ON MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF ORDER ZERO

By Yoshihisa Kubota

1. In this paper we shall investigate a relation between the maximum modulus and the minimum modulus of a meromorphic function of order zero. Throughout the paper we assume familiarity with the standard notions of the Nevanlinna theory (see e.g. [4], [5]). We denote the Valiron deficiency of the value a for f(z)by $\Delta(a, f)$. We define the maximum modulus M(r, f) and the minimum modulus $\mu(r, f)$ of f(z) by

> $M(r, f) = \sup |f(z)|$ (|z|=r), $\mu(r, f) = \inf |f(z)|$ (|z|=r)

respectively. We shall assume that f(z) is transcendental i.e. that

 $\log r = o(T(r, f)) \qquad (r \to \infty).$

If E is a measurable set on $(0, \infty)$ we define its density as

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{m\{E\cap(0,r)\}}{r}$$

if the limit exists, and its upper density by replacing lim by lim sup, where $m\{E \cap (0, r)\}$ denotes the measure of $E \cap (0, r)$.

It is well known that if f(z) is an entire function of order zero then

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim T(r, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1 [3]. We shall show an analogous result for meromorphic functions of order zero.

THEOREM 1. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of order zero. If $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$, then

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f)$$

and

$$\delta(\infty, f) \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \Delta(\infty, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1. Hence if $\delta(\infty, f) = \Delta(\infty, f) > 0$, then

Received May 19, 1969.

YOSHIHISA KUBOTA

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim \delta(\infty, f) T(r, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1.

Ostrovskii [6] showed that $\mu(r, f)$ is sometimes large if f(z) is of lower order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1/2$, namely,

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log^+\mu(r,f)}{T(r,f)}\geq\frac{\pi\rho}{\sin\pi\rho}\{\cos\pi\rho-1+\delta(\infty,f)\}.$$

In particular if $\rho = 0$,

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log^+\mu(r,f)}{T(r,f)}\geq\delta(\infty,f).$$

Theorem 1 indicates that if f(z) is of order zero and $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$ then $\mu(r, f)$ is large for a considerable proportion of the values of r.

If the hypothesis $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$ is omitted, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is no longer true. For instance, consider the function

$$f_0(z) = \Pi\left(1 + \frac{z}{e^n}\right) / \Pi\left(1 - \frac{z}{e^n}\right).$$

Then $f_0(z)$ is of order zero and obviously $\log \mu(r, f_0) = -\log M(r, f_0)$.

Here we note that if there exists an unbounded sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\Delta = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r_n, f)}{T(r_n, f)} > 0$$

then $\Delta(\infty, f) \ge \Delta$. To prove this we assume that f(z) satisfies $T(r, f) \sim N(r, 0)$ and f(0)=1; this restriction is not essential. By Jensen's formula we have

$$\log \mu(r, f) \leq N(r, 0) - N(r, \infty),$$

whence we obtain

$$\Delta(\infty, f) = 1 - \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, \infty)}{T(r, f)} \ge \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{N(r_n, 0) - N(r_n, \infty)}{T(r_n, f)} \ge \Delta.$$

On the other hand, let g(z) be an entire function of order zero with $\Delta(0, g) > 0$; the existence of such a function was shown by Anderson-Clunie [1]. Then the function $f(z)=g(z)^{-1}$ is meromorphic, of order zero and satisfies $\Delta(\infty, f) > 0$. However $\mu(r, f)$ is bounded since

$$\log \mu(r, f) = -\log M(r, g).$$

Now it is natural to ask whether there is a meromorphic function of order zero such that $\delta(\infty, f)=0$, $\Delta(\infty, f)>0$ and

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} > 0$$

in a set of r of upper density 1. In §4 we shall show that there exists a meromorphic function of order zero having the following two properties: (1) $\delta(\infty, f)=0$, $\Delta(\infty, f)>0$ and (2)

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim \Delta(\infty, f) T(r, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1.

Next we shall consider meromorphic functions of order zero satisfying

(1.1)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 1$$

for a number $\sigma > 1$. For such a function we shall prove the following

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of order zero satisfying (1.1) for a number $\sigma > 1$. If $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$, then

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f)$$

and

$$\delta(\infty, f) \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \Delta(\infty, f)$$

in a set of r of density 1. Conversely, if

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} > 0$$

in a set of r of density 1, then $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$.

COROLLARY 1. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of order zero satisfying (1.1) for a number $\sigma > 1$. If f(z) possesses a Nevanlinna deficient value, then it possesses no other Valiron deficient values.

