ON CERTAIN STRONG SUMMABILITY OF A FOURIER POWER SERIES

By Masakiti Kinukawa

1. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \qquad (z = r e^{i\varphi}),$$

is regular for |z| = r < 1 and its boundary function is $f(e^{i\theta})$. Let us put

$$\begin{split} M_{p}(r,f') &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(re^{i\varphi})^{-p} d\varphi\right)^{1/p} \\ \sigma_{n}^{0}(\theta) &= s_{n}(\theta) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} c_{\nu} e^{i\nu\theta}, \\ \sigma_{n}^{\delta}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\delta}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} A_{n-\nu}^{\delta-1} s_{\nu}(\theta), \quad \text{for } \delta > -1, \\ t_{n}(\theta) &= n c_{n} e^{n i \theta} \end{split}$$

and

$$\tau_n^{\delta}(\theta) = \frac{1}{A_n^{\delta}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\delta-1} t_{\nu}(\theta), \qquad \text{for} \quad \delta > 0,$$

where

$$A_n^{\delta} = \binom{n+\delta}{n} \sim n^{\delta}/\Gamma(\delta+1).$$

Then we have

(1.1)
$$\tau_n^{\delta}(\theta) = \delta\{\sigma_n^{\delta-1}(\theta) - \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta)\}.$$

Concerning the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^k,$$

we have proved some results in [5]. In this paper we shall prove the following theorems. The method of the proof is due to H.C. Chow [2] and the author [5].

THEOREM 1. If the integral

$$\int_0^1 \Delta_1(r) M_{\nu}(r,f') dr$$

is finite, then the series

Received December 20, 1956.

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})^{-q}$

converges for almost all θ , where 1 , <math>q = p/(p-1), and

 $\Delta_1(r) = (1-r)^{1/p-1}, \quad \text{or} = (1-r)^{1/p-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/p},$ according as

$$\delta > 1/p - 1$$
, or $\delta = 1/p - 1$.

THEOREM 2. If the integral

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{A}_{2}(r) M_{v}(r,f') dr$$

is finite, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})$$

converges for almost all θ , where 1 , and

$$\Delta_2(r) = (1-r)^{-1/p}$$
, or $= (1-r)^{-1/p} \left(\log -\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{1/p}$,

according as

$$\delta > 1/p - 1$$
, or $\delta = 1/p - 1$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. We have the following inequality, 1) for $p \ge 1$,

(2.1)

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(re^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta}) e^{y} d\theta\right)^{1/v} \\
\leq K \int_{-\pi}^{1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{v} d\theta\right)^{1/v} + K \varphi \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{v} d\theta\right)^{1/v}.$$

Proof is easy from the similar calculation used in [5].

LEMMA 2. Under the assumption of Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^q < \infty$$

for almost all θ , where $\alpha = \delta + 1$.

For, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{\alpha} \{ \sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) \} z^n = \frac{f(ze^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} = F(z;\theta).$$

For $\beta > 0$ and |z| = r < 1, we have

$$F_{\beta}(z;\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{\beta})^{-1} A_n^{\alpha} \{\sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})\} z^n$$

¹⁾ We denote by K an absolute constant. In what follows, the value of K may be different from one occurrence to another.

$$=\beta z^{-\beta}\int_0^z(z-u)^{\beta-1}F(u;\theta)\,du,$$

where z^{β} and $(z - u)^{\beta-1}$ assume their principal values. Taking $\beta = \alpha > 0$ and using the Hausdorff-Young theorem, we get

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^q r^{nq}\right)^{1/q} \leq K \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_{\alpha}(re^{i\varphi};\theta)|^p d\varphi\right)^{1/p}.$$

