## By Yoshio KINOKUNIYA

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

1. Uniform Convergence of Convoluted Functions. Assume that  $f_i(x) = f(x)$ is a non-negative, bounded function in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and the Lebesgue integral  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$  exists, then it may be easily seen that

$$f_{n+1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x-t) f(t) dt$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x-t) f_n(t) dt \quad (I,1)$$
$$(n=1,2, )$$

are all bounded, non-negative functions throughout  $(-\infty,\ \infty)$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = 1 \quad (n=1,2,\cdots) \quad (I,2)$$

the integrations being supposed as Lebesgue's. In this case, since  $f_m(x)$   $(n=1,2,\cdots)$  are all bounded, we may denote by  $M_n$  the maximum of  $f_m(x)$ in  $(-\infty,\infty)$  and assume that for any positive number K there exists a positive quantity  $\mathcal{K}$  such that in the interval -K < x < K almost everywhere  $f(x) \geq \mathcal{K}$ . Then we shall prove in this paragraph that the sequence  $\{f_m(x)\}$ converges to zero uniformly.<sup>1</sup>

From the relation

$$M_n - f_{n+1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_n \cdot f(t) dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x-t) f(t) dt$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (M_n - f_n(x-t)) f(t) dt$$

it follows that  $M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \cdots > 0$  and therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_n = M \qquad (I,3)$$

exists and is finite.

Suppose now  $M \neq 0$  and determine for a given positive sequence  $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ converging to zero such a number  $\xi_{n+1}$ as

$$M_{n+1} - f_{n+1}(\xi_{n+1}) < \varepsilon_{n+1}$$
 (1,4)

Then, denoting by  $S_n$  the measurable set of  $\infty$  which satisfies the relation

$$M_{n+1} - f_n (\xi_{n+1} - \infty) \ge \frac{M}{2} > 0 \quad (I, 5)$$

and by  $\overline{S}_n$  the complement of  $S'_n$  in  $(-\cdots), \infty)$ , we find f(x) and  $f_n(\overline{s}_{n+1} - \infty) f(x)$  are all integrable on  $S_n$  and  $\overline{S}_n$ . Now determining an integer  $N_1$  such that for  $n > N_1$   $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \varepsilon_{n+1}$ 

for an arbitrary positive quantity  $\varepsilon$  according to (1,5), (1,2) and (1,4) we have

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{n+1} - f_{n+1} \left( \overline{s}_{n+1} \right) \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M_{n+1} f(x) dx - \int_{\infty}^{\infty} f_{n}(\overline{s}_{n+1} - x) f(x) dx \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ M_{n+1} - f_{n} \left( \overline{s}_{n+1} - x \right) \right\} f(x) dx \\ & = \int_{N_{n}}^{N} \left\{ M_{n+1} - f_{n} \left( \overline{s}_{n+1} - x \right) \right\} f(x) dx \\ & + \int_{\overline{N}_{n}}^{\infty} \left\{ M_{n+1} - f_{n} \left( \overline{s}_{n+1} - x \right) \right\} f(x) dx \\ & \geq \frac{M}{2} \int_{N_{n}}^{N} f(x) dx + \int_{\overline{N}_{n}}^{\infty} \left\{ M_{n} - \right\} \end{split}$$

$$-f_n(\bar{s}_{n+1}-c) \} f(x) dx$$

whenever  $n > N_1$  , and by (1,3) we may have

$$0 \leq f_n(\overline{s}_{n+1} - x) < M + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

if n is larger than a fixed integer  $N_2$  Consequently we have for  $n > Max (N_1, N_2)$ 

$$0 \ge \frac{M}{2} \int_{S_n} f(x) dx + \int_{\overline{S_n}} (M - M - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
$$\ge \frac{M}{2} \int_{S_n} f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
$$= \frac{M}{2} \int_{S_n} f(x) dx - \varepsilon.$$

So it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S_n} f(x) dx = 0, \quad (I, 6)$$

By the supposition upon  $f(\infty)$ , there exists for a given positive number K a positive quantity  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the set

$$E\{x; f(x) < k, |x| < K\}$$

has measure zero. Hence, putting  $U_n(K) = S_n \cap (|x| \le K)$ , we obtain  $\int_{S_n} f(x) dx \ge \int_{U_n(K)} f(x) dx \ge \frac{1}{K} m(U_n(K))$ where  $m(U_n(K))$  means the measure

where  $m(U_n(K))$  means the measure of  $U_n(K)$ . Then by (1,6)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} m(U_n(R)) = 0$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m(\overline{S}_n \cap (|x| < K)) = 2K.$$
K being arbitrary, it follows
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} m(\overline{S}_n) = \infty. \quad (I,7).$$

Besides, it holds obviously

$$1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n (\tilde{s}_{n+1} - \tilde{x}) dx$$
  

$$\geq \int_{\overline{s}_n} f_n (\tilde{s}_{n+1} - x) dx \geq (M_{n+1} - \frac{M}{2}) m(\overline{s}_n)$$
  

$$\geq \frac{M}{2} m (\overline{s}_n) \qquad (I, 8).$$

because for all  $\propto$  in  $\overline{S}_n$ 

$$M_{n+1} - f_n(\tilde{s}_{n+1} - \alpha) < \frac{M}{2}$$
.

(1,8) gives a contradiction to (1,7), so far as  $M \neq 0$ . It must be M = 0and hence the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges to zero uniformly.

II. If the function, given in I., is differentiable throughout (-∞, ∞) applying Lagrange's mean-value theorem, we have

$$f(z - x) = f(z) - x f'(z - \theta x), \quad o < \theta < 1$$
  
(I, 1)

In this case, using the result of the previous paragraph, the author will verify in the following that

 $\underbrace{\lim_{x \to \pm \infty}} \theta(z, x) = 0^{2} \qquad (II, 2)$ 

on condition that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx = 0, \quad (\Pi, 3, a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z-x) dx, \quad (\Pi, 3, b)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f'(z-x) dx, \quad (\Pi, 3, c)$$

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dz^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(z-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f'(z-c) dx. \quad (\Pi, 3, d)$$

From the equality (II,1), we see

$$|xf'(z-\theta x)| \leq f(z) + f(z-x),$$

both f(z) and f(z-x) being bounded for all x, z in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , so that  $x f'(z-\theta x)$  must also be bounded.

From (II,3,b), we have  

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(z-x) dx = 0 \qquad (II,4)$$

and from (II,3,a) and (II,3,d)

 $= [xf'(z-x)]_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ 

 $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f'(z-x) dx = \frac{d^{\lambda}}{dz^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(z-x) dx = 0.$ Then subject to (II,4) and (II,5) it results  $0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(z-x) dx = [x f'(z-x)]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f''(z-x) dx$ 

$$\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} x f'(z-x) = 0. \quad (II, 6)$$

Next let us suppose that

$$\frac{\lim_{x \to \infty} \theta(z, x) \ge g > 0, \quad (\Pi, 7)$$

which, in accordance with (II,6), gives

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} x f(z-\Theta x) = 0$$

Then, for an arbitrary positive quantity  $\epsilon$  we can find a positive number G such that

$$|xf'(z-\theta x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

whenever x > G. So it follows that  $\begin{aligned} |\int_{0}^{\infty} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| \\ &+ |\int_{G}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{n}(x) dx \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\ &\leq |\int_{0}^{G} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx| + \\$ 

And since  $x f(z-\theta x)$  is bounded for all values x, z in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  and  $f_n(x)$  tends to zero uniformly, it becomes for sufficiently large n

$$\left|\int_{0}^{G} x f'(x - \theta x) f_{m}(x) dx\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

hence we can determine an integer  $N_1$  such that for  $n > N_1$ 

$$\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx\right| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}, \quad (\pi, 8).$$

By the formula (II,1) we obtain

$$f_{n+1}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} f_{n}(x) f(z-x) dx + + \int_{0}^{0} \{f(z) - x f'(z-\theta x)\} f_{n}(x) dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^{0} f_{n}(x) f(z-x) dx + f(z) - - \int_{0}^{\infty} x f'(z-\theta x) f_{n}(x) dx .$$

and since  $f_n(x)$  tends to zero uniformly we can determine an integer  $N_2$  such that for  $n > N_2$ 

$$0 \leq f_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

nence it follows that  

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) f(z-x) dx = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$
Finally by (II,8) we have  

$$\left| f(z) - f_{n+1}(z) \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(z) f(z-x) dx$$

$$+ \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} x f'(z-\theta x) f_n(x) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \qquad (I,9)$$

- 54 -

for  $n > max(N_1, N_2)$ . E being arbitrary, this asserts that for all values Z in (- $\infty, \infty$ ),  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ , which gives a contradiction because  $\lim_{x \to \infty} f_n(z) = 0$  whereas f(z) is being assumed as almost everywhere positive in every finite interval. Therefore it must be  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \Theta(x, x) = 0$ .

## Similarly we may show that

 $\lim_{x \to -\infty} \theta(z, x) = 0$ The author expresses his hearty thanks to Prof. M. Moriya for his valuable assistance in the preparation of this paper.

(\*) Received July 8, 1950.

- The same property of convergence has been demonstrated for an important sequence of unimodal distribution densities, by T. Kawata (The Characteristic Func-tion of a Probability Distribu-tion, Tônoku M.J. (1941) p.255).
   On lip: 0(z, x), Cf. : Rothe, Zum Mittelwertsatze der Differential-rechnungen, Math. Zeitsch. Bd.9 (1921); also see Y.Kinokuniya, Middle Position, Mem. Muroran Coll. Tch. Vol.I, No.1 (1950).

Muroran College of Technology.