

Remarks on the harmonic boundary of a plane domain

Dedicated to Professor A. Kobori on his 60th birthday

By

Shin'ichi MORI

(Received Sept. 18, 1964)

Introduction. We denote by R^* the compactification of an open disc R and by Δ_F the harmonic boundary of R , here the compactification is the one studied in the former paper [5]. In the first chapter, we shall study the relation between Δ_F and the Martin minimal boundary of R in connection with the harmonic measure on R . In the second chapter, we shall treat the multiply connected domain, and a certain theorem with respect to the cluster sets will be studied from the view point of the compactification.

1. Martin minimal boundary Δ_1 and Δ_F . Let R be an open Riemann surface which admits the non-constant bounded harmonic functions, and let Δ_1 be the Martin minimal boundary of R . At first, we shall treat some lemmas with respect to Δ_1 to make use of them later on. These lemmas were given by C. Costantinescu and A. Cornea [1] in general case.

Lemma 1. *Let D be a non-compact subregion of R and A be a subset of Δ_1 such as $A = \{s \in \Delta_1; I_D K_s > 0\}$, then it holds that*

$$1 = \int_A I_D K_s(p) d\chi(s) + \int_{\partial D} d\omega_p(\tilde{p})$$

for any point p in D .

Proof. According to [1], $u = I_D u + H_D^u$ for any $u \in HP$. From this, we know that $K_s(p) = H_D^{K_s}(p)$ ($p \in D$) for each $s \in \Delta_1 - A$. In

the following, we show that A is the \mathcal{X} -measurable set and $I_D K_s(p)$ ($p \in D$) is the \mathcal{X} -measurable function on Δ_1 . At first, we prove that $I_D K_s(p)$ is measurable. Let $s_n (\in \Delta_1)$ converge to $s \in \Delta_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{s_n}(p) &= I_D K_{s_n}(p) + H_D^{K_{s_n}}(p) \\ K_s(p) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_{s_n}(p) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_D K_{s_n}(p) + \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_D^{K_{s_n}}(p) \\ \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_D^{K_{s_n}}(p) &= \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial D} K_{s_n}(\tilde{p}) d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) \geq \int_{\partial D} K_s(\tilde{p}) d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) = H_D^{K_s}(p), \end{aligned}$$

consequently, $I_D K_s(p) + H_D^{K_s}(p) = K_s(p) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_D K_{s_n}(p) + H_D^{K_{s_n}}(p)$, that is, $I_D K_s(p) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_D K_{s_n}(p)$. This shows that $I_D K_s(p)$ is the upper semi-continuous function on Δ_1 . From this, we can see that A is the F_σ -set. Now, for any point $p \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{\Delta_1} K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \int_A K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) + \int_{\Delta_1 - A} K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) \\ &= \int_A I_D K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) + \int_{\Delta_1} H_D^{K_s} d\mathcal{X}(s), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Delta_1} H_D^{K_s}(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) &= \int_{\Delta_1} d\mathcal{X}(s) \int_{\partial D} K_s(\tilde{p}) d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) \\ &= \int_{\partial D} d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) \int_{\Delta} K_s(\tilde{p}) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \int_{\partial D} d\omega_p(\tilde{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this lemma is proved.

Corollary 1. $D \in SO_{HB}$ if and only if $A = \{s \in \Delta_1; I_D K_s > 0\}$ is of \mathcal{X} -measure zero.

Definition 1. Let s be any point of Δ_1 and G be any domain of R such as $I_G K_s > 0$. Then we define the set \mathfrak{s} in $R_\#^*$ as follows: $\mathfrak{s} = \bigcap \bar{G}$ for all G ($I_G K_s > 0$), here \bar{G} is the closure of G in $R_\#^*$. \mathfrak{s} is the connected and compact set [5].

Lemma 2. Let ω_A be the harmonic measure on R such as

$$\omega_A(p) = \int_A K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s),$$

here A is a Borel-set on Δ_1 , and let D^α be the open subset of R such as $D^\alpha = \{p \in R; \omega_A(p) > \alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1\}$. Then $\Gamma(\alpha) = \{s \in \Delta_1; I_{D^\alpha} K_s > 0\}$ is identical with A except for a set of \mathcal{X} -measure zero.

