By Kenji Yano

1. Let f(x) be an *L*-integrable function with period 2π , and its allied Fourier series be

(1.1)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(x).$$

We write

(1.2)
$$\psi(t) = \psi_x(t) = f(x+t) - f(x-t) - l(x),$$

and denote the *n*-th (C, α) mean of the series (1.1) by $\overline{\sigma}_n^{\alpha}(x)$ with

$$\overline{s}_n(x) = \overline{\sigma}_n^0(x)$$
 and $\overline{\sigma}_n(x) = \overline{\sigma}_n^1(x)$,

that is

$$\bar{\sigma}_n^{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha} B_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where A_n^{α} is Andersen's notation, $A_n^{\alpha} = (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \cdots (\alpha + n)/n!$.

In this paper we shall consider a particular value of x such that $0 \le x < 2\pi$.

O. Szász [1] has showed:

Theorem S_1 . If $\psi(t)$ satisfies the two conditions

(a)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) \, du = o(t) \, ,$$

(b)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) \, du = O(t)$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$, then

(1.3)
$$\bar{\sigma}_{2n}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \log 2 + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

S. Izumi [3] improved this theorem as following:

Theorem I. Theorem S_1 is valid even if the condition (b) would be replaced by

Received December 14, 1956.

KENJI YANO

(1.4)
$$\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\psi(t) - \psi(t + \pi/n)|}{t} dt = O(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

H.C. Chow [4] has showed:

THEOREM C. If $\alpha > 0$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b), then

$$\bar{\sigma}_{2n}^{\alpha}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \log 2 + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

G. Maruyama [5] has showed:

THEOREM M₁. Under the conditions (a) and (b), if $\mu_n > \lambda_n$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\mu_n / \lambda_n) = A$, then

$$\bar{\sigma}_{\mu_n}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{\lambda_n}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \log A + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Further if $\mu_n/\lambda_n \to \infty$ then

(1.5)
$$[\bar{\sigma}_{\mu_n}(x) - \sigma_{\lambda_n}(x)] / (\log \mu_n - \log \lambda_n) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} + o(1).$$

THEOREM M₂. If f(x) is of bounded variation and $\mu_n/\lambda_n \rightarrow 1$ then (1.5) holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

O. Szász [2] showed:

THEOREM S₂. If $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b), then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is summable (C, 2) to the value $l(x)/\pi$.

Recently, R. Mohanty and M. Nanda [6] proved:

THEOREM M.N. If $\psi(t) = o(\log (1/t))^{-1}$ and $a_n = O(n^{-\delta})$, $b_n = O(n^{-\delta})$, $0 < \delta < 1$, then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is summable (C, 1) to the value $l(x)/\pi$.

In this paper, we shall prove a number of theorems which contain the above theorems as particular cases.

2. We denote the *n*-th (C, α) conjugate Fejér kernel by $\bar{K}_n^x(t)$ with $\bar{D}_n(t) = \bar{K}_n^0(t)$, i.e.

(2.1)
$$\overline{K}_n^{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha} \sin \nu t,$$

then we have, from the definition of $\psi(t)$ in (1.2),

(2.2)
$$\overline{\sigma}_n^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} K_n^{\alpha}(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi(t) \overline{K}_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

2

We shall first prove the following

LEMMA 1. If $\alpha > -1$ then

(2.3)
$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \bar{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(t) dt = \lambda (\alpha, n) + \log 2 + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where

(2.4)
$$\lambda(\alpha, n) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\alpha+n}.$$

In fact, from (2.1) we have

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} K_{n}^{a}(t) dt = -\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\nu} + -\frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha} \frac{(-1)^{\nu-1}}{\nu}$$
$$= P_{n} + Q_{n},$$

say. Then

$$P_{n} - P_{n-1} = \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} A_{n-1-\nu}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\nu}$$
$$= \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\frac{A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha}}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} - \frac{A_{n-1-\nu}^{\alpha}}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha + n)} \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{(\alpha + n)} \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha + n)} \frac{1}{A_{n-1}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} A_{n-\nu}^{n-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha + n},$$

and, since $P_1 = 1/(\alpha + 1)$ we have

$$P_n = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha+n},$$

which equals to $\lambda(\alpha, n)$. Q_n is the *n*-th (C, α) mean of the convergent series $0 + 1 - 1/2 + 1/3 - \cdots$, which is summable $(C, -1 + \delta)$ for every $\delta > 0$ since the *n*-th term $(-1)^{n-1}/n$ is O(1/n), and so

$$Q_n = \log 2 + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for every $\alpha > -1$. Thus we get (2.3) and our lemma is established.

