

## A TAUBERIAN THEOREM FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Dedicated to Professor M. Ozawa on the occasion of his 60th birthday

BY HIROSHI YANAGIHARA

### § 1. Introduction.

Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in the plane. We define  $m_2(r, f)$  by

$$m_2(r, f)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\log |f(re^{i\theta})|)^2 d\theta,$$

and denote by  $N(r, c)$  the usual Nevanlinna counting function for the  $c$ -points of  $f$  in  $|z| \leq r$ , then Miles and Shea had shown

$$(1) \quad K_2(f) \equiv \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0) + N(r, \infty)}{m_2(r, f)} \geq \frac{|\sin \pi \rho|}{\pi \rho} \left\{ \frac{2}{1 + \sin 2\pi \rho / 2\pi \rho} \right\}^{1/2} \equiv C(\rho)$$

for  $\rho \in [\mu_*(T(r, f)), \lambda_*(T(r, f))]$ .

Further they had characterized those  $f$  for which equality holds in (1) as functions which are locally Lindelöfian (or the reciprocals of such).

Let  $M_\rho$  be the class of all meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  of order  $\rho$  defined by  $g(z)/g(-z)$  with the canonical product

$$g(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E(z/a_n, q), \quad q = [\rho].$$

Recently by making use of Fourier series method, Ozawa proved

THEOREM A. *Let  $f(z)$  belongs to  $M_\rho$ , then*

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{m_2(r, f)} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi \rho}} \frac{|\cos \pi \rho / 2|}{\{\pi \rho - \sin \pi \rho\}^{1/2}} \equiv B(\rho).$$

It is natural to hope that (2) holds for  $\rho \in [\mu_*, \lambda_*]$  and that those  $f$  for which equality holds in (2) are  $f(z) = g(z)/g(-z)$  with locally Lindelöfian  $g$ . But when  $\rho$  is an even integer,  $B(\rho) > 0$  and the proof is not straightforward. We need some existence lemma of strong peaks for  $f \in M_\rho$ .

We assume that the reader is familiar with the fundamental concept of Nevanlinna theory and Fourier series method developed by Miles and Shea (See

W.K. Hayman [3], Miles and Shea [5], [6] and Ozawa [7]). We use the terminology from [6] without comment.

The author express his heartiest thanks to Professor M. Ozawa for his tender support in preparing this note.

**§ 2. Discussion of results.**

Our first result is following.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in the plane and defined by  $f(z) = g(z)/g(-z)$  with an entire function  $g$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0, f)}{m_2(r, f)} \geq B(\rho)$$

for  $\rho \in [\mu_*(T(r, f)), \lambda_*(T(r, f))]$ ,  $\rho > 0$ .

Next we have

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the same assumption as in theorem 1 and if*

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0, f)}{m_2(r, f)} = B(\rho)$$

for some  $\rho \in [\mu_*(T(r, f)), \lambda_*(T(r, f))]$ ,  $\rho \neq$  an odd integer.

*Then there exist positive sequences  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad N(r, 0) \sim N(r_n, 0)(r/r_n)^\rho,$$

$$(2.4) \quad N(r, 0) \sim B(\rho)m_2(r, f),$$

uniformly for  $r \in [\eta_n r_n, \eta_n^{-1} r_n]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Further there exist  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that if

$$S_n = \{z : \delta_n \leq \arg z - \theta_n \leq 2\pi - \delta_n\},$$

then

$$(2.5) \quad N(r, 0; S_n) = o(N(r, 0, f)), \quad \eta_n r_n \leq r \leq \eta_n^{-1} r_n$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $N(r, 0; S_n)$  denote the counting function for the number of zeros of  $f$  in the sector  $S_n$ .

If (2.2) holds with  $\rho =$  an odd integer, i.e.  $N(r, 0) = o(m_2(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\rho = \mu_* = \lambda_*$  and

$$(2.6) \quad m_2(r, f) = r^\rho L(r), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(\sigma r)}{L(r)} = 1 \quad (0 < \sigma < \infty)$$

holds.

Theorem 1 and 2 have extensions.

THEOREM 3. Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in the plane defined by  $f(z)=g(z)g(e^{i\pi a}z)$  with an entire function  $g$  and  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Then

$$(2.7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0, f)}{m_2(r, f)} \geq B(a, \rho) \equiv \left\{ 2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 - \cos ma) \frac{4}{m^2 - \rho^2} \right\}^{-1/2}$$

for  $\rho \in [\mu_*(T(r, f)), \lambda_*(T(r, f))]$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ .

THEOREM 4. Under the same assumption as in theorem 3 and if equality holds in (2.7) for some  $\rho \in [\mu_*(T(r, f)), \lambda_*(T(r, f))]$ ,  $\mu \neq 0$  and  $B(a, \rho) = 0$ . Then there exist sequences  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\theta_n \in [0, 2\pi]$  satisfying (2.2)-(2.5). If  $\rho$  satisfies  $B(a, \rho) = 0$  and  $\rho > 0$ , then  $\rho = \mu_* = \lambda_*$  and (2.6) holds.

Especially, if  $a = 1$ , then we have theorem 2. Proofs of theorem 3 and 4 are quite similar as to theorem 1 and 2. It will be done by improving the lemma 3 and be left to the reader.

Theorem 1 and 3 are not new, essentially they were proved by Ozawa ([7] theorem 4 and its extension in §11).

### § 3. Preliminaries.

To prove (2.1) we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in the plane defined by  $f(z) = g(z)/g(-z)$  with an entire function  $g$ . Put  $a_n$  be zeros of  $g$  and  $W(z)$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \log |f(z)| = \sum_{s < |a_n| \leq R} \log \frac{|E(z/a_n, q)|}{|E(-z/a_n, q)|} + W(z)$$

where  $0 < 2s \leq |z| = r \leq R/2$ . Then if  $q \geq 1$ .

$$(3.2) \quad |W(z)| \leq V_q(s, r, R) \equiv A[(r/s)^{q_0-1} \{m_2(s, g) + N(2s, 0)\} + (r/R)^{q_0+1} \{m_2(R, g) + N(2R, 0)\}],$$

where  $A$  is an absolute constant and  $q_0 = 2[(q+1)/2]$ ; if  $q = 0$ ,

$$|W(z)| \leq V_0(s, r, R) \equiv A \{N(2s, 0) \log(r/s) + (r/R)(m_2(R, g) + N(2R, 0))\}.$$

*Proof.* According to the proof of theorem 3.b in [2],

$$W(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^q d_m(s) z^m + \sum_{m=q+1}^{\infty} d_m(R) z^m + \log \prod_{|a_n| \leq s} \frac{|1-z/a_n|}{|1+z/a_n|} \right\}$$

where

$$d_m(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(te^{i\theta})| t^{-m} e^{-im\theta} d\theta + \frac{1}{mt^m} \sum_{|a_n| \leq t} \{(\bar{a}_n/t)^m - (-\bar{a}_n/t)^m\}, t \in [s, R].$$

Hence we have  $d_{2m}(t)=0$  and

$$\frac{1}{4} |d_{2p+1}(t)| \leq \frac{|c_{2p+1}(t, g)|}{t^{2p+1}} + \frac{n(t, 0)}{2(2p+1)t^{2p+1}}.$$

Next we have

$$\left| \sum_{|a_n| \leq s} \log |(1-z/a_n)/(1+z/a_n)| \right| \leq 2N(s, 0) + 2 \frac{n(s, 0)}{2q+1} (r/s)^q.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |W(z)| &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{\lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil - 1} (r/s)^{2p+1} \left\{ 4m_2(s, g) + 2 \frac{n(s, 0)}{2p+1} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil}^{\infty} (r/R)^{2p+1} \left\{ 4m_2(R, g) + \frac{2n(R, 0)}{2p+1} \right\} \\ &\quad + 2N(s, 0) + \frac{2n(s, 0)}{q} (r/s)^q, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have the desired result when  $q \geq 1$ .

If  $q=0$ , we have

$$W(z) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} d_m(R) z^m + \log \prod_{|a_n| \leq s} (1-z/a_n)/(1+z/a_n) \right\}$$

and

$$\log \prod_{|a_n| \leq s} |(1-z/a_n)(1+z/a_n)| \leq 2N(s, 0) + n(s, 0)(1 + \log r/s),$$

and this completes the proof of lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Under the same assumption as in lemma 1, we have

$$(3.4) \quad m_2(r, f) \leq K_q r^{2q+1} \int_s^R \frac{N(t, 0, g)}{t^{2q}(t+r)^2} dt + BV_q(s, r, R),$$

where  $K_q$  and  $B$  are constants depending only on  $q \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* By lemma 1,

$$\log |f(z)| = \log \prod_{s < |a_n| \leq R} |E(z/a_n, q)/E(-z/a_n, q)| + W(z),$$

hence we have by Minkowski's inequality,

$$(3.5) \quad m_2(r, f) \leq \sum_{s < |a_n| \leq R} m_2(r/|a_n|, E(z, q)/E(-z, q)) + V_q(s, r, R).$$

For  $q \geq 1$ , we have by calculating the  $m$ -th Fourier coefficients

$$(3.6) \quad m_2(r, G)^2 = \begin{cases} 2 \sum_{k=q_0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{4k+2}}{(2k+1)^2}, & r < 1 \\ 2 \sum_{k=0}^{q_0-1} \left\{ \frac{r^{4k+2}}{(2k+1)^2} - \frac{2}{(2k+1)^2} \right\} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{-(4k+2)}}{(2k+1)^2}, & r \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and if  $q=0$

$$(3.7) \quad m_2(r, G)^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{4k+2}}{(2k+1)^2}, & r < 1 \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{-(4k+2)}}{(2k+1)^2}, & r \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $G(z) = G(z, q) \equiv E(z, q)/E(-z, q)$  and  $q_0 = 2[(q+1)/2]$ . Hence we obtain from (3.6) and (3.7)

$$(3.8) \quad m_2(r, G) \leq \begin{cases} 2r^{q_0+1}, & r < 1 \\ 2r^{q_0-1}, & r \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have from (3.5) and (3.8),

$$(3.9) \quad m_2(r, f) \leq 2 \int_s^r (r/t)^{q_0-1} d(n(t, 1/g) - n(s, 1/g)) \\ + 2 \int_r^R (r/t)^{q_0+1} d(n(t, 1/g) - n(r, 1/g)) + V_q(s, r, R).$$

Integration by parts applied twice to (3.9) yields (3.4).

LEMMA 3. Let  $g$  be an entire function and put  $f$  by  $f(z) = g(z)/g(-z)$ . Suppose  $\mu_*(m_2(r, f)) < \infty$  and  $K_2(f) < \infty$ .

If  $\mu_*(m_2(r, f)) < \rho < \lambda_*(m_2(r, f))$  and  $\rho$  is not an odd integer, then there exist sequences  $s_n, r_n$  and  $R_n$  tending to  $\infty$  and  $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad s_n = o(r_n), \quad r_n = o(R_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(3.11) \quad N(t, 0) \leq N(r_n, 0)(t/r_n)^\rho \quad s_n \leq t \leq R_n$$

$$(3.12) \quad m_2(t, f) \leq \xi_n N(r_n, 0)(s_n/r_n) \quad s_n \leq t \leq 2s_n \\ m_2(t, f) \leq \xi_n N(r_n, 0)(R_n/r_n) \quad R_n \leq t \leq 2R_n.$$

If  $\mu_*(m_2(r, f)) = \lambda_*(m_2(r, f))$  and  $\mu_*(m_2(r, f))$  is not an odd integer, then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{m_2(r, f)} > 0.$$

*Proof.* We first observe that there exist sequences  $s_n, r_n, R_n$  and  $A_n$  tending to  $\infty$  and  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ , such that

$$(3.13) \quad s_n = o(r_n), \quad t_n = o(R_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} m_2(t, f) &\leq m_2(t_n, f)(t/t_n), & s_n \leq t \leq 2R_n, \\ m_2(t, f) &\leq \delta_n m_2(t_n, f)(t/t_n), & s_n \leq t \leq A_n s_n \text{ or } R_n/A_n \leq t \leq 2R_n. \end{aligned}$$

To see this, choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu_* < \rho - \varepsilon$ ,  $\rho + \varepsilon < \lambda_*$ , then there exist  $x_n, y_n$  and  $A_n$  tending to  $\infty$  and  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$  such that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} m_2(t, f) &\leq (1 + \gamma_n) m_2(x_n, f)(t/x_n)^{\rho + \varepsilon}, & A_n^{-2} x_n \leq t \leq A_n^2 x_n \\ m_2(t, f) &\leq (1 + \gamma_n) m_2(y_n, f)(t/y_n)^{\rho - \varepsilon} & A_n^{-2} y_n \leq t \leq 2A_n^2 y_n. \end{aligned}$$

And we may assume  $A_n^2 x_n < A_n^{-2} y_n$ . Choose  $t_n \in [A_n^{-1} x_n, A_n y_n]$  so that

$$m_2(t_n, f) t_n^{-\rho} \geq m_2(t, f) t^{-\rho} \qquad A_n^{-1} x_n \leq t \leq A_n y_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(t, f) &< (1 + \gamma_n)(t/x_n)^\varepsilon (t/x_n)^\rho (x_n/t_n)^\rho m_2(t_n, f) \\ &\leq \delta_n (t/t_n)^\rho m_2(t_n, f), & A_n^{-2} x_n \leq t \leq A_n^{-1} x_n, \\ & & A_n y_n \leq t \leq 2A_n^2 y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.13) and (3.14) hold with  $s_n = A_n^{-2} x_n$  and  $R_n = A_n^2 y_n$ .

Choose  $r_n \in [s_n, 2R_n]$  so that

$$N(r_n, 0) r_n^{-\rho} \geq N(t, 0) t^{-\rho}, \quad s_n \leq t \leq 2R_n.$$

By lemma 2 and  $K_2(f) < \infty$

$$m_2(t_n, f) \leq K_g t_n^{q_0+1} \int_{s_n}^{R_n} \frac{N(t, 0)}{t^{q_0}(t_n+t)^2} dt + o(m_2(t_n, f)).$$

Hence

$$(1 + o(1)) m_2(t_n, f) \leq K_g N(r_n, 0) (t_n/r_n)^\rho \int_0^\infty \frac{u^\rho}{u^{q_0}(u+1)^2} du.$$

Since  $|\rho - q_0| < 1$ , the integral is convergent. We have

$$m_2(t_n, f) \leq (1 + o(1)) \hat{K}_\rho N(r_n, 0) (t_n/r_n)^\rho \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus for  $n$  large enough,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} m_2(t, f) &\leq \delta_n m_2(t_n, f)(t/t_n)^\rho \leq 2\hat{K}_\rho \delta_n N(r_n, 0)(t/r_n)^\rho, \\ & & s_n \leq t \leq A_n s_n, \quad A_n^{-1} R_n \leq t \leq 2R_n. \end{aligned}$$

Next we note from (3.14), (3.16) and  $K_2(f) < \infty$  that

$$r_n \in [A_n s_n, A_n^{-1} R_n] \quad (n \geq n_0),$$

and (3.10) follows.

To prove last assertion of lemma 3 suppose first that for any  $\sigma > 1$ , there exist a sequence  $\tau_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\tau_k = N(\sigma t_k) / m_2(t_k, f) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $q_0 = 2[(\rho + 1) / 2]$ , and use

$$m_2(r, f) \leq K_{q_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{q_0+1} N(t, 0)}{t^{q_0}(t+r)^2} dt,$$

where  $K_{q_0}$  is a constant depending only on  $q_0$ .

Since  $\mu_*(m_2) = \lambda_*(m_2) = \rho$ , given  $\varepsilon > 0$  their exist  $A = A(\varepsilon)$  and  $x_0 = x_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for any  $x \geq x_0$ , there is a peak  $y \in [x, Ax]$ :

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} m_2(t, f) &\leq m_2(y, f)(t/y)^{\rho-\varepsilon} & (x_0 \leq t \leq y), \\ m_2(t, f) &\leq m_2(y, f)(t/y)^{\rho+\varepsilon} & (y \leq t < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

(See [1], p. 410 and [6], p. 178). Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\rho + \varepsilon < q_0 + 1$  and  $q_0 - 1 < \rho - \varepsilon$ . Then for all large  $k$  there exist peaks  $y_k \in [t_k/A, t_k]$ ; if  $s_k \in (x_0, y_k)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(y_k, f) &\leq \left\{ BK_2(f) \int_{x_0}^{s_k} m_2(t, f) + \int_{s_k}^{\sigma t_k} N(\sigma t_k, 0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + BK_2(f) \int_{\sigma t_k}^\infty m_2(t, f) \right\} \frac{y_k^{q_0+1}}{t^{q_0}(t+y_k)^2} dt + O(y_k^{q_0-1}) \\ 1 &\leq BK_2(f) \int_{y_k/s_k}^{y_k/x_0} \frac{u^{q_0-\rho+\varepsilon}}{(1+u)^2} du + \tau_k A^{\rho+\varepsilon} \int_{y_k/\sigma t_k}^{y_k/s_k} \frac{u^{q_0}}{(1+u)^2} du \\ &\quad + BK_2(f) \int_0^{y_k/\sigma t_k} \frac{u^{q_0-\rho-\varepsilon}}{(1+u)^2} du + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

We determine the  $s_k$  so that  $s_k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $y_k/s_k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\tau_k \int_0^{y_k/s_k} \frac{u^{q_0}}{(1+u)^2} du \rightarrow 0$$

Then since  $y_k \leq t_k \leq Ay_k$ ,

$$1 \leq BK_2(f) \int_0^{1/\sigma} \frac{u^{q_0-\rho-\varepsilon}}{(1+u)^2} du + o(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

a contradiction if  $\sigma$  has been chosen large enough. Thus  $m_2(r, f) \leq C_1 N(\sigma r, 0)$ . Since  $K_2(f) < \infty$ , we have  $\mu_*(N) = \mu_*(m_2) = \lambda_*(N) = \lambda_*(m_2) = \rho$ . In particular  $N(\sigma r, 0) \leq C_2 N(r, 0)$ , and we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0)}{m_2(r, f)} > 0.$$

**§ 4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2.**

We may assume  $K_2(f) < \infty$ , since otherwise (2.1) is trivial. Hence we have  $\mu_*(m_2) = \mu_*(T)$  and  $\lambda_*(m_2) = \lambda_*(T)$ .

Let  $\rho \in [\mu_*, \lambda_*]$  ( $\rho > 0$ ) be not odd and choose  $a = a(\rho) \in (0, e^{-1})$  by

$$(4.1) \quad 1 - \log a > \rho^{-1}, \quad (ae)^\rho (1 - \log a) < 1.$$

Let  $q_0 = 2[(\rho + 1)/2]$  and put  $f_n(z)$  by

$$f_n(z) = \prod_{s_n < |a_n| \leq aR_n} E(z/a_n, q_0) / E(-z/a_n, q_0)$$

where  $s_n, r_n$  and  $R_n$  satisfy (3.10), (3.12) and  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$

$$(4.2) \quad N(t, 0) \leq (1 + \gamma_n) N(r_n, 0) (t/r_n)^\rho, \quad s_n \leq t \leq R_n.$$

Define associated functions  $G_n(z)$  and  $F_n(z)$  by

$$G_n(z) = \prod_{s_n < |a_n| \leq aR_n} E(z/|a_n|, q_0),$$

$$F_n(z) = G_n(z) / G_n(-z).$$

Put  $N_n(t, 0) = N(t, 1/F_n)$  so that by (3.12) and (4.2)

$$(4.3) \quad N_n(r_n, 0) \sim N(r_n, 0) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$(4.4) \quad N_n(t, 0) \leq (1 + \gamma_n) N(r_n, 0) (t/r_n)^\rho, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

We apply lemma 1 on  $|z| = r_n$ , and obtain

$$\log |f(z)| = \log |f_h(z)| + o(N(r_n, 0)), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $m_2(r, f) \leq m_2(r, F_n)$ , we have

$$(4.5) \quad m_2(r_n, f) \leq (1 + o(1)) m_2(r_n, F_n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$L_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} E(z/d_k, q_0) / E(-z/d_k, q_0)$$

be the meromorphic function with positive zeros  $d_k$  and negative poles  $-d_k$  satisfying

$$n(t, 0) = [\rho (t/r_n)^\rho N(r_n, 0)] \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Then for each  $n \geq 1$

$$(4.6) \quad N(t, 1/L_n) \leq (t/r_n)^\rho N(r_n, 0) \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$N(t, 1/L_n) \sim (t/r_n)^\rho N(r_n, 0) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad c_{2p}(r_n, L_n) = 0$$

$$|c_{2p+1}(r_n, L_n)| \sim N(r_n, 0) \frac{\rho^2}{|(2p+1)^2 - \rho^2|} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in  $p$ . Hence

$$(4.8) \quad |c_m(r_n, F_n)| \leq (1+o(1))|c_m(r_n, L_n)| \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in  $m$ . We deduce

$$m_2(r_n, F_n) \leq (1+o(1))m_2(r_n, L_n) = (1+o(1))N(r_n, 0)B(\rho)^{-1}$$

and thus (2.1) follows (See [4] p. 185).

*Proof of theorem 2.* Let  $\rho > 0$  satisfy (2.2) and be not odd. Then by the proof of theorem 1 there exist meromorphic  $f_n$  and associated  $G_n, F_n$ , and  $L_n$  satisfying (3.10), (3.12) and (4.2). Let  $M > 1$  be large and suppose that there exist  $x_n \in [r_n, Mr_n]$  and  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$N(x_n, 0) < \sigma^2 N(r_n, 0)(x_n/r_n)^\rho \quad \text{for infinitely many } n.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_{q_0+1}(r_n, L_n)|}{|c_{q_0+1}(r_n, F_n)|} > 1,$$

a contradiction. We conclude

$$(4.9) \quad N(x, 0) = (1+o(1))N(r_n, 0)(x/r_n)^\rho, \quad r_n \leq x \leq Mr_n,$$

uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus by lemma 1 and lemma 3

$$\log |f(z)| = \log |f_n(z)| + o(m_2(r, f)), \quad r_n \leq |z| = r \leq Mr_n,$$

uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and we have

$$(4.10) \quad m_2(r, f) \leq (1+o(1))m_2(r, F_n) \leq (1+o(1))B(\rho)^{-1}N(r, 0)$$

uniformly on  $r_n \leq |z| = r < Mr_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; by (2.2) equality holds throughout in (4.10).

Now by (4.8) there exist  $\varepsilon_n$  tending to 0 with

$$(4.11) \quad |c_m(r_n, f_n)| > (1-\varepsilon_n)|c_m(r_n, F_n)|$$

for  $m = q_0 + 1, q_0 + 3$ . By lemma (2.2) of [6], there exist  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\phi_n, \psi_n \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that if

$$(4.12) \quad \hat{I}_n = \int_0^{q_0} S(\phi_n + 2j\pi/(q_0+1), \delta_n) \quad \check{I}_n = \int_0^{q_0+2} S(\psi_n + 2j\pi/(q_0+3), \delta_n)$$

$$(4.13) \quad \hat{G}_n(z) = \prod_{\substack{s_n < |a_\nu| \leq aR_n \\ a_\nu \in \hat{I}_n}} E(z/a_n, q_0) \quad \tilde{G}_n(z) = \prod_{\substack{s_n < |a_\nu| \leq aR_n \\ a_\nu \in \check{I}_n}} E(z/a_n, q_0)$$

and put  $\hat{F}_n(z) = \hat{G}_n(z)/\hat{G}_n(-z)$  and  $\check{F}_n(z) = \tilde{G}_n(z)/\tilde{G}_n(-z)$ , then

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |c_{q_0+1}(r_n, F_n)| &< \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} |c_{q_0+1}(r_n, F_n)|, \\ |c_{q_0+3}(r_n, F_n)| &< \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} |c_{q_0+3}(r_n, F_n)|. \end{aligned}$$

One consequence of (4.14) is

$$(4.15) \quad N(t, 0, F_n) + N(t, 0, F_n) \leq M^{-\rho/8} N(t, 0, L_n) \quad M^{3/4} r_n \leq t \leq M r_n.$$

And (4.15) shows (2.5). (See [6] p. 183).

If (2.2) holds for  $\rho = a$  positive odd integer, the proof of (2.6) is quite similar to (14) of [6] and will be left to the reader.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] D. DRASIN AND D.F. SHEA, Polya peaks and the oscillation of positive functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **34** (1972), 403-411.
- [ 2 ] A. EDREI AND W.H.J. FUCHS, On the growth of meromorphic functions with several deficient values, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **93** (1959), 292-328.
- [ 3 ] W.K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [ 4 ] M. HEINS, Complex function theory, Academic Press, New York and London (1968).
- [ 5 ] J. MILES AND D.F. SHEA, An extremal problem in value-distribution theory, Quart. J. Math. (2) **24** (1973), 377-383.
- [ 6 ] J. MILES AND D.F. SHEA, On the growth of meromorphic functions having at least one deficient value, Duke Math. J., **43** (1976), 171-186.
- [ 7 ] M. OZAWA, On the growth of meromorphic functions, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
 OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO, JAPAN