From this, it holds that ω_A attains 1 or zero on each \mathfrak{s} except for a set of \mathcal{X} -measure zero.

Proof. From lemma 1, we know that $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is non-empty and is the F_σ -set. That $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the F_σ -set is proved by the way in [1]. D_k^α be a component of D^α and p_0 be a fixed point in D_k^α . Let $\Gamma_k(n) = \left\{ s \in \Delta ; I_{D_k^\alpha} K_s(p_0) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$. It is clear that $\Gamma_k(\alpha) (= \{s \in \Delta ; I_{D_k^\alpha} K_s > 0\})$ is identical with $\bigcup_n \Gamma_k(n)$. The $\Gamma_k(n)$ is closed, because $s \rightarrow I_{D_k^\alpha} K_s(p_0)$ is upper semi-continuous on Δ (c.f. lemma 1). Thus, we know that $A_k = A \cap \Gamma_k(n)$ is the Borel-set for each component D_k^α . Now, we restrict ω_A to D_k^α , then it holds that

$$\omega_A(p) = \int_A K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \int_{A_k} K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) + \int_{A-A_k} K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s),$$

and if A_k is the \mathcal{X} -null set, then for any point $p \in D_k^\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A(p) &= \int_A K_s(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \int_A H_{D_k^\alpha}^{K_s}(p) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \int_A d\mathcal{X}(s) \int_{\partial D_k^\alpha} K_s(\tilde{p}) d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) \\ &= \int_{\partial D_k^\alpha} d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) \int_A K_s(\tilde{p}) d\mathcal{X}(s) = \alpha \int_{\partial D_k^\alpha} d\omega_p(\tilde{p}) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} (1 - \omega_A(p)). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it holds that $\omega_A = \alpha$ in D_k^α , that is, $\omega_A = \alpha$ on R . This is absurd, that is, A_k is of positive \mathcal{X} -measure. Now, we can see easily that $\bigcup A_k$ is identical with A except for a set of \mathcal{X} -measure zero. Indeed, if $A - \bigcup A_k$ is of positive \mathcal{X} -measure, then the harmonic measure $\omega_{A - \bigcup A_k}$ is of positive but less than ω_A , consequently it holds that

$$\tilde{D} = \left\{ p \in R ; \omega_{A - \bigcup A_k}(p) > \alpha \right\} \subset D,$$

here $D = \{p \in R ; \omega_A(p) > \alpha\}$. Thus, there exists the subset B of $A - \bigcup A_k$ with positive \mathcal{X} -measure such that $I_{\tilde{D}} K_s > 0$ for any $s \in B$, that is, $I_D K_s > 0$ for any $s \in B$. This is absurd, that is, $A = \bigcup A_k$ except for a set of \mathcal{X} -measure zero.

Lemma 3. *Let u be a bounded harmonic function on R . Then u is constant on each \mathfrak{s} respectively except for a set of \mathcal{X} -measure zero.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose that u is the positive harmonic function. By the theorem with respect to a non-negative measurable function, $u(p^*)$ ($p^* \in \Delta_F$) is the limit function of the non-decreasing sequence of simple functions $\{u_n\}$. This convergence is uniform on Δ_F since u is bounded. Let $\tilde{u}_n(p)$ ($p \in R$) be such as

$$\tilde{u}_n(p) = \int_{\Delta_F} u_n(p^*) d\mu(p^*, p)$$

for each n [4]. It is clear that \tilde{u}_n is the linear combination of a finite number of harmonic measures and \tilde{u}_n converges to u uniformly on B_F^* . From lemma 2, we conclude that this lemma holds.

Corollary 2. *Lemma 3 holds for the positive quasi-bounded harmonic functions.* Indeed, a positive quasi-bounded harmonic function is the limit function of the non-decreasing sequence consisting of positive bounded harmonic functions.

