ON THE EXISTENCE OF AN ESSENTIAL PICARD'S PERFECT SET

By MITSURU OZAWA

1. Introduction.

Let E be a perfect set and D a complementary domain of E. If any meromorphic function in D with its singularities at each point of E admits at most n Picard's exceptional values at any neighborhood of each point of E, then E is said to be an n-Picard's perfect set. A 2-Picard's perfect set is simply said to be a Picard's perfect set.

Recently Matsumoto [5] proved the existence of $n \ (\ge 3)$ -Picard's perfect set E. Further he constructed a 3-Picard's perfect set E in any neighborhood of any point of which there is a meromorphic function with just 3 Picard's exceptional values. In his construction E is of zero capacity. At the same time Carleson [2] proved independently the existence of 3-Picard's perfect set E in a class $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$ but cap E > 0.

In the present paper we shall extend the notion of Picard's perfect set and prove the existence of a Picard's perfect set in a new sense. We shall make use of the standard notions of the Nevanlinna theory [6].

Hayman [3] developed the Nevanlinna theory in a great extent in a case of the unit disc. Our main idea is due to the nice theorems I and II in [3].

2. Definition of an essential Picard's perfect set.

Let $\mathfrak{L}(X)$ be a class of meromorphic functions which are Lindelöfian in a domain X in Heins' sense [4]. This is the same as a class of meromorphic functions of bounded type in X. Let E be a perfect set lying on a simple closed curve γ and D a complementary domain of E. Let D_1 and D_2 be two domains bounded by γ . Let N(p) be a generic neighborhood of any generic point p of E. Let \mathfrak{M} be a class of meromorphic functions in D with essential singularities on E.

If any element of f in $\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{L}(N(p) \cap D_1) - \mathfrak{L}(N(p) \cap D_2)$ has n-Picard's exceptional values at most in any N(p) of each point p of E, then E is said to be an essential n-Picard's perfect set. If n=2, then E is simply said to be an essential Picard's perfect set.

This modification of the definition of n-Picard's perfect set E brings us an advantage. In fact, if $E \notin N_{\mathfrak{B}}$, then there exists a bounded analytic function

Received June 14, 1962.

in D and hence any such E is not n-Picard's perfect set in the former definition. However such E may be an essential n-Picard's perfect set.

3. Hayman's theorems.

We shall say that a domain B properly cantains a set of arcs $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\alpha < \theta < \beta$, if these arcs lie in B and we have uniformly on all the arcs

$$(1) d(\theta) \ge C_1 \lceil (\beta - \theta)(\theta - \alpha) \rceil^{C_2},$$

where C_1 , C_2 are positive constants and $d(\theta)$ is the smallest distance from $e^{i\theta}$ to the boundary of B.

THEOREM 1. (A modification of Hayman's theorem II) Suppose that B is a bounded domain containing |z| < 1 and properly containing a set of arcs $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\alpha_{\nu} < \theta < \beta_{\nu}$, where

$$\sum (\beta_{\nu} - \alpha_{\nu}) = 2\pi,$$

$$\sum (\beta_{\nu} - \alpha_{\nu}) \log \frac{1}{\beta_{\nu} - \alpha_{\nu}} < \infty.$$

Suppose that f(z) is regular in B and $f(z) \neq 0$, 1 in B. Then f(z) is of bounded type in |z| < 1.

LEMMA 1. (Hayman's theorem I) Suppose that f(z) is meromorphic in a bounded domain B containing $|z| \leq R$. Let $d_R(\theta)$ denote the distance of $z = Re^{i\theta}$ from the boundary of B, and $n_R(\theta)$ the total number of roots of the equations f(z) = 0, 1, distant at least $d_R(\theta)/2$ from the boundary of B. Then we have

$$m\left(R, \frac{f^{(p)}}{f}\right) \leq A(p) \left[\log^+ m(R, f) + \log^+ m\left(R, \frac{1}{f}\right) + I + \log^+ \frac{1}{R} + 1\right]$$

where

$$I = I(R) \equiv rac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} iggl[\log^+ n_{\scriptscriptstyle R}(heta) + \log^+ rac{1}{d_{\scriptscriptstyle R}(heta)} iggr] d heta.$$

Here m(R, F) is the so-called "Schmiegungsfunktion" of F and A(p) depends only on p.

The following two lemmas are also due to Hayman.

LEMMA 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, let $0 \le r < 1$ and let $d_r(\theta)$ denote the distance of $z = re^{i\theta}$ from the nearest frontier point of B. Then we have uniformly in ν and r

$$\int_{lpha_
u}^{eta_
u} \log^+rac{1}{d_r(heta)}\,d heta = O(eta_
u - lpha_
u)igg(\lograc{1}{eta_
u - lpha_
u} + 1igg).$$

LEMMA 3. Suppose that B satisfies the conditions of theorem 1, then I(r) is uniformly bounded for $0 \le r < 1$.

