

ON THE MINIMUM MODULUS OF A SUBHARMONIC OR AN ALGEBROID FUNCTION OF $\mu_* < 1/2$

BY HIDEHARU UEDA

0. Introduction. Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued entire algebroid function defined by an irreducible equation

$$(1) \quad F(z, y) = y^N + A_1(z)y^{N-1} + \cdots + A_N(z) = 0.$$

Denoting the j -th determination of y by y_j , we set

$$M(r, y) = \max_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |y_j(z)|, \quad m^*(r, y) = \min_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |y_j(z)|.$$

Let A be the system $(1, A_1, \dots, A_N)$ and put

$$B(z) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} |A_j(z)|, \quad M(r, B) = \max_{|z|=r} B(z), \quad m^*(r, B) = \min_{|z|=r} B(z).$$

Then Ozawa [12] showed that

$$(2) \quad \frac{N \log^+ m^*(r, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \frac{\log m^*(r, B) + O(1)}{\log M(r, B) + O(1)}.$$

And he obtained the following theorem by making use of Kjellberg's method [10].

THEOREM A. *Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued entire algebroid function of lower order μ , $0 \leq \mu < 1/2$. Then*

$$(3) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N^2 \log m^*(r, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \cos \pi \mu.$$

We can improve his result by two different methods. The first method is due to Baernstein [3]. He proved there

THEOREM B. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function. Let β and λ be numbers with $0 < \lambda < \infty$, $0 < \beta \leq \pi$, $\beta \lambda < \pi$. Then either*

- (a) *there exist arbitrarily large values of r for which the set of θ satisfying $\log |f(re^{i\theta})| > \cos \beta \lambda \log M(r, f)$ contains an interval of length at least 2β , or else*
- (b) *$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\lambda} \log M(r, f)$ exists, and is positive or ∞ .*

Received March 10, 1980

It turns out by a minute observation of his papers [2], [3] that Theorem B still holds when we replace $|f|$, $\log|f(re^{i\theta})|$ and $\log M(r, f)$ by $B(z)$, $\log B(re^{i\theta})$ and $\log M(r, B)$, respectively. Hence choosing $\beta=\pi$ and $\lambda=\mu+\varepsilon$ in Theorem B, it follows from (2), Theorem B and the above remark that

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N \log m^*(r, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \cos \pi \mu .$$

The second method is to make use of the notion of a local form of the Phragmén-Lindelöf indicator. This notion was introduced by Edrei [7] and is closely related to Pólya peaks. Drasin and Shea [6] proved that Pólya peaks of order ρ exist if and only if $\rho \in [\mu_*, \lambda_*]$, $\rho < \infty$, where

$$\mu_* = \mu_*(T) = \inf \left\{ \rho : \lim_{r, C \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(Cr, A)}{C^\rho T(r, A)} = 0 \right\}, \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_* = \lambda_*(T) = \sup \left\{ \rho : \lim_{r, C \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(Cr, A)}{C^\rho T(r, A)} = \infty \right\} .$$

It is easy to see that $\mu_* \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_*$, where λ and μ are the order and the lower order of T , respectively. Edrei defined a local indicator for a sequence $\{f_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ of analytic functions such that $f_m(z)$ is regular and single-valued in the annulus: $r'_m \leq |z| \leq r''_m$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$). However, his definition is naturally extended for a sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ of subharmonic functions. Exact definition of the local indicator for a sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ will be stated in §1. In §2, we shall state some elementary facts on subharmonic functions defined in C . In §3 we shall prove the following Theorem 1. The case when $u(z)=\log|f(z)|$, and $f(z)$ is entire, is due to Edrei [7, Theorem 1]. In what follows, for a subharmonic function u in C , we put

$$N(r, u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) d\theta, \quad M(r, u) = \sup_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} u(re^{i\theta}), \quad m^*(r, u) = \inf_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} u(re^{i\theta}).$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $u(z)$ be a nonconstant subharmonic function in C and let $T(r, u) = N(r, u^+)$. Assume that $\mu_* = \mu_*(T) < 1$. Let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ ($\mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*$, $0 < \rho < 1$) of $T(r, u)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$, independent of m , and such that, in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values of r satisfying the inequality:*

$$m^*(r, u) > (\cos \pi \rho - \varepsilon) M(r, u). \tag{5}$$

COROLLARY 1. *Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued entire algebroid function and have $\mu_* < 1/2$. Let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, y)$ ($\mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*$) and let $0 < \rho < 1/2$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon)$, independent of m , and such that, in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values*

of r satisfying the inequality:

$$(6) \quad N \log m^*(r, y) > (\cos \pi \rho - \varepsilon) \log M(r, y).$$

This is also an improvement of Theorem A. However, since $\mu_* \leq \mu$ (Equality does not always hold.), the second method is superior to the first one for this problem.

