

SOME LIMIT THEOREMS CONCERNING WITH THE RENEWAL NUMBERS

BY HIDENORI MORIMURA

Let $\{X_i\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables and let $\{a_i\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Denoting as $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we shall interest the weighted mean of renewal numbers in the interval $(a, x+h)$, which is defined by

$$(1) \quad A(x, h) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P(x < S_n \leq x+h).$$

If both $\{E(X_i)\}$ and $\{a_i\}$ are stable sequences¹⁾ with average m and a , respectively, and if some further conditions are satisfied, then it is known that $A(x, h) \rightarrow ah/m$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) by Cox and Smith [1].

But when $\{E(X_i)\}$ is not stable, $A(x, h)$ is not necessarily convergent to a finite limit as $x \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, instead of $A(x, h)$, the variable

$$\bar{A}_h(X) = \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X A(x, h) dx$$

will converge to ah/m as $X \rightarrow \infty$, under suitable conditions. This fact was shown by the analogous argument of [2] by Prof. T. Kawata.

From a practical problem it was necessary to us to find the distribution of $A(x, h)$ or $\bar{A}_h(X)$ when $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables having the mean a . We shall treat in the present paper this problem when a_i are the random variables identically distributed and obeying the exponential distribution.

First of all, we shall prepare the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let X_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) be independent random variables having the distribution function $F_i(x)$ such that $E(X_i) = m_i > 0$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-sx} dF_i(x) < \infty \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq s_0,$$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^{\infty} x dF_i(x) = 0,$$

Received January 30, 1958.

1) Cox and Smith [1] gave the following

DEFINITION. A sequence $\{\mu_i\}$ such that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{i=n}^{n+p} \mu_i = \mu$, uniformly in n , will be called *stable* with average μ .

$$(4) \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-sx} dF_i(x) = 0,$$

where both (3) and (4) hold uniformly with respect to i and $0 < s \leq s_0$. If $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \rightarrow m > 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$(5) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{X} \int_{-\infty}^X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx = \frac{h}{m}.$$

LEMMA 2. The conditions of the Lemma 1 are assumed. Furthermore if $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of real numbers satisfying that $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \rightarrow a$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$(6) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx = \frac{ah}{m}.$$

These two lemmas are due to Prof. T. Kawata²⁾. From Lemma 2, the following theorem will be proved directly.

THEOREM 1. Let X_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) be independent random variables satisfying the conditions of Lemma 1. Furthermore, if $\{a_i\}$ is a sequence of random variables which obey the strong law of large numbers, i.e.,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = a\right) = 1,$$

then the random variable

$$(7) \quad \bar{A}_h(X) = \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx$$

will converge to ah/m as $X \rightarrow \infty$ with probability 1.

In other words, the distribution of $\bar{A}_h(X)$ converges to the unit distribution. Hence we shall introduce the normalized variables by

$$\bar{A}'_h(X) = \frac{\bar{A}_h(X) - ah/m}{B(X)},$$

where $B(X)$ is the normalizing factor. In the following, we shall investigate the distribution of $\bar{A}'_h(X)$ when a_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) are distributed identically with the probability density given by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} P(x < a_i \leq x+dx) &= \frac{1}{a} e^{-x/a} dx & (x > 0), \\ &= 0 & (x \leq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have following

2) Lemma 1 is the theorem given in the paper [2]. Lemma 2 can be proved by the analogous argument with Lemma 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) be independent random variables having the positive mean m_i , and let a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) be independent random variables having the probability density (8). Assume that $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \rightarrow m$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and put*

$$(9) \quad B^2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx \right\}^2.$$

Then the distribution of $\bar{A}'_h(X)$ will be approximately $N(0, a^2)$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Put

$$\alpha_n(X) = \frac{1}{X} \int_0^X P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx.$$

Obviously, for every n , $0 \leq \alpha_n(X) \leq 1$. By Lemma 1,

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(X) = O(1) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty.$$

First of all, we shall show that

$$(11) \quad B^2(X) = o(1).$$

Suppose

$$(12) \quad B^2(X) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2(X) \neq o(1) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have, for some n ,

$$(13) \quad \alpha_n(X) \neq o(1) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty,$$