2. In order to prove Theorem 1 we need the following two lemmas. They are essentially the same as lemmas in Boas [2] and Cartwright [3], whence we omit their proofs.

LEMMA 1. If f(z) is a meromorphic function of order less than one with f(0)=1, then for every η ($0 < \eta < (8/3)e$) we have

$$|\log |f(z)| - \{N(2R, 0) - N(2R, \infty)\}| < \left(1 + \log \frac{4e}{\eta}\right) \{Q(2R, 0) + (2R, \infty)\},$$

|z| < R, outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $2\eta R$, where

$$Q(r, a) = r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, a)}{t^2} dt.$$

LEMMA 2. If f(z) is of order zero, then

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{Q(r,0)+Q(r,\infty)}{N(r)}=0,$$

where $N(r) = N(r, 0) + N(r, \infty)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. First we assume that f(z) satisfies

(3.1)
$$T(r, f) \sim N(r, 0), \quad f(0) = 1.$$

Suppose $\delta(\infty, f) > 0$, so that for some positive ρ , $0 < \rho < \delta(\infty, f)$, and R_0 ,

$$N(2R, 0) - N(2R, \infty) > \frac{\rho}{2-\rho} N(2R)$$
 $(R \ge R_0).$

Applying Lemma 1 we have

$$\left|\frac{\log|f(z)|}{N(2R,0)-N(2R,\infty)}-1\right| \leq \left(1+\log\frac{4e}{\eta}\right)\frac{2-\rho}{\rho} \cdot \frac{Q(2R,0)+Q(2R,\infty)}{N(2R)}$$

|z| < R, outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $2\eta R$ provided $R \ge R_0$ and $0 < \eta < (8/3)e$. Let $\varepsilon(>0)$ be given. By Lemma 2 it is possible to choose an arbitrarily large positive number R_{ε} such that

$$\frac{1\!-\!\varepsilon}{1\!+\!\varepsilon} \leq \frac{\log \mu(\mathbf{r}, f)}{\log M(\mathbf{r}, f)} \leq 1$$

in a set $E(\eta, \varepsilon)$ of $r(\langle R_{\varepsilon})$ of measure at least $(1-2\eta)R_{\varepsilon}$. Hence by the first fundamental theorem we have

$$\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \left(1-\frac{N(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}\right) \leq \frac{\log \mu(r,f)}{T(r,f)} \leq \frac{\log M(r,f)}{T(r,f)} \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \left(1-\frac{N(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}\right)$$

in $E(\eta, \varepsilon)$. Since η and ε are arbitrary we conclude that

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f)$$

and

$$\delta(\infty, f) \leq \liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \Delta(\infty, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1.

If f(z) does not satisfy the asymptotic relation of (3.1), we choose $\gamma(\neq 0)$ such that

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\gamma}\right) \sim T(r,f)$$
 and $f(0) \neq \gamma$.

Put $F(z) = c\{f(z) - \gamma\}$, where F(0) = 1. Then we have

$$T(r,f) \sim T(r,F), \quad T(r,F) \sim N\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad N(r,f) = N(r,F).$$

Thus the hypotheses in the theorem and the additional property hold with f(z) replaced by F(z). Hence the conclusion of the theorem holds with F(z). Since

 $\log |F(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ $(|z| \rightarrow \infty)$

in the admitted set, this proves the general validity of the theorem.

4. Now we show that there exists a meromorphic function f(z) of order zero having the following two properties: (1) $\delta(\infty, f) = 0$, $\Delta(\infty, f) > 0$ and (2)

 $\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \sim \Delta(\infty, f) T(r, f)$

in a set of r of upper density 1.

First we prove the following

LEMMA 3. Let f(z) be a meromorphic function of order zero satisfying

(4.1)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{Q(r,0) + Q(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)} = 0 \quad and \quad T(r,f) \sim N(r,0).$$

If $\Delta(\infty, f) > 0$, then

$$\log \mu(\mathbf{r}, f) \sim \log M(\mathbf{r}, f) \sim \Delta(\infty, f) T(\mathbf{r}, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1.

