ON A SEQUENCE OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

BY HIROSHI HIROKAWA

1. Introduction. Let f(t) be an integrable function with period 2π and let

(1.1)
$$f(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \frac{1}{2} A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t).$$

Then the conjugate series of (1.1) is given by

(1.2)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nt - a_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(t).$$

Throughout this paper we use the following notations:

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s,$$

where s is an assigned finite number;

$$\psi(t) = \psi_x(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t) - l,$$

where l is an assigned finite number;

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t) &= \theta_x(t) = f(x+t) - f(x+t); \\ \varphi_a(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(u) \, du \end{aligned} \qquad (\alpha > 0); \end{aligned}$$

 $\Psi_{\alpha}(t)$, $\Theta_{\alpha}(t)$ have similar meanings. We always suppose $\Delta \ge 1$ and $0 < \xi < \pi$, and write $\alpha(n, k) = \bar{o}(1)$, for any function α of n and k, when and only when

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\alpha(n,k)=0.$

Recently, B. Singh [4] proved the following

THEOREM A. If
$$\Psi_1(t) = o(t)$$
 as $t \to 0$, and

$$\int_y^{\xi} \frac{|\psi(t+y) - \psi(t)|}{t} dt = o(1) \qquad \text{as} \quad y \to 0,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\nu B_{\nu}(x) \to \frac{l}{\pi} \qquad as \quad n \to \infty,$$

that is, the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is evaluable (C, 1) to the value l/π .

The conditions of Theorem A are of Lebesgue type for the convergence

Received July 22, 1957.

of the conjugate series (1.2). Therefore, we shall consider, under the conditions of Gergen type [1], the summability (C, 1) of the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$. Under the conditions of this type, G. Sunouchi [5] and S. Izumi [2] proved the following theorems.

THEOREM B. Let
$$\gamma \ge \beta > 0$$
 and $\Delta = \gamma/\beta$. If
(1.3) $\Phi_{\beta}(t) = o(t^{\gamma})$ as $t \to 0$,

and

(1.4)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{y\to 0}\int_{(ky)^{1/\Delta}}^{t}\frac{|\varphi(t+y)-\varphi(t)|}{t}dt=0,$$

then the Fourier series (1, 1) converges to the value s at t=x.

Theorem C. Let $\Delta \geq 1$. If

$$\int_0^t |\varphi(u)| \, du = o(t/\log t^{-1}) \qquad \qquad as \quad t \to 0,$$

and (1.4) holds, then the Fourier series (1.1) converges to the value s at t=x.

Concerning Theorem A, we shall prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let $\gamma \geq \beta > 0$ and $\Delta = \gamma / \beta$. If

(1.5)
$$\Psi_{\beta}(t) = o(t^{\gamma})$$
 as $t \to 0$

and

(1.6)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{y\to 0}\int_{(ky)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi}\frac{|\psi(t+y)-\psi(t)|}{t}dt=0,$$

then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is evaluable (C, 1) to the value l/π .

THEOREM 2. Let $\Delta \ge 1$. If (1.7) $\int_0^t |\psi(u)| \, du = o(t/\log t^{-1}) \qquad as \quad t \to 0,$

and (1.6) holds, then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is evaluable (C, 1) to the value l/π .

Following the method of R. Mohanty and M. Nanda [3], we get the following convergence criteria for the conjugate series (1.2) as corollaries of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. Let $\gamma \ge \beta > 0$ and $\Delta = \gamma / \beta$. If

$$\Theta_{\beta}(t) = o(t^{\gamma})$$
 as $t \to 0$,

and

(1.8)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\limsup_{y\to 0}\int_{(ky)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi}\frac{|\theta(t+y)-\theta(t)|}{t}dt=0,$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

then the conjugate series (1.2), at t=x, converges to the value

(1.9)
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\to 0}^{\pi} \theta(t) \cot \frac{t}{2} dt$$

provided that the integral exists as a Cauchy integral at the origin.

Theorem 4. Let $\Delta \geq 1$. If

$$\int_0^t |\theta(u)| \, du = o(t/\log t^{-1}) \qquad \qquad as \quad t \to 0,$$

and (1.8) holds, then the conjugate series (1.2), at t=x, converges to the value (1.9) provided that the integral exists as a Cauchy integral at the origin.

2. Preliminary Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, we have, for integer ν , $1 \leq \nu \leq [\beta] + 1$,

(2.1)
$$\Psi_{\nu}(t) = o(t^{1+(\nu-1)\Delta}).$$

This is due to S. Izumi [2; Lemma 1].

