 in the formula (1).

In this case we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} = -2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then we see that  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 12g+6$  if  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  and  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6g+3$  if  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ . We shall discuss this case in detail in the following paragraph.

Case (B): r=4 in (1).

In this case we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} = -2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_4}\right)$$
$$\geq 1 - \frac{3}{n} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $n \leq (4g+2)/3$ . In this case  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  cannot occur by virtue of lemma. If  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , we have ord  $(G) \leq 4g+2$ . In the case (B) there is nothing more to do.

Case (C): r=5 in (1).

In this case we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} = -2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_4}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_5}\right).$$

If  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , we obtain  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 3(2g+1)/2 < 4g+2$ . This may be omitted. If  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  occurs, we have  $\nu_4 = \nu_5 = m$  by lemma, and *m* divides *n*. Then we obtain

$$\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6n = 4g + 2 + \frac{4n}{m}.$$

This case shall be treated in detail later on.

Case (D): r=6 in (1).

In this case we have

AUTOMORPHISMS OF A RIEMANN SURFACE

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} = -2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{i=4}^{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right).$$

If  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ , we have  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6(2g+1)/5 < 4g+2$ . There is nothing more to do. If  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  occurs, by lemma we have  $\nu_4 = \nu_5 = \nu_6 = m$ , and *m* divides *n*. If m=2, we have n = (4g+2)/5. Therefore, *g* must satisfy  $2g+1\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq (24g+12)/5$ . This is to be treated later on. If  $m \geq 3$ , we have  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6n \leq 4g+2$ . This may be omitted.

Case (E):  $r \ge 7$  in (1).

In this case we have

$$\frac{2g-2}{n} = -2 + 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \sum_{i=4}^{r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu_i}\right)$$
$$\geq 1 - \frac{3}{n} + 2.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $\operatorname{ord}(G) \leq 6n \leq 4g+2$ . In this case there is nothing to do.

8. The case (A). In this case we may assume that  $f_2^3$  is equal to the identity and that  $f_3^2$  is equal to the identity, where  $f^j$  denotes the *j*-th iteration of *f*. Furthermore, we may assume that  $f_2$  has a fixed point which we denote by  $q_1$ . Let  $\pi$  be the natural projection mapping of *W* onto  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle$  and let  $\gamma_1$  be a simple curve starting and ending at  $q = \pi(q_1)$ , which is freely homotopic in  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle - \{\pi(p_1), \pi(p_2), \pi(p_3)\}$ , to an arbitrary small circle centered at  $\pi(p_1)$ . Let  $\gamma_2 = \pi \circ f_2 \circ \pi^{-1}(\gamma_1)$  and let  $\gamma_3 = \pi \circ f_2^2 \circ \pi^{-1}(\gamma_1)$ . By lemma these are uniquely determined regardless of a choice of a branch of  $\pi^{-1}$ . Let  $q_{i+1}$  be the terminal point of the lift of  $\gamma_1$  starting at  $q_i$  $(i=1, \dots, 2g+1)$ . Henceforth, we consider the suffixes of *q*'s by mod 2g+1. If we set  $q_{1+j}$  the terminal point of the lift of  $\gamma_2$  starting at  $q_1$ , then by the monodromy theorem we establish that  $q_{1+ij}$  is the terminal point of the lift of  $\gamma_2$  starting at  $q_{1+(i-1)j}$   $(i=1, \dots, 2g+1)$ . This assures that  $f_2(q_{1+i}) = q_{1+ij}$ . Then we have

$$q_2 = f_2^3(q_2) = f_2^2(q_{1+j}) = f_2(q_{1+j^2}) = q_{1+j^3}.$$

Therefore we have

$$j^3 - 1 \equiv 0 \qquad (\operatorname{mod} 2g + 1).$$

If there exists  $f_3$ , we may also assume that  $f_3$  has a fixed point which is different from  $p_1$ , and we denote it by  $q'_1$ . Let  $\gamma'_2$  be a simple curve starting and ending at  $q' = \pi(q'_1)$ , which is freely homotopic in  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle - \{\pi(p_1), \pi(p_2), \pi(p_3)\}$ , to a small circle centered at  $\pi(p_2)$ , and let  $\gamma'_3 = \pi \circ f_3 \circ \pi^{-1}(\gamma'_2)$ . Let  $q'_{i+1}$  be the terminal point of the lift of  $\gamma'_2$  starting at  $q'_i$   $(i=1, \dots, 2g+1)$ , and set  $q'_{1+j}$  the terminal point of the lift of  $\gamma'_3$  starting at  $q'_i$ . Then we have

$$q'_2 = f_3^2(q'_2) = f_3(q'_{1+j}) = q'_{1+j^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$j^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \qquad (\mod 2g + 1).$$