We should here remark that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, I(r) reduces to the following form

$$rac{1}{2\pi}\!\int_0^{2\pi}\!\lograc{1}{d_r(heta)}\,d heta,$$

since $n_r(\theta) = 0$ for any $0 \le r < 1$. By Lemma 2 and the relations (2) and (3) we have immediately Lemma 3. Thus we do not use the finiteness of the order of f(z) in B in Hayman's sense, although Hayman's original one is based on that property.

Proof of Theorem 1. We make use of the first and second fundamental theorems of Nevanlinna. Let F(z) be a regular function in B defined by the relation

$$F(z) = \frac{f(z)}{f(z)-1}$$
.

Then $F(z) \neq 0, 1, \infty$ in B. By Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 we have

$$egin{aligned} & migg(R,rac{f'}{f}-rac{f'}{f-1}igg) = migg(R,rac{F'}{F}igg) \ & \leq C_1igg(\log^+ m(R,F) + \log^+ migg(R,rac{1}{F}igg)igg) + O(1) \ & \leq C_2\log^+ T\Big(R,rac{f}{f-1}\Big) + O(1) = C_2\log^+ T(R,f) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \leq C_3 \log^+ T(R, f) + O(1).$$

Since $\log^+ |b| \le \log^+ |a-b| + \log^+ |a| + \log 2$, we can say that

$$m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f-1}\right) \le m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{f'}{f-1}\right) + m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + \log 2.$$

Thus we have

$$m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f-1} + \frac{f'}{f}\right) \leq m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\left(R, \frac{f'}{f-1}\right) + O(1)$$

$$\leq C_4 \log^+ T(R, f) + O(1).$$

By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna we have

$$\begin{split} m\!\left(R,\frac{1}{f}\right) + m\!\left(R,\frac{1}{f-1}\right) + m(R,f) &< 2T(R,f) - N_1\!(R) + S(R,f), \\ S(R,f) &< m\!\left(R,\frac{f'}{f}\right) + m\!\left(R,\frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f'}{f-1}\right) + O(1). \end{split}$$

For the last term S(R,f) we have the following estimation

$$S(R, f) < C_5 \log^+ T(R, f) + O(1)$$
.

If T(R,f) is unbounded as $R \rightarrow 1$, then we have

$$\lim_{R\to 1}\frac{S(R,f)}{T(R,f)}=0.$$

This implies the famous defect relation

$$\Theta(0) + \Theta(1) + \Theta(\infty) \leq 2$$

where

$$\Theta(a) = 1 - \varlimsup_{R \to 1} \, \frac{ \overline{N}(R, 1/(f-a))}{T(R, f)}.$$

By our assumption $f(z) \neq 0, 1, \infty$ the left hand side is equal to three, which is a contradiction.

4. Proof of the existence of an essential Picard's perfect set E.

Let E_z be a Cantor set on |z|=1 satisfying two conditions (2) and (3) in Theorem 1. This is easy to construct as Hayman said. By (2) the one-dimensional measure of E_z is equal to zero and hence E_z belongs to the class $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$; see Ahlfors-Beurling [1].

Let U(p) be a symmetric disc neighborhood of a point $p \in E_z$ with respect to |z|=1. We may assume that any two intersection points M, N of the circumference of U(p) and |z|=1 do not lie on E_z . The perfectness of E_z implies that $d(M, E_z)$ and $d(N, E_z)$ is bounded away from zero, where d(A, B) indicates the Euclidean distance of two sets A and B. We shall map $U(p) \cap \{|z| < 1\}$ conformally onto |w| < 1 in such a manner that M, N correspond to two points i, -i, respectively. Then the remaining part of U(p) is conformally mapped onto |w| > 1 by reflection of |w| < 1 through the semi-circle $\{|w| = 1\} \cap \{-\pi/2 < \arg w < \pi/2\}$. The image of E_z is denoted by E_w . Then the derivative of the Riemann mapping function w(z) has its maximum and minimum moduli in a fixed arc γ_ε which is defined by $\{|z| = 1\} \cap U(p) - (U_M^\varepsilon + U_N^\varepsilon)$, where U_M^ε and U_N^ε are two ε -neighborhoods of M and N and satisfy $d(U_M^\varepsilon, E_z) > \delta > 0$, $d(U_N^\varepsilon, E_z) > \delta > 0$. We denote these maximum and minimum of |w'(z)| on γ_ε by Q and ω , respectively. Further any arc $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\alpha_\nu < \theta < \beta_\nu$ lying on γ_ε is distorted into a comparable arc, that is,

$$rac{2}{\pi}\omega(eta_
u-lpha_
u) \leqq B_
u-A_
u \leqq rac{\pi}{2}arOmega(eta_
u-A_
u), \quad A_
u = rg \, w(e^{ilpha_
u}), \quad B_
u = rg \, w(e^{ieta_
u}).$$

Therefore we can say that

$$\sum (B_{\nu}-A_{\nu})\log \frac{1}{B_{\nu}-A_{\nu}}<\infty$$
.