It is natural to consider an analogous problem to Theorem 1 for δ -subharmonic functions—differences of subharmonic functions. That is, for a δ -subharmonic function $v(z) = u^{(1)}(z) - u^{(2)}(z)$ of $\mu_* < 1/2$, what can we say about the relation between $m^*(r, v) = \inf_{-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi} v(re^{i\theta})$ and $T(r, v) = N(r, v^+) + N(r, u^{(2)})$? In [1], Anderson and Baernstein considered a more general problem for δ -subharmonic functions. The following theorem is a part of their consideration. Here we put for a δ -subharmonic function $v = u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}$ in \mathbf{C}

$$\delta(\infty, v) = 1 - \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, u^{(2)})}{T(r, v)}.$$

THEOREM B. Let $v(z) = u^{(1)}(z) - u^{(2)}(z)$ be a δ -subharmonic function in \mathbf{C} of lower order μ , $0 \leq \mu < 1/2$. And assume that $\cos \pi \mu - 1 + \delta(\infty, v) > 0$. Then it is possible to find a positive number R and Pólya peak sequence $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ of order μ of $T(r, v)$ satisfying the inequality:

$$m^*(Rr_m, v) > \left\{ \frac{\pi \mu [\cos \pi \mu - (1 - \delta(\infty, v))]}{\sin \pi \mu} - \varepsilon \right\} T(Rr_m, v).$$

Using the concept of a local indicator, we can prove the following Theorem 2. The case when $v(z) = \log |f(z)| = \log |f_1(z)| - \log |f_2(z)|$, where $f = f_1/f_2$ is meromorphic, is due to Edrei [7, Theorem 2]. (The proof of Theorem 2 will be omitted.)

THEOREM 2. Let $v(z) = u^{(1)}(z) - u^{(2)}(z)$ be a δ -subharmonic function in \mathbf{C} and have $\mu_* < 1/2$. Assume that $v(z)$ satisfies the following conditions (i) and (ii):

$$(i) \quad N(r, u^{(1)}) \sim T(r, v) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$(ii) \quad \delta(\infty, v) + \cos \pi \rho - 1 = k > 0, \text{ where } \mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*, \quad 0 < \rho < 1/2.$$

And let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, v)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$, independent of m , and such that, in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values of r satisfying the inequality:

$$(7) \quad m^*(r, v) > \frac{\pi \rho (k - \varepsilon)}{\sin \pi \rho} T(r, v).$$

COROLLARY 2. Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued algebroid function and have $\mu_* < 1/2$.

Assume that ρ satisfies the following three conditions ;

- (i) $\mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*$, (ii) $0 < \rho < 1/2$, (iii) $\delta(\infty, y) + \cos \pi \rho - 1 = k > 0$.

Let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, y)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$, independent of m , and such that in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values of r satisfying the inequality :

$$(8) \quad \log m^*(r, y) > \frac{\pi \rho (k - \varepsilon)}{\sin \pi \rho} T(r, y).$$

The derivation of Corollary 2 will be done in § 4.

Finally, in § 5, as another application of a local indicator, we shall show the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $v = u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}$ be a δ -subharmonic function in \mathbf{C} and have $\mu_* < 1/2$. Assume that $N(r, u^{(1)}) \sim T(r, v)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$) and let ρ satisfy the following three conditions :

- (i) $\mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*$, (ii) $0 < \rho < 1/2$, (iii) $\cos \pi \rho - 1 + \delta(\infty, v) / (2 - \delta(\infty, v)) = k_2 > 0$.

Further let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, v)$, and let

$$m_2(r, v) = \{N(r, v^2)\}^{1/2}.$$

Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$, independent of m , and such that in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values of r satisfying the inequality :

$$(9) \quad m^*(r, v) > \left\{ \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{1/2 + (\sin 2\pi \rho) / 4\pi \rho}} - \varepsilon \right\} m_2(r, v).$$

In particular, if v is subharmonic, then the assumption : $N(r, u^{(1)}) \sim T(r, v)$ can be dropped.

If $\delta(\infty, v) = 1$, the estimate (9) is best possible. For example, consider a subharmonic function :

$$v(z) = \frac{\pi \gamma^\rho}{\sin \pi \rho} \cos \rho \theta.$$

For an N -valued algebroid function $y(z)$, we introduce the following quantity :

$$C(r, y) = \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \sum_{j=1}^N \{ \log^+ |y_j(r e^{i\theta})| \}^2 d\theta \right]^{1/2} \quad (y_j : j\text{-th determination of } y).$$

COROLLARY 3. Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued algebroid function and have $\mu_* < 1/2$. And let ρ satisfy the following three conditions:

$$(i) \mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*, \quad (ii) 0 < \rho < 1/2, \quad (iii) k_2 = \cos \pi \rho - 1 + \delta(\infty, y) / (2 - \delta(\infty, y)) > 0.$$

Further let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, y)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, it is possible to find a bound $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$, independent of m , and such that in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ there exist arbitrarily large values of r satisfying the inequality:

$$N \log m^*(r, y) > \frac{k_2 - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{1/2 + (\sin 2\pi \rho) / 4\pi \rho}} C(r, y).$$

1. Definition of the local indicator of order ρ of a sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ of subharmonic functions.

(i) three infinite sequences of positive numbers $\{r'_m\}_1^\infty, \{r_m\}_1^\infty, \{r''_m\}_1^\infty$ such that $r'_m < r_m < r''_m < r'_{m+1}$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$), and such that, as $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$r_m / r'_m \rightarrow \infty, \quad r''_m / r_m \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) a sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ such that $B_m(z)$ is subharmonic in the annulus: $r'_m < |z| < r''_m$.

(iii) a strictly positive sequence $\{V(r_m)\}_1^\infty$ and a quantity ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$). We then define a sequence $\{V_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ of analytic "comparison functions":

$$V_m(z) = V_m(r) e^{i\rho\theta} \equiv V(r_m) \left(\frac{r}{r_m}\right) e^{i\rho\theta} \quad (z = r e^{i\theta}).$$

The symbol $V_m(r)$ always refers to the choice of $\theta = 0$.

