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## 1. Introduction and theorems

A Riemannian curvature tensor $R$ is said to be harmonic if it satisfies

$$
\nabla_{i} R_{j k}-\nabla_{j} R_{\imath k}=0
$$

where $R_{\imath}$ means the component of the Ricci tensor, i. e. $R_{j k}=R^{2}{ }_{j i k}$. If the Ricci tensor is parallel, the curvature is harmonic. However the converse is generally not true, [2]. Concerning this matter, we obtain some results in the case of hypersurfaces in a space of non-negative constant curvature. The purpose of this note is to prove the next theorems:

We denote the $k$-dimensional Euclidean space and the $k$-dimensional sphere of curvature $c$ by $E^{k}$ and $S^{k}(c)$ respectively.

Theorem 1. Let $M^{n}$ be a connected hypersurface with harmonic curvature, isometrically immersed in $E^{n+1}$ by an isometric immersion $\phi$ with constant mean curvature. We denote the second fundamental form by $h$.
(i) If $M^{n}$ is complete and trace $h^{4}$ is constant on $M^{n}$, then $\phi\left(M^{n}\right)$ is of the form $S^{p} \times E^{n-p}, 0 \leqq p \leqq n$.
(ii) If $M^{n}$ is compact, then $\phi\left(M^{n}\right)$ is $S^{n}$.

ThEOREM 2. Let $M^{n}$ be a connected hypersurface with harmonic curvature, isometrically immersed in $S^{n+1}(c)$ by an isometric immersion $\phi$ with constant mean curvature. If $M^{n}$ is complete and trace $h^{4}$ is constant on $M^{n}$, or if $M^{n}$ is compact, then $\phi\left(M^{n}\right)$ is of the form $S^{p}(r) \times S^{n-p}(s), 0 \leqq p \leqq n$, where $r=\alpha^{2}+c$, $s=\beta^{2}+c$, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy $\alpha \beta+c=0$ and $p \alpha+(n-p) \beta=$ trace $h$.
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## 2. The proof of theorems

We consider a hypersurface $M^{n}$ with harmonic curvature, isometrically
immersed in an ( $n+1$ )-dimensional Riemannian space $\tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ of constant curvature $c$ by an isometric immersion $\phi: M^{n} \rightarrow \tilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$, and denote the induced metric tensor, the induced connection, the curvature tensor of $M^{n}$ and the second fundamental form by $g, \nabla, R$ and $h$ respectively. We assume that the mean curvature $\operatorname{tr} h=h_{k}{ }^{k}$ is constant. Under these conditions, the following formulae hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\imath j k l}=c\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right)+h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cl} 
& \text { (the Gauss equation), } \\
\nabla_{i} h_{j k}-\nabla_{j} h_{i k}=0 & \text { (the Codazzi equation), } \\
\nabla_{i} h_{k}^{k}=0 & \text { (mean curvature constant), } \\
\nabla_{i} R_{j k}-\nabla_{j} R_{i k}=0 & \text { (harmonic curvature), }
\end{array}
$$

where the indices $i, j, k, \cdots$ run from 1 to $n$. The formula (4) implies that the scalar curvature is constant, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} R_{k}^{k}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we get from (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}=(n-1) c g_{j k}+h_{l}{ }^{l} h_{j k}-h_{\jmath}{ }^{l} h_{l k} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplification, we shall write $h^{2}{ }_{\imath \jmath}, h^{3}{ }_{\imath \jmath}, \cdots$ instead of $h_{\imath}{ }^{k} h_{k \jmath}, h^{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{k} h_{k \jmath}, \cdots$. And using (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} R_{j k}=h_{l} \nabla_{i} h_{j k}-\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{j k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we know from (2) and (7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{j k}-\nabla_{j} h^{2}{ }_{i k}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to (4). It is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{k}{ }^{k}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (7), (3) and (5).
First we shall give two formulae about $\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\nabla_{i}\left(h_{\jmath}{ }^{l} h_{l k}\right) \cdot \nabla^{i}\left(h^{\jmath m} h_{m}{ }^{k}\right)$, where $\nabla^{i}=g^{i k} \nabla_{k}$.

Lemma 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)-n c \operatorname{tr} h^{4}-\operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{5}+c\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{3}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|h^{2}\right\|^{2} & =\left(\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{j k}\right)\left(\nabla^{i} h^{2 j k}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& =\nabla_{i}\left(h^{2}{ }_{j k} \nabla^{i} h^{2 j k}\right)-h^{2}{ }_{j k} \nabla_{2} \nabla^{i} h^{2 j k} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{2} \nabla^{i}\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)-h^{2}{ }_{j k} \nabla_{2} \nabla^{i} h^{2 j k}
\end{align*}
$$

holds. Using (1), (3), (8), (9) and the Ricci identity, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{2} \nabla{ }^{2} h^{2 j k}= & \nabla_{\imath} \nabla^{j} h^{2 i k}  \tag{12}\\
= & \nabla^{j} \nabla_{i} h^{2 i k}+R_{i}{ }^{j i} h^{2}{ }_{l}{ }^{k}+R_{i}{ }^{j k} h^{2 l}{ }_{l} \\
= & \left\{(n-1) c g^{j l}+h_{\imath}{ }^{2} h^{j l}-h_{\imath}{ }^{l} h^{j i}\right\} h_{l}{ }^{k} \\
& +\left\{c\left(\delta_{i}{ }^{k} g^{j l}-\delta_{i}{ }^{l} g^{j k}\right)+h_{\imath}{ }^{k} h^{j l}-h_{\imath}{ }^{l} h^{j k}\right\} h^{2 \imath}{ }_{l} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (11) and (12), the formula (10) follows.
Lemma 2.
(13) $\quad\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{3} \nabla_{\imath} \nabla^{2}\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left[\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{2}-n c\right)+\operatorname{tr} h\left(c \operatorname{tr} h^{3}-\operatorname{tr} h^{5}\right)\right]$.

Proof. We remark that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} h_{j k}^{2}=2 h_{j^{m}} \nabla_{i} h_{m k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{j k}=\left(\nabla_{i} h^{m}\right) h_{m k}+h_{\jmath}{ }^{m} \nabla_{i} h_{m k}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies together with (2) and (8) that the second term of the right side of (15) is symmetric with respect to $i, j$ and $k$, from which (14) follows. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\left(\nabla_{i} h^{2}{ }_{j k}\right)\left(\nabla^{i} h^{2 j k}\right)=4 h^{2 l}{ }_{m}\left(\nabla_{i} h_{l k}\right)\left(\nabla^{i} h^{m k}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2} & =2 h^{l}\left(\nabla_{i} h_{l k}\right)\left(\nabla^{i} h^{2 j k}\right)  \tag{17}\\
& =2 \nabla^{i}\left(h^{3 k} \nabla_{i} h_{l k}\right)-2 h^{2 j k}\left(\nabla^{i} h_{\jmath}^{l}\right)\left(\nabla_{i} h_{l k}\right)-2 h^{3 k} \nabla_{\imath} \nabla^{i} h_{l k}
\end{align*}
$$

by (14). The first and second terms of the right side of (17) are reduced to $\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\imath} \nabla^{i} h^{4}{ }_{k}{ }^{k}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}$ by (16) respectively. Using (1), (2), (3), (9) and the Ricci identity, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} h_{l k}= & \nabla^{i} \nabla_{l} h_{i k}  \tag{18}\\
= & \nabla_{l} \nabla^{i} h_{i k}+R^{\imath}{ }_{l 2}{ }^{m} h_{m k}+R^{{ }^{2}}{ }_{l k}{ }^{m} h_{\imath m} \\
= & \left\{(n-1) c \delta_{l}{ }^{m}+h_{\imath}{ }^{2} h_{l}{ }^{m}-h^{\imath m} h_{l i}\right\} h_{m k} \\
& \quad+\left\{c\left(\delta^{\delta^{2}}{ }_{k} \delta_{l}{ }^{m}-g^{2 m} g_{l k}\right)+h^{2}{ }_{k} h_{l}{ }^{m}-h^{\imath m} h_{l k}\right\} h_{\imath m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the third term of (17) can be reduced to $2\left(-n c \operatorname{tr} h^{4}-\operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{5}\right.$ $+c \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{3}+\operatorname{tr} h^{2} \operatorname{tr} h^{4}$ ). Finally (17) becomes the formula (13).

We eliminate the term of $\operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{5}$ from (10) and (13), and have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\nabla_{2} \nabla^{2}\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)+4\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{3}\right)^{2}-\operatorname{tr} h^{2} \operatorname{tr} h^{4}+c\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{2}\right)^{2}-c \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{3}\right] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the suitable orthonormal frame, we diagonize $h$ and denote its diagonal components by $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}$. Then the formula (19) can be rewritten to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}=\Delta\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)-2 \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}+c\right)\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ means $\nabla^{i} \nabla_{2}$.
In the case of $c=0$, if trace $h^{4}$ is constant on $M^{n}$, then all the nonzero eigenvalues of $h$ have a constant unique value on $M^{n}$ by (3) and (20). Therefore we can apply K. Nomizu and B. Smyth's argument if $M^{n}$ is complete. Thus theorem $1(\mathrm{i})$ is proved.

If $M^{n}$ is compact, then we obtain the same result by integrating (20) over $M^{n}$. By the compactness of $M^{n}$, theorem 1 (ii) is concluded.

In order to consider the case of $c>0$, we recall the following formula appeared in [3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla h\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}+c\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula follows from (1), (2), (3) and the Ricci identity, and in our situation, the first term of the right side of (21) vanishes by (9). So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla h\|^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}+c\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20) and (22), we have

$$
\left\|\nabla h^{2}\right\|^{2}+4 c\|\nabla h\|^{2}=\Delta\left(\operatorname{tr} h^{4}\right)-2 \sum_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j}+c\right)^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2},
$$

and theorem 2 is proved as in the case of $c=0$.
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