By Mitsuru OZAWA

In 1939, H.Grunsky [1] gave a perfect condition for a meromorphic function to be univalent in terms of the local coefficients. Later S.Bergman-M.Schiffer [1] also has given a condition under a somewhat different formulation.

It is the aim of the present paper to establish a perfect criterion in terms of the local coefficients in order that a single-valued regular function has an image domain whose area does not exceed $\neg \nabla$.

1. Hasic notations. Let B be a planar scalicht n-ply connected domain with a boundary Γ' consisting of analytic curves Γ' , $(v=1, \cdots, n)$. For simplicity's sake, we shall assume that B contains the origin.

Let
$$P(z; z_{\circ})$$
 be a polynomial
with respect to $t \equiv 1/(z - z_{\circ})$:
 $P(z, z_{\circ}) = x_{N} t^{N} + x_{N-1} t^{N-1} + \dots + x_{\circ}$,
 $z_{\circ} \in B$.

Let $f_p(z, z_o; \alpha)$ be a singlevalued meromorphic function satisfying the following conditions:

i)
$$f_{P}(z, z_{\circ}; \alpha) - P(z, z_{\circ})$$
 is regular in B:

ii) all the images of $\Gamma_{\nu}(v=1,.,n)$ by $f_{\mathbf{p}}(z, z_{\alpha}; \alpha)$ are the segments with inclination α to the real axis.

Existence and uniqueness of $f_p(z, z_{\circ}; \alpha)$ for any given P is well-known. Cf. H.Grunsky [1] .

Let $F_{P}(z, z_{o})$ be

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{\mathrm{P}}(z,z_{\mathrm{o}};\alpha)-f_{\mathrm{P}}(z,z_{\mathrm{o}};\alpha+\frac{\pi}{2})\right),$$

. 1

then we have

$$F_{\mathbf{P}}(z, z_o) = \exp(2i\alpha) \sum_{m=0}^{N} \bar{x}_m \mathcal{G}_m(z, z_o),$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(z, z_o) \qquad \text{being defined by}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{m}(z, z_{o}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f_{t}^{m}(z, z_{o}; 0) - f_{t}^{m}(z, z_{o}; \frac{\pi}{2}) \right),$$

Let further

$$\begin{split} & \oint_{\mathbf{m}} (z, z_o) = \frac{1}{2} \left(f_{\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}}}(z, z_o; 0) + f_{\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{m}}}(z, z_o; \frac{\mathbf{m}}{2}) \right), \\ & \oint_{\mathbf{0}} = 1. \end{split}$$

Obviously the local expansions of ϕ_{m} and f_{m} ($m \ge 1$) about Z. are of the form

$$\phi_{m}(z, z_{o}) = \frac{1}{(z - z_{o})^{m}} + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} B_{mv}(z - z_{o})^{v}$$

and

respectively. The coefficients S_{mn} may be called the generalized (m, *)span. Obviously we have along Γ : $d \varphi_m = d \overline{\phi_m}$.

We shall define the Dirichlet norm $\sqrt{D_n(f,f)} = \|f'\|_B$ by $\left(\int_B |f'(z)|^2 d\sigma_z \right)^{1/2}$. Let $L^2(B)$

be a family of functions $\Psi(z)$ satisfying the following conditions:

i) $\int^{z} \Psi(z) dz$ is a singlevalued regular function in B,

ii) ∥Ψ∥_B < ∞ .

Let now f(z) belong to $L^{2}(B)$, then we have

$$\begin{split} D_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{m}}) &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f} d \overline{\mathbf{g}}_{\mathbf{m}} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f} d \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f} d \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{-m}{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{o}})^{\mathbf{m}+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{w}} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{o}})^{\mathbf{y}+1} \right) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{z}) \frac{-m}{(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{o}})^{\mathbf{m}+1}} d\mathbf{z} . \end{split}$$