(iv) Consider the intervals $I_m = [r'_m, r''_m]$ ($m=1, 2, \dots$) as well as the intervals $I_m(s) = [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ ($m=1, 2, \dots, s=1, 2, \dots$), and let

$$A = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty I_m, \quad A(s) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty I_m(s) \quad (s=1, 2, \dots).$$

(v) Let the sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ be chosen so that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in A}} \frac{M(r, B)}{V(r)} < \infty,$$

where $B(z)$ stands for $B_m(z)$ in the annulus: $r'_m < |z| < r''_m$. ($m=1, 2, \dots$). We set for every real value of θ ,

$$h_s(\theta) = \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in A(s)}} \frac{B(r e^{i\theta})}{V(r)} \quad (s=1, 2, \dots),$$

and consider

$$h(\theta) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} h_s(\theta).$$

The real function $h(\theta)$ is, by definition, the local indicator of order ρ of $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ at the peaks $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$. With this definition, Edrei's Fundamental Lemma can be extended straightforwardly for the sequence $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ of subharmonic functions (For the proof, cf. [7, pp. 159-162]).

Fundamental Lemma. *Let $h(\theta)$ be the local indicator of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) of $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ at the peaks $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$. Let θ_1, θ_2 be given such that $0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 < \pi/\rho$, and let the constants a, b be such that the sinusoid $H(\theta) = a \cos \rho\theta + b \sin \rho\theta$ satisfies the conditions $h(\theta_1) \leq H(\theta_1), h(\theta_2) \leq H(\theta_2)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $s > 0$, there exists a bound $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon, s, a, b, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, independent of θ , such that for $r \in A(s), \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2, r \geq r_0$*

$$B(re^{i\theta}) \leq (H(\theta) + \varepsilon)V(r).$$

From Fundamental Lemma, we immediately have $h(\theta) \leq H(\theta)$ ($\theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2$), that is, the subtrigonometric character of $h(\theta)$. It is known that many important properties of an indicator depend only on its subtrigonometric character (cf. [5]). For example, we have the following fact (cf. [5, pp. 42-45]).

Let $h(\theta)$ be the local indicator of order ρ of $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$. Assume that $h(\theta) \neq -\infty$, and let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ be such that $0 < \theta_2 - \theta_1 < \pi/\rho, 0 < \theta_3 - \theta_2 < \pi/\rho$. Then

$$\begin{vmatrix} h(\theta_1) & \cos \rho\theta_1 & \sin \rho\theta_1 \\ h(\theta_2) & \cos \rho\theta_2 & \sin \rho\theta_2 \\ h(\theta_3) & \cos \rho\theta_3 & \sin \rho\theta_3 \end{vmatrix} \geq 0.$$

In particular, if $0 \leq \theta < \pi/\rho$, then

$$(10) \quad \frac{h(-\theta) + h(\theta)}{2} \geq h(0) \cos \rho\theta.$$

2. Some elementary facts on subharmonic functions defined in C. Since we are interested in results for large values of r in Theorem 1, we may assume that $u(z)$ is harmonic in a neighborhood of the origin. Further we may prove Theorem 1 for $u(0) = 0$. In fact, assume that Theorem 1 is valid for an arbitrary subharmonic function $v(z)$ of $\mu_* < 1/2$ which is harmonic in a neighborhood of $z = 0$ and satisfies $v(0) = 0$. Take an arbitrary subharmonic function $u(z)$ of $\mu_* < 1/2$ which is harmonic in a neighborhood of $z = 0$. Put $v(z) = u(z) - u(0)$. By the Riesz representation theorem there exists a positive Borel measure ν and C such that for $|z| < R$ ($0 < R < \infty$)

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} v(z) &= h(z) + \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log |z - \zeta| d\nu(\zeta) \\ &= h(z) + \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log |\zeta| d\nu(\zeta) + \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\nu(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(z)$ is harmonic in $|z| < R$. Let $n(r) = \nu(|\zeta| < r)$. Then Jensen's formula for subharmonic functions (cf. [9]) gives

$$(12) \quad N(r, v) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} v(re^{i\theta}) d\theta = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \leq T(r, v) \leq M(r, v),$$

which implies that " $N(r, v)$ is bounded. $\Leftrightarrow v(z)$ is harmonic in C ." Assume now that $T(r, v)$ is bounded. Then v^+ is harmonic in C . Since $v^+ \geq 0$, this shows that v^+ is a constant. Therefore $N(r, v)$ is bounded, so that v is harmonic in C . However, since v is bounded above, v must be a constant. Hence the nonconstancy of v implies that $T(r, v) \nearrow \infty$ and that $M(r, v) \nearrow \infty$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$). Thus there exists a $r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $r \geq r_0$ implies

$$(13) \quad |u(0)| \left(1 - \cos \pi \rho + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} M(r, v).$$

Further by the above assumption, there exists a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \nearrow \infty$ contained in $\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ ($\{r_m\}_1^\infty$: a Pólya peak sequence of order ρ of $T(r, u)$; $s = s(\varepsilon)$: a positive integer) satisfying the inequality:

$$(14) \quad m^*(\chi_n, v) > \left(\cos \pi \rho - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) M(\chi_n, v).$$

It follows from (13) and (14) that

$$m^*(\chi_n, u) > (\cos \pi \rho - \varepsilon) M(\chi_n, u) \quad (\chi_n \geq r_0).$$

In what follows we may assume that $u(z)$ is harmonic in a neighborhood of 0 and satisfies $u(0) = 0$.

