

NORMAL RELATIVE INTEGRAL BASES FOR QUARTIC FIELDS OVER QUADRATIC SUBFIELDS

BLAIR K. SPEARMAN AND KENNETH S. WILLIAMS*

Abstract

Let L be a quartic number field with a quadratic subfield K . In 1986 Kawamoto gave a necessary and sufficient condition for L to have a normal relative integral basis (NRIB) over K . In this paper the authors explicitly construct a NRIB for L/K when such exists using their previous work on relative integral bases. The special cases when L is bicyclic, cyclic and pure are examined in detail.

1. Introduction

Let L be a quartic number field with quadratic subfield $K=Q(\sqrt{c})$, where Q denotes the rational number field. Then $L=Q(\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{a+b\sqrt{c}})$, where $a+b\sqrt{c}$ is not a square in $Q(\sqrt{c})$, and where a, b and c may be taken to be integers with both c and the greatest common divisor (a, b) squarefree. Let O_L (resp. O_K) denote the ring of integers of L (resp. K). In this paper we assume that L has a relative integral basis (RIB) over K , and determine when L has a normal relative integral basis (NRIB) over K . Those L which have a relative integral basis (RIB) over K have been characterized in [9]. It is shown in [9, Theorem 2] that such L have a RIB over K of the form $\{1, \kappa\}$, where

$$(1.1) \quad \kappa = \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \in O_L,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \theta = 0, 1, \sqrt{c}, 1 + \sqrt{c}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{c}}{2} \text{ or } \frac{-1 + \sqrt{c}}{2}$$

depending on congruence conditions involving a, b, c ,

$$(1.3) \quad \mu = a + b\sqrt{c},$$

* Research supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant A-7233.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11R16, 11R04.

Key words and phrases. quartic fields with quadratic subfields, normal relative integral basis.

Received August 3, 1994; revised March 22, 1996.

(1.4) $\mu O_K = RS^2$, where R and S are integral ideals of O_K with R squarefree,

(1.5) $d(L/K) = RT^2$, where $T^2 = O_K, 2O_K, 4O_K, \left\langle 2, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{c}) \right\rangle^2$ or $\left\langle 2, \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{c}) \right\rangle^2$ depending on congruence conditions involving a, b, c ,

(1.6) $S = T\langle \gamma \rangle$, where $\gamma \in K \setminus \{0\}$.

It is convenient to define the nonnegative integer r by

(1.7) $2^r \parallel a^2 - b^2c$,

and the integers a' and b' by

(1.8)
$$\mu/\gamma^2 = \begin{cases} (a' + b'\sqrt{c})/2, & \text{if } c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ a' + b'\sqrt{c}, & \text{if } c \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

When $c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, as $\mu/\gamma^2 \in O_K$, a', b' are integers with $a' \equiv b' \pmod{2}$.

If $c > 0$, we let ϵ_c denote the fundamental unit (>1) of $K = Q(\sqrt{c})$, and set

(1.9) $N(c) = \text{norm of } \epsilon_c = \pm 1$

and

(1.10)
$$F(c) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } \epsilon_c = (t + u\sqrt{c})/2 \text{ for odd integers } t \text{ and } u, \\ -1, & \text{if } \epsilon_c = t + u\sqrt{c} \text{ for integers } t \text{ and } u. \end{cases}$$

In Section 2 we prove the following theorem, which extends a theorem of Kawamoto [5, Theorem 7].

THEOREM 1. *Let a, b, c be integers with (a, b) squarefree, c squarefree, and $a + b\sqrt{c}$ not a square in $Q(\sqrt{c})$. Set $L = Q(\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{a + b\sqrt{c}})$ and $K = Q(\sqrt{c})$. Suppose L has a relative integral basis over K . Define $\mu, \gamma, r, a', b', N(c), F(c), t$ and u as in (1.3)-(1.10). Then L possesses a NRIB over K only in the cases listed below. In each case an integer ω of K is given so that $\{\omega, \omega'\}$ is a NRIB. [For compactness we write $x \equiv y(m)$ for $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$.]*