Here if f(z) has an expansion $\sum_{y=1}^{\infty} c_y (z-z_0)^y$, then we have

$$D_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{m}}) = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Especially we have

$$D_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{g}_n, \boldsymbol{g}_m) = \pi m S_{nm} = \pi n \overline{S}_{mn}$$
,

and

$$D_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathfrak{g}_m,\mathfrak{g}_m)=\pi\,m\,S_{mm}\,\geqq\,0\,.$$

2. Preparatory considerations. Let Z, be the origin.

(a) $\{ \mathfrak{G}'_n \}, \mathfrak{n} = 1, 2, \cdots$ linearly independent. , is

This fact is equivalent to the strictly positive definiteness of the Hermitian form $\sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{\nu,\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \sum_{\nu=1}$

- Cf. H.Grunsky [1]
- (b) $\{ \mathcal{G}'_n \}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is a complete system in $L^2(B)$.

In $L^{2}(B)$, introducing the inner product

$$(f', g') = \iint_{B} f'(z) \overline{f'(z)} d\sigma_{z}$$

 $I^{2}(B)$ becomes a Hilbert space.

Let

$$g'(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu g_{\nu} z^{\nu-1} \in [2^{2}(B)]$$

satisfy the orthogonality relations: satisfy the orthogonality relations: $(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{g}'_{n'}) = 0, m = 1, 2, \cdots$, then $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}_{m} = 0$. Thus we have $\mathfrak{g}' \equiv 0$ on \mathfrak{B} , and hence the desired completeness in $L^2(\mathfrak{B})$. Therefore $\mathfrak{f}(z) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_v \mathfrak{g}'_v(z)$ for all $\mathfrak{f}(z) \in L^2(\mathfrak{B})$.

In $L^2(\mathbf{B})$ there exists a kernel function $K(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z})$. This is a well-known fact, or we can con-struct it from $\{\varphi_{\mathbf{y}}\}$ by a usual orthonormlization process due to Gram-Schmidt. But we need only the following expression of $K(z, \overline{z})$:

$$\mathbb{K}(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu\nu} \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu}'(z) \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\nu}'(\overline{z})}, \mathcal{I}_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}_{\nu\mu}.$$

Expanding $K(z, \overline{z})$ in a neighborhood of the origin as an analytic function of two variables z and z in the form

$$K(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} k_{\mu\nu} z^{\mu} \overline{z}^{\nu} ,$$

we shall show the following Lemma.

Letama 1.
$$k_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\pi} (\mu+1) S_{\nu+1, \mu+1}$$
.
Proof. Evidently we have
 $\mu! \nu! k_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2^{\mu+\nu}}{2^{\mu} z \ 2^{\nu} \overline{5}} K(0, \overline{0})$
 $= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} q_{mn}(\mu+1)! S_{m,\mu+1}(\nu+1)! \overline{S}_{n,\nu+1}$

The reproducing property of the kernel function leads to the relation

$$\begin{split} & f'_{m}(\zeta) = \int_{B} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{m}(z) \ \overline{K(z, \overline{\zeta})} \ d\sigma_{\overline{z}} \\ & = \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathfrak{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{t}(\zeta) \left(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{m}(z), \ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{k}(z) \right)_{B} \\ & = \pi \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \lambda=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathfrak{q}}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \ \mathbf{k} \ S_{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{k}} \ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{\lambda}(\zeta) \,. \end{split}$$

Thus, in local, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{g=0\\g=1\\k,\lambda=1}}^{\infty} (g+1) S_{m,g+1} \zeta^{g}$$
$$= \pi \sum_{\substack{m\\k,\lambda=1}}^{\infty} \kappa \widetilde{q}_{k\lambda} S_{m,k} \sum_{\substack{g=0\\g=0}}^{\infty} (g+1) S_{\lambda,g+1} \zeta^{g},$$

and hence

q

$$S_{m,p+1} = \pi m \sum_{\kappa,\kappa=1}^{\infty} \overline{q}_{\kappa\lambda} S_{\lambda,p+1} \overline{S}_{\kappa m}$$

which leads to the desired result.