Now, we put

$$(15) \quad u_1(z, R) = \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\nu(\zeta),$$

$$u_2(z, R) = \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{|\zeta|} \right| d\nu(\zeta) = \int_0^R \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{t} \right| dn(t).$$

Then $u_1(z, R)$ and $u_2(z, R)$ are subharmonic in C and they satisfy

$$(16) \quad m^*(r, u_2) \leq m^*(r, u_1) \leq M(r, u_1) \leq M(r, u_2).$$

Next, let

$$(17) \quad u_3(z, R) = u(z) - u_1(z, R).$$

Then, using (17), (11), and (15), we have

$$u_3(z, R) = h(z) + \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log |\zeta| d\nu(\zeta) \quad (|z| < R).$$

which shows that $u_3(z, R)$ is harmonic in $|z| < R$. Let $f(z)$ be regular in $|z| < R$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = u_3(z, R)$ and $f(0) = 0$. Hence by a theorem of Carathéodory

$$(18) \quad |f(z)| \leq \frac{2|z|}{R-|z|} M(R, u_3) \quad (|z| < R).$$

Further, an estimate due to Kjellberg [10, p. 92] or Barry [4, p. 182] gives

$$(19) \quad M(2R, u_3) \leq M(2R, u).$$

Combining (18) and (19) we obtain

$$(20) \quad |u_3(z, R)| \leq |f(z)| < \frac{4M(2R, u)}{R} r \quad \left(|z| = r < \frac{R}{2}\right).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Since we are mainly interested in Corollary 1, we shall prove only for the case of $\mu_* < 1/2$, $\mu_* \leq \rho \leq \lambda_*$ and $0 < \rho < 1/2$. Let $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, u)$. And let $\{r'_m\}_1^\infty, \{r''_m\}_1^\infty, \{\varepsilon_m\}_1^\infty$ be the associated sequences with Pólya peaks $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ of order ρ . Choose $\{V(r_m)\}_1^\infty$ as follows.

$$(21) \quad V(r_m) = (1 + \varepsilon_m) T(r_m, u) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This implies

$$(22) \quad T(r, u) < V(r) \quad (r \in A).$$

Put

$$(23) \quad B_m(z) = u_2(z, r''_m/4) = \int_0^{r''_m/4} \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{t} \right| dn(t) \quad (r'_m \leq |z| \leq r''_m),$$

and we consider the local indicator $h(\theta)$ of order ρ of $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ at the peaks $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$.

(i) Existence of $h(\theta)$: By definition we may show that

$$(24) \quad \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in A}} \frac{B(r)}{V(r)} < \infty.$$

Put

$$n_m^{(0)}(t) = \begin{cases} n(t) & \left(t \leq \frac{r''_m}{4}\right) \\ n\left(\frac{r''_m}{4}\right) & \left(t > \frac{r''_m}{4}\right) \end{cases}, \quad N_m^{(0)}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{n_m^{(0)}(r)}{r} dr.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(r) &= \int_0^\infty \log\left(1 + \frac{r}{t}\right) dn_m^{(0)}(t) = r \int_0^\infty \frac{n_m^{(0)}(t)}{(t+r)t} dt = r \int_0^\infty \frac{dN_m^{(0)}(t)}{t+r} \\ &= r \int_0^\infty \frac{N_m^{(0)}(t)}{(t+r)^2} dt = r \left(\int_0^{r'_m} + \int_{r'_m}^{r''_m/4} + \int_{r''_m/4}^\infty \right) \frac{N_m^{(0)}(t)}{(t+r)^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$N_m^{(0)}(t) = \begin{cases} N(t) & \left(t \leq \frac{r''_m}{4}\right) \\ N\left(\frac{r''_m}{4}\right) + n\left(\frac{r''_m}{4}\right) \log\left(\frac{4t}{r''_m}\right) & \left(t > \frac{r''_m}{4}\right), \end{cases}$$

we easily obtain

$$B_m(r) \leq \frac{r'_m}{r} N(r'_m) + A \frac{r}{r''_m} N(r''_m) + r \int_{r''_m/4}^{r''_m/4} \frac{N(t)}{(t+r)^2} dt$$

(A : an absolute constant).

By (22) we have

$$N(r, u) \leq T(r, u) < V(r) \quad (r \in I_m).$$

Thus for $r \in I_m$

$$\begin{aligned} B_m(r) &\leq \frac{r'_m}{r} V(r'_m) + A \frac{r}{r''_m} V(r''_m) + r \int_{r''_m/4}^{r''_m/4} \frac{V(t)}{(t+r)^2} dt \\ &\leq \left(\frac{r'_m}{r}\right) V(r) \left(\frac{r'_m}{r}\right)^\rho + A \left(\frac{r}{r''_m}\right) V(r) \left(\frac{r''_m}{r}\right)^\rho + V(r) \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\rho}{(1+x)^2} dx \\ &< V(r) \left[1 + A + \int_0^\infty \frac{x^\rho}{(1+x)^2} dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This shows (24).

(ii) $h(0) \geq 1$: By definition we may prove

$$(25) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(r_m)}{V(r_m)} \geq 1.$$

From (21), (17), (16), (23) and (20) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(r_m)}{1 + \varepsilon_m} &= T(r_m, u) \leq M(r_m, u) \leq M(r_m, u_1) + M(r_m, u_3) \\ &\leq M(r_m, u_2) + M(r_m, u_3) \leq B(r_m) + \frac{4M(r''_m/2, u)}{r''_m/4} r_m \\ &= B(r_m) + 16M(r''_m/2, u) \frac{r_m}{r''_m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq B(r_m) + 48T(r''_m, u) \frac{r_m}{r''_m} \leq B(r_m) + 48V(r_m) \left(\frac{r_m}{r''_m}\right)^{1-\rho},$$

where we used the fact that $M(r, u) \leq 3T(2r, u)$ (cf. [9, Chapter 3]). Since $r_m/r''_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, (25) follows.

(iii) By (23) we have $B_m(re^{i\theta}) = B_m(re^{-i\theta})$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, which implies $h(\theta) = h(-\theta)$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$). It follows from this, (10) and (ii) that

$$(26) \quad h(\theta) \geq h(0) \cos \rho\theta \geq \cos \rho\theta > 0.$$

(iv) By (17), (16), (20) and (22) we have for $r \leq r''_m/8$

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad m^*(r, u) &\geq m^*(r, u) + m^*(r, u_3) \\ &\geq m^*(r, u_2) - \frac{4M(r''_m/2, u)}{r''_m/4} r \geq m^*(r, u_2) - 48V(r''_m) \frac{r}{r''_m} \\ &= m^*(r, u_2) - 48\left(\frac{r}{r''_m}\right)^{1-\rho} V(r). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we obtain

$$(28) \quad M(r, u) \leq M(r, u_2) + 48\left(\frac{r}{r''_m}\right)^{1-\rho} V(r) \quad (r \leq r''_m/8).$$

(v) For given $\eta > 0$ (small enough), choose s (a positive integer) such that $h_s(\pi) > h(\pi) - \eta$. By the definition of $h_s(\pi)$, there exists a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty (\nearrow \infty) \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty [r_m e^{-s}, r_m e^s]$ satisfying $B(-\chi_n) > (h_s(\pi) - \eta)V(\chi_n) > (h(\pi) - 2\eta)V(\chi_n)$. Hence by (27) and (26)

$$\begin{aligned} (29) \quad m^*(\chi_n, u) &> (h(\pi) - 3\eta)V(\chi_n) \quad (n \geq n_0(\eta, s)) \\ &> (h(0) \cos \pi\rho - 3\eta)V(\chi_n) \geq (\cos \pi\rho - 3\eta)V(\chi_n). \end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $\cos \pi\rho - 3\eta > 0$. On the other hand, by the definition of $h(0)$ and (28)

$$(30) \quad M(\chi_n, u) < (h(0) + \eta)V(\chi_n) + \eta V(\chi_n) \quad (n \geq n_1(\eta, s)).$$

It follows from (29) and (30) that

$$\frac{m^*(\chi_n, u)}{M(\chi_n, u)} > \frac{h(\pi) - 3\eta}{h(0) + 2\eta} > \cos \pi\rho - \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued entire algebroid function defined by (1). And let A be the system $(1, A_1, \dots, A_N)$. Then Valiron [13] proved that

$$(31) \quad T(r, A) = NT(r, y) + O(1).$$

Next, put $u(z) = \log B(z) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j(z)|$. Evidently

$$(32) \quad T(r, A) = N(r, u^+) = T(r, u).$$

From (31) and (32) we deduce that $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ is a Pólya peak sequence of order ρ of $T(r, u)$ ($\rho < 1/2$). Hence Theorem 1 implies the existence of a positive integer $s = s(\varepsilon)$ and a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \nearrow \infty$ contained in $\Lambda(s)$ such that

$$\log m^*(\chi_n, B) > (\cos \pi \rho - \varepsilon) \log M(\chi_n, B) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Combining this and (2), we have the desired result.

4. Proof of Corollary 2. Let $y(z)$ be an N -valued algebraic function defined by the irreducible equation

$$F(z, y) = A_0(z)y^N + \dots + A_N(z) = 0.$$

And let $A = (A_0, \dots, A_N)$. Then

$$\min_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j(z)/A_0(z)| \leq N \log^+ m^*(r, y) + O(1).$$

For the proof, cf. [12, p. 167]. Since (31) holds also in this case, we have

$$(33) \quad \frac{\log^+ m^*(r, y)}{T(r, y)} \geq \frac{\min_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \log^+ |A_j(z)/A_0(z)| + O(1)}{T(r, A) + O(1)}.$$

Now, let $v = u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}$, where $u^{(1)}(z) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j(z)|$, $u^{(2)}(z) = \log |A_0(z)|$. Then it is clear that

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} T(r, v) &= N(r, v^+) + N(r, u^{(2)}) = N(r, v) + N(r, u^{(2)}) \\ &= N(r, u^{(1)}) = T(r, A) = NT(r, y) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(35) \quad 1 - \delta(\infty, v) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, u^{(2)})}{T(r, v)} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 0, A_0)}{T(r, A)} = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \infty, y)}{T(r, y)} = 1 - \delta(\infty, y).$$

We deduce from (34) that $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, v)$. Further note that the condition (ii) in Theorem 2 follows from (35) and the condition (iii). Hence Theorem 2 guarantees the existence of a positive integer $s = s(\varepsilon)$ and a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \subset \Lambda(s)$ tending to ∞ such that

$$\min_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \log^+ |A_j(z)/A_0(z)| > \frac{\pi \rho (k - \varepsilon)}{\sin \pi \rho} T(\chi_n, A).$$

Combining this and (33) we have the desired result.