REMARKS. More precisely we can show that

(2.4)'
$$\lambda(\alpha, n) = \log \frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+1} + c_{\alpha} + O(1/n)$$

if $\alpha > -1$, where c_{α} is a constant depending on α , and $0 < c_{\alpha} < 1/(\alpha + 1)$. And

$$Q_n = \begin{cases} \log 2 + O(1/n) & (\alpha \ge 0), \\ \log 2 + O(1/n^{\alpha+1}) & (-1 < \alpha < 0). \end{cases}$$

Thus we get

KENJI YANO

$$(2.3)' \qquad \int_0^{\pi} \bar{K}_n^{\alpha}(t) \, dt = \log \frac{\alpha+n}{\alpha+1} + c_{\alpha} + \log 2 + \begin{cases} O(1/n) & (\alpha \ge 0), \\ O(1/n^{\alpha+1}) & (-1 < \alpha < 0). \end{cases}$$

3. We write

(3.1)
$$\overline{A}(\alpha, n) = \frac{1}{\pi A_n^{\alpha}} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \psi(t) \frac{\sin(nt + (\alpha + 1)(t - \pi)/2)}{(2\sin t/2)^{\alpha + 1}} dt,$$

then, by Lemma 1, we have the following

LEMMA 2. If $\alpha > -1$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the condition

(a)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) \, du = o(t)$$

s $t \rightarrow +0$, then

$$\bar{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} [\lambda(\alpha, n) + \log 2] + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\psi(t)}{2 \tan t/2} dt + \bar{\Lambda}(\alpha, n) + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Indeed, in the expression (2.2)

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) K_{n}^{\alpha}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} = I_{1} + I_{2},$$

say. Then from

$$\overline{K}_{n}^{lpha}\left(t
ight) = O\left(n
ight)$$
 and $\frac{d}{dt}\overline{K}_{n}^{lpha}\left(t
ight) = O\left(n^{2}
ight)$

we have, integrating by parts, $I_1 = o(1)$. $\overline{K}_n^{\alpha}(t)$ is the imaginary part of the expression

$$-rac{1}{2}+rac{1}{A_{n}^{lpha}}\sum_{
u=0}^{n}A_{n-
u}^{lpha}\ e^{i
u t}$$
 ,

which is written in the form

$$\frac{i}{2\tan(t/2)} - \frac{e^{-it}}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{A_n^{\alpha-\nu}}{(1-e^{-it})^{\nu+1}} \\ + \frac{e^{int}}{A_n^{\alpha}(1-e^{-it})^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{e^{int}}{A_n^{\alpha}(1-e^{-it})^{k+1}} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} A_{\nu}^{\alpha-k-1} e^{-i\nu t},$$

where k is the positive integer such that $-2 < \alpha - k \leq -1$. We can easily see that from the last expression, integrating by parts, I_2 equals to the imaginary part of

$$i\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\psi(t)}{2\tan(t/2)} dt + \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{\psi(t)}{(1 - e^{-it})^{\alpha+1}} e^{int} dt + o(1)$$

under the condition (a), analogously as A. Zygmund [8]. Thus we get the desired result.

Evidently, if $\beta > \alpha$ and $\overline{A}(\alpha, n) \to 0$ then $\overline{A}(\beta, n) \to 0$.

If $\psi(t)$ satisfies the condition (a) then

$$\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi/m} \frac{\psi(t)}{2 \tan(t/2)} dt = o(\log m - \log n) + o(1)$$

as $m \to \infty$ and $n \to \infty$. Particularly if 0 < H < m/n < K then $o(\log m - \log n) = o(1)$.

From the above facts and Lemma 2 we have immediately the following

LEMMA 3. If $-1 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and $\overline{\Lambda}(\alpha, n) \rightarrow 0$, then

(3.2)
$$\overline{\sigma}_{m}^{\beta}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} [\lambda(\beta, m) - \lambda(\alpha, n)] + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for 0 < H < m/n < K.