Proof. We may assume that f(z) satisfies f(0)=1. Suppose $\Delta(\infty, f)>0$. Let $\{R_n\}$ be an unbounded increasing sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(2R_n,\infty)}{T(2R_n,f)}=1-\varDelta(\infty,f).$$

By Lemma 1 and (4.1) we may assume that

(4. 2)
$$\left| \frac{\log |f(z)|}{N(2R_n, 0) - N(2R_n, \infty)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

and

(4.3)
$$\log |f(z)| \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left\{ \Delta(\infty, f) - \frac{1}{n} \right\} T(2R_n, f)$$

 $|z| < R_n$, outside a set of circles the sum of whose radii is at most $(1/n)R_n$. Hence we have using (4.2)

$$\log \mu(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f),$$

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \Delta(\infty, f)$$

and using (4.3)

$$\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \ge \Delta(\infty, f)$$

in a set of r of upper density 1. Thus we proved the lemma.

Next we construct a meromorphic function of order zero satisfying $\delta(\infty, f)=0$, $\Delta(\infty, f)>0$, $T(r, f)\sim N(r, 0)$ and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{Q(r,0)+Q(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}=0.$$

Put $g_1(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+z/e^{n/2})$. Then we have $N(r, 0; g_1) \sim T(r, g_1) \sim (\log r)^2$.

Let $\{r_m\}$ and $\{R_m\}$ be unbounded increasing sequences of positive numbers such that $r_m < R_m < r_{m+1}$, and let $g_2(z)$ be an entire function of order zero, whose zeros in $R_{m-1} < |z| \le r_m$ are $e^{\nu} (\nu = [\log R_{m-1}] + 1, \dots, [\log r_m])$ and whose zeros in $r_m < |z| \le R_m$ are $e^{\mu/3} (\mu = [3 \log r_m] + 1, \dots, [3 \log R_m])$. Then we have

$$N(r_m, 0; g_2) \leq \int_{R_{m-1}}^{r_m} \frac{\log t + 2\log R_{m-1} + 1}{t} dt + N(R_{m-1}, 0; g_2)$$

= $\frac{1}{2} (\log r_m)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\log R_{m-1})^2 + 2\log R_{m-1} \log r_m - 2(\log R_{m-1})^2$
+ $\log r_m - \log R_{m-1} + N(R_{m-1}, 0; g_2)$

and

$$N(R_m, 0; g_2) \ge \int_{r_m}^{R_m} \frac{3\log t - 3\log r_m - 1}{t} dt$$

= $\frac{3}{2} (\log R_m)^2 - \frac{3}{2} (\log r_m)^2 - 3\log r_m \log R_m + 3(\log r_m)^2 - \log R_m + \log$

Hence we can define sequences $\{r_m\}$ and $\{R_m\}$ inductively such that

$$\frac{N(r_m, 0; g_2)}{N(r_m, 0; g_1)} \le \frac{3}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{N(R_m, 0; g_2)}{N(R_m, 0; g_1)} \ge \frac{5}{4}$$

We consider the function $F(z)=g_1(z)/g_2(z)$. Then F(z) is meromorphic, of order zero and satisfies $\Delta(\infty, F) \ge 1/4$, $\Delta(0, F) \ge 1/5$. Further we can varify easily that $T(r, F)=O((\log r)^2)$. Valiron [7] proved that if $T(r, F)=O((\log r)^2)$ then for any two complex numbers a, b,

$$\max \{N(r, a), N(r, b)\} \sim T(r, F).$$

By this result we conclude that F(z) satisfies $\delta(\infty, F)=0$. Let γ be a complex number such that $N(r, 1/(F-\gamma))\sim T(r, F)$, and put $f(z)=F(z)-\gamma$. Then f(z) satisfies

 $\delta(\infty, f) = 0, \ \Delta(\infty, f) > 0 \text{ and } N(r, 0) \sim T(r, f).$ Moreover

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{Q(r,0)+Q(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}=0,$$

since $n(r, 0) \log r \leq N(r^2, 0) \leq T(r^2, 0) + O(\log r) = O((\log r)^2)$.

Thus, combining these results, we established that f(z) has the desired properties.

5. The proof of Theorem 2 depends on the following lemma. First we note that if the condition (1.1) holds with f(z) for a number $\sigma > 1$ then it holds for arbitrary $\tau > 1$. In fact, $\sigma^n > \tau$ for an integer *n*, so that

$$1 \leq \frac{T(\tau r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq \frac{T(\sigma^n r, f)}{T(r, f)} = \frac{T(\sigma r, f)}{T(r, f)} \cdot \frac{T(\sigma^2 r, f)}{T(\sigma r, f)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{T(\sigma^n r, f)}{T(\sigma^{n-1} r, f)} \to 1 \quad (r \to \infty).$$

