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1. Introduction. Let $D$ be the open unit disc in the complex plane. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in $D$, we denote the spherical derivative of $f(z)$ by

$$
\rho(f(z))=\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1+|f(z)|^{2}} .
$$

Lehto and Virtanen, and Noshiro obtained the following results [2], [4].
Theorem A. A non-constant $f(z)$, meromorphic in $D$, is normal of and only if it satisfies an inequality

$$
\sup _{|z|<1}(1-|z|) \rho(f(z)) \leqq C,
$$

where $C$ is a finite constant.
Corollary A. If (z) is normal meromorpluc in D, its characteristac function $T(r)$ fulfills the following relation:

$$
T(r)=O\left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right) .
$$

Let $h(r)$ be a positive function such that $h(r)=o(r)(r \rightarrow 0)$. The connection between $\rho(f(z))$ and Picard's Theorem is shown by the following result of Gavrilov [1].

Theorem B. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in $D$. If for a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}\right|=1$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h\left[\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right] \rho\left(f\left(z_{n}\right)\right)=+\infty,
$$

then, Picard's Theorem holds for $f(z)$ in the union of any infinite subsequence of the discs

$$
D_{n}=\left\{z \in D \mid \sigma\left(z, z_{n}\right)<\varepsilon\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1} h\left[\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right]\right\},
$$

for each $\varepsilon>0$, where $\sigma\left(z, z_{n}\right)$ is the non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance between $z$ and $z_{n}$ in $D$.
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2. Theorem 1. Suppose that $f(z)$ is regular and of bounded type in $D$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-|z|) \rho(f(z)) \leqq e^{c /(1-|z|)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a positive constant.
The result (1) is sharp in such a sence that no improvement is possible on the order of $1 /(1-|z|)$ in (1).

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi(r), 0 \leqq r<1$, denote any positive monolonically increasing function with

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r)=+\infty .
$$

Then, there exists a meromorphic function $f(z)$ of bounded type in 1) such that

$$
\lim _{\substack{r \rightarrow 1 \\|z|=r}} \frac{1-|z|}{\varphi(r)} \rho(f(z))=+\infty .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By the condition of $f(z)$ we can assume that

$$
f(z)=\frac{\pi_{2}(z)}{\pi_{1}(z)}
$$

where $\pi_{i}(z)$ is regular, $\left|\pi_{i}(z)\right|<1,(i=1,2)$, and $\pi_{1}(z) \neq 0$. Schwarz's lemma yields inequalities

$$
\left|\pi_{\imath}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{1-|z|} \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi_{2}(z) \pi_{1}{ }^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{1-|z|}, \quad\left|\pi_{2}{ }^{\prime}(z) \pi_{1}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{1-|z|} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand if $\pi(z)$ is regular and of bounded type in $D, \pi(z)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\pi(z)| \leqq e^{c /(1-|z|)}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a fixed positive constant [5]. From (2) and (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-|z|) \rho(f(z)) & \leqq(1-|z|) \frac{\left|\pi_{2}(z) \pi_{1}^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|\pi_{2}{ }^{\prime}(z) \pi_{1}(z)\right|}{\left|\pi_{1}(z)\right|^{2}} \\
& \leqq e^{c /(1-|z|)},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $1 /\left(\pi_{1}(z)\right)^{2}$ is regular and of bounded type in $D$. We require a lemma to prove the latter of theorem 1 and theorem 2. Let

$$
x_{n}=1-e^{-n} \quad(n=1,2, \cdots) .
$$

Consider the Blaschke-product

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(z)-\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n}-z}{1-x_{n} z} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma. For the product (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-x_{n}\right)\left|B^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right| \geqq B, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is a positive constant.
Proof. Let

$$
B_{p}(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{x_{n}-z}{1-x_{n} z} \prod_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n}-z}{1-x_{n} z} .
$$

Then,

$$
B^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)=-\frac{1}{1-x_{p}^{2}} B_{p}\left(x_{p}\right) .
$$

We write

$$
\left|B_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right|=\prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{x_{p}-x_{n}}{1-x_{p} x_{n}} \prod_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{n}-x_{p}}{1-x_{p} x_{n}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\equiv T_{1}(p) T_{2}(p) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for $n=1,2, \cdots, p-1$

$$
\frac{x_{p}-x_{n}}{1-x_{p} x_{n}}>\frac{1-e^{n-p}}{1+e^{n-p}},
$$

we infer that

$$
T_{1}(p)>\prod_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{1-e^{-n}}{1+e^{-n}}
$$

and hence

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} T_{1}(p) \geqq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-e^{-n}}{1+e^{-n}} \equiv A>0 .
$$

Similarly,

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} T_{2}(p) \geqq A .
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty}\left|B_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)\right| \geqq B>0 .
$$

By (6) this establishes (5).

Completion of Theorem 1. We form the function

$$
f(z)=B(z) e^{(1+z) /(1-z)} .
$$

$f(z)$ is evidently regular and of bounded type in $D$. For $p=1,2, \cdots$,

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)=B^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right) e^{\left(1+x_{p}\right) /\left(1-x_{p}\right)}
$$

and hence, from the lemma

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(1-x_{p}\right) \rho\left(f\left(x_{p}\right)\right)}{e^{\left(1+x_{p}\right) /\left(1-x_{p}\right)}} \geqq B>0 .
$$

Therefore we have the remainder in theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 2. Choose a natural number $K_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}^{2}\left|B^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|\left\{1-\left(x_{1}+\frac{1}{K_{1}^{2}}\right) x_{1}\right\}>\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \quad e^{-1}>\frac{1}{K_{1}^{2}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

After natural numbers $K_{1}, K_{2}, \cdots, K_{p-1}$ are defined, we choose $K_{p}$ with inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p}^{2}\left|B^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)\right|\left\{1-\left(x_{p}+\frac{1}{K_{p}^{2}}\right) x_{p}\right\}>\varphi\left(x_{p}\right) \cdot p, \quad e^{-p}>\frac{1}{K_{p}^{2}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
K_{i}<K_{p}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, p-1
$$

By this process we have a increasing sequence $\left\{K_{p}\right\}, p=1,2, \cdots$, satisfying (8). Let

$$
B_{1}(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(x_{n}+1 / K_{n}^{2}\right)-z}{1-\left(x_{n}+1 / K_{n}^{2}\right) z}
$$

With $B(z)$ in the above lemma we form the function

$$
f(z)=\frac{B(z)}{B_{1}(z)} .
$$

It is obvious that $f(z)$ is meromorphic and of bounded type in $D$. Now,

$$
\rho\left(f\left(x_{p}\right)\right)=\left|\frac{B^{\prime}\left(x_{p}\right)}{R_{1}\left(x_{p}\right)}\right| .
$$

Hence, from (8) we obtain

$$
\rho\left(f\left(x_{p}\right)\right)>\varphi\left(x_{p}\right) \cdot p
$$

and

$$
\varlimsup_{\substack{|z| \rightarrow 1 \\|z|=r}} \frac{\rho(f(z))}{\varphi(r)} \geqq \varlimsup_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho\left(f\left(x_{p}\right)\right)}{\varphi\left(x_{p}\right)}=+\infty .
$$

This holds too, when we take as $\varphi(r), \varphi(r) /(1-r)$.
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