LEMMA 2. Let $0 < \tau \leq 1$ and let $0 \leq u < v < \infty$. Then we have

(2.2)
$$\int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} e^{int} dt = o(n^{-\tau}).$$

This is due to G. Sunouchi [6; Lemma 1].

LEMMA 3. Let $\sigma(n, t) = \frac{\sin nt}{nt^2} - \frac{\cos nt}{t}$. Then we have

(2.3)
$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m}\sigma(n,t)=O\left(\sum_{j=-1}^m n^j/t^{m-j+1}\right) \qquad (m=0,1,2,\cdots),$$

and, for $0 < \tau \le 1$, $0 < u < v < \infty$,

(2.4)
$$\int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} \frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \sigma(n,t) dt = O\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{m} n^{j-\tau} u^{j-m-1}\right) \quad (m=0, 1, 2, \cdots).$$

Proof. By Leibniz formula, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} \sigma(n,t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} (m-j+1) ! \frac{n^j}{t^{m-j+2}} \sin(nt+j\pi/2) \\ &- \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^{m-j} \binom{m}{j} (m-j) ! \frac{n^j}{t^{m-j+1}} \cos(nt+j\pi/2). \end{split}$$

Thus we get

$$\frac{d^m}{dt^m}\sigma(n,t) = O\left(\sum_{j=0}^m n^{j-1}/t^{m-j+2}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=0}^m n^j/t^{m-j+1}\right) = O\left(\sum_{j=-1}^m n^j/t^{m-j+1}\right),$$

which is (2.3). Now, using the second mean value theorem, we have

HIROSHI HIROKAWA

$$\int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} \frac{e^{int}}{t^{m-j+1}} dt = \frac{1}{u^{m-j+1}} \int_{u}^{v'} (t-u)^{\tau-1} e^{int} dt \qquad (u < v' < v)$$
$$= O(1/n^{\tau} u^{m-j+1})$$

by (2. 2). Hence, from (2. 5), $\int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} \frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \sigma(n,t) dt = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} {m \choose j} (m-j+1)! n^{j} \int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} \frac{\sin(nt+j\pi/2)}{t^{m-j+2}} dt$ $-\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} {m \choose j} (m-j)! n^{j} \int_{u}^{v} (t-u)^{\tau-1} \frac{\cos(nt+j\pi/2)}{t^{m-j+1}} dt$ $= O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} n^{j-\tau-1} u^{j-m-2}\right) + O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} n^{j-\tau} u^{j-m-1}\right) = O\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{m} n^{j-\tau} u^{j-m-1}\right)$

which is the result required.

The following three Lemmas can be proved analogously to the proofs of Singh's lemmas [4; Lemmas 1, 2 and 3].

LEMMA 4. If
$$\Psi_1(t) = o(t)$$
 as $t \to 0$, then, for every positive integer k,

$$\int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{r}} \left(\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{1}{t(t+\pi/n)}\right) \psi(t) \sin nt \, dt = o(n^{1/\Delta}) \qquad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

LEMMA 5. $\Psi_1(t) = o(t)$, then, for every positive integer k,

$$\int_{(k\pi/n)}^{n} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} e^{int} dt = o(1) \qquad \qquad as \quad n \to \infty,$$

where $(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta} < \eta \leq (k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta} + \pi/n$.

LEMMA 6. If
$$\Psi_1(t) = o(t)$$
 and (1.6) holds, then

$$\int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \frac{\psi(t)}{t(t+\pi/n)} e^{int} dt = \bar{o}(n^{1/\Delta}) \qquad as \quad n \to \infty$$

LEMMA 7. If $\sum u_n$ is Abel evaluable, then a necessary and sufficient condition that it should be convergent is that the sequence $\{nu_n\}$ is evaluable (C, 1) to the value zero.

This Lemma is well-known as Tauber's second theorem.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. From the method of Mohanty and Nanda [3], we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\nu=1}^{n}\nu B_{\nu}(x) - \frac{l}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t) - l\}g(n, t) dt + o(1) \\ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t)g(n, t) dt + o(1) = \frac{1}{\pi}P + o(1),$$

say, where

$$g(n, t) = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \cos t + \cos 2t + \dots + \cos nt \}$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{4n} \frac{\sin nt}{\sin^2 t/2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos nt}{\tan t/2} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sin nt.$$

4

Now, we have, when $n \to \infty$,

$$P = \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) \left\{ \frac{\sin nt}{4n \sin^{2}t/2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos nt}{\tan t/2} \right\} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) \sin nt \, dt$$

$$(3.1) \qquad = \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) \left\{ \frac{\sin nt}{nt^{2}} - \frac{\cos nt}{t} \right\} dt + o(1)$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{k\pi/n} + \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} + \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \right) \psi(t) \sigma(n, t) \, dt + o(1)$$

$$= P_{1} + P_{2} + P_{3} + o(1),$$

say, where

$$\sigma(n, t) = \frac{\sin nt}{nt^2} - \frac{\cos nt}{t}.$$

Since, when 0 < nt < C, C being a positive constant,

$$\sigma(n, t) = O(n^2 t)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma(n, t) = 2\frac{\cos nt}{t^2} - 2\frac{\sin nt}{nt^3} + \frac{n\sin nt}{t} = O(n^2),$$

we have, by (2.1),

$$\begin{split} P_1 = & [\Psi_1(t)\sigma(n,t)]_0^{k\pi/n} - \int_0^{k\pi/n} \Psi_1(t) \frac{d}{dt}\sigma(n,t) dt \\ = & o\Big(\frac{k\pi}{n} \cdot n^2 \cdot \frac{k\pi}{n}\Big) + o\Big(\int_0^{k\pi/n} n^2 t dt\Big) = o(1). \end{split}$$

Applying Lemmas 4, 5 and 6, we have

$$\begin{split} P_{3} &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{2}} \sin nt \, dt - \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cos nt \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t) \sin nt}{t(t+\pi/n)} \, dt - \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cos nt \, dt + o(1) \\ &= - \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= - \left(\int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta} + \pi/n} + \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta} + \pi/n}^{\mathfrak{F} + \pi/n} - \int_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\mathfrak{F} + \pi/n} \right) \psi(t) \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= - \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F} + \pi/n} \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= - \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta} + \pi/n}^{\mathfrak{F} + \pi/n} \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \frac{\psi(t+\pi/n)}{t+\pi/n} \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\mathfrak{F}} \left\{ \frac{\psi(t+\pi/n)}{t+\pi/n} - \frac{\psi(t)}{t} \right\} \cos nt \, dt + \bar{o}(1). \end{split}$$

Then we have, again using Lemma 6,

HIROSHI HIROKAWA

$$\begin{split} |P_{3}| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \frac{\psi(t+\pi/n) - \psi(t)}{t+\pi/n} \cos nt \ dt \right| + \frac{\pi}{2n} \left| \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \frac{\psi(t) \cos nt}{t(t+\pi/n)} dt \right| + \bar{o}(1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \frac{|\psi(t+\pi/n) - \psi(t)|}{t} dt + \frac{\pi}{2n} \left| \int_{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}^{\xi} \frac{\psi(t) \cos nt}{t(t+\pi/n)} dt \right| + \bar{o}(1) \\ &= \bar{o}(1) + \frac{1}{2n} \bar{o}(n) + \bar{o}(1) = \bar{o}(1). \end{split}$$

Thus, when $\Delta=1$, we have $P=\bar{o}(1)$, since P_2 does not appear in P. When $\Delta>1$, we shall prove $P_2=\bar{o}(1)$. Let β be not an integer and let $[\beta]=\mu$. Then, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} P_{2} &= \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \psi(t)\sigma(n,t) \, dt \\ &= \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} (-1)^{\nu+1} \Psi_{\nu}(t) \frac{d^{\nu-1}}{dt^{\nu-1}} \sigma(n,t)\right]_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} + (-1)^{\mu} \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \Psi_{\mu+1}(t) \frac{d^{\mu+1}}{dt^{\mu+1}} \sigma(n,t) \, dt \\ &= P_{21} + (-1)^{\mu} P_{22}, \end{split}$$

say, where, by (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{split} P_{21} = & o \bigg(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} n^{-\{1+(\nu-1)\Delta\}/\Delta} \sum_{j=-1}^{\nu-1} n^{j+(\nu-j)/\Delta} \bigg) + o \bigg(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} n^{-1-(\nu-1)\Delta+\nu} \bigg) \\ = & o \bigg(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} \sum_{j=-1}^{\nu-1} n^{(j+1-\nu)(1-1/\Delta)} \bigg) + o \bigg(\sum_{\nu=1}^{\mu+1} n^{(\nu-1)(1-\Delta)} \bigg) = o(1). \end{split}$$

Now, omitting the constant factor, we have

$$\begin{split} P_{22} &= \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \frac{d^{\mu+1}}{dt^{\mu+1}} \sigma(n,t) \, dt \int_{0}^{t} \Psi_{\beta}(u) (t-u)^{\mu-\beta} \, du \\ &= \int_{0}^{k\pi/n} \Psi_{\beta}(u) du \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} (t-u)^{\mu-\beta} \frac{d^{\mu+1}}{dt^{\mu+1}} \sigma(n,t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \Psi_{\beta}(u) \, du \int_{u}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} (t-u)^{\mu-\beta} \frac{d^{\mu+1}}{dt^{\mu+1}} \sigma(n,t) \, dt \\ &= P_{221} + P_{322}, \end{split}$$

say. Then we have, by (1.5) and (2.4),

$$P_{221} = o\left(\int_{0}^{k\pi/n} n^{\beta+1} u^{\tau} du\right) = o(n^{\beta+1-(\gamma+1)}) = o(1)$$

and

$$P_{222} = o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\mu+1} n^{j-\mu+\beta-1} \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} u^{\tau+j-\mu-2} du\right)$$

= $o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\mu+1} n^{j-\mu+\beta-1-(\tau+j-\mu-1)/\Delta} - \sum_{j=-1}^{\mu+1} n^{j-\mu+\beta-1-(\tau+j-\mu-1)}\right)$
= $o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\mu+1} n^{(j-\mu-1)(1-1/\Delta)}\right) + o(1) = o(1).$

Thus we get $P_2=o(1)$ and the proof of theorem is complete when β is not

6

an integer. When β is an integer, the proof is similar to the above argument. For the proof, it is sufficient to prove that $P_2=o(1)$. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} P_{2} = & \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^{\beta} (-1)^{\nu+1} \Psi_{\nu}(t) \frac{d^{\nu-1}}{dt^{\nu-1}} \sigma(n,t) \right]_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} + (-1)^{\beta+1} \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \Psi_{\beta}(t) \frac{d^{\beta}}{dt^{\beta}} \sigma(n,t) dt \\ = & o(1) + (-1)^{\beta+1} P_{2}', \end{split}$$

where, by (1.5) and (2.3),

$$P_{2}'=o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\beta}n^{j}\int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}}t^{\gamma-\beta+j-1}dt\right)$$
$$=o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\beta}n^{j-(\gamma-\beta+j)/\Delta}\right)+o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\beta}n^{j-\gamma+\beta-j}\right)$$
$$=o\left(\sum_{j=-1}^{\beta}n^{j-\beta-(j-\beta)/\Delta}\right)+o(n^{\beta-\gamma})=o(1),$$

which is the result required and the proof of theorem is complete.

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.** The method of proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. Since (1.7) implies that $\Psi_1(t) = o(t)$, for the proof, it is sufficient to prove that $P_2 = o(1)$, where P_2 is found in (3.1). Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{split} P_{2} &= \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \psi(t) \sigma(n, t) \, dt \\ &= O\Big(\int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} |\psi(t)| \, t^{-1} dt \Big) \\ &= O\Big(\Big[t^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} |\psi(u)| \, du \Big]_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} + \int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} t^{-2} \Big(\int_{0}^{t} |\psi(u)| \, du \Big) \, dt \Big) \\ &= o(1) + o\Big(\int_{k\pi/n}^{(k\pi/n)^{1/\Delta}} \frac{t}{\log(1/t)} \cdot \frac{1}{t^{2}} \, dt \Big) \\ &= o(1) + o\Big(\log \frac{(1/\Delta) \log(k\pi/n)}{\log(k\pi/n)} \Big) = o(1), \end{split}$$

which is the result required and theorem is proved.

5. Proof of Theorems 3 and 4. The existence of the integral (1.9) as a Cauchy integral at the origin implies the Abel summability of the conjugate series (1.2) at t=x. (See [7; p. 55].) By Theorems 1 and 2, we find that the conditions of Theorems 3 and 4 imply the summability (C, 1) of the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ to the value zero. Now, the convergence of the conjugate series (1.2) at t=x is a consequence of Lemma 7.

HIROSHI HIROKAWA

References

- J. J. GERGEN, Convergence and summability criteria for Fourier series. Quart. Journ. Math. 1 (1930), 252-275.
- [2] S. IZUMI, Some trigonometrical series, VIII. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 5 (1954), 296-301.
- [3] R. MOHANTY AND M. NANDA, On the behaviour of Fourier coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 79-84.
- [4] B. SINGH, On a sequence of Fourier coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 796-803.
- [5] G. SUNOUCHI, Convergence criteria for Fourier series. Tôhoku Math. Journ.
 4 (1952), 187-193.
- [6] G. SUNOUCHI, A new convergence criterion for Fourier series. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 5 (1954), 238-242.
- [7] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometrical series. Warszawa, 1935.

CHIBA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHIBA, JAPAN.