5. Proof of Theorem 3. We may assume that $u^{(1)}$ and $u^{(2)}$ are harmonic in a neighborhood of 0 and that $u^{(1)}(0)=u^{(2)}(0)=0$. In fact, assume that Theorem 3 holds for the set \mathcal{F} of such δ -subharmonic functions. Take an arbitrary nonconstant δ -subharmonic function $v=u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}$ satisfying the assumption of Theorem 3. Since we are interested in results for large values of r , we may assume that $u^{(1)}$ and $u^{(2)}$ are harmonic in a neighborhood of 0. Next put $\tilde{u}^{(1)}(z)=u^{(1)}(z)-u^{(1)}(0)$, $\tilde{u}^{(2)}(z)=u^{(2)}(z)-u^{(2)}(0)$, and $\tilde{v}=\tilde{u}^{(1)}-\tilde{u}^{(2)}$. Since nonconstancy of v implies that $T(r, v) \nearrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (For the proof, cf. § 2), we easily have

$$(36) \quad T(r, v)=T(r, \tilde{v})+O(1)=(1+o(1))T(r, \tilde{v}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

From (36), if $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$ is a sequence of Pólya peaks of order ρ of $T(r, v)$, it is also a Pólya peak sequence of order ρ of $T(r, \tilde{v})$. Further evidently (36) implies that “All the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied for $v(z)$. \Leftrightarrow All the assumptions of Theorem 3 are satisfied for $\tilde{v}(z)$.” Hence by assumption Theorem 3 guarantees the existence of a positive integer $s=s(\varepsilon)>0$ and a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \subset A(s)$ tending to ∞ such that

$$(37) \quad m^*(\chi_n, \tilde{v}) > \frac{k_2 - \varepsilon/2}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} m_2(\chi_n, \tilde{v}) \quad n=1, 2, \dots.$$

Next, it is clear that

$$(38) \quad m^*(\chi_n, v) = m^*(\chi_n, \tilde{v}) + u^{(1)}(0) - u^{(2)}(0),$$

and

$$(39) \quad m_2(r, v) \geq N(r, |v|) = N(r, v^+) + N(r, v^-) = 2T(r, v) - N(r, u^{(1)}) - N(r, u^{(2)}).$$

It follows from (39) and $\delta(\infty, v) > 0$ that $m_2(r, v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\tilde{v} = v - (u^{(1)}(0) - u^{(2)}(0)) \equiv v - c$, we have

$$m_2^2(r, \tilde{v}) = m_2^2(r, v - c) \geq m_2^2(r, v) + c^2 - 2|c|m_2(r, v) = \{m_2(r, v) - |c|\}^2,$$

so that

$$(40) \quad m_2(r, \tilde{v}) \geq m_2(r, v) - |c| \quad (r \geq r_0(|c|)).$$

Now, noting that $m_2(r, v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a $r_1 > 0$ such that $r \geq r_1$ implies

$$(41) \quad |c| \left(1 + \frac{k_2 - \varepsilon/2}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} m_2(r, v).$$

Combining (37), (38), (40) and (41) we deduce

$$m^*(\chi_n, v) > \frac{k_2 - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} m_2(\chi_n, v) \quad (n \geq n_0).$$

From now on, we assume that $v \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\nu^{(j)}$ be the Riesz mass associated with

$u^{(j)}$ ($j=1, 2$), and let $n^{(j)}(t)=\nu^{(j)}(|\zeta|<t)$. Further let $\{r'_m\}_1^\infty, \{r''_m\}_1^\infty, \{\varepsilon_m\}_1^\infty$ be the associated sequences with $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$. Choose $V(r_m)=(1+\varepsilon_m)T(r_m, v)$, which implies

$$(42) \quad T(r, v) < V(r) \quad (r \in A).$$

Put

$$B_m(z) = u_2^{(1)}(z, r''_m/4) + u_2^{(2)}(z, r''_m/4) = \int_0^{r''_m/4} \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{t} \right| d\{n^{(1)}(t) + n^{(2)}(t)\}.$$

Now, we consider the local indicator $h(\theta)$ of order ρ of $\{B_m(z)\}_1^\infty$ at the peaks $\{r_m\}_1^\infty$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can easily see the existence of $h(\theta)$. Here we shall show $h(0) \geq 1$. By our assumptions, as $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{V(r_m)}{1+\varepsilon_m} = T(r_m, v) \sim N(r_m, u^{(1)}) = N(r_m, u_2^{(1)}) \leq N(r_m, u_2^{(1)} + u_2^{(2)}) \leq B_m(r_m).$$

Hence by the definition of $h(0)$

$$h(0) \geq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_m(r_m)}{V(r_m)} \geq 1.$$

Next, using (17) we have

$$(43) \quad \begin{aligned} v(z) &= u^{(1)}(z) - u^{(2)}(z) = u_{1,m}^{(1)}(z) - u_{1,m}^{(2)}(z) + u_{3,m}^{(1)}(z) - u_{3,m}^{(2)}(z) \\ &\equiv u_{1,m}^{(1)}(z) - u_{1,m}^{(2)}(z) + W_m(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since $W_m(z)$ is harmonic in $|z| < r''_m/4 \equiv R_m$ and $W_m(0) = 0$, it is the real part of a regular function $f_m(z)$ which may be taken to satisfy $f_m(0) = 0$. Let

$$(44) \quad f_m(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(R_m) z^n \quad (|z| < R_m).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(R_m) r^n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} W_m(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \quad (r < R_m, n \geq 1). \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} v(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} u_{1,m}^{(1)}(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} u_{1,m}^{(2)}(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} v(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta + \frac{1}{n} \int_{|\zeta| \leq r} \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{r}\right)^n d\nu^{(1)}(\zeta) + \frac{1}{n} \int_{r < |\zeta| \leq R_m} \left(\frac{r}{\zeta}\right)^n d\nu^{(1)}(\zeta) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \int_{|\zeta| \leq r} \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{r}\right)^n d\nu^{(2)}(\zeta) - \frac{1}{n} \int_{r < |\zeta| \leq R_m} \left(\frac{r}{\zeta}\right)^n d\nu^{(2)}(\zeta). \quad (\text{cf. [8]}) \end{aligned}$$

Evidently

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} v(r e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| \leq 2N(r, |v|) \leq 4T(r, v) - 2N(r, u^{(1)}) - 2N(r, u^{(2)}),$$

$$\left| \int_{|\zeta| \leq r} \left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}}{r}\right)^n d\nu^{(1)}(\zeta) \right| \leq \int_0^r dn^{(1)}(t) = n^{(1)}(r), \quad \text{etc.}$$

Hence by (45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |C_n(R_m)| &\leq \frac{4T(R_m, v)}{R_m^n} + \frac{n^{(1)}(R_m) + n^{(2)}(R_m)}{n \cdot R_m^n} \\ (46) \qquad &\leq \frac{4T(2R_m, v)}{R_m^n} + \frac{2T(2R_m, v)}{n \cdot R_m^n \cdot \log 2} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (46) into (44), we obtain for $r = |z| < R_m/2$

$$\begin{aligned} |W_m(z)| &\leq |f_m(z)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |C_n(R_m)| r^n \\ (47) \qquad &< 4T(2R_m, v) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{R_m}\right)^n + 2T(2R_m, v) \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{R_m}\right)^n \\ &= 4T(2R_m, v) \frac{r/R_m}{1-r/R_m} + 2T(2R_m, v) \frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{r/R_m}{1-r/R_m} \\ &< \frac{T(2R_m, v)}{R_m} r \left(8 + \frac{4}{\log 2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (43), (16), (47) and (42), we deduce that for any $\eta > 0$ and any integer $s > 0$, there exists a $m_0 = m_0(\eta, s) > 0$ such that $r \in I_m(s)$, $m \geq m_0$ imply

$$(48) \qquad m^*(r, v) \geq u_{2,m}^{(1)}(-r) - u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r) - \eta V(r).$$

Now, for given $\eta > 0$, choose an integer $s > 0$ such that $h_s(\pi) > h(\pi) - \eta$. By the definition of $h_s(\pi)$ there exists a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \subset A(s)$ tending to ∞ such that

$$(49) \qquad B(-\chi_n) > (h_s(\pi) - \eta)V(\chi_n) > (h(\pi) - 2\eta)V(\chi_n) > (h(0)\cos \pi\rho - 2\eta)V(\chi_n).$$

We may suppose that $\cos \pi\rho - 2\eta > 0$. By (48) and (49) we have

$$(50) \qquad m^*(\chi_n, v) > (h(\pi) - 3\eta)V(\chi_n) - \{u_{2,m}^{(2)}(-\chi_n) + u_{2,m}^{(2)}(\chi_n)\}.$$

Since $N(r, u^{(1)}) \sim T(r, v)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), we obtain for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(51) \qquad N(r, u_{2,m}^{(2)}) \sim N(r, u^{(2)}) < (1 - \delta(\infty, v) + \varepsilon)N(r, u^{(1)}) \quad (r \in I_m(s), r \geq r_0(\varepsilon)).$$

As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 1,

$$u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r) = r \int_0^\infty \frac{N(t, u_{2,m}^{(2)})}{(t+r)^2} dt.$$

Using this and (51), we easily have for $r \in I_m(s)$, $m > m_0(\eta, s)$

$$u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r) < (1 - \delta(\infty, v))u_{1,m}^{(2)}(r) + \eta V(r).$$

Thus for $r \in I_m(s)$, $m > m_0(\eta, s)$

$$(52) \quad u_{2,m}^{(2)}(-r) + u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r) \leq 2u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r) \leq \left(\frac{2 - 2\delta(\infty, v)}{2 - \delta(\infty, v)} + \eta' \right) (u_{2,m}^{(1)}(r) + u_{2,m}^{(2)}(r)) < \left(\frac{2 - 2\delta(\infty, v)}{2 - \delta(\infty, v)} + \eta'' \right) h(0) V(r),$$

where $\eta', \eta'' (> 0)$ satisfy $\eta', \eta'' \rightarrow 0$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Substituting (52) into (50),

$$(53) \quad m^*(\mathcal{X}_n, v) > \left\{ h(\pi) - 3\eta h(0) - \frac{2 - 2\delta(\infty, v)}{2 - \delta(\infty, v)} h(0) - \eta'' h(0) \right\} V(\mathcal{X}_n).$$

We may suppose that the right hand side of (53) is positive. On the other hand, by (43) and (47),

$$(54) \quad m_2^*(\mathcal{X}_n, v) = m_2^*(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{1,m}^{(1)} - u_{1,m}^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (W_m(\mathcal{X}_n e^{i\theta}))^2 d\theta + 2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W_m(\mathcal{X}_n e^{i\theta}) (u_{1,m}^{(1)}(\mathcal{X}_n e^{i\theta}) - u_{1,m}^{(2)}(\mathcal{X}_n e^{i\theta})) d\theta \leq m_2^*(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{1,m}^{(1)} - u_{1,m}^{(2)}) + \eta^2 (V(\mathcal{X}_n))^2 + 2m_2(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{1,m}^{(1)} - u_{1,m}^{(2)}) \eta V(\mathcal{X}_n).$$

As Miles and Shea [11] proved,

$$(55) \quad m_2(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{1,m}^{(1)} - u_{1,m}^{(2)}) \leq m_2(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{2,m}^{(1)} + u_{2,m}^{(2)}).$$

Here we note that for $|z| = \mathcal{X}_n$

$$0 < u_{2,m}^{(1)}(z) + u_{2,m}^{(2)}(z) < (H(\theta) + \varepsilon) V(\mathcal{X}_n),$$

where

$$H(\theta) = \frac{h(0) \sin(\pi - \theta)\rho + h(\pi) \sin \theta \rho}{\sin \pi \rho} \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq \pi).$$

Hence

$$m_2(\mathcal{X}_n, u_{2,m}^{(1)} + u_{2,m}^{(2)}) < V(\mathcal{X}_n) \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (H(\theta) + \varepsilon)^2 d\theta \right\}^{1/2} < V(\mathcal{X}_n) \left\{ \frac{\left[\left\{ (h(0))^2 + (h(\pi))^2 \right\} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin 2\pi\rho}{4\pi\rho} \right) + h(0)h(\pi) \left(\frac{\sin \pi\rho}{\pi\rho} - \cos \pi\rho \right) \right]^{1/2}}{\sin \pi\rho} + \eta''' \right\},$$

where $\eta''' \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Combining (53)–(56), we obtain

$$(57) \quad \frac{m^*(\chi_n, v)}{m_2(\chi_n, v)} > \frac{\left(h(\pi) - \frac{2-2\delta(\infty, v)}{2-\delta(\infty, v)}h(0) - 3\eta h(0) - \eta''h(0)\right)\sin \pi\rho}{\left[\{(h(0))^2 + (h(\pi))^2\} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin 2\pi\rho}{4\pi\rho}\right) + h(0)h(\pi)\left(\frac{\sin \pi\rho}{\pi\rho} - \cos \pi\rho\right)\right]^{1/2} + \eta''}$$

The function

$$\frac{t - \frac{2-2\delta(\infty, v)}{2-\delta(\infty, v)}}{\left\{(1+t^2)\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin 2\pi\rho}{4\pi\rho}\right) + t\left(\frac{\sin \pi\rho}{\pi\rho} - \cos \pi\rho\right)\right\}^{1/2}}$$

increases as t increases, and therefore, in view of $h(\pi) > h(0) \cos \pi\rho$, the right hand side of (57) is not smaller than

$$\frac{\cos \pi\rho - \frac{2-2\delta(\infty, v)}{2-\delta(\infty, v)}}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} - \varepsilon.$$

Proof of Corollary 3. We make use of some estimates stated in § 4. As Valiron [13] showed

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \log^+ |y_j| \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j/A_0| + O(1).$$

Hence

$$(58) \quad C^2(r, y) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \left\{ \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \log \left| \frac{A_j}{A_0}(re^{i\theta}) \right| \right\}^2 d\theta \right] (1 + o(1)).$$

We apply Theorem 3 to $u^{(1)} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j|$, $u^{(2)} = \log |A_0|$. Then there exist an integer $s = s(\varepsilon) > 0$ and a sequence $\{\chi_n\}_1^\infty \subset A(s)$ tending to ∞ such that

$$(59) \quad \left\{ \min_{|z|=\chi_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} \log |A_j/A_0| \right\}^2 > \left\{ \frac{k_2}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} - \varepsilon/2 \right\}^2 \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \left\{ \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \log \left| \frac{A_j}{A_0}(\chi_n e^{i\theta}) \right| \right\}^2 d\theta \right].$$

Combining (58), (59) and an estimate stated in § 4, we have

$$N \log m^*(\chi_n, y) > \left(\frac{k_2}{\sqrt{1/2 + \sin 2\pi\rho/4\pi\rho}} - \varepsilon \right) C(\chi_n, y).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] ANDERSON, J.M. AND BAERNSTEIN II, A., The size of the set on which a meromorphic function is large, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **36** (1978), 518-539.
- [2] BAERNSTEIN II, A., Proof of Edrei's spread conjecture, *ibid.* (3) **26** (1973), 418-434.
- [3] BAERNSTEIN II, A., A generalization of the $\cos \pi\rho$ theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), 181-197.
- [4] BARRY, P.D., On a theorem of Kjellberg, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **15** (1964), 179-191.
- [5] CARTWRIGHT, M.L., *Integral functions* (Cambridge, 1956).
- [6] DRASIN, D. AND SHEA, D.F., Pólya peaks and the oscillation of positive functions *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** no. 2 (1972), 403-411.
- [7] EDREI, A., A local form of the Phragmén-Lindelöf indicator; *Mathematika* **17** (1970), 149-172.
- [8] EDREI, A. AND FUCHS, W.H.J., On the growth of meromorphic functions with several deficient values, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 292-328.
- [9] HAYMAN, W.K. AND KENNEDY, P.B., *Subharmonic functions*, Academic Press (1976).
- [10] KJELLBERG, B., On the minimum modulus of functions of lower order less than one, *Math. Scand.* **8** (1960), 189-197.
- [11] MILES, J. AND SHEA, D.F., An extremal problem in value distribution theory, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **24** (1973), 377-383.
- [12] OZAWA, M., On the minimum modulus of an entire algebroid function of lower order less than one; *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **22** (1970), 166-171.
- [13] VALIRON, G., Sur la dérivée des fonctions algébroides, *Bull. Soc. Math.* **59** (1931), 17-39.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Current Address

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
DAIDO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
DAIDO-CHO, MINAMI-KU, NAGOYA, JAPAN