$c \equiv 2(4)$

- (i) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4), a' \equiv 1(4),$
- (ii) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4), a' \equiv 3(4), c > 0, N(c) = -1,$
- (iii) $a \equiv 2(4), b \equiv 0(4), a + b \equiv c(8), a' \equiv 1(4),$
- (iv) $a \equiv 2(4), b \equiv 0(4), a + b \equiv c(8), a' \equiv 3(4), c > 0, N(c) = -1.$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \quad \text{(i) (iii)} \quad \omega = \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \quad \text{(ii) (iv)}$$

$c \equiv 3(4)$

- (i) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(4), a' \equiv 1(4),$
- (ii) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(4), a' \equiv 3(4), c = -1,$
- (iii) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(4), a' \equiv 3(4), c > 0, t \equiv 0(2), u \equiv 1(2),$
- (iv) $a \equiv 0(4), b \equiv 2(4), a \equiv c+1(8), a' \equiv 1(4),$
- (v) $a \equiv 0(4), b \equiv 2(4), a \equiv c+1(8), a' \equiv 3(4), c = -1,$
- (vi) $a \equiv 0(4), b \equiv 2(4), a \equiv c+1(8), a' \equiv 3(4), c > 0, t \equiv 0(2), u \equiv 1(2).$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (i) (iv)} \quad \omega = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (ii) (v)}$$

$$\omega = \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (iii) (vi)}$$

$c \equiv 5(8)$

- (i) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4), a' \equiv b' \equiv 0(2),$
- (ii) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4), a' \equiv b' \equiv 1(2), c = -3,$
- (iii) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4), a' \equiv b' \equiv 1(2), c > 0, F(c) = 1,$
- (iv) $a \equiv 6(8), b \equiv 2(4), a - b - c \equiv 3 \text{ or } 15(16), c = -3,$
- (v) $a \equiv 6(8), b \equiv 2(4), a - b - c \equiv 3 \text{ or } 15(16), c > 0, F(c) = 1.$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (i)} \quad \omega = \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2}\sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (ii) (iv)}$$

$$\omega = \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2}u\sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (iii) (v)}$$

$c \equiv 1(8)$

- (i) $a \equiv 1(2), b \equiv 0(2), a + b \equiv 1(4),$
- (ii) $a \equiv 2(8), b \equiv 2(4), r \text{ (even)} \geq 6, (a^2 - b^2c)/2^r \equiv 1(4).$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \text{ (i) (ii)}$$

In Sections 3, 4 and 5 we investigate the special cases when L is cyclic, bicyclic, and pure respectively. We determine when the existence of a RIB and a squarefree relative discriminant are both necessary and sufficient for the existence of a NRIB.

THEOREM 2. *If L is a cyclic quartic field with quadratic subfield K , then L/K has a NRIB if and only if L/K has a RIB and $d(L/K)$ is squarefree.*

THEOREM 3. *Let c be a squarefree integer, and set $K = Q(\sqrt{c})$. Let L be a bicyclic quartic field containing K . Then $L = Q(\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{a})$ for some squarefree integer a with $a \neq c$. As $L = Q(\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{ac/(a, c)^2})$, we can choose between a and $ac/(a, c)^2$ when $c \neq -1$ so that $c \nmid a$.*

If $c = -3, -1$, or $c > 0, N(c) = -1$, then

L/K has a NRIB $\iff L/K$ has a RIB and $d(L/K)$ is squarefree.

If $c < -3$ then

L/K has a NRIB $\iff L/K$ has a RIB, $d(L/K)$ is squarefree,
and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

If $c > 0$ and $N(c) = 1$ then

L/K has a NRIB $\iff L/K$ has a RIB, $d(L/K)$ is squarefree,

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a, c) = 1, a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \text{or} \\ (a, c) = 1, c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, u \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \text{or} \\ (a, c) \neq 1, c \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \text{or} \\ (a, c) \neq 1, c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \frac{at}{(a, c)} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{array} \right.$$

THEOREM 4. *If L is a pure quartic field then $L = Q(\sqrt{b}\sqrt{c})$, where b and c are squarefree integers with $(b, c) \neq (\pm 2, -1)$ and $c \nmid b$ if $c \neq -1$. Set $K = Q(\sqrt{c})$. Then*

L/K has a NRIB $\iff L/K$ has a RIB and $d(L/K)$ is squarefree.

Kawamoto [5, Propositions 10 and 11] has different formulations of Theorems 2 and 3. Massy [6], [7] has given a necessary and sufficient condition for a quadratic field K to be a subfield of a cyclic quartic field L possessing a NRIB over K .