Lemma 4. *A positive singular harmonic function vanishes on each \dot{s} except for a set of χ -measure zero.*

Proof. Let u be a positive singular harmonic function on R and D be such as $D = \{p \in R; u(p) < \alpha\}$. It is clear that $D \notin SO_{HB}$, consequently the set $A = \{s \in \Delta_1; I_D K_s > 0\}$ is of positive χ -measure by lemma 1. Then it holds that for any $p \in D$

$$1 = \int_A I_D K_s(p) d\chi(s) + \int_{\Delta_1} H_{D^c}^{K_s}(p) d\chi(s) = \int_A I_D K_s(p) d\chi(s) + \int_{\partial D} d\omega_p(\tilde{p}).$$

We notice that $(\alpha - u)/\alpha$ is the harmonic measure of the ideal boundary with respect to D . From this, it holds that for any $p \in D$

$$(\alpha - u)/\alpha = 1 - \int_{\Delta_1} H_{D^c}^{K_s} d\chi(s) = \int_A I_D K_s(p) d\chi(s).$$

If $\Delta_1 - A$ is of positive χ -measure, then

$$\int_A I_D K_s(p) d\chi(s) < \int_A K_s(p) d\chi(s) < 1,$$

that is, L.H.M. $\{(\alpha - u)/\alpha\}^* \leq \int_A K_s d\chi(s)$, here $\{(\alpha - u)/\alpha\}^* = (\alpha - u)/\alpha$ on D and $= 0$ on $R - D$. On the other hand, L.H.M.

$\{(\alpha-u)/\alpha\}^* = \text{const.}$ 1. This is absurd, that is, $\Delta_1 - A$ is of χ -measure zero.

Theorem 1. *Let u be a positive superharmonic function on R such as G.H.M. $u=0$, then u vanishes on each \mathfrak{s} except for a set of χ -measure zero.*

Proof. Let G_n be an open subset of R such as $G_n = \left\{ p \in R; u(p) > \frac{1}{n} \right\}$, then $u = 1/n$ on ∂G_n except for a set of capacity zero (in a sense of local) [2]. Let D be such a component of $R - G_n \cup \partial G_n$ that u is non-constant on D . It is sure from G.H.M. $u=0$ that there is such a component D . Then H_D^{nu} is the non-constant harmonic measure on D , here nu is the boundary function of the Dirichlet problem with respect to D . From this, we know that there exists at least one component of $R - G_n \cup \partial G_n$ that does not belong to SO_{HB} . Consequently $A_n = \{s \in \Delta_1; I_{R-G_n \cup \partial G_n} K_s > 0\}$ is of χ -measure positive by lemma 1. Moreover it holds that $A_n = \Delta_1$ except for a set of χ -measure zero. Otherwise, by lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} I_{R-G_n \cup \partial G_n} 1 &= 1 - \int_{\Delta_1} H_{R-G_n \cup \partial G_n}^K(p) d\chi(s) = \int_{A_n} I_{R-G_n \cup \partial G_n} K_s(p) d\chi(s) \\ &< \int_{A_n} K_s(p) d\chi(s) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

for any point $p \in R - G_n \cup \partial G_n$.

From this, it holds that

$$nu > 1 - I_{R-G_n \cup \partial G_n} 1 > 1 - \int_{A_n} K_s d\chi(s) > 0$$

against C.H.M. $u=0$. Thus we know that $\Delta_1 = A_n$ except for a set of χ -measure zero. From this, we know that $\bar{\text{lim}} u = 0$ at each point of \mathfrak{s} , here $s \in \overset{\infty}{\bigcap} A_n$. (q.e.d.)

In the following, we shall treat the harmonic boundary of the unit open disc R . It is known that Martin minimal boundary of R coincides with the circumference of R . Now, we shall study the relation between Δ_1 and Δ_F . Let R' be the open disc such as $R' = \{|w| < 2\}$ and f be the conformal map of $R = \{|z| < 1\}$ into R' such as $w = f(z) = z$, that is, the identity map. From the former

paper [5], it is concluded that the image $M_f(p^*)$ is located at some point of the circumference of R , here we identify $\tilde{R} = \{|w| < 1\}$ with $R = \{|z| < 1\}$. Conversely, the following holds that

Proposition 1. *Let $w = e^{i\theta}$ be any point of $\partial R = \{|z| = 1\}$, then there exists some point of Δ_F whose image is w .*

Proof. Let $G_{R'}(w; e^{i\theta})$ be the Green function on R' such as $e^{i\theta}$ is the singular point. Then $G_{R'}(f(z); e^{i\theta})$ is the positive harmonic function on R , consequently it is continuous on R_F and it attains $+\infty$ at some point p^* of Δ_F . Clearly $e^{i\theta}$ is the image of the p^* . (q.e.d.)

From now on, we denote by $\Delta(\theta)$ the subset of Δ_F such as $\Delta(\theta) = \{p^* \in \Delta_F; M_f(p^*) = e^{i\theta}\}$. It is evident that $\Delta(\theta)$ is compact.

Proposition 2. *Let s any point of ∂R and \bar{os} be the closure of the radius os in R_F^* . Then it holds that $\bar{os} \cap (R_F^* - R) \subset \delta$.*

Proof. The minimal function K_s is symmetric with respect to the radius os . From this, we get the above conclusion.

Proposition 3. *Let L be a subset of \mathcal{X} -measure positive on ∂R and γ be the subset of Δ_F such as $\gamma = \{\Delta(\theta); e^{i\theta} \in L\}$, then*

$$\omega(z; \gamma) = \int_L K_s(z) d\mathcal{X}(s),$$

here $\omega(z; \gamma)$ is the harmonic measure of γ . If L is \mathcal{X} -measure zero, the γ is of harmonic measure zero.

Proof. We consider the case that L is compact. Let $\Omega(z; L)$ be the harmonic measure of L with respect to $R' - L$, that is, $\Omega(z; L)$ vanishes on $|z| = 2$ and $= 1$ on L except for a set of capacity zero. Let $\tilde{\Omega}(z)$ be the restriction of $\Omega(z; L)$ to R . Then $\tilde{\Omega}(z)$ attains the boundary value 1 at each point of L except for a subset of capacity zero. From this, we know that $\tilde{\Omega}(z)$ attains 1 at each point of γ except for a set of harmonic measure zero. For, the set of the irregular points of L is of F_σ and with respect to the compact subset of F_σ with zero capacity, its Evans function restricted to R is continuous on R_F^* . Consequently we conclude

that $\tilde{\Omega}=1$ on γ except for a subset of harmonic measure zero. Now, we notice that γ is compact. For, let p^* be an accumulation point of γ and $G_{R'}(z; M_f(p^*))$ be the Green function on $R = \{|z| < 2\}$, then we can see that \tilde{G} , the restriction of $G_{R'}$ to R , is unbounded on L since \tilde{G} is continuous on R_F^* . Thus, it holds that $M_f(p^*) \in L$ since L is compact. Consequently the harmonic measure $\omega(z; \gamma)$ vanishes at every point of $\Delta_F - \gamma$ and attains 1 at each point of γ except for a set of harmonic measure zero (c.f. [4]). It is clear that $\Delta(\theta) \subset \Delta_F - \gamma$ provided that $e^{i\theta} \in \partial R - L$ by the definition of γ . From this, we can see that $\omega(z; \gamma)$ attains zero at $e^{i\theta}$ as the boundary value. This shows that $\omega(z; \gamma) \geq \int_L K_s d\chi(s)$, therefore $\omega(z; \gamma) = \int_L K_s d\chi(s)$ because of lemma 2 and proposition 2. Next, we treat the case that L is open in ∂R . Noticing that $\partial R - L$ is closed, we can verify that $\omega(z; \gamma)$ coincides with $\int_L K_s d\chi(s)$. This leads us to the result that the γ is of harmonic measure zero provided that L is of linear measure zero. Now, we treat the case that L is any measurable (Lebesgue) subset in ∂R . Then L is decomposed to a null-set and F_σ -set. From this, we can see that the proposition is true.