Since

$$F_{\alpha}(re^{i\varphi};\theta) = \alpha r^{-\alpha} \int_{0}^{r} (r-\rho)^{\alpha-1} F(\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta) d\rho$$
$$= \alpha \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta) d\rho,$$

we get

$$\begin{split} & \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})\right)^{q} r^{nq} \right)^{1/q} \leq K \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta) d\rho \right)^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \int_{0}^{1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta)^{-p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\ & = K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta)^{-p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) |^{q} \right)^{1/q} d\theta \\ & \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \Big(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(\rho e^{i\varphi}; \theta)|^{p} d\varphi \Big)^{1/p} \\ & = K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \Big(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(\rho e^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p}}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi-p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi \Big)^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \Big(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{|1-\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \Big)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

If we replace by (2.1) the last integral in the right side of the above, then the above integral becomes

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi} |^{p(1+\alpha)}} \left[\int_{\rho}^{1} dr \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \right]^{p} \right. \\ \left. + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|^{p}}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi} |^{p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right\}^{1/p} \\ \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi} |^{p(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{1/p} \int_{\rho}^{1} dr \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ \left. + K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|^{p}}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi} |^{p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \int_{-\pi}^{1} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p}$$

 $= J_1 + J_2, \text{ say. We have}$ $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|^p}{|1 - \rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi \begin{cases} \leq K(1-\rho)^{1-\alpha p}, & \text{for } \alpha p > 1, \\ \leq K\left(\log \frac{1}{1-\rho}\right), & \text{for } \alpha p = 1. \end{cases}$

Thus we get

$$J_2 \leq K \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}_1(\rho) M_{\mathcal{P}}(\rho, f') d\rho$$

For the remaining part J_1 , we have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{|1-\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} \leq K(1-\rho)^{1-p(1+\alpha)},$$

since $\alpha > 0$. Thus we get

$$J_{1} \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1+1/p-(1+\alpha)} d\rho \int_{\rho}^{1} M_{p}(r,f') dr$$
$$= K \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}(r,f') dr \int_{0}^{r} (1-\rho)^{-2+1/p} d\rho$$
$$\leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-r)^{-1+1/p} M_{p}(r,f') dr,$$

which is dominated by J_2 . Thus we get Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. Under the assumption of Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid { au}_{n}^{a}(heta) \mid^{q} < \infty$$
 ,

for almost all θ , where $\alpha = 1 + \delta$.

For, since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{\alpha} \tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta) z^n = \frac{z e^{i\theta} f'(z e^{i\theta})}{(1-z)^{\alpha}} = G(z;\theta),$$

we have

$$G_{\beta}(z;\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{\beta})^{-1} A_n^{\alpha} \tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta) z^n = \beta z^{-\beta} \int_0^z (z-u)^{\beta-1} G(u;\theta) du.$$

Using the same method used in Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \tau_{n}^{\alpha}(\theta) \right|^{q} \right)^{1/q} d\theta \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| G\left(\rho e^{i\varphi}; \theta\right) \right|^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\alpha-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{\left| 1-\rho e^{i\varphi} \right|^{\alpha_{p}}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| f'\left(\rho e^{i\theta}\right) \right|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{1}(\rho) M_{p}(\rho, f') d\rho. \end{split}$$

Thus we get the required result.

Combining Lemmas 2, 3 and (1.1), we get Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 4. Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^a(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p < \infty,$$

for almost all θ , where $\alpha = \delta + 1$.

As in the proof of Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{\beta})^{-1} A_n^{\alpha} \{ \sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) \} z^n = \beta z^{-\beta} \int_0^z (z-u)^{\beta-1} F(u;\theta) du$$
$$= F_{\beta}(z;\theta).$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood theorem, we get, for 1 ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} \left(A_n^{\alpha} / A_n^{\beta} \right)^{\nu} \sigma_n^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) \left| {}^{\nu} r^{n\nu} \le K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| F_{\beta}(z;\theta) \right|^{\nu} d\varphi,$$

where

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_{\beta}(z;\theta)|^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \leq K \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta) d\rho \right|^{p} d\varphi \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(r\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta)|^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p}.$$