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $L = Q(\sqrt{c}, \sqrt{a+b\sqrt{c}})$ and $K = Q(\sqrt{c})$, where a, b, c are integers such that (a, b) and c are squarefree, and $a + b\sqrt{c} \notin K^2$. We suppose that L possesses a RIB over K , and take the RIB in the form $\{1, \kappa\}$, where κ is given by (1.1).

Before proving Theorem 1, we prove four lemmas. We denote the group of units of O_K by U_K .

LEMMA 1. *Let the fields L and K be as specified above. If the relative discriminant $d(L/K)$ is not squarefree, then L/K does not possess a NRIB.*

Proof. Let $\{1, \kappa\}$ be the RIB for L/K specified above, and suppose that L/K possesses a NRIB, say, $\{\alpha + \beta\kappa, \alpha + \beta\kappa'\}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in O_K$ and κ' denotes

the conjugate of κ over K . As $\{\alpha + \beta\kappa, \alpha + \beta\kappa'\}$ is a RIB for L/K , there exist $\lambda, \phi \in O_K$ with

$$(2.1) \quad 1 = \lambda(\alpha + \beta\kappa) + \phi(\alpha + \beta\kappa').$$

Taking the conjugates of (2.1) over K , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad 1 = \lambda(\alpha + \beta\kappa') + \phi(\alpha + \beta\kappa).$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we see that $\lambda = \phi$. Then (2.1) gives $1 = \lambda(2\alpha + \beta(\kappa + \kappa'))$, so that $2\alpha + \beta(\kappa + \kappa') \in U_K$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(L/K) &= \begin{vmatrix} \alpha + \beta\kappa & \alpha + \beta\kappa' \\ \alpha + \beta\kappa' & \alpha + \beta\kappa \end{vmatrix}^2 O_K \\ &= ((\alpha + \beta\kappa)^2 - (\alpha + \beta\kappa')^2)^2 O_K \\ &= \beta^2(\kappa - \kappa')^2(2\alpha + \beta(\kappa + \kappa'))^2 O_K \\ &= \beta^2(\kappa - \kappa')^2 O_K. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $d(L/K)$ is not squarefree. Thus there exists a prime ideal P of O_K with $P^2 \mid d(L/K)$, so that

$$(2.3) \quad P^2 \mid \beta^2(\kappa - \kappa')^2 O_K.$$

Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime ideal in O_L lying above P . Then, from (2.3), we see that

$$\mathfrak{P} \mid \beta(\kappa - \kappa') O_L.$$

From (1.4) and (1.5), we deduce that $P \mid 2O_K$, so that $\mathfrak{P} \mid 2O_L$. Hence we have

$$\mathfrak{P} \mid (\beta(\kappa - \kappa') + 2(\alpha + \beta\kappa')) O_L,$$

contradicting that $2\alpha + \beta(\kappa + \kappa') \in U_K$. □

LEMMA 2. *Let the fields L and K be as specified above with relative integral basis $\{1, \kappa\}$, where κ is defined in (1.1). Then L/K has a NRIB if and only if there exists $\lambda \in U_K$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad 2 \mid \lambda - \theta,$$

where θ is given by (1.2). When (2.4) holds, a NRIB for L/K is

$$\left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}.$$

Proof. Suppose L/K has a NRIB, say, $\{\alpha + \beta\kappa, \alpha + \beta\kappa'\}$. Then, exactly as in the proof of Lemma 1, we deduce that $\varepsilon = 2\alpha + \beta(\kappa + \kappa') = 2\alpha + \beta\theta \in U_K$. As $\{\alpha\varepsilon^{-1} + \beta\varepsilon^{-1}\kappa, \alpha\varepsilon^{-1} + \beta\varepsilon^{-1}\kappa'\}$ is also a NRIB for L/K , we may take $\varepsilon = 1$ without loss of generality, so that

$$(2.5) \quad 2\alpha + \beta\theta = 1.$$

As $\{\alpha + \beta\kappa, \alpha + \beta\kappa'\}$ is a RIB for L/K , there exist $\rho, \tau \in O_K$ such that

$$\kappa = \rho(\alpha + \beta\kappa) + \tau(\alpha + \beta\kappa'),$$

and so, by (1.1), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} = \rho\left(\alpha + \beta\frac{\theta}{2} + \beta\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}\right) + \tau\left(\alpha + \beta\frac{\theta}{2} - \beta\frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}\right).$$