Corollary 3. *Let L be a subset of χ -measure positive on ∂R , $\omega(z)$ be the harmonic measure such as $\omega(z) = \int_L K_s d\chi(s)$ and let $\Delta(L)$ be such as $\Delta(L) = \{\Delta(\theta); s = e^{i\theta} \in L\}$. Then $\Delta(L)$ is of measurable with respect to the harmonic measure $d\mu(p^*; p)$ and the set $\sigma_0 = \{p^* \in \Delta(L); \omega(p^*) = 0\}$ is of harmonic measure zero.*

Corollary 4. *Let γ be a simultaneously open and closed subset of Δ_F and $\omega(z; \gamma)$ be the harmonic measure of γ . Let $\omega(z; \gamma) = \int_L K_s d\chi(s)$ and $\Delta(L)$ be such as $\Delta(L) = \{p^* \in \gamma; M_f(p^*) \in L\}$. then the closure of $\Delta(L)$ in R_F^* coincides with γ .*

Remark. Let \tilde{L} be the image of γ in corollary 4, that is, $\tilde{L} = \{M_f(p^*); p^* \in \gamma\}$. then $\tilde{L} \supset L$. It is possible that $\tilde{L} - L$ is of positive measure. I thank to M. Nakai for his kind advice on this fact.

Lemma 5. *Let u be a bounded harmonic function on R , $u(\theta)$ be the radial limit function defined on ∂R and L be an open arc on ∂R . Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{L-L_0} u(\theta) = \sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*).$$

here L_0 is the subset of ∂R on which u has not the radial limits and $\Delta(L)$ is such as $\Delta(L) = \{\Delta(\theta); e^{i\theta} \in L\}$.

Proof. We define the function \tilde{u} on Δ_F such that $\tilde{u}(p^*) = u(\theta)$ provided that $M_f(p^*) = e^{i\theta} \in \partial R - L_0$. According to corollary 3, $\tilde{u}(p^*)$ is the bounded measurable function on Δ_F . Indeed, for any k , $\tilde{\Delta} = \{p^* \in \Delta_F; \tilde{u}(p^*) > k\}$ is of measurable since the image of $\tilde{\Delta}$ is identical with $\{u(\theta) > k\}$ and the set $\{u(\theta) > k\}$ is of measurable. Now, let $v(z)$ be the harmonic function on R defined by

$$v(z) = \int_{\Delta_F} \tilde{u}(p^*) d\mu(p^*; z),$$

then $v(p^*) = \tilde{u}(p^*)$ except for a set of harmonic measure zero. Hence it holds that $v(z) = u(p)$ on R , because the χ -harmonic measure of $\tilde{L} = \{e^{i\theta} \in \partial R; \alpha > u(\theta) > \beta\}$ is identical with the harmonic measure of the inverse image $\{\Delta(\theta); e^{i\theta} \in \tilde{L}\}$ of \tilde{L} by proposition 3, consequently

$$v(z) = \int_{\Delta_F} \tilde{u}(p^*) d\mu(p^*; p) = \int_{\partial R} K_s(z) u(s) d\chi(s) = u(z).$$

Now, L_0 is of linear measure zero, consequently $\Delta(L)$ is contained in the closure (in R^*) of $\Delta(L - L_0)$. Thus, Lemma 5 holds.

Definition 2. Let $e^{i\theta}$ be any point of ∂R , $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon = \{z; |z - e^{i\theta}| < \varepsilon\}$ be the neighborhood of $e^{i\theta}$ and K_ε be $\tilde{K}_\varepsilon \cap R$. Then we define $\Gamma(\theta)$ as follows: $\Gamma(\theta) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \{\tilde{K}_\varepsilon \cap (R^* - R)\}$, here \tilde{K}_ε is the closure in R^* of K_ε . It is clear that $\Gamma(\theta) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma(\theta_1) \cap \Gamma(\theta_2) = \emptyset$ for any θ_1, θ_2 ($\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$). Let $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta) = \Gamma(\theta) \cap \Delta_F$, then $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta)$ is identical with $\Delta(\theta)$. Indeed, let $G_{R'}(z; e^{i\theta})$ be the Green function on $R' = \{|z| < 2\}$. Then $\tilde{G}_{R'}(z)$, the restriction of $G_{R'}(z; e^{i\theta})$ to R , is continuous on R^* and attains $+\infty$ on $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta)$. Let us consider the level curve of $\tilde{G}_{R'}(z)$, then the image of each points of $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta)$ are all identical

with $e^{i\theta}$, while the image of any $p^* \in \Delta_F - \tilde{\Delta}(\theta)$ is different from $e^{i\theta}$ since $\tilde{G}_{R'}$ is finite at p^* .

Lemma 6. *Let u be a bounded continuous subharmonic function on R and $e^{i\theta}$ be any point on ∂R . Then it holds that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} u(z) = \max_{\Gamma(\theta)} u = \max_{\Delta(\theta)} u \quad (z \in R).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we suppose that u is non-negative on R . Let k be $\max_{\Delta(\theta)} u$ and Δ_λ be such as $\Delta_\lambda = \{p^* \in \Delta_F; u(p^*) < \lambda, \lambda > k\}$. Then it holds that

$$u(z) < \lambda \omega(z; \Delta_\lambda) + M(1 - \omega(z; \Delta_\lambda)),$$

here $\omega(z; \Delta_\lambda)$ is the harmonic measure of Δ_λ and $M = \sup_R u$. Because, u is continuous on R_F^* and $\omega(z; \Delta_\lambda)$ attains 1 at each point of Δ_λ , while $1 - \omega(z; \Delta_\lambda)$ attains 1 at each point of $\Delta_F - \Delta_\lambda$ except for a set of harmonic measure zero. Now, $\Delta(\theta)$ is contained in Δ_λ since $\max_{\Delta(\theta)} u = k (< \lambda)$, consequently $\omega(z; \Delta_\lambda)$ attains 1 at each point of $\Delta(\theta)$. From this, we can see easily that $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} \omega(z; \Delta_\lambda) = 1$ (c.f. lemma 8). This shows that $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} u(z) \leq \lambda$. Thus we know that $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} u(z) \leq k = \max_{\Delta(\theta)} u$. While $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} u(z) = \max_{\Gamma(\theta)} u$, consequently $\max_{\Gamma(\theta)} u = \max_{\Delta(\theta)} u$.

Lemma 7. *Let u be a bounded continuous subharmonic function on R , $u(\theta)$ be the radial limit function defined on ∂R and L be an open arc on ∂R . Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{L - L_0} u(\theta) = \sup_{p^* \in \Delta(L)} u(p^*),$$

here L_0 is the subset on ∂R such as $L_0 = \{e^{i\theta} \in \partial R; \overline{\lim}_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta}) \neq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} u(re^{i\theta})\}$ and $\Delta(L) = \{\Delta(\theta); e^{i\theta} \in L\}$.

Proof. We note that $u = \text{L.H.M. } u$ on Δ_F . Let $\tilde{u}(\theta)$ be the radial limit function of L.H.M. u , then the following holds by lemma 5 and the above notice that $\sup_{\tilde{L}_0 - L_0} \tilde{u}(\theta) = \sup_{\Delta(L)} \text{L.H.M. } u = \sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*)$, here $\tilde{L}_0 = \{e^{i\theta} \in \partial R; \overline{\lim}_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \text{L.H.M. } u(re^{i\theta}) \neq \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} \text{L.H.M. } u(re^{i\theta})\}$.

$u(re^{i\theta})\}$. From this, $\sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*) \geq \sup_{L-L_0} u(\theta)$, while according to Theorem 1 $u(\theta)=\tilde{u}(\theta)$ except for a set of linear measure zero. Thus, the following holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{L-\tilde{L}_0} \tilde{u}(\theta) &= \sup_{L-L_0 \cup \tilde{L}_0} \tilde{u}(\theta) = \sup_{\Delta(L)} \text{L.H.M. } u = \sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*) \\ \sup_{L-L_0 \cup \tilde{L}_0} \tilde{u}(\theta) &= \sup_{L-L_0 \cup \tilde{L}_0 \cup L'} u(\theta) \leq \sup_{L-L_0} u(\theta) \leq \sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\sup_{L-L_0} u(\theta) = \sup_{\Delta(L)} u(p^*)$, here $L' = \{\theta \in \partial R; \tilde{u}(\theta) \neq u(\theta)\}$.

Remark. From lemma 7 we can get the Lindelöf's theorem: Let u be a bounded continuous subharmonic function on $R = \{|z| < 1\}$ and $u(\theta)$ be the radial limit function on ∂R . Then it holds that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} u(\theta) = \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} u(z),$$

here $e^{i\theta_0}$ is any given point on ∂R .