4. THEOREM 1. If $\alpha > 0$, p > 0 and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions

(a)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) du = o(t)$$
 and (b) $\int_0^t \psi(u) | du = O(t)$

as $t \rightarrow +0$, then

$$\sigma_{(1n)}^{\alpha}(x) - \sigma_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \log p + o(1),$$

and for each positive integer k

$$\overline{\sigma}_{(pn)}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha+k}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \left(\log p + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\alpha+k} \right) + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Indeed, since the condition $\Lambda(\alpha, n) \to 0$ follows from (a) and (b) if $\alpha > 0$ we have the desired result by Lemma 3 and the definition of $\lambda(\alpha, n)$ in (2.4).

This theorem contains Theorem C and the first part of Theorem M_1 .

THEOREM 2. If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) then

$$\left[\overline{\sigma}_{m}^{\beta}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x)\right] / \left(\log m - \log n\right) = \frac{\ell(x)}{\pi} + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for $m/n \to \infty$.

In fact we have

$$\overline{\sigma}_m^{\beta}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_n^{\alpha}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} [\lambda(\beta, m) - \lambda(\alpha, n)] + o(\log m - \log n) + o(1),$$

analogously as Lemma 3. And, since $\lambda(\alpha, n) = \log n + O(1)$ the first term of the right hand side equals to $l(x)(\log m - \log n)/\pi + O(1)$. Thus we have the desired result.

This theorem contains the second part of Theorem M_1 . Theorem M_2 is valid even if $\overline{\sigma}_n$ would be replaced by $\overline{s_n}$, i.e.:

THEOREM 3. If f(x) is of bounded variation in $(0, 2\pi)$ and $m/n \rightarrow 1$, m - n

 ∞ , then

$$[\overline{s_m}(x) - \overline{s_n}(x)] / (\log m - \log n) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} + o(1).$$

Indeed we have, by (2.3)'

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \overline{D}_{n}(t) dt = \log n + c_{0} + \log 2 + O(1/n),$$

and by (2.2)

$$\pi \bar{s}_n(x) = \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \,\overline{D}_n(t) \,dt + l(x) \int_0^\pi \overline{D}_n(t) \,dt.$$

Therefore

$$\pi[\overline{s}_m(x) - \overline{s}_n(x)] = \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \left[D_m(t) - \overline{D}_n(t) \right] dt + \log m - \log n + O(1/n).$$

We write

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \psi(t) \left[\overline{D}_{m}(t) - \overline{D}_{n}(t) \right] dt = \int_{0}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} = I_{1} + I_{2}$$

say, where $\delta > 0$ is small. It is evident that $\psi(t) = o(1)$ and

$$V(t) = \int_0^t |d\psi(u)| = o(1)$$

as $t \to +0$, since we may suppose that $\psi(0) = \psi(+0) = 0$. Considering the positive and negative variations of $\psi(t)$, by the second mean value theorem we have

$$egin{aligned} & I_1 \leq V(\delta) \cdot \sup_{0 < t \leq \delta} \left| \int_t^\delta [\sin \left(n+1
ight) u + \cdots + \sin mu] du
ight| \\ & < V(\delta) \cdot 4 \left(m-n
ight)/n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|I_{2}| = \left|\int_{\delta}^{\pi} \psi(t) \frac{\cos(m+1/2)t - \cos(n+1/2)t}{2\sin(t/2)} dt\right| < \frac{V(\pi)}{2\sin(\delta/2)} \cdot \frac{4}{n}.$$

Thus we get the desired result since it is evident that

$$\frac{m-n}{n} \sim \log m - \log n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n} = o(\log m - \log n)$$

under the restriction concerning m and n.

5. It is easily seen that Theorem 3 is valid even if \bar{s}_n would be replaced by $\bar{\sigma}_n^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha > 0$. On the contrary, for the negative value of α we have the following

THEOREM 4. Let $-1 < \alpha < 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be small. If f(x) be of bounded variation over $(0, 2\pi)$, and

(5.1)
$$\int_{0}^{\delta} |d(\psi(t) - \psi(t+h))| = O(h^{|o|(\alpha+1)})$$

as $h \rightarrow +0$, then

6

(5.2)
$$[\bar{\sigma}_{m}^{\alpha}(x) - \bar{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x)] / (\log m - \log n) = l(x) / \pi + o(1)$$

as
$$n \to \infty$$
 for $(m-n)/n \to 0$ and $(m-n)/n^{|\alpha|} \to \infty$.