Since $p-2+p(\alpha-\beta)=0$, that is, $\beta=\alpha-2/p+1>0$,

$$\begin{split} & \left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p} \right\} d\theta \right]^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta)|^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \right\}^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(\rho e^{i\varphi};\theta)|^{p} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{|1-\rho|e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

Thus, by the similar way used in the proof of Lemma 2, the above integral is majorized by

$$K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{|1-\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} \right)^{1/p} \int_{\rho}^{1} dr \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ + K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} d\rho \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|^{p}}{|1-\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right)^{1/p}$$

 $= J_1 + J_2$, say. Since

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|\varphi|^{p}}{1-\rho e^{i\varphi}|^{p(1+\alpha)}} d\varphi\right)^{1/p} \leq K \begin{cases} (1-\rho)^{1/p-\alpha}, & \text{for } \alpha p > 1, \\ \left(\log \frac{1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1/p}, & \text{for } \alpha p = 1, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$J_2 \leq K \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}_2(\rho) M_p(\rho, f') d\rho,$$

and, since $\beta - 1 + 1/p - \alpha = -1/p < 0$, we get

$$J_{1} \leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta^{-1+1/p-(1+\alpha)}} d\rho \int_{\rho}^{1} M_{p}(r,f') dr$$

16

SUMMABILITY OF A FOURIER SERIES

$$= K \int_0^1 M_p(r, f') dr \int_0^r (1 - \rho)^{\beta + 1/p - 2 - \delta} d\rho$$

$$\leq K \int_0^1 (1 - r)^{-1/p} M_p(r, f') dr,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

LEMMA 5. Under the assumption of Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta)|^p < \infty,$$

for almost all θ , where $\alpha = \delta + 1$.

For, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{\beta})^{-1} A_n^{\alpha} \tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta) z^n = \beta z^{-\beta} \int_0^z (z-u)^{\beta-1} G(u;\theta) du = G_{\beta}(z;\theta),$$

where $\beta = \alpha + 1 - 2/p > 0$. Hence we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{v-2} \left(A_n^{\alpha}/A_n^{\beta}\right) \circ \neg \tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta) | ^v r^n v \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |G_{\beta}(z;\theta)|^v d\varphi.$$

By the same argument as in Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{split} \left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\tau_n^{\alpha}(\theta)|^p \right) d\theta \right]^{1/p} &\leq K \int_{0}^{1} (1-\rho)^{\beta-1} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{|1-\rho|^{e^{i\varphi}}|^{\alpha_p}} \right)^{1/p} M_p(\rho, f') d\rho \\ &\leq K \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{A}_2(\rho) M_p(\rho, f') d\rho, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Using Lemmas 4 and 5, we get Theorem 2.

4. If we use Chow's theorem [2, Theorem 2], we may get easily by the method used above the following

THEOREM 3. If the integral

(4.1)
$$\int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}(r, f') dr$$

is finite, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p/n$$

converges for almost all θ , where $1 , and <math>\delta = 2(1/p - 1)$.

THEOREM 4. If the integral (4.1) is finite, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) |^q/n$$

converges for almost all θ , where 1 , <math>q = p/(p-1) and $\delta \geq 1/p-1$.

5. Finally we shall prove the following theorem, which is analogous to T. Tsuchikura's theorem ([6], [7]).

THEOREM 5. If, for a point θ ,

$$\int_0^t |f(e^{i\varphi+i\theta})-f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\varphi = O\{t(\log 1/t)\}^{-\beta}\},$$

then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta}) r/n$$

converges at the point θ , where $2 \ge p > 1$, 1/p + 1/p' = 1, $p' \ge k > 0$, $\beta > p/k$ and $\delta > 1/p - 1$.