Equating coefficients of $\sqrt{\mu}/2\gamma$ in (2.6), we obtain $1 = (\rho - \tau)\beta$, showing that $\beta \in U_K$. We define $\lambda \in U_K$ by $\lambda = 1/\beta$, and, from (2.5), we deduce that $2|\lambda - \theta$, and a NRIB for L/K is

$$\begin{aligned} \{\lambda(\alpha + \beta\kappa), \lambda(\alpha + \beta\kappa')\} &= \{\lambda\alpha + \kappa, \lambda\alpha + \kappa'\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\lambda - \theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{\lambda - \theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{\lambda}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely suppose that $\lambda \in U_K$ with $2|\lambda - \theta$. Then we have $\alpha = (\lambda - \theta)/2 \in O_K$. We claim that $\{\lambda/2 + \sqrt{\mu}/2\gamma, \lambda/2 - \sqrt{\mu}/2\gamma\} = \{\alpha + \kappa, \alpha + \kappa'\}$ is a NRIB. This is clear as

$$1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\alpha + \kappa) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(\alpha + \kappa')$$

and

$$\kappa = \left(\frac{\lambda + \theta}{2\lambda}\right)(\alpha + \kappa) - \left(\frac{\lambda - \theta}{2\lambda}\right)(\alpha + \kappa'). \quad \square$$

The next lemma summarizes some elementary properties of the form of the units of O_K when $c > 0$. The proof of the lemma is an easy exercise in elementary number theory.

LEMMA 3. *Let c be a positive squarefree integer.*

If $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $F(c) = -1$, $N(c) = \pm 1$, and every unit of O_K is of the form $x + y\sqrt{c}$, where the integers x and y satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, & y &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 &= 1, \\ x &\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, & y &\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, & \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

If $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $F(c) = -1$, $N(c) = 1$, and every unit of O_K is of the form $x + y\sqrt{c}$, where the integers x and y satisfy

or

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

If $c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $F(c)=1$, then $N(c)=\pm 1$ and every unit of O_K is of the form $(x+y\sqrt{c})/2$, where the integers x and y satisfy

or

$$x \equiv y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

or

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad y \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad x^2 - cy^2 = -4,$$

or

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad x^2 - cy^2 = 4.$$

If $c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $F(c)=-1$, then $N(c)=\pm 1$ and every unit of O_K is of the form $x+y\sqrt{c}$, where the integers x and y satisfy

or

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 = -1,$$

or

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 = 1.$$

If $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then $F(c)=-1$, $N(c)=\pm 1$, and every unit of O_K is of the form $x+y\sqrt{c}$, where the integers x and y satisfy

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 = 1,$$

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \quad y \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad \text{if } x^2 - cy^2 = -1.$$

In Lemma 4 we make use of Lemma 3 to determine $\lambda \in U_K$ satisfying (2.4) when such λ exists.

LEMMA 4. *Let c be a squarefree integer.*

If $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $\theta=0, 1, \sqrt{c}$ or $1+\sqrt{c}$, and there exists $\lambda \in U_K$ with $2|\lambda-\theta$ if and only if

or

$$\theta=1 \quad (\lambda=1)$$

$$\theta=1+\sqrt{c}, \quad c>0, \quad N(c)=-1 \quad (\lambda=\varepsilon_c).$$

If $c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\theta=0, 1, \sqrt{c}$ or $1+\sqrt{c}$, and there exists $\lambda \in U_K$ with $2|\lambda-\theta$ if and only if

or

$$\theta=1 \quad (\lambda=1)$$

$$\theta=\sqrt{c}, \quad c>0, \quad t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad u \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \quad (\lambda=\varepsilon_c)$$

or

$$\theta = \sqrt{c}, \quad c = -1 \quad (\lambda = \sqrt{-1}).$$

If $c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ then $\theta = 0, 1$, or $(b' + \sqrt{c})/2$, and there exists $\lambda \in U_K$ with $2 \mid \lambda - \theta$ if and only if

$$\theta = 1 \quad (\lambda = 1)$$

or

$$\theta = \frac{b' + \sqrt{c}}{2}, \quad c = -3 \quad \left(\lambda = \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2} \sqrt{-3}}{2} \right)$$

or

$$\theta = \frac{b' + \sqrt{c}}{2}, \quad c > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad F(c) = 1 \quad \left(\lambda = \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2} u \sqrt{c}}{2} \right).$$