Further we must add two points i, -i to the set E_w . The series is then still convergent. We can easily construct a domain G_w which contains each arc |w|=1, $A_{\nu}<\theta< B_{\nu}$ and three arcs related to two points i, -i properly and contains |w|<1.

Let f(z) be a meromorphic function in D_z which is the complement of E_z and has its essential singularities at each point of E_z . We assume that f(z) excludes three distinct values in U(p). We may assume that f(z) excludes three values 0, 1 and ∞ . Then $f \circ z(w)$ also excludes 0, 1 and ∞ in G_w . Therefore we can apply Theorem 1 and conclude that $f \circ z(w)$ is of bounded type in |w| < 1. Similarly we can say that $f \circ z(w)$ is of bounded type in |w| > 1. Therefore we have the desired fact.

THEOREM 2. There is an essential Picard's perfect set.

REMARK. Matsumoto's original problem is still open. Further the following problem is also still open.

Does there exist an essential *n*-Picard's perfect set E for any n which does not belong to the class $N_{\mathfrak{B}}$?

We can further impose a condition due to Matsumoto to our Cantor set. This condition guarantees the existence of at most 3 Picard's exceptional values for any meromorphic functions. Thus we can say that there exists an essential Picard's perfect set which belongs to a class of 3-Picard's perfect set.

5. The linear meaure m(E) and its effect to the value distribution.

We shall discuss the effect of the linear measure m(E) of E to the value distribution of some meromorphic functions.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that B is a bounded domain containing |z| < 1 and properly containing a set of arcs $z = e^{i\theta}$, $\alpha_{\nu} < \theta < \beta_{\nu}$, where

$$egin{aligned} \sum \left(eta_
u - lpha_
u
ight) &\leq 2\pi, \ \\ \sum \left(eta_
u - lpha_
u
ight) \log rac{1}{eta_
u - lpha_
u} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that f(z) is regular and $f(z) \neq 0$, 1 in B and f(z) is not of bounded type in |z| < 1. Then

$$\lambda \! \leq \! \frac{3m(E)}{2\pi}, \quad \lambda \equiv \overline{\lim}_{r \to 1} \frac{T(r,f)}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}.$$

LEMMA 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{d_r(\theta)} d\theta \le \frac{1}{2\pi} m(E) \log^+ \frac{1}{1-r} + O(1).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{d_r(\theta)} \, d\theta & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E \log^+ \frac{1}{d_r(\theta)} \, d\theta + \sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\alpha_{\nu}}^{\beta_{\nu}} \log^+ \frac{1}{d_r(\theta)} \, d\theta \\ & = \frac{1}{2\pi} m(E) \log^+ \frac{1}{1-r} + O(1). \end{split}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. By a quite similar method as in Theorem 1 and by Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{split} S(r,f) &< m \left(r, \frac{f'}{f} \right) + m \left(r, \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f'}{f-1} \right) + O(1) \\ &\leq \frac{3m(E)}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{1-r} + O(\log T(r,f)). \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \Theta(0) + \Theta(1) + \Theta(\infty) & \leq 2 + \underline{\lim} \ \frac{S(r,f)}{T(r,f)} \\ & \leq 2 + \frac{3m(E)}{2\pi\lambda}. \end{split}$$

By the assumption the left hand side sum is equal to 3. Thus we have the desired result.

COROLLARY. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, if $\lambda > 3m(E)/2\pi$, then f(z) has the Picard property.

REMARK. It is very plausible to explain a conjecture that the best possible numerical factor is 1 instead of 3 in the above theorem and its corollary. Further we can obtain a formal extension of Hayman's original theorem in our case. From this extension we can also say that there occurs an effect of m(E) to the value distribution of a sort of meromorphic functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] AHLFORS, L., AND A. BEURLING, Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets. Acta Math. 83 (1950), 101-129.
- [2] CARLESON, L., A remark on Picard's theorem. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 142-144.
- [3] HAYMAN, W. K., On Nevanlinna's second theorem and extensions. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 2 (1953), 346-392.
- [4] Heins, M., Lindelöfian maps. Ann. of Math. 62 (1955), 418-455.
- [5] MATSUMOTO, K., On exceptional values of meromorphic functions with the set of singularities of capacity zero. Nagoya Math. Journ. 18 (1961), 171-191.
- [5] NEVANLINNA, R., Eindeutige analytische Funktionen. Berlin (1936).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.