In the case $\alpha = 0$ this theorem coincides with Theorem 3. We require a lemma.

LEMMA 4. If $-1 < \alpha < 0$, f(x) is of bounded variation over $(0, 2\pi)$ and 1 < m/n < H, then

(5.3)
$$\int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \psi(t) \left[\overline{K}_{m}^{\alpha}(t) - \overline{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \right] dt = o\left(\frac{m-n}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{2A_{n}^{\alpha}} \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \frac{\psi(t) - \psi(t+\pi/n)}{(2\sin t/2)^{\alpha+1}} \left[\sin(mt+\alpha_{t}) - \sin(nt+\alpha_{t}) \right] dt$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\alpha_t = (\alpha + 1)(t - \pi)/2$, $\delta > 0$ is small, and o depends on n and δ .

This lemma can be proved by an elaboration under the conditions

(5.4)
$$\psi(t) = o(1)$$
 and $\int_{0}^{t} |d\psi(u)| = o(1)$

as $t \to +0$, which are the consequence of $f \in B. V.$. The proof is omitted.

The proof of our theorem is as following: under the restriction concerning m and n it is evident that

$$\frac{m-n}{n} \sim \log m - \log n, \quad \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} = o(\log m - \log n),$$

and moreover, we have by an elaboration

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left[\overline{K}_{m}^{\alpha}(u) - \overline{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(u) \right] du = O\left(\frac{m-n}{n}\right), \quad 0 < t \leq \pi/n,$$

and

$$\int_{\delta}^{t} [\bar{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(u) - \bar{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(u)] du = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\right), \qquad \delta < t \leq \pi.$$

We write

$$\pi \left[\overline{\sigma}_{m}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x)\right]$$

$$= l(x) \int_{0}^{\pi} \left[K_{m}^{\alpha}(t) - \overline{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(t)\right] dt$$

$$+ \left(\int_{0}^{\pi/n} + \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi}\right) \psi(t) \left[\overline{K}_{m}^{\alpha}(t) - \overline{K}_{n}^{\alpha}(t)\right] dt$$

$$= I + I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3}$$

say. Then by (2.3)' we have

$$I = l(x) [\log (\alpha + m) - \log (\alpha + n)] + O(1/n^{\alpha + 1})$$

= $l(x) (\log m - \log n) + o(\log m - \log n).$

Integrating by parts we have $I_1 = o((m-n)/n)$ and $I_3 = O(1/n^{\alpha+1})$ under the

conditions (5.4). Therefore it is sufficient to show that $I_2 = o(\log m - \log n)$ under our assumption. From (5.1) it follows

(5.5)
$$\Delta \psi(t) - \Delta \psi(0) = O(h^{\alpha (\alpha+1)})$$

as $h \to +0$ in $(0, \delta)$, where $\Delta \psi(t) = \psi(t) - \psi(t+h)$. On the other hand from the fact

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \frac{\sin\left(mt + \alpha_t\right) - \sin\left(nt + \alpha_t\right)}{(2\sin t/2)^{\alpha+1}} dt$$
$$= \int_{\pi/n}^{\delta} \left[\bar{K}_m^{\alpha}(t) - \bar{K}_n^{\alpha}(t)\right] dt + O(\log m - \log n)$$
$$= O(\log m - \log n),$$

we have under the condition (5.4), by Lemma 4

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= \frac{1}{2 A_n^{\alpha}} \left(\int_h^{h^{[\alpha]}/\kappa} + \int_{h^{[\alpha]}/\kappa}^{\delta} \right) \frac{\Delta \psi (t) - \Delta \psi (0)}{(2 \sin t/2)^{\alpha + 1}} [\sin (mt + \alpha_t) - \sin (nt + \alpha_t)] dt \\ &+ o (\log m - \log n) \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + o (\log m - \log n) \,, \end{split}$$

