

A NOTE ON TYPICAL FUNCTIONS OF SUMS OF NON-NEGATIVE INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES

BY HIROHISA HATORI

1. Consider a sequence of independent random variables

$$X_1, X_2, \dots$$

and let $\sigma_n(x)$ be the distribution function of $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. The existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E\{X_i\} = m$$

with some conditions on distributions of X_i , ensures

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{N(h)} \Phi_{\sigma_n}(h) = \frac{\pi}{2m},$$

where

$$\Phi_{\sigma_n}(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h^2}{h^2 + x^2} d\sigma_n(x)$$

and $N(h)$ is any integral valued function such that

$$\frac{N(h)}{h} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

This property on the typical functions $\Phi_{\sigma_n}(h)$ has been proved by T. Kawata [2]. In this short paper, we shall note that assuming the existence of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = a$$

and some further conditions, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{N(h)} a_n \Phi_{\sigma_n}(h) = \frac{\pi a}{2m}.$$

2. In the first place, we shall prepare some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of non-negative independent random variables, and suppose that*

$$0 < m_i = E\{X_i\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m > 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^\infty x dF_n(x) = 0$$

Received March 28, 1960.

holds uniformly with respect to n , where $F_n(x)$ is the distribution function of X_n . Moreover, let $\{a_i\}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = a.$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(s) < \infty \quad \text{for } s > 0$$

and

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(s) = \frac{a}{m},$$

where

$$\varphi_n(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} d\sigma_n(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n = F_1 * F_2 * \dots * F_n.$$

LEMMA 2. Besides the hypotheses of Lemma 1, we further suppose that

$$F_i(x) - F_i(0) \leq Ax^p \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \delta,$$

where δ and A are constants independent of $i \geq 1$ and $p > 1$. Then there exist s_0 and B independent of i such that

$$f_n(s) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF_n(x) < Bs^{-q}$$

for $0 < s_0 < s$ and $n \geq 1$, q being any positive number less than p , and there exists a continuous function $\theta(s)$ such that

$$\theta(s) < 1$$

and

$$-\varphi_n'(s) \leq \frac{1}{s} n\theta(s)^{n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < s.$$

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 have been shown in [1] and [2], respectively.

LEMMA 3. Under the conditions of Lemma 2, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(s)$ is integrable in (δ, ∞) , $\delta > 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n'(s)$ is uniformly convergent in every finite interval not containing the origin.

Proof. Since $a_n = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have by the first half of Lemma 2 that

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(s) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Cn \cdot B^n s^{-nq} = \frac{C \cdot Bs^{-q}}{(1 - Bs^{-q})^2} \leq As^{-q}$$

for some positive constants A , C and sufficiently large s where $q > 1$. And this inequality shows with Lemma 1 that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n(s)$ is integrable in (δ, ∞) , $\delta > 0$. Secondly, by the latter half of Lemma 2, we have

$$0 \leq -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n'(s) \leq \frac{C}{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \theta(s)^{n-1},$$

which implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varphi_n'(s)$ is uniformly convergent in every finite interval not containing the origin.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Phi_{\sigma_n}(h)$ be the typical function of $\sigma_n(x)$. If conditions in Lemma 3 are satisfied, then we have*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \Phi_{\sigma_n}(h) = \frac{a\pi}{2m}.$$

Proof. The proof can be easily obtained by considering $a_n \varphi_n(s)$ in place of $\varphi_n(s)$ in the course of the proof of Theorem 1 in [2] using Lemmas 1 and 3.

THEOREM 2. *Let $N(h)$ be any integral valued function such that*

$$\frac{N(h)}{h} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then under the condition of Theorem 1, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{N(h)} a_n \Phi_{\sigma_n}(h) = \frac{a\pi}{2m}.$$

For the proof, we show some lemmas.

LEMMA 4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1, there exists a $\theta = \theta(\delta, A)$ less than 1, such that*

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) \leq C_1 n \theta^n \quad \text{for } \delta \leq s \leq A,$$

where δ and A are any positive constants and C_1 is a constant independent of n .

Proof. There exists a $\theta_1 = \theta_1(s)$ such that

$$f_i(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} dF_i(x) < \theta_1.$$

$\theta_1(s)$ is a continuous function of s and $\theta_1(s) < 1$ for $\delta \leq s \leq A$. This facts have been proved in the proof of Lemma 3 in [2]. Since $\varphi_n(s) = f_1(s) \cdots f_n(s)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) \leq C \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} i \theta^i \leq \frac{C(n+1)\theta^{n+1}}{(1-\theta)^2} \leq C_1 n \theta^n$$

where $\theta = \theta(\delta, A) = \max_{\delta \leq s \leq A} \theta_1(s)$.

LEMMA 5. *Under the conditions of Lemma 1, there exist positive constants m_1 and D such that*

$$\varphi_n(s) < e^{-snm_1}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(s) \leq Ds^{-1}e^{-snm_1}$$

for sufficiently large n and sufficiently small $s > 0$.

This has been proved in Lemma 6 of [2].

Now we shall prove Theorem 2. Put

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(h) &= \int_0^{\infty} \sin sh \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^A + \int_A^{\infty} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We can take a constant A such that

$$A^q > B \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_i(s) \leq B^i s^{-q^i} \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq A,$$

where $B > 0$ and $q > 1$ are some constants. This fact may be proved by Lemma 2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq \int_A^{\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) ds \leq C \int_A^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)B^{n+1}s^{-q^{(n+1)}}}{(1-Bs^{-q})^2} ds \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(1-BA^{-q})^2} \frac{(n+1)B^{n+1}}{\{q(n+1)-1\}A^{q^{(n+1)}-1}} = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, Lemma 4 shows

$$|I_2| \leq \int_A^{\delta} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) ds \leq C_1(A-\delta)n\theta^n = o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Putting $(a_1 + \dots + a_n)/n = a + \varepsilon_n$, we have

$$a_n = a + n\varepsilon_n - (n-1)\varepsilon_{n-1}$$

and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). So we get

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq h \int_0^{\delta} s \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \varphi_i(s) ds \\ &= ha \int_0^{\delta} s \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \varphi_i(s) ds - n\varepsilon_n h \int_0^{\delta} s \varphi_{n+1}(s) ds + h \int_0^{\delta} s \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} i\varepsilon_i \varphi_i(s)(1-f_{i+1}(s)) ds \\ &= I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{11}| &\leq ha \int_0^{\delta} s \cdot D \cdot s^{-1} e^{-snm_1} ds \\ &\leq aD \cdot h \cdot \frac{1}{nm_1} = \frac{aD}{m_1} \cdot \frac{h}{N(h)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

taking $n = N(h)$. Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| &\leq C \cdot n h \int_0^\delta s e^{-s(n+1)m_1} ds \\ &\leq C h \cdot n \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 m_1^2} \leq \frac{C}{m_1^2} \cdot \frac{h}{n} \\ &= \frac{C}{m_1^2} \cdot \frac{h}{N(h)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^\infty x dF_n(x) = 0$$

holds uniformly with respect to n ,

$$m_n = \int_0^\infty x dF_n(x)$$

are bounded for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f_n(s) &= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-sx}) dF_n(x) \\ &\leq s \int_0^\infty x dF_n(x) \leq Cs \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where C is some positive constant. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty i \varepsilon_i \varphi_i(s) (1 - f_{i+1}(s)) &\leq C \cdot \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty i e^{-im_1 s} \cdot s \\ &= C \cdot s \cdot \frac{(n+1 - n e^{-sm_1})}{(1 - e^{-m_1 s})^2} e^{-s(n+1)m_1} \\ &\leq C \cdot s \cdot \frac{n \cdot s m_1}{s^2} e^{-s n m_1} + C \cdot s \cdot \frac{e^{-s n m_1}}{s^2} \\ &\leq C \cdot n e^{-s n m_1} + C \cdot \frac{e^{-s n m_1}}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where C 's are constants which may be different on each occurrence. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{13}| &\leq C \cdot h n \int_0^\delta s e^{-s n m_1} ds + C \cdot h \int_0^\delta s \cdot \frac{e^{-s n m_1}}{s} ds \\ &\leq C \cdot h n \cdot \frac{1}{n^2 m_1^2} + C \cdot h \cdot \frac{1}{n m_1} \\ &= C \cdot \frac{h}{n} = C \cdot \frac{h}{N(h)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together above estimations, we get

$$J_{N(h)+1}(h) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty,$$

which with Theorem 1, shows the validity of Theorem 2, because

$$J_{N(h)+1} = \int_0^\infty \sin hs \sum_{n=N(h)+1}^\infty \varphi_n(s) ds = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=N(h)+1}^\infty \Phi_{\sigma_n}(h).$$

REMARK. Theorems 1 and 2 hold for a sequence of real numbers a_n such that

$$a_n = b_n - c_n, \quad b_n \geq 0, \quad c_n \geq 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \text{ exist.}$$

In conclusion, the author expresses his sincerest thanks to Professors T. Kawata and K. Kunisawa who have given valuable advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] HATORI, H., A note on a renewal theorem. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 12 (1960), 28-37.
- [2] KAWATA, T., Typical functions of sums of non-negative independent random variables. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 8 (1956) 13-22.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.