LEMMA 4. If f(z) is a meromorphic function of order zero satisfying (1.1) for a number $\sigma > 1$ and $N(r, 0) \sim T(r, f)$, f(0) = 1, then

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{Q(r,0)+Q(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}=0.$$

Proof. For arbitrary $\tau > 1$ we have

(5.1)
$$n(r, 0) \log \tau \leq N(\tau r, 0) \leq 2N(r, 0)$$

and

$$n(r,\infty)\log\tau \leq N(\tau r,\infty) \leq \frac{3}{2}T(\tau r,f) \leq 2N(r,0)$$

provided $r > r_{\tau}$. Hence we have

$$Q(r, 0) + Q(r, \infty) = r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, 0) + n(t, \infty)}{t^2} dt \leq \frac{4}{\log \tau} r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{N(t, 0)}{t^2} dt \qquad (r > r_{\tau}).$$

Using (5.1) we obtain

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{n(r,0)}{N(r,0)}=0,$$

whence it follows that $r^{-1/2}N(r, 0)$ is decreasing for $r > r'_r$. Therefore we have

$$Q(\mathbf{r},0)+Q(\mathbf{r},\infty) \leq \frac{8}{\log \tau} N(\mathbf{r},0) \leq \frac{8}{\log \tau} T(\mathbf{r},f) \quad (\mathbf{r} > \mathbf{r}_{\tau},\mathbf{r}_{\tau}').$$

Since τ is arbitrary, we have the desired result.

6. Proof of Theorem 2. We may assume that f(z) satisfies $N(r, 0) \sim T(r, f)$ and f(0)=1. If $\delta(\infty, f)>0$, applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 we obtain the desired result. Suppose that

YOSHIHISA KUBOTA

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log\mu(r,f)}{T(r,f)}=d>0$$

in a set E of r of density 1. Let $\{\rho_n\}$ be an unbounded increasing sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(\rho_n,\infty)}{T(\rho_n,f)}=\limsup_{r\to\infty}\frac{N(r,\infty)}{T(r,f)}$$

Put $R_n = \sigma \rho_n$. We may assume that $m\{E \cap (0, R_n)\} > (1/\sigma)R_n = \rho_n$. There exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ of positive numbers satisfying $\rho_n < r_n < R_n$ and $r_n \in E$. By Jensen's formula we have

$$d \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(r_n, f)}{T(r_n, f)} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{N(r_n, 0) - N(r_n, \infty)}{T(r_n, f)} = 1 - \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{N(r_n, \infty)}{T(r_n, f)}.$$

On the other hand, using (1.1) we have

$$\frac{N(\rho_n,\infty)}{T(\rho_n,f)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\right) \frac{N(\rho_n,\infty)}{T(R_n,f)} \leq \left(1 + \frac{d}{2}\right) \frac{N(r_n,\infty)}{T(r_n,f)} \qquad (n \geq n_0)$$

Hence we obtain

$$\delta(\infty,f)=1-\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(\rho_n,\infty)}{T(\rho_n,f)}\geq 1-\left(1+\frac{d}{2}\right)\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{N(r_n,\infty)}{T(r_n,f)}\geq \frac{d}{2}+\frac{d^2}{2}>0.$$

7. Proof of Corollary 1. Assume that $\delta(a, f) > 0$ and $\Delta(b, f) > 0$ $(a \neq b)$. Using Theorem 2 we conclude that there exists a set E_a of r of density 1 such that $\lim_{E_a \ni r \to \infty} f(re^{i\theta}) = a$ uniformly in θ . On the other hand, using Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we conclude that there exists a set E_b of r of upper density 1 such that $\lim_{E_b \ni r \to \infty} f(re^{i\theta}) = b$ uniformly in θ . This is a contradiction.

References

- [1] ANDERSON, J. M., AND J. CLUNIE, Slowly growing meromorphic functions. Comment. Math. Helv. 40 (1966), 267-280.
- [2] BOAS, R. P., Entire functions. New York (1954).
- [3] CARTWRIGHT, M. L., Integral functions. Cambridge University Press (1956).
- [4] HAYMAN, W. K., Meromorphic functions. Oxford (1964).
- [5] NEVANLINNA, R., Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. Berlin (1936).
- [6] OSTROVSKII, I. V., On defects of meromorphic functions with lower order less than one. Soviet Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 587-591.
- [7] VALIRON, G., Sur les valeurs déficientes des fonctions algébroides méromorphes d'ordre nul. J. d'Analyse Math. 1 (1951), 28-42.

TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY.