

## A theorem for hypersurfaces of conformally flat space

By

Makoto MATSUMOTO

(Received October, 10, 1955)

In the following we shall prove a theorem for especial hypersurfaces of conformally flat Riemannian space as follows.

**Theorem.** *If  $P$  and only  $P(0 < P \leq n)$  of conformal principal radii of a hypersurface  $V^n$  of conformally flat space are equal identically in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $V^n$ , then  $U$  contains  $\infty^{n-P} V^P$ , such that  $V^P$  is umbilical in  $V^n$  and the conformal curvature tensor of  $V^P$  vanishes.*

Hence  $V^P$  is conformally flat if  $P \geq 4$ . The conformal principal radii  $\sigma_a$  are defined in terms of principal radii  $\rho_a$  as follows.

$$\sigma_a = \rho_a - \frac{1}{n} \sum_b \rho_b.$$

It is clear that the theorem holds equally well, if conformal principal radii are replaced by principal radii.

We consider a variety  $V^n$  of coördinates  $x^i$  immersed in a Riemannian space  $V^m$  of coördinates  $y^\alpha$ . Let  $B_P^\alpha (P = n+1, \dots, m)$  be mutually orthogonal unit vectors normal to  $V^n$  and  $B_i^\alpha = \partial y^\alpha / \partial x^i$ . Then there exist quantities  $H_{ij}^P$  and  $H_{Q_i}^P (P, Q = n+1, \dots, m)$ , such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{i;j}^\alpha &= \sum_P e_P H_{ij}^P B_P^\alpha, & (e_P = \pm 1) \\ B_{P;j}^\alpha &= -g^{hi} H_{hj}^P B_i^\alpha + \sum_Q e^Q H_{Pj}^Q B_Q^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g^{hi}$  are components of the fundamental tensor of  $V^n$ .

If  $V^m$  is conformal to a flat space and we put

$$(2) \quad M_{ij}^P = H_{ij}^P - \frac{1}{n} g^{hk} H_{hk}^P g_{ij},$$

$M^P_j = g^{ik} M_{kj}^P$  and  $N_{ij} = \sum_P e_P M^P_i M^P_j$ , then we have from the Gauss

and Codazzi equations

$$(3) \quad C_{hijk} = \sum_P e_P (M_{hj}^P M_{ik}^P - M_{hk}^P M_{ij}^P) + \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{hj} N_{ik} - g_{hk} N_{ij} \\ + g_{ik} N_{hj} - g_{ij} N_{hk}) - \frac{g^{lm} N_{lm}}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{hj} g_{ik} - g_{hk} g_{ij}),$$

$$(4) \quad M_{ij;k}^P - M_{ik;j}^P + \sum_Q e_Q (M_{ij}^Q H_{Qk}^P - M_{ik}^Q H_{Qj}^P) - \frac{1}{n-1} \{g_{ij} (M_{k;l}^{P;l} \\ + \sum_Q e_Q M_k^{Ql} H_{Ql}^P) - g_{ik} (M_{j;l}^{P;l} + \sum_Q e_Q M_j^{Ql} H_{Ql}^P)\} = 0,$$

$$(5) \quad H_{Pj;k}^Q - H_{Pk;j}^Q - (M_{ij}^{P;l} M_{ik}^Q - M_{ik}^{P;l} M_{ij}^Q) \\ + \sum_R e_R (H_{Pj}^R H_{Rk}^Q - H_{Pk}^R H_{Rj}^Q) = 0,$$

where  $C_{hijk}$  are components of the conformal curvature tensor of  $V^n$ .

K. Yano<sup>(2)</sup> show that the quantities  $M_{ij}^P B_P^a$  are invariant under a conformal transformation of  $V^m$ . Also K. Yano and Y. Muto<sup>(3)</sup> proved that a Riemannian space  $V^n$  is immersed in a conformally flat space, if and only if there exist  $M_{ij}^P$  and  $H_{Qj}^P$  satisfying the equations (3), (4) and (5). It should be remarked here that, though they gave further conditions for such a space, those conditions are obtained as consequences of (3), (4) and (5). If  $V^n$  is a hypersurface of  $V^m$  ( $m=n+1$ ), then (3) and (4) are respectively expressible in the following.

$$(6) \quad C_{hijk} = e (M_{hj} M_{ik} - M_{hk} M_{ij}) + \frac{e}{n-2} (g_{hj} M_i^l M_{lk} - g_{hk} M_i^l M_{lj} \\ + g_{ik} M_h^l M_{lj} - g_{ij} M_h^l M_{lk}) - \frac{e M_m^l M_l^m}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{hj} g_{ik} - g_{hk} g_{ij}),$$

$$(7) \quad M_{ij;k} - M_{ik;j} + \frac{1}{n-1} (g_{ij} M_{k;l}^l - g_{ik} M_{j;l}^l) = 0,$$

And (5) is satisfied identically.

Hereafter we assume that all of the principal radii  $\rho_a$  of  $V^n$  are real and none of the principal directions are null vectors. Such a hypersurface was called to be *proper* by A. Fialkow<sup>(1)</sup>. Then there exists an orthogonal ennuple in  $V^n$ , the unit vectors  $\hat{\xi}_a^i$  of which are tangent to the lines of curvature, and the fundamental tensor  $g_{ij}$  and  $H_{ij}$  are expressible in terms of  $\hat{\xi}_a^i$  and  $\rho_a$  as follows:

$$g_{ij} = \sum_a e_a \xi_{(a)i} \xi_{(a)j}, \quad H_{ij} = \sum_a e_a \xi_{(a)i} \xi_{(a)j} \quad (e = \pm 1).$$

Hence the tensor  $M_{ij}$  are written in the similar form :

$$(8) \quad M_{ij} = \sum_a e_a \sigma_a \xi_{(a)i} \xi_{(a)j},$$

where  $\sigma_a$  are conformal principal radii of  $V^n$ . The coefficients  $\gamma_{abc}$  of rotation of the ennuple  $\xi_{(a)}^i$  are defined by

$$\gamma_{abc} = \xi_{(a)i;j} \xi_{(b)}^i \xi_{(c)}^j.$$

Making use of them, the equation (7) are equivalent to the system of equations

$$(9) \quad (\sigma_a - \sigma_b) \gamma_{abc} = (\sigma_a - \sigma_c) \gamma_{acb} \quad (a, b, c \neq),$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial \sigma_a}{\partial s_b} + \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\partial \sigma_b}{\partial s_b} + e_a (\sigma_a - \sigma_b) \gamma_{baa} \\ + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_c e_c (\sigma_b - \sigma_c) \gamma_{bcc} = 0 \quad (a \neq b).$$

Now we suppose first that all  $\sigma$ 's are equal to  $\sigma$  in a neighborhood  $U$  of a point  $O$ . We have  $\sigma = 0$  by means of  $\sum_a \sigma_a = 0$ , so that (8) gives  $M_{ij} = 0$  and hence  $C_{hijk} = 0$  from (6).

Next we consider the case where  $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_P = \sigma \neq \sigma_\lambda$  ( $0 < P < n$ ;  $\lambda = P+1, \dots, n$ ) in  $U$ . It follows from (9) that

$$(11) \quad \gamma_{p\lambda q} = 0 \quad (p, q = 1, \dots, P; p \neq q; \lambda = P+1, \dots, n).$$

Therefore  $n - P$  vectors  $\xi_{(\lambda)}^i$  ( $\lambda = P+1, \dots, n$ ) are normal to a  $P$ -dimensional variety  $V^P$ , contained in  $U$ , and  $\xi_{(p)}^i$  ( $p = 1, \dots, P$ ) constitute an orthogonal ennuple of  $V^P$ . Let  $u^p$  be coördinates of  $V^P$  and put  $\partial x^i / \partial u^p = B_{p,i}^i$ . The components  $\eta_{(p)}^q$  of vectors  $\xi_{(p)}^i$  in  $V^P$  are given by  $\xi_{(p)}^i = \eta_{(p)}^q B_{q,i}^i$  and the second fundamental tensors  $H_{pq}^\lambda$  of  $V^P$  are defined by

$$(12) \quad B_{p;q}^i = \sum_\lambda e_\lambda H_{pq}^\lambda \xi_{(\lambda)}^i.$$

Making use of the above equation we have

$$\gamma_{p\lambda q} = \xi_{(p)i;j} \xi_{(\lambda)}^i \xi_{(q)}^j = (\eta_{(p)i;j}^s B_{s,i}^i + \eta_{(p)}^s B_{s;j}^i) \xi_{(\lambda)}^i \eta_{(q)}^r B_{r,i}^j \\ = \eta_{(p)}^s B_{s;r}^i \xi_{(\lambda)}^i \eta_{(q)}^r = \sum_\mu e_\mu H_{sr}^\mu \xi_{(\mu)}^i \xi_{(\lambda)}^i \eta_{(p)}^s \eta_{(q)}^r = H_{rs}^\lambda \eta_{(p)}^s \eta_{(q)}^r.$$

Hence, in virtue of (11),  $H_{pq}^\lambda$  are expressed in the form

$$(13) \quad H_{pq}^\lambda = -\sum_r \gamma_{\lambda r} \eta_{r p} \eta_{r q}$$

On the other hand, if we take  $a=r$  and  $b=\lambda$  in (10), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial s_\lambda} + \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\partial \sigma_\lambda}{\partial s_\lambda} + (\sigma - \sigma_\lambda) e_r \gamma_{\lambda r} \\ + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_c e_c (\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_c) \gamma_{\lambda c} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which we find  $e_i \gamma_{\lambda i} = \dots = e_P \gamma_{\lambda P}$ . If we denote by  $-\gamma_\lambda/P$  these quantities  $e_P \gamma_{\lambda P}$ , then (13) are written in the form

$$(14) \quad H_{pq}^\lambda = \gamma_\lambda g_{pq}$$

where  $g_{pq}$  are components of the fundamental tensor of  $V^P$ . It follows that  $V^P$  is umbilical in  $V^n$ .

The space  $V^P$  may be looked upon as a subspace of the enveloping space  $V^{n+1}$ . The  $n-P+1$  normals of  $V^P$  in  $V^{n+1}$  are  $B^\alpha$  and  $\zeta_\lambda^\alpha = \xi_\lambda^i B_i^\alpha$ . The second fundamental tensors  $\bar{H}_{pq}$  and  $\bar{H}_{pq}^\lambda$  of  $V^P$  are given by the equations

$$B_{pq}^\alpha = e \bar{H}_{pq} B^\alpha + \sum_\lambda e_\lambda \bar{H}_{pq}^\lambda \zeta_\lambda^\alpha \quad \left( B_p^\alpha = \frac{\partial y^\alpha}{\partial u^p} \right).$$

Besides, we have from (1) and (12)

$$B_{pq}^\alpha = (B_i^\alpha B_j^\alpha)_{;q} = e H_{ij} B_p^i B_q^j B^\alpha + \sum_\lambda e_\lambda H_{pq}^\lambda \zeta_\lambda^\alpha,$$

so that the following relations are obtained.

$$\bar{H}_{pq} = H_{ij} B_p^i B_q^j, \quad \bar{H}_{pq}^\lambda = H_{pq}^\lambda,$$

from which we have in consequences of (14) and the definition of  $\rho_\alpha$

$$\bar{H}_{pq} = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha (\rho_\alpha \xi_{\alpha i} \xi_{\alpha j}) B_p^i B_q^j = \sum_{r=1}^P e_r (\rho_r \xi_{r i} \xi_{r j}) B_p^i B_q^j = \sum_r e_r \rho_r \eta_{r p} \eta_{r q},$$

$$\bar{H}_{pq}^\lambda = \gamma_\lambda g_{pq}.$$

Substituting from these expressions in

$$\bar{M}_{pq} = \bar{H}_{pq} - \frac{1}{P} g^{rs} \bar{H}_{rs} g_{pq}, \quad \bar{M}_{pq}^\lambda = \bar{H}_{pq}^\lambda - \frac{1}{P} g^{rs} \bar{H}_{rs}^\lambda g_{pq}$$

we have immediately  $\bar{M}_{pq} = 0$ ,  $\bar{M}_{pq}^\lambda = 0$ , and hence the conformal curvature tensor  $C_{pqrs}$  of  $V^P$  vanishes by means of (3)

Finally the theorem has been established.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Fialkow: *Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature*; Ann. of Math., vol. 39 (1938), pp. 762-785.
- (2) K. Yano: *Sur les équations de Gauss dans la géométrie conforme des espaces de Riemann*; Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, vol. 15 (1939), pp. 247-252.
- (3) K. Yano et Y. Mutó: *Sur le théorème fondamental dans la géométrie conforme des sous-espaces riemanniens*; Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan; vol. 24 (1942), pp. 437-449.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Fialkow: Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature; *Ann. of Math.*, vol. 39 (1933), pp. 765-782.
- (2) K. Yano: Sur les équations de Gauss dans la géométrie conforme des espaces de Riemann; *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo*, vol. 12 (1938), pp. 247-252.
- (3) K. Yano et Y. Muto: Sur le théorème fondamental dans la géométrie conforme des sous-espaces riemanniens; *Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan*, vol. 24 (1952), pp. 437-449.