For, if for every n ,

$$\alpha_n(X) = o(1) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$B^2(X) \leq \sup_n \alpha_n(X) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(X) = o(1) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty,$$

which will be contrary to (12). Now, (13) will be rewritten as

$$(14) \quad \int_0^X P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx = C_n X + o(X)$$

where C_n is a positive constant. Thus, for some constant $k > 0$,

$$(15) \quad \int_x^{x+k} P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx = C_n \cdot k + o(X).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+k} P(x < S_n \leq x+h) dx &\leq k \cdot P(X < S_n \leq X+k+h) \\ &= k \cdot \int_x^{x+k+h} dG_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $G_n(x) = P(S_n \leq x)$, and this will tend to zero as $X \rightarrow \infty$. This is contrary to (13). Thus, we can conclude that (11) holds. Next, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^3(X) \leq \sup_n \alpha_n(X) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2(X) = o(1) \cdot B^2(X) = o(B^2(X));$$

repeating this argument, we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^k(X) = o(B^2(X)) \quad \text{as } X \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every $k \geq 3$.

Under the above preparations, we shall now enter into the main discourse in proving Theorem 2. Since the characteristic function of $\bar{A}_h'(X)$ is

$$(17) \quad f(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - ita \frac{\alpha_n(X)}{B(X)} e^{-ita h / mB(X)} \right),$$

where the exchange between $(1/X) \int_0^x \cdot dx$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in (7) may be allowed with probability 1. Taking the logarithm of (17), we have

$$\log f(t) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left(1 - ita \frac{\alpha_n(X)}{B(X)} \right) - it \frac{ah}{mB(X)}.$$

Expanding this and noting (16), we have for large X ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(t) &\sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ ita \frac{\alpha_n(X)}{B(X)} - \frac{a^2 t^2}{2} \frac{\alpha_n^2(X)}{B^2(X)} \right\} - it \frac{ah}{mB(X)} \\ &= it \frac{a}{B(X)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(X) - \frac{a^2 t^2}{2} \frac{1}{B^2(X)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2(X) - it \frac{ah}{mB(X)} \\ &= \frac{ita}{B(X)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X} \int_0^x P(x < S_n \leq x + a) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{a^2 t^2}{2} \frac{1}{B^2(X)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2(X) - it \frac{ah}{mB(X)} \\ &\sim \frac{a^2 t^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

which is the characteristic function of the normal distribution. Thus the theorem has been proved.

An analogous argument gives the following theorem in the case where $\{X_i\}$ is a sequence of identically distributed random variables. In the case where $\{E(X_i)\}$ is a stable sequence, a similar theorem will be proved under the conditions given by [1].

THEOREM 2'. Let X_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) be independent random variables identically distributed with the mean $m > 0$. If we replace $A_h(X)$ and $B(X)$ by

$$(18) \quad A'(x, h) = \frac{1}{B'(x)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P(x < S_n \leq x + h) - \frac{ah}{m} \right\},$$

$$(19) \quad B'^2(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{P(x < S_n \leq x+h)\}^2,$$

respectively, then the conclusion of Theorem 2 remains valid.

In the remaing part of the paper, we shall calculate $B'^2(x)$ in the case where the central limit theorem will hold on $\{X_i\}$.

THEOREM 3.³⁾ *If X_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) are independent random variables identically distributed and having the mean $m > 0$ and the variance v , and if a_i ($i=1, 2, \dots$) are independent random variables having the probability density (8), then the distribution of*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2(\pi m v x)^{1/4}}}{h} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P(x < S_n \leq x+h) - \frac{ah}{m} \right\}$$

tends to $N(0, a^2)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Based on Theorem 2', it is sufficient to show the relation

$$(20) \quad B'^2(x) = \frac{h^2}{2\sqrt{\pi m v}} x^{-1/2} + o(x^{-1/2}).$$

By the central limit theorem, for large n , the distributions of S_n are approximately $N(nm, nv)$; more precisely, there is a positive constant $N(\varepsilon)$ such as

$$(21) \quad P(x < S_n \leq x+dx) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n v}} e^{-(x-nm)^2/2nv} dx + E(n, x) dx$$

and

$$(22) \quad \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} E(n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{h^2}, \quad \text{uniformly respect to } x,$$

for all $n > N(\varepsilon)$, where ε is a negligibly small positive constant. Now, by the mean value theorem on integral,

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{P(x < S_n \leq x+h)\}^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \{P(x < S_n \leq x+h)\}^2 + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{h^2}{2\pi n v} e^{-(x+\theta_n h - nm)^2/2nv} \\ & \quad + 2h^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi n v} e^{-(x+\theta_n h - nm)^2/2nv} E(n, x+\theta'_n h) + h^2 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} E^2(n, x+\theta'_n h), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta_n, \theta'_n < 1$. Choosing so large $x \gg Nm$ that $P(x < S_n \leq x+h) < \sqrt{\varepsilon/N}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots, N$), we have

3) This theorem is one referred to in [3].