Consequently, if the case  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  occurs,  $j^3 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  and  $j^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has a common solution, i.e. j=1. Then we continue a branch of  $\pi^{-1}(q)$  along  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3$  successively. Hence, there is no branch point in W but  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$ , we have 2g+1=3. Therefore, this case does not occur except for g=1.

If the case  $G = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$  occurs,  $j^2 + j + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has a solution.

9. The case (C) and the case (D). In these cases we consider an intermediate covering surface  $W/\langle f_1^{n,m} \rangle$  of  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle$ . The natural projection mapping  $W/\langle f_1^{n,m} \rangle$  onto  $W/\langle f_1 \rangle$  does not ramify but  $\pi(p_1), \pi(p_2)$  and  $\pi(p_3)$ . Hence, we can apply the discussion in paragraph 8 to  $W/\langle f_1^{n,m} \rangle$ , we may conclude that if the case  $G = \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$  occurs, n=m or n=3m. The former corresponds to g'=0 and the latter to g'=1, where g' denotes the genus of  $W/\langle f_1^{n,m} \rangle$ .

In the case (C), if the case n=m occurs, we establish that 3n=2g+3 which implies that  $g\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and if the case n=3m occurs, we establish that 9m=2g +7 which implies that  $g\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ .

In the case (D), if n=m=2 then g=2 and if n=3m=6 then g=7.

10. Examples. To show the exactness it is sufficient to construct some examples.

EXAMPLE 1. For g=1, let W be the Riemann surface defined by the equation

$$y^{3} = x^{3} - 1.$$

Let  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  be the points corresponding to x=1,  $e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $e^{4\pi i/3}$  respectively. Set

$$\begin{split} f_1 &: (x, y) \longrightarrow (x, e^{2\pi i/3}y), \\ f_2 &: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{2\pi i/3}x, y) \end{split}$$

and

$$f_3: (x, y) \longrightarrow (1/x, -y/x).$$

Then we have

N(g, 3) = 12g + 6.

EXAMPLE 2. For g such that  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has a solution and  $g \neq 1$ , let W be the Riemann surface defined by the equation

$$y^{2g+1} = (x-1) (x - e^{2\pi i/3})^j (x - e^{4\pi i/3})^{j^2}$$

where j is a solution of  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$ . Let  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  be the points corresponding to  $x=1, e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $e^{4\pi i/3}$  respectively. Set

$$f_1: (x, y) \longrightarrow (x, e^{2\pi i/(2g+1)}y)$$

and

$$f_2: (x, y) \longrightarrow \left( e^{2\pi i/3} x, \frac{e^{2\pi (1+j+j^2)i/3(2g+1)} y^j}{(x-e^{4\pi i/3})^{(j^3-1)/(2g+1)}} \right).$$

Then we have

N(g, 3) = 6g + 3.

EXAMPLE 3. For g such that  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  does not have a solution and  $g\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ , let W be the Riemann surface defined by the equation

$$y^{(2g+7)/3} = x^{(g-1)/3}(x^3-1).$$

Let  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  be the points corresponding to  $x=1, e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $e^{4\pi i/3}$  respectively. Set

$$f_1: (x, y) \longrightarrow (x, e^{6\pi i/(2g+7)}y),$$
$$f_2: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{2\pi i/3}x, y)$$

and

 $f_3: (x, y) \longrightarrow (1/x, -y/x)$ 

Then we have

N(g, 3) = 4g + 14.