The method of proof is due to Hardy-Littlewood [4] and Chow [1]. For the purpose, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 6. If $u(\theta)$ is integrable L,

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u(\psi) \frac{1 - r^{2}}{1 - 2r\cos(\psi - \theta) + r^{2}} d\psi$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{x} | u(t) | dt = O\{ | x | / (\log 1 / | x |)^{\beta} \}, \qquad (\beta \ge 0),$$

then

$$\int_0^{\infty} |u(r,t)| dt = O\{|x|/(\log 1/|x|)^{\beta}\}, \quad uniformly \ for \ 1-r \leq |x|,$$
$$= O\{|x|/(\log 1/|x|)^{\beta} + |(1-r)x^{1-d}\},$$

where $1 > \Delta > 0$.

Cat

Proof of Lemma 6 is quite similar to the Hardy-Littlewood lemma [4]. Hence it will be sufficient to sketch the proof.

We may suppose 1 > x > 0. We have

$$J(x, h) = \int_0^\infty |u(r, t)| dt \leq K \int_{-\pi}^\pi |u(\varphi)| \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{h}{h^2 + (\varphi - t)^2} dt \right\} d\varphi$$
$$= K \int_{-\pi}^\pi |u(\varphi)| \chi(\varphi, x, h) d\varphi, \qquad (h = 1 - r).$$

Using the assumption

$$U(\varphi) = \int_0^{\varphi} |u(t)| dt = O\{|\varphi|/(\log 1/|\varphi|)^{\beta}\}$$

and integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} |u(\varphi)| \chi(\varphi, x, h) d\varphi = U(\pi) \chi(\pi, x, h) - \int_{0}^{\pi} U(\varphi) \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \varphi} d\varphi$$

where

(5.1)
$$U(\pi) \chi(\pi, x, h) = O(hx).$$

Since $\partial \chi / \partial \varphi = h / (h^2 + \varphi^2) - h / (h^2 + (\varphi - x)^2)$, we have

$$egin{aligned} & \left|\int_{0}^{\pi}U\left(arphi
ight) rac{\partial\chi}{\partialarphi} \,\,darphi
ight| &\leq Kigg\{\int_{0}^{\pi}U\left(arphi
ight) rac{hxarphi}{(h^{2}+arphi^{2})igg\{h^{2}+arphiarphi-xigg)^{2}igg\}} \,\,darphi \ &+\int_{0}^{\pi}U\left(arphi
ight) rac{hx^{2}}{(h^{2}+arphi^{2})igg\{h^{2}+arphiarphi-xigg)^{2}igg\}} \,\,darphiigg\} \ &= K(J_{1}+J_{2})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put $0<\varDelta<1,$ and let us split up the first integral into following two parts,

$$J_1 = \int_0^{x^d} + \int_{x^d}^{\pi} = J_{11} + J_{12},$$

then we have

(5.2)
$$J_{11} = O\left\{hx\int_{0}^{x^{d}} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{(h^{2} + \varphi^{2})\left\{h^{2} + (\varphi - x)^{2}\right\} \cdot (\log 1/\varphi)^{\beta}} d\varphi\right\}$$
$$= O\left\{\frac{x}{(\log 1/x)^{\beta}}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h}{h^{2} + (\varphi - x)^{2}} d\varphi\right\} = O\left\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta}\right\}$$

and

(5.3)
$$J_{12} = O\left\{hx\right\}_{x^{d}}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{h^{2} + (\varphi - x)^{2}}\right\} = O\left(hx^{1-d}\right).$$

By (5.2) and (5.3), we have

(5.4)
$$J_1 = O\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta} + (1-r)x^{1-d}\}.$$

Next we consider the integral J_2 . We have

$$\begin{split} J_2 &= \int_0^\pi U(\varphi) \frac{hx^2}{(h^2 + \varphi^2) \{h^2 + (\varphi - x)^2\}} \, d\varphi \\ &= \int_0^{x/2} + \int_{x/2}^{2x} + \int_{2x}^{x^2} + \int_{x^2}^\pi = J_{21} + J_{22} + J_{23} + J_{24}, \end{split}$$

where

(5.5)
$$J_{21} = O\left\{\frac{x}{(\log 1/x)^{\beta}}\int_{0}^{x/2} \frac{h}{h^{2} + \varphi^{2}} d\varphi\right\} = O\left\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta}\right\},$$