If $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ then $\theta = 0, 1, (1 + \sqrt{c})/2$, or $(-1 + \sqrt{c})/2$, and there exists $\lambda \in U_K$ with $2 \mid \lambda - \theta$ if and only if

$$\theta = 1 \quad (\lambda = 1).$$

Proof. The values of θ corresponding to the residue class of c modulo 4 or 8 follow from [9, Theorem 2]. The remaining assertions of the lemma follow easily from Lemma 3. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that we are assuming that L/K has the RIB $\{1, \kappa\}$. Suppose further that L/K has a NRIB. By Lemma 1 $d(L/K)$ is squarefree. Appealing to [9, Theorem 1] a, b, c must fall into one of the following cases:

Case 1: $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

Case 2: $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, a + b \equiv c \pmod{8}$,

Case 3: $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

Case 4: $a \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, a \equiv c + 1 \pmod{8}$,

Case 5: $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

Case 6: $a \equiv 6 \pmod{8}, b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, a - b - c \equiv 3 \text{ or } 15 \pmod{16}$,

Case 7: $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

Case 8: $a \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, b \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, r \text{ (even)} \geq 6$,

$$(a^2 - b^2c)/2^r \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

Case 9: $a \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, b \equiv 6 \pmod{8}, c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, r \text{ (even)} \geq 6$,

$$(a^2 - b^2c)/2^r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

We emphasize that if a, b, c do not satisfy one of Cases 1 to 9 then $d(L/K)$ is not squarefree and L/K does not possess a NRIB. We now examine each of the above cases making use of Lemma 4 to determine the additional constraints on a, b, c in order for L/K to have a NRIB.

Cases 1 and 2. By [9, Theorem 2] we have

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 1 + \sqrt{c}, & \text{if } a' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by Lemmas 2 and 4, L/K has NRIB in this case if and only if

$$a' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

or

$$a' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad c > 0, \quad N(c) = -1.$$

The NRIB's are respectively

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}.$$

Cases 3 and 4. By [9, Theorem 2] we have

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \sqrt{c}, & \text{if } a' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemmas 2 and 4, L/K has a NRIB in this case if and only if

$$a' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

or

$$a' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad c = -1,$$

or

$$a' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad c > 0, \quad t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad u \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

The NRIB's are respectively

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\},$$

and

$$\left\{ \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{t + u\sqrt{c}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}.$$

Case 5. By [9, Theorem 2] we have

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a' \equiv b' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{b' + \sqrt{c}}{2}, & \text{if } a' \equiv b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemmas 2 and 4, L/K has a NRIB in this case if and only if

$$a' \equiv b' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

or

$$a' \equiv b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad c = -3$$

or

$$a' \equiv b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad c > 0, \quad F(c) = 1.$$

The NRIB's are respectively

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2} \sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2} \sqrt{c}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2} u \sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2} u \sqrt{c}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}.$$

Case 6. By [9, Theorem 2] we have

$$\theta = \frac{b' + \sqrt{c}}{2}.$$

Thus, by Lemmas 2 and 4, L/K has a NRIB in this case if and only if

$$a' \equiv b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad c = -3$$

or

$$a' \equiv b' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad c > 0, \quad F(c) = 1.$$

The NRIB's are respectively

$$\left\{ \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2} \sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1 + (-1)^{(1-b')/2} \sqrt{c}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2} u \sqrt{c}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{t + (-1)^{(t-b'u)/2} u \sqrt{c}}{4} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}.$$

Cases 7, 8, 9. By [9, Theorem 2] we have $\theta = 1$. Thus, by Lemmas 2 and 4, L/K has a NRIB namely,

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{2\gamma} \right\}. \quad \square$$

3. L cyclic: Proof of Theorem 2

Let L be a cyclic quartic field with unique quadratic subfield K , and assume that L/K has a RIB. By Lemma 1 we know that if $d(L/K)$ is not squarefree then L/K does not possess a NRIB. Thus to complete the proof it suffices to prove that if $d(L/K)$ is squarefree then L/K has a NRIB. It is known (see