3. Perfect condition for Dirichlet integral to be bounded.

<u>Theorem</u>. Let f(z) be a sin gle-valued regular function with a power series expansion $f(z) = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} z^{\mu}$ in a neighborhood of the origin. be a sin-Then the fact that the inequalities

$$\left|\sum_{\mu=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \mu c_{\mu} x_{\mu}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{\mathsf{N}} \nu S_{\mu\nu} x_{\nu} \overline{x}_{\mu} (\mathsf{N}=1,2,\cdots)$$

hold for arbitrary complex number x is a perfect condition in order that $D_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{f}) \leq \pi$.

Proof. Necessity. Let
$$f(z) = \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} c_{\mu} z^{\mu}$$

be a single-valued regular function in B satisfying $D_{p}(f,f) = \|f'\|_{B}^{2} \leq \pi$ then we have

$$D_{B}(f, F_{P}) = \exp(-2i\alpha) \sum_{\mu=1}^{n} \pi_{\mu} D_{B}(f, f_{\mu})$$
$$= \pi \exp(-2i\alpha) \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \mu c_{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{\mu}.$$

Therefore, by Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{2} \left| \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \mu^{c_{\mu}} \chi_{\mu} \right|^{2} &\leq D_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}) D_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{P}}) \\ &\leq \pi \cdot \pi \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \mathcal{N} \\ \mu \neq \nu = 1}}^{N} \nu S_{\mu\nu} \chi_{\nu} \overline{\chi_{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

For the sufficiency proof we need a lemma with regard to the analytic continuability of an Hermitian analytic function.

Lemma 2. Let $H(z, \overline{5})$ be an Hermitian analytic function with local

expansion $\sum_{\mu,\nu}^{\infty} h_{\mu\nu} z^{\mu} \overline{z}^{\nu}$ around

the origin. If, for every complex vector $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$,

$$0 \leq \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} h_{\mu\nu} x_{\mu} \overline{x}_{\nu} \leq \pi \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} h_{\mu\nu} x_{\mu} \overline{x}_{\nu}$$

holds, then $H(z, \bar{z})$ is analytic and Hermitian in the whole domain в.

Proof. This Lemma is an anlogue of a continuability theorem due to Bergman-Schiffer [1]. We can choose an orthonormal complete system $\{X, AZ\}$ in $\int_{-\infty}^{2} (B)$ satisfying $\chi_{v}(z) = \sum_{\mu=v}^{\infty} a_{\nu\mu} z^{\mu}$ in local. Since the matrix an + 0 (avu) is of the triangular form and $a_{yy} \neq 0$, this matrix has an inverse matrix $(b_{y\mu})$ in which $b_{y\mu} = 0$ for $y > \mu$ a $b_{yy} \neq 0$, and we can solve and $\chi_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu=\nu}^{\infty} a_{\nu\mu} z^{\mu} , \text{ in local, in}$ the form $z^{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} b_{\mu\nu} \chi_{\nu}(z) , \mu = 0, 1, \dots .$

Then, by identifying two expressions of $K(x, \overline{s})$

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}(z) \, \overline{\mathcal{A}_{\nu}(5)} = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} \, \mathfrak{k}_{\mu\nu} \, z^{\mu} \, \overline{5}^{\nu},$$

we get the relation

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\mu\nu} \, \mathbf{b}_{\mu m} \, \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{\nu n} = \boldsymbol{\delta}_{mn} \, ,$$

in which the sums are actually of finite range and hence the left-hand side is well-defined. Similarly,

$$H(z,\bar{s}) = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} h_{\mu\nu} z^{\mu} \bar{s}^{\nu}$$

can be written, in local, in the form

$$H(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} t_{mn} \chi_{m}(z) \overline{\chi_{n}(z)},$$

where

$$t_{mn} = \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} h_{\mu\nu} b_{\mu m} \overline{b}_{\nu m} .$$

In the last expression summation is extended again actually over rinite terms in number so that this is welldefined. м

Putting
$$x_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{n} b_{\nu\mu} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}$$
, we have
 $0 \leq \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} t_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu} \overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{\nu} \leq \pi \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} |\mathcal{Y}_{\mu}|^{2}$.