(5.6)
$$J_{22} = O\left\{\frac{x}{(\log 1/x)^{\beta}}\int_{x/2}^{2x}\frac{h}{h^2 + (\varphi - x)^2}d\varphi\right\} = O\left\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta}\right\},$$

(5.7)
$$\int_{23} = O\left\{\frac{x}{(\log 1/x)^{\beta}} \int_{2x}^{x^{2}} \frac{h\varphi^{2}}{(h^{2}+\varphi^{2})^{2}} d\varphi\right\} = O\left\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta}\right\}$$

and

(5.8)
$$J_{24} = O\left\{hx^2\int_{x^d}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi^3}\right\} = O\left(hx^{2-2d}\right).$$

Summing up (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and (5.8), we get

(5.9)
$$J_2 = O\{x/(\log 1/x)^{\beta} + hx^{2-2d}\}.$$

Collecting (5.4) and (5.9), we get Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. If

$$\int_0^t |f(e^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\varphi = O\{|t|/(\log 1/|t|)^\beta\},\$$

then we have

$$I(\theta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(re^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p}}{\{(1-r)^{2} + \varphi^{2}\}^{\mu/2}} d\varphi = O\Big\{(1-r)^{1-\mu} / \Big(\log \frac{1}{1-r}\Big)^{\beta}\Big\},$$

where p > 1, $\mu > 1$, $\beta > 0$.

Proof. Let

$$F(z) = F(re^{i\varphi}) = f(ze^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta}) = f(re^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta}).$$

Then F(z) is regular for |z| < 1, and belongs to H^{p} and

(5.10)
$$\int_{0}^{t} |F(e^{i\varphi})|^{p} d\varphi = \int_{0}^{t} |f(e^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\varphi = O\{t/(\log 1/t)^{\beta}\},$$

by the assumption. Since

(5.11)
$$|F(re^{i\varphi})|^{p} = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(e^{i\psi}) \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2r\cos(\psi-\varphi)+r^{2}} d\psi \right|^{p} \\ \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F(e^{i\varphi})|^{p} \frac{1-r^{2}}{1-2r\cos(\psi-\varphi)+r^{2}} d\psi,$$

it follows from (5.10), (5.11) and Lemma 6 that

$$G(r,t) = \int_0^t |F(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi = O\{|t|/(\log 1/|t|)^\beta\},$$

uniformly for $1 - r \le |t|,$

and

Using the above and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} I(\theta) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|F(re^{i\varphi})|^{p}}{\{(1-r)^{2} + \varphi^{2}\}^{\mu/2}} d\varphi \\ &= O(1) + K \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi} + \int_{-\pi}^{0} \right\} \frac{\varphi G(r,\varphi)}{(h^{2} + \varphi^{2})^{\mu/2+1}} d\varphi, \quad (h = 1 - r), \\ &= O(1) + K \{I_{1} + I_{2}\}, \end{split}$$

where

$$egin{aligned} &I_1 = \int_0^h + \int_h^\gamma + \int_\gamma^\pi, & (\gamma = h^{\xi}, \ 0 < \xi < 1), \ &= I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13}, \end{aligned}$$

 $= O\{|t|/(\log 1/|t|) + (1-r)t^{1-d}\}.$

say. We get

$$I_{11} = O\left\{\int_{0}^{h} \frac{\varphi}{h^{\mu+2}} \left[\frac{\varphi}{(\log 1/\varphi)^{\beta}} + h\varphi^{1-d}\right] d\varphi\right\} = O\left\{h^{1-\mu} / \left(\log \frac{1}{h}\right)^{\beta}\right\},$$