[8]) that L may be taken in the form $L=Q(\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})})$, where A is squarefree and odd, $D=B^2+C^2$ is squarefree ($B>0, C>0$), and $(A, D)=1$. Then, appealing to [8, Lemma 2], we see that $d(L/K)$ squarefree implies

$$D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad B \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad A+B \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Further, by [8, Theorem 3], as L/K has a RIB, we can take the RIB as

$$\left\{1, \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\right)\right\}.$$

Thus L possesses a NRIB over K , namely,

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{A(D+B\sqrt{D})}\right)\right\}. \quad \square$$

4. L bicyclic: Proof of Theorem 3

If L/K has a NRIB then clearly L/K has a RIB and, by Lemma 1, $d(L/K)$ is squarefree.

Now suppose that L/K has a RIB and $d(L/K)$ is squarefree. There are nine possibilities for the pair $(c, a) \pmod{4}$. The second assumption by [9, Theorem 1] eliminates four of these and leaves only the five possibilities

$$(4.1) \quad (c, a) \equiv (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2) \text{ (with } a \equiv c \pmod{8}), (3, 1), (3, 3) \pmod{4}.$$

Further, the first assumption by [9, Theorem 2] guarantees the existence of an element γ in O_K with $S=\gamma O_K$. Recalling that the only primes which ramify in K are the odd prime divisors of c and the prime 2 if $c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we see from (1.4) that $S^2=(a, c)O_K$. Thus

$$(4.2) \quad \gamma^2=(a, c)\theta, \quad \text{for some unit } \theta \text{ of } O_K.$$

It is now convenient to treat cases.

$c=-3$. From (4.1) we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and by Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$), (i), (ii) L/K has a NRIB.

$c=-1$. Here $\theta = \pm 1$ or $\pm i$. From (4.1) we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Further $(a, c)=1$ as $\gamma^2=(a, c)\theta$ cannot hold with $\theta = \pm i$. Thus $\theta = \pm 1, \gamma^2 = \pm 1, a'+b'i = a/\gamma^2 = \pm a$, so $a' \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence by Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$), (i), (ii) L/K has a NRIB.

$c>0, N(c)=-1$. As $N(c)=-1$, we have $c \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Thus, by (4.1), we have $(c, a) \equiv (1, 1), (2, 1)$ or $(2, 2) \pmod{4}$. Clearly, from (4.2), we see that we may assume without loss of generality that $\theta = \pm 1$ or $\theta = \pm \epsilon_c$.

When $c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, θ is of the form $x+y\sqrt{c}$ with x odd, so from $a'+b'\sqrt{c}=a/((a, c)\theta)$, we see that a' is odd. Hence, by Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$), (i)-(iv)), L/K has a NRIB.

When $c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and by Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$), (i)) L/K has a NRIB.

When $c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ we must examine θ more closely. Clearly $\theta = \gamma^2/(a, c) > 0$ so that $\theta = 1$ or ε_c . Further

$$N(\theta) = N(\gamma)^2/(a, c)^2 > 0$$

so that $\theta \neq \varepsilon_c$ as $N(\varepsilon_c) = -1$. Hence $\theta = 1$, and $\gamma^2 = (a, c)$. As $\gamma \in O_K$ we have $\gamma = (r + s\sqrt{c})/2$, where r, s are integers with $r \equiv s \pmod{2}$. Thus

$$r^2 + s^2c = 4(a, c), \quad 2rs = 0.$$

If $r = 0$ then $s^2c = 4(a, c)$ so $c \mid a$, a contradiction. If $s = 0$ then $r^2 = 4(a, c)$ so $(r/2)^2 = (a, c)$. But (a, c) is squarefree, so $r/2 = \pm 1$, $(a, c) = 1$, and $\gamma^2 = 1$. Thus $(a' + b'\sqrt{c})/2 = a$, so $a' \equiv b' \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and by Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$), (i)) L/K has a NRIB.