This leads to the relation

$$0 \leq \left| \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} t_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu} \right|$$
$$\leq \pi \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{N} |\mathcal{F}_{\mu}|^{2} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} |\mathcal{F}_{\nu}|^{2} \right)$$

for arbitrary complex numbers \mathcal{F}_{μ} and \mathcal{F}_{μ}' .

Let **B** be an arbitrary closed subdomain of **B**. Then $K(z, \overline{z})$ is uniformly bounded (< M) in **B** Putting $\mathcal{J}_{\mu} = \mathcal{J}_{\mu}(z)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\nu}' = \mathcal{J}_{\nu}(5)$, we have $\left|\sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} \mathbf{t}_{\mu,\nu} \chi_{\mu}(z) \, \overline{\chi_{\nu}(5)}\right| \leq \pi \, 2 \, \mathbf{M}$

for $z, 5 \in B'$. Thus $H_N(z, \overline{z}) =$ $\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} \sqrt{1} \sqrt{1} \sqrt{1} \frac{1}{1}$ is uniformly bounded in Thus we can select a subsequence of H_N converging uniformly in B' and having a limit function. On the other hand, this limit func-tion coincides with $H(z, \bar{z})$ in the neighborhood of the origin. Hence the whole sequence $H_N(z, \overline{z})$ possesses the same limit and converges uniformly in each closed subdomain of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$. The limiting function is the analytic continuation of the power series $H(z, \overline{z})$ whole domain B. over the q.e.d.

$$f'(z) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu c_{\nu} z^{\nu-1} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} d_{\mu} z^{\mu}$$

satisfy the condition

$$\left|\sum_{\mu=1}^{N+1} \mu c_{\mu} x_{\mu}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N+1} \nu S_{\mu\nu} x_{\nu} \overline{x}_{\mu},$$

then Lemma 1 leads to the inequality .1

$$\left|\sum_{\mu=0}^{N} a_{\mu} x_{\mu}\right|^{2} \leq \pi \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{N} \hat{k}_{\mu\nu} x_{\mu} \bar{x}_{\nu} .$$

Now, $H(z, \bar{\zeta}) = f(z) \overline{f'(\zeta)}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2. Thus $f(z) \overline{f'(\zeta)}$ is analytically satisfies continuable and coincides with the expression

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{\infty} t_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{X}_{\mu}^{(z)} \overline{\mathcal{X}_{\nu}^{(z)}},$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}_{m} \, \overline{\mathbf{d}}_{n} \, \mathbf{b}_{m\mu} \, \overline{\mathbf{b}}_{n\nu} = \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{d}_{m} \, \mathbf{b}_{m\mu} \right|^{2}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} f_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}(z), \quad f_{\nu} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_{m} b_{m \nu \mu},$$

is a regular function in B coinciding with f'(x) in a neighborhood of the origin.

In a similar manner as in Lemma 2, we have

$$\left|\sum_{\substack{m,n=0}}^{N} f_m f_m\right|^2 \leq \pi \sum_{m=0}^{N} |f_{mn}|^2.$$

Putting $f_m = f_m$, we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{m=0}^{N} |f_m|^2 \leq \pi .$$

Let N tend to 🗢 , then we conclude

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |f_m|^2 \leq \pi.$$

This shows that f'(z) belongs to $\lfloor 2^{2}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0}(f,f) = \|f'\|_{D}^{2} \leq \pi$ by an analogue of Riesz-Fisher theorem. Cf. S.Bergman [1].

(*****) Received July 14, 1952.

- S.Bergman [1] : The kernel function and conformal mapping. Math. Survey, 1951.
- S.Bergman-M.Schiffer [1] : Kernel functions and conformal mapping. Comp. Math. 8 (1951) 205-249. H.Grunsky [1] : Koeffizientenbedin-gungen für schlicht abbildende
- meromorphe Funktionen. Math. Zeits, 45 (1939) 29-61.

Tokyo Institute of Technology.