20

$$I_{12} = O\left\{\int_{h}^{\gamma} \frac{\varphi^{2}}{(\log 1/\varphi)^{\beta}} \frac{1}{(h^{2} + \varphi^{2})^{\mu/2+1}} d\varphi\right\} = O\{h^{1-\mu}/(\log 1/h)^{\beta}\}$$

and

$$I_{13} = O\left\{ \int_{\gamma}^{\pi} \varphi^{2-\mu-2} d\varphi
ight\} = O\left(\gamma^{1-\mu}
ight) = O\{h^{1-\mu}/\log 1/h)^{eta}\}.$$

For I_2 , we have also the same estimation. Thus we get Lemma 7.

We are now in position to prove Theorem 5. By the Hausdorff-Young theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_{n}^{\delta} \{\sigma_{n}^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})\} r^{n-p'} \right\}^{p/p'} \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(ze^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p}}{|(1-z)^{1+\delta}|^{p}} d\varphi \\ & \leq K \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|f(re^{i\varphi+i\theta}) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p}}{|(1-r)^{2} + \varphi^{2}\}^{(1+\delta)p/2}} d\varphi, \qquad (z = re^{i\varphi}). \end{split}$$

Since $\mu = (1 + \delta) p > 1$, by Lemma 6, the above integral is

$$O\Big\{(1-r)^{1-\mu}\Big/\Big(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\Big)^{\beta}\Big\}.$$

Let us put $1 - r = \pi/2^{\lambda+1}$, then we have

$$\left\{\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}}|A_n^{\delta}\{\sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta)-f(e^{i\theta})\}r^n|^{p'}\right\}^{p/p'}=O\{2^{\lambda(\mu-1)}\lambda^{-\beta}\}.$$

Hence

$$\left\{\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} \sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})\right\}^{p/p'} = O\{2^{\lambda(\mu-1-\delta p)}\lambda^{-\beta}\} = O\{2^{\lambda(p-1)}\lambda^{-\beta}\}.$$

Let kq' = p', 1/q + 1/q' = 1 and q' > 1, then by the Hölder inequality,

$$\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |\sigma_{n}^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{k}/n \leq \left(\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} 1/n^{q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |\sigma_{n}^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{kq'}\right)^{1/q'}$$
$$\leq 2^{\lambda(1-q)/q} \left(\sum_{n=2^{\lambda-1}+1}^{2^{\lambda}} |\sigma_{n}^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^{p'}\right)^{k/p'}$$
$$= O\{2^{\lambda(1-q)/q} (2^{\lambda} \lambda^{-\beta p'/p})^{k/p'} = O(\lambda^{-\beta k/p}).$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |\sigma_n^{\delta}(\theta) - f(e^{i\theta})|^k / n = O\left\{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k\beta/\nu}\right\} = O(1),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Finally, the author is thankful to Prof. S. Izumi and Miss M. Satô for their kind suggestions.

MASAKITI KINUKAWA

References

[1] H.C. CHOW, Theorems on power series and Fourier series. Proc. London Math. Soc. 1 (1951), 206-216.

[2] _____, A further note on the summability of a power series on its circle of convergence. Ann. of Acad. Sinica, 1 (1954), 559-567.

[3] G.H. HARDY and J.E. LITTLEWOOD, Some new properties of Fourier constant. Math. Annalen 97 (1926), 159-209.

[4] _____, The strong summability of Fourier series. Fund. Math. **25**(1935), 162—189.

[5] M. KINUKAWA, Some strong summability of Fourier series II. Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), 377-382.

[6] T. TSUCHIKURA, Convergence character of Fourier series at a point. Mathematica Japonicae 1 (1949), 135-139.

[7] _____, Absolute Cesàro summability of orthogonal series. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 5 (1953), 52—66.

NATURAL SCIENCE DIVISION, INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY, TOKYO.