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{P(x < S_n \leq x+h)\}^2 &= \left\{ \int_0^{x/m-\alpha\sqrt{x}/m} + \int_{x/m-\alpha\sqrt{x}/m}^{x/m+\alpha\sqrt{x}/m} + \int_{x/m+\alpha\sqrt{x}/m}^{\infty} \right\} \frac{h^2}{2\pi y v} e^{-(x-my)^2/yv} dy + R \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + R, \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where $|R|$ is negligibly small relatively to other terms. Putting $y = x/m + t\sqrt{x}/m$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{2h^2}{2\pi v} \int_0^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x} + t} e^{-t^2/\{(v/m)(1+t/\sqrt{x})\}} dt \\ &= \frac{h^2}{\pi v} \int_0^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}(1+t/\sqrt{x})} e^{-mt^2/v} e^{(t^3/v) \cdot O(x^{-1/2})} dt \\ (25) \quad &= \frac{h^2}{\pi v} x^{-1/2} \int_0^{\alpha} e^{-mt^2/v} dt (1 + t^3 O(x^{-1/2})) \\ &= \frac{h^2}{\pi v} x^{-1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{v}{2m}} - \delta(\alpha) \right) + o(x^{-1/2}) \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2\sqrt{\pi m v}} x^{-1/2} - \frac{h^2}{\pi v} x^{-1/2} \delta(\alpha) + o(x^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

with $\delta(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$. Next

$$(26) \quad I_3 = \frac{h^2}{2\pi v} e^{2xm/v} \int_{x/m+\alpha\sqrt{x}/m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y} e^{-(1/v)(x^2/y+m^2y)} dy.$$

Now, we shall put the integrand as

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{y} e^{-z/v}, \quad \text{where } z = \frac{x^2}{y} + m^2 y.$$

Since z is a convex function of y for $y > 0$, the tangent of the curve at $x/m + \alpha\sqrt{x}/m$ lies below the curve. The equation of the tangent is

$$(28) \quad z = m^2 \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{x} + \alpha^2}{(\sqrt{x} + \alpha)^2} y + n \frac{2x^2}{x + \alpha\sqrt{x}},$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &< \frac{h^2}{2\pi v} e^{(2mx-2m\alpha^2/(x+\alpha\sqrt{x}))/v} \cdot \frac{1}{x/m + \alpha\sqrt{x}/m} \int_{x/m+\alpha\sqrt{x}/m}^{\infty} e^{-(m^2y/v)(2\alpha\sqrt{x}+\alpha^2)/(\sqrt{x}+\alpha)^2} dy \\ (29) \quad &= \frac{mh^2}{2\pi v} e^{2\alpha m x \sqrt{x}/(x+\alpha\sqrt{x}) \cdot (1/v)} \cdot \frac{v(\sqrt{x}+\alpha)}{m^2 \cdot 2\alpha x(1+\alpha/2\sqrt{x})} e^{-(m/v)(2\alpha\sqrt{x}+\alpha^2)/(\sqrt{x}+\alpha) \cdot \sqrt{x}} \\ &= \frac{mh^2}{2\pi v} \frac{v}{2m^2} \frac{1+\alpha/\sqrt{x}}{1+\alpha/2\sqrt{x}} \alpha^{-1/2} x^{-1/2} e^{(m/v) \cdot (-\alpha^2\sqrt{x})/(\sqrt{x}+\alpha)} \\ &\sim \text{const} \cdot \alpha^{-1/2} x^{-1/2} + o(x^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Taking α so large that $\text{const} \cdot \alpha^{-1/2}$ is negligibly small compared with $h^2/4\sqrt{\pi m v}$, we have

$$(30) \quad I_3 = o(x^{-1/2}).$$

The same argument shows

$$(31) \quad I_1 = o(x^{-1/2}),$$

hence (24), (25), (30) and (31) imply (20).

In conclusion, the author expresses his sincerest thanks to Professors T. Kawata and K. Kunisawa who have given valuable advices.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. COX AND W. L. SMITH, A direct proof of a fundamental theorem of renewal theory. *Skandinavisk Aktuartidskrift* **36** (1953), 139-150.
- [2] T. KAWATA, A renewal theorem. *Journ. Math. Soc. Japan* **8** (1956), 118-126.
- [3] T. KAWATA, Renewal theorems in the problems of queues. *Journ. Op. Res. Japan* **1** (1957), 3-8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.