By Morikuni GOTÔ.

(Communicated by H. Tôyama)

1. Let K be an algebraic field. Under a (k-dimensional) formal analytic transformation⁽¹⁾ we mean a k-ple of integral formal power series in k variables x_1, \ldots, x_k over K without constant terms. Let a and b be formal analytic transformations;

a:
$$f_{i_{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k})$$

$$= \sum_{j_{1},\dots,j_{R}} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{j_{1}} \dots \mathbf{x}_{k}^{j_{R}},$$
b: $g_{i_{k}}(\mathbf{x}) = g_{i_{k}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{k})$

$$= \sum_{j_{1},\dots,j_{R}} \mathbf{x}_{1}^{j_{1}} \dots \mathbf{x}_{k}^{j_{R}}.$$
 $(\mathbf{j}_{1} \ge 0, \dots, \mathbf{j}_{k} \ge 0, \mathbf{j}_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{j}_{k} \ge 1)$

The product ab can be expressed as follows;

ab:
$$h_i(x) = f_i(g_1(x), \dots, g_k(x)),$$

(i=1, ..., k)

where it is to be noticed that the coefficients of ab can be determined formally as polynomials of those of a and b. The associativity of this multiplication is easy to verity and we obtain a semi-group F_k composed of all formal analytic transformations, whose identity is

e:
$$e_1(x) = x_1$$
. (i=1, ..., k)

Next, letting correspond to any element a of \mathbf{F}_k the linear part

La:
$$f_{L}(\mathbf{x}) = a_{1,0,...,0} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + a_{4,0,...,0} \mathbf{x}_{4,0}$$

(i=1, ..., k)

we have a linear representation of F_k ;

(1)
$$La \cdot Lb = L(ab)$$
.

Now let E_k be the group composed of all. elements having inverses in F_k . E_k may be called the group of k-dimensional formal analytic transformations. An element a of F_k belongs to E_k if and only if La is a non-singular linear transformation. Now we define two subgroups of E_k in the following manner;

$$L_k = la : La = a l$$
,

$$\mathbf{R}_{k} = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{L}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}\}.$$

Then (1) implies that $R_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an invariant subgroup such that

(2)
$$\mathbb{E}_{k} \mathbb{R}_{k} \mathbb{L}_{k}$$
, $\mathbb{R}_{k} \cap \mathbb{L}_{k} = 0$.

Now, let G be a group, and G, D(G), ..., $D_n(G)=D(D_{n-1}G)$,... the descending series of subgroups of G, where D(G)denotes the commutator subgroup of G. When $\cap D_n(G)=e$, we shall call G solvable.

PROPOSITION 1. <u>R is a solvable</u> group.

Proof. Let

a:
$$f_{l} = x_{l} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n}^{l}(x)$$

(i=1, ..., k)

be an element of R_R , where $A_n^i(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the homogeneous part of degree n. If a is not the identity, there exists $A_n^i(\mathbf{x})\neq 0$. The smallest number r such that there exists $A_n^i(\mathbf{x})\neq 0$ for some i is called the rank of a: r(a) = r. The rank of e is ∞ .

Now let a and b be elements of R_k , of rank r and s respectively;

a: $f_i = x_i + A_r^i + higher terms$,

b: $g_t = x_1 + B_s^t + higher terms$.

(i=1, ..., k)

Then clearly we have

(3) ab: $h_{i} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{i} + (A_{r}^{i} + B_{r}^{i}) + \text{higher terms,} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i} + A_{r}^{i} + \text{higher terms,} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i} + B_{s}^{i} + \text{higher terms,} \end{cases}$

(i=1, ..., k)

according as r=s, r<s, or r>s respectively. Hence in the expressions of ab and ba the terms of degree Min(r,s) concide, and this readily leads to the following inequality;

(4) $r(aba^{-1}b^{-1}) > Min(r(a), r(b)),$

which is valid except for a=b=e.

Let R_{k}^{t} be the subset of R_{R} composed of all elements of rank at least $t(t \ge 2)$. By (3) R_{k}^{t} is a subgroup, and we have that $\cap R_{k}^{t} = e$. On the other hand we can conclude from (4) that

 $D(R_k) \subseteq R_k^3$, $D_2(R_k) \subseteq R_k^4$, ...,

whence R_k is solvable.

2. In this section we consider the case where K is the field of complex (or real) numbers. Then we can introduce a topology (the so-called weak topology) in F, namely the sequence $\{a(n)\}$;

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{n}): \mathbf{f}_{l}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{x}_{j_{l}}^{\perp} \mathbf{x}_{j_{l}}^{\perp} \mathbf{x}_{l}^{j_{l}} \dots \mathbf{x}_{k}^{j_{k}}$$
$$(\mathbf{i}=1, \dots, k)$$

converges to

$$a(\infty): \mathbf{f}_{\iota}(\infty) = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_k}^{\iota} (\alpha_j) \mathbf{x}_1^{j_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_k^{j_k},$$

(i=1, ..., k)

if and only if every $a_{j_k} (n)$ converges to $a_{j_k} (n) \in E_k$ can thus be considered as a topological group. It is clear that L_k , R_k , and R_k^c are all closed sub-groups. groups.

Let us now define the topological commutator group C(G) of a topological group G as the closure of D(G); $\overline{D}(G) = C(G)$. Then we get the descending series of subgroups $\{C_m(G)\}$, where $C_m(G) = C(C_m(G))$. When $\ C_m(G) = e$, we call G topologically solvable. Then by a slight modification of the proof of Proposition 1 we obtain

PROPOSITION 2. Rt is topologically solvable.

From this proposition follows readily the following

COROLLARY. Let S be a local Lie group in $\mathbb{E}_{b_{2}}$ If S is semi-simple, then $a \rightarrow La$ for $a \in S$ defines a faithful representation.

Now the following lemma, which is a generalization of the so-called unique-ness theorem of H. Cartan, is known.

LEMMA 1.⁽²⁾ Let K be a compact sub-group of E4. Then K is a Lie group. In detail there exists an element d of R_h such that

d'ad = La for every a & K.

On the other hand K. Iwasawa called a locally compact "roup G an (L)-group if G can be approximated by Lie groups?" We owe to him the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2. A connected locally com-pact solvable group is an (L)-group.

LEMMA 3⁽⁵⁾ A connected (L)-group is a Lie group if it is locally euclidean.

LEMMA 4. The space of a connected (L)-group is a direct product of that of a (maximal) compact subgroup and a euclidean space.

LEMMA 5.⁽⁷⁾ Let H be a locally com-pact group, and N a closed invariant subgroup of H. If N is a simply con-nected solvable Lie group and if the factor group H/N is compact, then there exists a compact subgroup K of H such that H_MEW that H=KN.

Using above lemmas we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. <u>A locally compact subgroup</u> <u>G of E₄ is a Lie group.</u>

Proof. Let N_4 be the intersection of G and R_{+} , and N the connected compo-nent of N_1 containing e. Since R_{\pm} is solvable, so is N. Hence N is an (L)-group by Lemma 2. From Lemma 4 N is topologically a direct product of a com-pact subgroup and a euclidean space. On the other hand, from (2) and Lemma 1 N contains no commact subgroup but for N contains no compact subgroup but for the identity group. Therefore N is a (simply connected solvable) Lie group according to Lemma 3.

Next let H be an open subgroup of N containing N such that H/N is compact. Then from Lemma 5 there is a compact subgroup K of H so that H=KN. Again by Lemma 1 we have K=e, H=N. Hence N is open in N₁. Therefore N₁ is a Lie group.

Now the correspondence $a \rightarrow La$ for a - Ggives a faithful representation of G/N_1 into L_k . Hence G/N_1 is also a Lie group. Our theorem follows directly from the extension theorem of Lie groups due to K. Iwasawa and M. Kuranishi⁽⁵⁾

(*) Received June 14, 1950.

- S.Bochner and W.T.Martin: "Several complex variables", Princeton, (1948). We owe the principal idea of the present note to this book. Our "formal analytic transformation" is called an transformation" is called an "inner transformation" there. (2) Bochner and Martin: loc. cit.,
- (2) Bochner and Martin: loc. cit., Chapter I, THEOREM 8.
 (3) K.Iwasawa: "On some types of topo-logical groups", Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 50 (1949).
 (4) K.Iwasawa: loc. cit., THEOREM 10.
 (5) K.Iwasawa: loc. cit., THEOREM 12.
 (6) K.Iwasawa: loc. cit., THEOREM 13.
 (7) Directly from LEMMA 3. 8 of K. Iwasawa loc. cit.

- Iwasawa, loc. cit. (3) K.Iwasawa: loc. cit., LEMMA 3. 18.

Nagoya University,