say, where $h = \pi/n$ and K > 0 is arbitrary. Letting

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(t) &= \frac{1}{2\sin(t/2)} \left[\sin(mt + \alpha_t) - \sin(nt + \alpha_t) \right] \\ &= \cos((n + 1/2)t + \alpha_t) + \dots + \cos((m - 1/2)t + \alpha_t) \end{aligned}$$

we have $\int_{0}^{t} \chi(u) du = O((m-n)/n)$. Therefore, integrating by parts under the conditions (5.1) and (5.5) we have

$$J_{1} = \frac{1}{2 A_{n}^{a}} \int_{h}^{h^{(a)}/K} \left(\sin \frac{t}{2} \right)^{\alpha} \left[\Delta \psi(t) - \Delta \psi(0) \right] \chi(t) dt$$
$$= O\left(\frac{m-n}{n} / K^{\alpha} \right).$$

On the other hand, from

$$\int_0^t [\sin(mu + \alpha_u) - \sin(nu + \alpha_u)] du = O(1/n),$$

again integrating by parts we have $J_2 = O(K/n)^{\alpha+1}$. Thus $I_2 = o(\log m - \log n)$ since K > 0 is arbitrary, and our theorem is established.

Further we have the following theorem under another Lipschitz condition cf. [7]).

THEOREM 5. Theorem 4 is valid even if the condition (5.1) is replaced by $\psi \in \text{Lip}(1, p)$ in $(0, \delta)$, where $|\alpha| p > 1$.

It is sufficient to show that $I_2 = o(\log m - \log n)$. But by Lemma 4

$$|I_2| \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \int_0^{\delta} \frac{\Delta \psi(t)}{(2\sin t/2)^{\alpha+1}} dt + o(\log m - \log n)$$

where $\Delta \psi(t) = \psi(t) - \psi(t + \pi/n)$. And since it is evident that from $|\alpha|p > 1$ it follows $(\alpha + 1)q < 1$ where 1/p + 1/q = 1, the first term of the right hand side does not exceed

$$\frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \left(\int_0^{\delta} \Delta \psi(t) |^{v} dt \right)^{1/v} \left(\int_0^{\delta} \frac{dt}{(2\sin t/2)^{(\alpha+1)q}} \right)^{1/q}$$
$$= \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \cdot O\left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}\right),$$

which proves our theorem.

6. Theorem I in the section 1 is trivial under the condition (1.4). The purpose of S. Izumi [3] is, I suppose, to show that the theorem is valid even if O(1), in the right hand side of (1.4), would be replaced by $O(\log n)$. This will be negative. But we can show that (1.4) may be replaced by a weaker condition.

Evidently, from the condition

(b)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) \, du = O(t) \quad as \quad t \to 0$$

it follows

(c)
$$\int_{t'}^{t} \frac{|\psi(u)|}{u} du = O\left(\log\frac{2t}{t'}\right)$$

as $0 < t' \leq t \rightarrow 0$. Inversely, if (c) holds then

$$\int_0^t \psi(u) | du = \int_0^t \frac{\psi(u)}{u} du \int_0^u dv = \int_0^t dv \int_v^t \frac{|\psi(u)|}{u} du$$
$$= O\left(\int_0^t \log \frac{2t}{v} dv\right) = O(t),$$

which is the condition (b). Thus the conditions (b) and (c) are equivalent. Therefore the condition

(d)
$$\int_{t'}^{t} \frac{|\psi(u) - \psi(u+t')|}{u} du = O\left(\log \frac{2t}{t'}\right),$$

as $0 < t' \leq t \to 0$, is weaker than (b), and also evidently than (1.4). Now we have the following

THEOREM 6. If
$$\psi(t)$$
 satisfies the conditions $\int_{0}^{t} \psi(u) du = o(t)$ and (d) then
 $\overline{\sigma}_{2n}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \log 2 + o(1)$

as $n \to \infty$.

It is sufficient to show, analogously as in the proof of Theorem I, that

KENJI YANO

$$P_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{k_{n}\eta}^{\pi} \frac{|\psi(t) - \psi(t+\eta)|}{t^{2}} dt = o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\eta = \pi/n$ and $\{k_n\}$ is a positive sequence such that $k_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ sufficiently slowly. We write

$$\Psi(t) = \int_{\eta}^{t} \frac{|\psi(u) - \psi(u + \eta)|}{u} du,$$

then integrating by parts we have

$$P_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{t} \Psi(t) \right]_{k_{n}\eta}^{\pi} + \frac{1}{n} \int_{k_{n}\eta}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \Psi(t) dt$$
$$= O\left(\frac{1}{k_{n}} \log(2k_{n}) \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{k_{n}\eta}^{\pi} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \log \frac{2t}{\eta} dt \right)$$
$$= O\left((\log k_{n}) / k_{n} \right) = o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Thus we have the desired result.