EXAMPLE 4. For g such that  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  does not have a solution and  $g\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , let W be the Riemann surface defined by the equation

 $y^{(2g+3)/3} = x^{(g-3)/3}(x^3-1).$ 

Let  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  be the points corresponding to x=1,  $e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $e^{4\pi i/3}$  respectively. Set

$$f_1: (x, y) \longrightarrow (x, e^{6\pi i/(2g+3)}y),$$
  
$$f_2: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{2\pi i/3}x, e^{4\pi i/3}y)$$

and

$$f_{\mathfrak{s}}: (x, y) \longrightarrow (1/x, -y/x).$$

Then we have

$$N(g, 3) = 4g + 6.$$

EXAMPLE 5. For g=2 or 7, let W be the Riemann surface defined by the equation

$$y^{(4g+2)/5} = (x^3 - 1)(x^3 + 1)^{(2g+1)/5}.$$

Let  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  be the points corresponding to  $x=1, e^{2\pi i/3}$  and  $e^{4\pi i/3}$  respectively. Set ΤΑΚΑΟ ΚΑΤΟ

$$f_1: (x, y) \longrightarrow (x, e^{5\pi i/(2g+1)}y),$$
$$f_2: (x, y) \longrightarrow (e^{2\pi i/3}x, y)$$

and

$$f_3: (x, y) \longrightarrow (1/x, e^{\pi i/2}y/x^{3(g+3)/(2g+1)}).$$

Then we have

$$N(g, 3) = \frac{24g + 12}{5}$$
.

Summing up, we have concluded our theorem 3.

11. Some criteria for the solubility of the congruence  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$ . If p is a prime number, then the following congruence holds for every integer j (Fermat's theorem):

$$j^p - j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
.

Suppose that  $g \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and that 2g+1 is prime, we have

$$j^{2g+1}-j=(j^2+j+1)P(j)$$

where P(j) is a polynomial of degree 2g-1 with integral coefficients. The congruence  $P(j)\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has at most 2g-1 solutions while the congruence  $j^{2g+1}-j\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has 2g+1 solutions, and consequently, the congruence  $j^2+j+1$  $\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has two solutions.

Suppose that  $g \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and that 2g+1 is prime, we have

$$j^{2g+1}-j=(j^2+j+1)P(j)-(2j+1)$$

where P(j) is a polynomial of degree 2g-1 with integral coefficients. If the congruence  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  has a solution, then the congruence  $2j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  must have the same solution. This is impossible.

It is obvious that if the congruence  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  is unsoluble then for every multiple of p, denoted by q, the congruence  $j^2+j+1\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$  is unsoluble, and it is easily seen that every number of the form 6m+5 is divisible by a prime number of the form 6m'+5.

Thus we conclude that if  $g \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  then the congruence  $j^2+j+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2g+1}$  is unsoluble.

## References

- [1] ACCOLA, R. D. M., On the number of automorphisms of a closed Riemann surface. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (1968), 398-408.
- [2] AHLFORS, L. V., AND L. SARIO, Riemann surfaces. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1960).
- [3] HEINS, M., On the number of 1-1 directly conformal maps which a multiplyconnected plane region of finite connectivity p (>2) admits onto itself. Bull.

Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), 454-457.

- [4] HURWITZ, A., Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich. Math. Ann. 41 (1893), 403-442.
- [5] KILEY, W. T., Automorphism groups on compact Riemann surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 150 (1970), 557–563.
- [6] MACBEATH, A. M., On a theorem of Hurwitz. Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 5 (1961), 90-96.
- [7] MACLACHLAN, C., A bound for the number of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface. J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 265-272.
- [8] OIKAWA, K., Note on conformal mappings of a Riemann surface onto itself. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 8 (1956), 23-30.
- [9] OIKAWA, K., A supplement to "Note on conformal mappings of a Riemann surface onto itself". Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 8 (1956), 115-116.
- [10] TSUJI, R., On conformal mapping of a hyperelliptic Riemann surface onto itself. Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 10 (1958), 127-136.
- [11] WIMAN, A., Über die hyperelliptishen Curven und diejenigen vom Geschlechte *p*=3 welche eindeutigen Transformationen in sich zulassen. Bihang Till. Kongl. Svenska Veienskaps-Akademiens Hadlingar 21 (1895-6), 1-23.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.