$c < -3$. Here $\theta = \pm 1$. From (4.2) we have $\gamma^2 = \pm(a, c)$. We show that the plus sign must hold and $(a, c) = 1$, for otherwise (remembering that c and (a, c) are squarefree) we have $[Q(\sqrt{\pm(a, c)}): Q] = 2$ and $\sqrt{\pm(a, c)} = \gamma \in Q(\sqrt{c})$, so $c = -(a, c)$ and thus $c \mid a$, a contradiction. Hence $\gamma^2 = (a, c) = 1$. Note that this rules out the case $c \equiv a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. (There is no RIB in this case.) Now by (1.8) we have

$$a' + b'\sqrt{c} = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2a, & \text{if } c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 1 (examining cases), we see that L/K possesses a NRIB only when $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

$c > 0$, $N(c) = 1$. From (4.2) we see without loss of generality that $\theta = \pm 1$ or $\theta = \pm \varepsilon_c$. As $\theta = \gamma^2/(a, c) > 0$, we have $\theta = 1$ or $\theta = \varepsilon_c$. If $(a, c) \neq 1$ we show that $\theta = \varepsilon_c$. Otherwise $\theta = 1$, $[Q(\sqrt{(a, c)}): Q] = 2$ and $\sqrt{(a, c)} = \gamma \in Q(\sqrt{c})$, so $(a, c) = c$ contradicting $c \nmid a$. If $(a, c) = 1$ we show that $\theta = 1$. Otherwise $\theta = \varepsilon_c = \gamma^2$, contradicting that ε_c is a fundamental unit.

If $(a, c) = 1$ then $\theta = 1$ and $\gamma^2 = 1$. Hence, by (1.8), we have

$$a' + b'\sqrt{c} = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2a, & \text{if } c \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 1 (examining cases) we see that L/K possesses a NRIB only when

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

or

$$c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad u \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

If $(a, c) \neq 1$ then $\theta = \varepsilon_c$ and $\gamma^2 = (a, c)\varepsilon_c$. Hence, by (1.8), (1.10) and Lemma 3, we have

$$a'+b'\sqrt{c} = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{(a,c)}(t-u\sqrt{c}), & \text{if } c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \text{or} \\ c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, F(c)=1, \\ \frac{2a}{(a,c)}(t-u\sqrt{c}), & \text{if } c \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, F(c)=-1 \text{ or } c \equiv 1 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Again by Theorem 1, after an examination of cases, we see that L/K possesses a NRIB only when

$$c \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ or } c \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \frac{at}{(a,c)} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \quad \square$$

We note that Theorem 3 extends work of Brinkhuis [1] and Gras [2].

5. L pure: Proof of Theorem 4

Let L be a pure quartic field so that $L=Q(\sqrt[4]{b\sqrt{c}})$, where b and c are squarefree integers with $(b,c) \neq (\pm 2, -1)$ and $c \nmid b$ if $c \neq -1$. Set $K=Q(\sqrt{c})$. Suppose L/K has a RIB and that $d(L/K)$ is squarefree. By Theorem 1 of [9] and the tables in [3] or [4] the latter assumption implies that

$$c \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \quad b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

The first assumption guarantees the existence of $\gamma \in O_K$ and $\theta \in U_K$ such that

$$2(b,c) = \gamma^2 \theta.$$

We show that $\theta = \pm 1$ is impossible. Suppose $\theta = \pm 1$ then $a'+b'\sqrt{c} = b\sqrt{c}/\pm 2(b,c)$ so $a'=0$. As L/K possesses a RIB, by Theorem 2 of [9], we see that a' is odd, a contradiction.

We now treat two cases according as $c < 0$ or $c > 0$. If $c < 0$ we must have $c = -1$, $\theta = \pm i$. Thus $a' = \mp b/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and L/K has a NRIB by Theorem 1. If $c > 0$ we have without loss of generality $\theta = \pm \epsilon_c$. Further $\theta = 2(b,c)/\gamma^2 > 0$ so $\theta = \epsilon_c$. Also $N(\epsilon_c) = N(\theta) = 4(b,c)^2/N(\gamma)^2 > 0$ so $N(\epsilon_c) = 1$. Hence $a' = bc\epsilon_c/2(b,c)$. As L/K possesses a RIB, by Theorem 2 of [9], a' is odd, so that $u \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and thus $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By Theorem 1 ($c \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, (iv), (vi)) L/K has a NRIB. □

6. Examples

We conclude this paper with some examples.