7. We shall now give an application of Lemma 3 to the sequence $\{nB_n\}$, where $B_n = B_n(x)$ is defined by (1.1).

Let $\overline{\tau}_n^{\alpha} = \overline{\tau}_n^{\alpha}(x)$ be the *n*-th (*C*, α) mean of $\{nB_n\}$, i.e.

$$\overline{\tau}_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1}(\nu B_{\nu}).$$

Then we have the well-known identity

(7.1)
$$\overline{\tau}_n^{\alpha+1} = (\alpha+1) \, (\overline{\sigma}_n^{\alpha} - \overline{\sigma}_n^{\alpha+1})$$

for $\alpha > -1$. On the other hand, from (3.2) with $\beta = \alpha + 1$ and m = n it follows

$$\overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{\sigma}_{n}^{\alpha+1}(x) = \frac{l(x)}{\pi} [\lambda(\alpha, n) - \lambda(\alpha+1, n)] + o(1)$$
$$= \frac{l(x)}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1} - \frac{1}{\alpha+1+n}\right) + o(1) = l(x)/\pi(\alpha+1) + o(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ if $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and $\overline{\Lambda}(\alpha, n) = o(1)$. Therefore by (7.1) we have the following

LEMMA 5. If $\alpha > -1$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions

(a)
$$\int_0^t \psi(u) \, du = o(t) \qquad \text{as } t \to +0,$$

and $\overline{A}(\alpha, n) = o(1)$ as $n \to \infty$, then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is summable (C, $\alpha + 1$) to the value $l(x)/\pi$.

From this lemma we have immediately the following

THEOREM 7. If $\alpha > 0$ and $\psi(t)$ satisfies the conditions (a) and

10

(b)
$$\int_0^t |\psi(u)| \, du = O(t) \quad \text{as } t \to 0,$$

then the sequence $\{nB_n(x)\}$ is summable $(C, \alpha + 1)$ to the value $l(x)/\pi$.

This theorem with $\alpha = 1$ coincides with Theorem S₂.

The following theorem due to Hardy and Littlewood is well-known.

THEOREM H.L. The Fourier series of f(x) converges at the point x to the value f(x), if the two conditions be satisfied.

(i) $f(x+h) - f(x) = o(\log(1/|h|))^{-1}$, and (ii) the coefficients a_n and b_n are $O(n^{-\delta})$, $\delta > 0$.

The proof of Theorem M.N. in the section 1 is reduced to the condition $\overline{A}(0, n) = o(1)$, i.e.

$$\int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \psi(t) \, \frac{\cos{(n+1/2)t}}{2\sin{(t/2)}} dt = o(1) \,,$$

which can be proved analogously as Theorem H.L. Therefore, Theorem M.N. is derived from Theorem H.L. and Lemma 5 immediately.

Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to Professors S. Izumi and G. Sunouchi for their kind advices.

References

- O Szász, The jump of a function determined by its Fourier coefficients. Duke Math. Journ. 4 (1938), 401-407.
- [2] O. Szász, On some trigonometric summability methods and Gibbs' phenomenon. T. A. M. S. 54 (1943), 483–497.
- [3] S. IZUMI, Determination of function by its Fourier series. J. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1949), 226-231.
- [4] H.C. CHOW, On a theorem of O. Szász. J.L.M.S. 16 (1941), 23-27.
- [5] G. MARUYAMA, Determination of the jump of a function by its Fourier series. Tôhoku Math. J. 45 (1940), 66-74.
- [6] R. MOHANTY and M. NANDA, On the behavior of Fourier coefficients. P.A. M.S. 5 (1954), 79-84.
- [7] G.H. HARDY and J.E. LITTLEWOOD, A convergence criterion for Fourier series. Math. Z. 28 (1928), 612-634.
- [8] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometrical series. 1935, Warszawa, pp. 258-259.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, NARA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY.