# FREDHOLM EIGEN VALUE PROBLEM FOR GENERAL DOMAINS 

By Mitsuru Ozawa

## 1. Formulation of the problem.

Let $D$ be a general planar domain and $\left\{D_{n}\right\}$ be its exhaustion in the usual sense. Let $L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)$ be a class of single-valued, square integrable, analytic functions having a single-valued indefinite integral in $D_{n}$. In $L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)$ we shall, as usual, introduce the notion of the inner product $(\varphi, \psi)_{D_{n}}$ by an integral

$$
\iint_{D_{n}} \varphi(z) \overline{\psi(z)} d \tau_{z},
$$

then $L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)$ forms a complete Hilbert space. In this space $L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)$, there are the so-called reproducing kernel $\mathrm{K}_{n}(z, \bar{u})$ and its adjoint $l$-kernel $l_{n}(z, u)$ which satisfy the following identities: for any $f(z) \in L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)$,

$$
\left(f(z), K_{n}(z, \bar{u})\right)_{D_{n}}=f(u), \quad K_{n}(z, \bar{u})=\overline{K_{n}(u, \bar{z})}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{n}(z, u)=l_{n}(u, z), \quad\left(l_{n}(z, u), l_{n}(u, w)\right)_{D_{n}}=K_{n}(z, \bar{w})-\Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{w}), \\
\Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{D_{n} e}(\zeta-z)^{2}(\zeta-w)^{\frac{2}{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{n}{ }^{c}$ denotes the complementary set of $D_{n}$. The kernels $K_{n}(z, \bar{u}), \Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{u})$ and $K_{n}(z, \bar{u})-\Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{u})$ are all positive definite and hermitian. For these, see [2] and [3]. $K_{n}(z, \bar{u})$ and $l_{n}(z, u)$ converge strongly and hence uniformly in the wider sense to $K(z, \bar{u})$ and $l(z, u)$, respectively, when $n$ tends to the infinity. For these, see [5] and [8]. Therefore we have the corresponding identities:

$$
(f(z), K(z, \bar{u}))_{D}=f(u)
$$

for any $f(z) \in L_{2}(D)$ and

$$
(l(z, u), l(u, w))_{D}=K(z, \bar{w})-\Gamma(z, \bar{w}), \quad l(z, u)=l(u, z),
$$

where we put

$$
\Gamma(z, \bar{w})=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \iint_{D^{c}} \frac{d \tau_{\zeta}}{(\zeta-z)^{2}(\zeta-w)^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{w}) .
$$
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And $\Gamma(z, \bar{w})$ belongs to the class $L_{2}(D)$. In fact, we have

$$
0 \leqq \iint_{D_{n}}\left|\Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \tau_{z} \leqq K_{n}(w, \bar{w})
$$

for any $n$ by the eigenfunction expansion of $\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}(z, \bar{w})$ and a fact that each eigen value $\lambda_{\nu}^{(n) 2}$ of the Fredholm eigen value problem

$$
\lambda_{\nu}{ }^{(n) 2}\left(\varphi_{\nu}(u), K_{n}(u, \bar{z})-\Gamma_{n}(u, \bar{z})\right)_{D_{n}}=\varphi_{\nu}(z), \quad \varphi_{\nu}(z) \in L_{2}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

for $D_{n}$ is greater than 1. For these, see [2], [3], [6] and [7]. By Fatou's theorem we have

$$
0 \leqq \iint_{D}|\Gamma(z, \bar{w})|^{2} d \tau_{z} \leqq \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{D_{n}}\left|\Gamma_{n}(z, \bar{w})\right|^{2} d \tau_{z} \leqq K(w, \bar{w}),
$$

which shows that $\Gamma(z, \bar{w}) \in L_{2}(D)$.
Evidently the kernels $K(z, \bar{u}), \Gamma(z, \bar{u})$ and $K(z, \bar{u})-\Gamma(z, \bar{u})$ are all hermitian positive definite. We shall now consider the Fredholm eigen value problem for $D$ defined as follows: To seek for any constant $\rho$ and the corresponding function $\varphi(z)$ satisfying a homogeneous integral equation of the Fredholm type

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi(u), K(u, \bar{z})-\Gamma(u, \bar{z}))=\rho^{2} \varphi(z) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\rho^{2}$ and $\varphi(z)$ satisfy the equation (1), then we call $\varphi$ the eigenfunction to a spectrum $\rho^{2}$ or an eigen value $1 / \rho^{2}$. And any non-trivial eigenfunction can be normalized by the normalization

$$
\|\varphi\|_{D}{ }^{2}=(\varphi(z), \varphi(z))_{D}=1
$$

Let $\gamma$ be a transformation of $L_{2}(D)$ into $L_{2}(D)$ defined by the left hand side of the equation (1). This transformation $\gamma$ is hermitian self-adjoint and positive unless the kernel $K(z, \bar{u})-\Gamma(z, \bar{u})$ vanishes identically. Moreover $\gamma$ satisfies the half-boundedness

$$
(\gamma \varphi, \varphi) \leqq(\varphi, \varphi)
$$

for any $\varphi \in L_{2}(D)$, which is obtained by the reproducing property of $K$ and the positive definiteness of the kernel $\Gamma(z, \bar{u})$. Therefore by Neumann's theory of hermitian operators in Hilbert space we have a unique spectral decomposition

$$
\gamma=\int_{-0}^{1} \rho^{2} d E(\rho)
$$

where $E(\rho)$ is a resolution of the identity corresponding uniquely to the $r$.
If there are only the point spectra, then we have an orthonormal complete system $\left\{\varphi_{\nu}\right\}$ of the eigenfunctions of (1) such that for any element $\varphi \in L_{2}(D)$

$$
\varphi(z)=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \varphi_{\nu}(z), \quad a_{\nu}=\left(\varphi, \varphi_{\nu}\right)
$$

Evidently we have

$$
K(z, \bar{w})=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\varphi_{\nu}(w)}
$$

by its reproducing property. Let $\lambda_{\nu}{ }^{2}=1 / \rho_{\nu}{ }^{2}$ be the eigen value for $\varphi_{\nu}(z)$, then we have

$$
\Gamma(z, \bar{w})=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu}{ }^{2}}\right) \varphi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\varphi_{\nu}(w)}
$$

These relations are formally equivalent to those in [2]. However we can recognize that several differences lie between theirs and ours. For example, the first eigen value $\lambda_{1}{ }^{2}$ may be equal 1 in our case. And secondly, the sum

$$
\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu}{ }^{4}}
$$

does not converge in our case. A simple example illustrating these phenomena is a domain $D$ excluding a straight line segment $[-2,2]$ from the whole complex plane. For this domain $D$ we have

$$
\Gamma(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0, \quad K(z, \bar{w})=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \psi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\psi_{\nu}(w)}, \quad \psi_{\nu}(z)=i \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\pi}} z^{-\nu+1}\left(z^{2}-1\right)^{-1}
$$

which shows that all the eigen values are equal to 1. In Bergman-Schiffer's case [2], the above domain $D$ is excluded by their analyticity assumption for the boundary curves.

## 2. Fredholm eigen values and the class $N_{\mathscr{D}}$.

Let us now define a notion of the Fredholm null-set. Let $E$ be the complementary closed set of $D$, that is, $E=D^{c}$.

Definition. $E \in N_{F}$ means that all spectra of the Fredholm eigen value problem (1) for $D$ concentrate on any non-negative number.

Theorem 1.

$$
N_{F} \equiv N_{\mathfrak{D}}
$$

where $E \in N_{\mathscr{D}}$ means $D \in O_{A D}$.
Proof. Assume that $E \in N_{\mathfrak{D}}$. Any function $\varphi(z) \in L_{2}(D)$ and its indefinite integral $\Psi(z)$ can be continued analytically onto $E$, and hence $\Psi(z) \equiv$ const. or equivalently $\varphi \equiv 0$. Thus the equation (1) is satisfied by any real non-negative number, which shows $E \in N_{F}$. Conversely we assume that $E \in N_{F}$. Let $a$ be
a real non-negative number on which all spectra concentrate. Then there is an orthonormal complete system $\left\{\varphi_{\nu}\right\}$ of eigenfunctions of the equation (1). And hence we have

$$
K(z, \bar{w})-\Gamma(z, \bar{w})=a^{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\varphi_{\nu}(w)}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma(z, \bar{w})=\left(1-a^{2}\right) \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\varphi_{\nu}(w)} .
$$

However, since $a$ is arbitrary, $\Gamma(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0$ putting $a=1$ and hence $K(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0$ putting $a=0$. On the other hand, it is well known that $K(z, \bar{z})=0$ is equivalent to $E \in N_{\mathscr{D}}$ [1]. Thus $E \in N_{F}$ implies that $E \in N_{\mathscr{D}}$.

Theorem 2. If all the spectra are equal to zero for $D$ and the twodimensional measure of $E$ is equal to zero, that is, $m(E)=0$, then $E \in N_{Ð}$.

Proof. Since all the spectra are concentrated at zero, we have

$$
K(z, \bar{w}) \equiv \Gamma(z, \bar{w}) .
$$

And $m(E)=0$ implies $\Gamma(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0$, whence follows $K(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0$, that is, $E \in N_{\mathfrak{D}}$.
In the theorem 2 the assumption $m(E)=0$ cannot be excluded, since we have $l(z, w) \equiv 0$ for the exterior of the unit circle, which does not belong to the class $N_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Lemma. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a domain and $K_{D^{\prime}}(z, \bar{w})$ be the reproducing kernel of $L_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Assume that $K_{D^{\prime}}(z, \bar{w})$ has the local expansion

$$
\sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{\infty} k_{\mu \nu}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\mu} \overline{\left(w-z_{0}\right)^{\nu}}
$$

around $\left(z_{0}, z_{0}\right)$ and $\varphi(z)$ is an analytic function around $z_{0}$ having the local expansion

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \mu c_{\mu}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\mu-1}
$$

If there holds a system of inequalities

$$
\left|\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \mu c_{\mu} x_{\mu}\right|^{2} \leqq M \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{N-1} k_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu+1} \bar{x}_{\mu+1}
$$

for any complex number $x_{\mu}$ and any integer $N$, then $\varphi(z) \in L_{2}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$, and vice versa.

Proof. This lemma has already been proved in our previous paper [4] in a somewhat restricted case, that is, in a case of finitely connected domain $D^{\prime}$
with analytic boundaries. Since, however, the proof carried previously has been quite formal, we can extend our lemma to the general case.

Theorem 3. Let $U$ be a circular disc contained in D. If all the spectra of the equation (1) for the domain $D-U$ are concentrated at zero and the two-dimensional measure $m(E)$ of $E$ is equal to zero, then $E \in N_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Proof. Since all the spectra are concentrated at zero, we can choose an orthonormal complete system $\left\{\varphi_{\nu}\right\}$ of eigenfunctions of the problem (1) for $D-U$. Therefore we have $\gamma \varphi \equiv 0$ for any $\varphi \in L_{2}(D-U)$, that is, $K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w})$ $-\Gamma_{D-U}(z, \bar{v})$ is orthogonal to the space $L_{2}(D-U)$. This implies an identity

$$
K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w}) \equiv \Gamma_{D-U}(z, \bar{w}) .
$$

However $\Gamma$-term is additive by its definition, that is,

$$
\Gamma_{D-U}(z, \bar{w})=\Gamma_{D}(z, \bar{w})+\Gamma_{U} \mathrm{c}(z, \bar{w}) .
$$

On the other hand, it is well known that $l_{U} c(z, w) \equiv 0$ and hence

$$
K_{U^{c}}(z, \bar{w}) \equiv \Gamma_{U} c^{\prime}(z, \bar{w}) .
$$

Since $m(E)=0$, we have

$$
\Gamma_{D}(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0
$$

by its definition. Therefore we have

$$
K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w}) \equiv K_{U} c(z, \bar{w})
$$

Let $\varphi(z)$ be any element of $L_{2}(D-U)$, then a system of inequalities

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \mu c_{\mu} x_{\mu}{ }^{\prime 2} \leqq M \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{N-1} k_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu+1} \bar{x}_{\mu+1}, \quad M=\|\varphi\|_{D-U^{2}},
$$

holds for any integer $N$ and any complex number $x_{\nu}$, where we put

$$
K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w})=\sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{\infty} k_{\mu \nu}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\mu} \overline{\left(w-z_{0}\right)^{\nu}}
$$

and

$$
\varphi(z)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \mu c_{\mu}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{\mu-1} .
$$

By the equality $K_{U} c^{\prime}(z, \bar{w}) \equiv K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w})$, we have the same local expansion of $K_{U^{c}}(z, \bar{w})$ as that of $K_{D-U}(z, \bar{w})$. This implies that $\varphi(z) \in L_{2}\left(U^{c}\right)$, that is, $\varphi(z)$ can be continued analytically onto $E$, which shows that $E \in N_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Theorem 4. If $E \notin N_{\mathfrak{D}}$ and all the spectra are concentrated at 1 , then $m(E)=0$. Conversely, if $E \notin N_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $m(E)=0$, then all the eigen values
are equal to 1, or all the spectra are equal to 1 . In other words, if $m(E)$ $=0$ and if there is at least one spectrum less than 1 , then $E \in N_{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Proof. This theorem 4 may be regarded as a precision of theorem 2. By the assumption, we have

$$
K(z, \bar{w})=\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{\nu}(z) \overline{\varphi_{\nu}(\bar{w})}=K(z, \bar{w})-\Gamma(z, \bar{w})
$$

for an orthonormal complete system $\left\{\varphi_{\nu}\right\}$ of eigenfunctions. This implies that $\Gamma(z, \bar{w}) \equiv 0$, that is, $m(E)=0$. Let $\varphi$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to a real number $\lambda^{2}=1 / \rho^{2}$, then we have $\lambda^{2} \upharpoonright \varphi=\varphi$. From this we have

$$
\|\varphi\|^{2}=\lambda^{2}\left(\varphi(u),(\varphi(w), K(w, \bar{u})-\Gamma(w, \bar{u}))_{D}\right)_{D} .
$$

By $m(E)=0$, we have $\Gamma(w, \bar{u})=0$, and hence

$$
\|\varphi\|^{2}=\lambda^{2}\left(\varphi(u),(\varphi(w), K(w, \bar{u}))_{D}\right)_{D}=\lambda^{2}\|\varphi\|^{2},
$$

by the reproducing property of the kernel $K$. This implies the desired result $\lambda^{2}=1$. By $m(E)=0$, we have that the $\gamma \varphi$ coincides with $(\varphi(u), K(u, \bar{z}))_{D}$. Thus we have $E(\rho) \equiv 0$ for $\rho<1$ and $\equiv I$ for $\rho \geqq 1$ in the spectral decomposition of the transformation $\gamma$.

We can consider another Fredholm eigen value problem: Let $\widehat{K}(z, \bar{u})$ be the Bergman kernel function in the class $\mathfrak{R}_{2}(D)$, whose elements are all singlevalued analytic functions square integrable on $D$. Then the problem to be considered concerns with the equation

$$
\rho^{2} \varphi(u)=(\varphi(z), \widehat{K}(z, \bar{u})-\Gamma(z, \bar{u}))_{D},
$$

where $\varphi(z)$ belongs to the class $\Omega_{2}(D)$. This problem contains the earlier problem as its part and leads to another Fredholm null-set corresponding to a class $O_{H D}$ : Details are omitted here.
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Added in proof. Recently, Mr. N. Suita has pointed out that our Theorem 3 can be improved. In fact, it is shown that our assumption with regard to the two-dimensional measure of $E$ may be eliminated.

