## By Kinsaku TAKANO

(Communicated by T.Kawata)

Let F(x), G(x) be distribution functions, and let  $Q_F(\ell)$ ,  $Q_e(\ell)$  be its concentration functions respectively. It is well known that, if F(x) and G(x) are near to each other, then  $Q_F(\ell)$ and  $Q_e(\ell)$  are also near to each other. (P.Lévy, Théorie de Laddition des Variables Aléatoires, 1937). In this note, I will give to this fact more exact expression. Denote by  $\rho(F,G)$  the Lévy's distance between two distribution functions F(x) and G(x). The concentration function  $Q_r(\ell)$  of any distribution function is properly defined only for non-negative  $\ell$ . If let  $Q(\ell) = 0$  for negative  $\ell$ ,  $Q(\ell)$ may be considered as a distribution function.

Lemma. In order that  $\rho(F, \hat{\alpha}) \leq \delta$ , it is necessary and sufficient that

$$F(x - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}) - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} \leq G(x) \leq F(x + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}) + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}$$

for every  $x(-\infty < x < \infty)$ .

Proof. The condition that  $\rho(F, G) \leq \delta$ , means that the curve g = G(x) lies between two curves obtained by translations of the curve g = F(x) in the direction of straight line x + g = o by  $\delta$ .

Theorem.  $\rho(Q_F, Q_G) \leq 2\rho(F, G)$ .

(- ~ < x < ~),

Proof. Write  $\rho(F, G) = \delta$ , then from the lemma,  $F(x + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} + o) \ge G(x + o) - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,

and

$$F\left(x-\frac{s}{\sqrt{z}}-o\right) \leq G\left(x-o\right) + \frac{s}{\sqrt{z}} ,$$

$$(-\infty < x < \infty) .$$

Using these inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{F}}(\ell + \sqrt{2}\,\delta) \\ &= \ell \, u \cdot b \left[ \mathcal{F}(x + \ell + \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} + o) - \mathcal{F}(x - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{2}} - o) \right] \\ &\geq \ell \, u \cdot b \left[ \mathcal{G}(x + \ell + o) - \mathcal{G}(x - o) - \sqrt{2}\,\delta \right] \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{G}}(\ell) - \sqrt{2}\,\delta \,, \qquad \ell \geq 0 \quad. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(\ell) \leq Q_{\varepsilon}(\ell + \sqrt{2} \delta) + \sqrt{2} \delta ,$$
$$(-\infty < \ell < +\infty),$$

Since this relation permits the exchange of F and G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathsf{F}}(l-\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}) &-\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S} &\triangleq Q_{\mathsf{G}}(l) \\ & \leq Q_{\mathsf{F}}(l+\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S}) + \mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{S} \\ & (-\infty < l < \infty) . \end{aligned}$$

By the lemma, this contains that

$$p(Q_{F_{i}}, Q_{K}) \leq 2\delta$$
.

This completes the proof.

The above result is the best one: there exist distribution functions  $F\left(x\right)$  ,  $G_{\tau}\left(x\right)$  such that

$$\rho(Q_F, Q_G) = 2\rho(F, G).$$

For example, write  $F_{\alpha}(x)$  the distribution function of a random variable which is distributed uniformely in the interval  $(-\alpha, +\alpha)$ , and let  $F(x) = F_{\alpha}(x)$ ,  $G(x) = F_{b}(x)$ ,  $o < \alpha < b$ then we have the above equation.

(\*) Received February 28, 1950.

Institute of Statistical Mathematics.