Example 1. We consider $L=Q(\sqrt{-17+18\sqrt{5}})$. The quadratic subfield of L is $K=Q(\sqrt{5})$. It was shown in [9, Example 2] that L/K possesses a RIB. Here $a = -17$, $b = 18$, $c = 5$, $\mu = -17+18\sqrt{5} = ((-1+3\sqrt{5})/2)^8$, $R = S = ((-1+3\sqrt{5})$

$/2$), $T=(1)$, $\gamma=(-1+3\sqrt{5})/2$, $\varepsilon_5=(1+\sqrt{5})/2$, $t=u=1$, $F(5)=1$, $(a'+b'\sqrt{c})/2=\mu/\gamma^2=(-1+3\sqrt{5})/2$, $a'=-1$, $b'=3$. Thus by Theorem 1 ($c\equiv 5 \pmod{8}$), (iii)) L/K has a NRIB, which can be taken as

$$\left\{ \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-1+3\sqrt{5}}{2}}, \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{-1+3\sqrt{5}}{2}} \right\}.$$

Example 2. We take $L=Q(\sqrt{-5}, \sqrt{-1})$ and $K=Q(\sqrt{-5})$. Here $a=-1$, $b=0$, $c=-5$, $\mu=-1$, $R=S=T=O_K$, $\gamma=1$, L/K has a RIB by [9, Theorem 2], and $d(L/K)$ is squarefree. However, $a\not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ so, by Theorem 3, L/K does not possess a NRIB.

Example 3. Let a and b be integers with (a, b) squarefree and $a+bi$ not a square in $K=Q(i)$. Then $L=Q(\sqrt{a+bi})$ possesses a NRIB over K if and only if

$$a\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad b\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$$

or

$$a\equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \quad b\equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

Example 4. Let a and b be integers with (a, b) squarefree and $a+b\sqrt{-3}$ not a square in $K=Q(\sqrt{-3})$. Then $L=Q(\sqrt{a+b\sqrt{-3}})$ possesses a NRIB over K if and only if

$$a\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \quad b\equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad a+b\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

or

$$a\equiv 6 \pmod{8}, \quad b\equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad a-b\equiv 0, 12 \pmod{16}.$$

Example 5. $L=Q(\sqrt{-7}, \sqrt{5})$ has a NRIB over $K=Q(\sqrt{-7})$, namely,

$$\left\{ \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right\}.$$

Example 6. This example was considered by Kawamoto [5, Remark 12]. $L=Q(\sqrt{3+2\sqrt{6}})$ has a RIB over $K=Q(\sqrt{6})$, namely

$$\left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{3+2\sqrt{6}}) \right\},$$

but, by Theorem 1, L does not have a NRIB over K . Compare Sze [10, Theorem 1].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BRINKHUIS, Embedding problems and Galois modules, Doctoral Thesis, University of Leiden (1981).

- [2] M.-N. GRAS, Bases d'entiers dans les extensions cycliques de degré 4 de \mathbf{Q} , Seminar on Number Theory, 1982-1983, Exp. No. 11, 11 pp.
- [3] J.G. HUARD, B.K. SPEARMAN AND K.S. WILLIAMS, Integral bases for quartic fields with quadratic subfields, Carleton University Centre for Research in Algebra and Number Theory Mathematical Research Series, No. 4, 1991, 44 pp.
- [4] J.G. HUARD, B.K. SPEARMAN AND K.S. WILLIAMS, Integral bases for quartic fields with quadratic subfields, J. Number Theory, **51** (1995), 87-102.
- [5] F. KAWAMOTO, Normal integral bases and divisor polynomials, Ph.D. Thesis, Gakushuin University (1986).
- [6] R. MASSY, Formules de construction de bases normales d'entiers relatives, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **313** (1991), 477-482.
- [7] R. MASSY, Bases normales d'entiers relatives quadratiques, J. Number Theory, **38** (1991), 216-239.
- [8] B.K. SPEARMAN AND K.S. WILLIAMS, Cyclic quartic fields with relative integral bases over their quadratic subfields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **103** (1988), 687-694.
- [9] B.K. SPEARMAN AND K.S. WILLIAMS, Relative integral bases for quartic fields over quadratic subfields, Acta Math. Hungar., **70** (1996), 185-192.
- [10] A. SZE, A normal integral basis theorem, J. Algebra, **66** (1980), 544-549.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
OKANAGAN UNIVERSITY COLLEGE
KELOWNA, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA
V1V 1V7
e-mail: bkspearm@okanagan.bc.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
CARLETON UNIVERSITY
OTTAWA, ONTARIO, CANADA
K1S 5B6
e-mail: williams@math.carleton.ca