2. On multiply-connected domains. Now we shall treat the case that the domain is of multiply-connected. Let Ω be the bounded domain in z -plane. We denote by $\partial\Omega$ its boundary and denote by Ω_F^* the compactification of Ω constructed in [5].

Lemma 8. *Let ω be the harmonic measure on the bounded domain Ω , that is, $\omega \wedge (1-\omega) = 0$, and ζ_0 be a boundary point of Ω , which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem and $\omega = 1$ on $\Delta(\zeta_0)$. Then ω has the boundary value 1 at ζ_0 . (c.f. definition 2 on $\Delta(\zeta_0)$)*

Proof. First, we notice that $\Delta(\zeta_0)$ is non-empty provided that ζ_0 is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. Now the function $v(u) = |z - \zeta_0|$ is a bounded continuous subharmonic function, consequently $v(z)$ is continuous on Ω_F^* and $H(z)$ (=L.H.M. v) coincides with $v(z)$ on Δ_F (the harmonic boundary of Ω). From this, we know that $H(z)$ vanishes on $\Delta(\zeta_0)$ and attains a positive constant value on each $\Delta(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \partial\Omega, \zeta \neq \zeta_0$). Next, there exists an ε -neighborhood $V(\zeta_0, \varepsilon)$ such that $\omega = 1$ on $\Delta(\zeta)$ provided that $\zeta \in V(\zeta_0, \varepsilon)$. If otherwise, $\Delta(\zeta_0)$ contains a zero-point of ω against that $\omega = 1$ on $\Delta(\zeta_0)$. We know that $k = \min_{\Delta_F} H$ is positive, here

$\gamma = \{p^* \in \Delta_F : \omega(p^*) = 1\}$. Thus, it holds that $0 < k(1 - \omega(z)) < H(z)$. From this, we know that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} \omega(z) = 1$ as $z \rightarrow \zeta_0$.

Lemma 9. *Let u be a bounded harmonic function on Ω and ζ_0 be a boundary point of Ω , which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. Then the following holds that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) = \max_{\Gamma(\zeta_0)} u = \max_{\Delta(\zeta_0)} u.$$

Proof. Let $k = \max_{\Delta(\zeta_0)} u$ and $\Delta_\varepsilon = \{p^* \in \Delta_F : u(p^*) < k + \varepsilon\}$ for any given $\varepsilon (> 0)$. Then the harmonic measure $\omega(z; \Delta_\varepsilon)$ attains 1 at every point of $\Delta(\zeta_0)$, because Δ_ε is open in Δ_F [4]. Thus the following holds that

$$u(z) < (k + \varepsilon)\omega(z; \Delta_\varepsilon) + M(1 - \omega(z; \Delta_\varepsilon)),$$

here $M = \sup u$ on Ω . From lemma 8, we conclude that $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) \leq k + \varepsilon$, that is, $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) \leq k$ as $z \rightarrow \zeta_0$. (q.e.d.)

Now, we study the behavior of the subharmonic functions in Ω .

Lemma 10. *Let u be a bounded subharmonic function on Ω and ζ_0 be a boundary point of Ω , which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. Then it holds that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) = \max_{\Delta(\zeta_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\}.$$

Furthermore, this is true provided that u is bounded from above.

Proof. Let \tilde{u} be a function defined on Δ_F such as $\tilde{u}(p^*) = \overline{\lim}_{p \rightarrow p^*} u(p)$ ($p \in \Omega$). According to [5], $\tilde{u}(p^*)$ is continuous on Δ_F and

$$\text{L.H.M. } u = \int_{\Delta_F} \tilde{u}(p^*) d\mu(p^*; p) \quad (p \in \Omega).$$

From lemma 9, the following holds that

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) \leq \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\} = \max_{\Delta(\zeta_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\}.$$

On the other hand, $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta_0} u(z) = \inf \{\sup u \text{ in } V(\zeta_0, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega\}$ and $\sup_{V(\zeta_0, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega} u \geq \max_{\Delta(\zeta_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\}$ since each point of $\Delta(\zeta_0)$ is the inner point of the closure (in R_F^*) of $V(\zeta_0, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$. Thus it holds that

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) \geq \max_{\Delta(z_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\}, \quad (*)$$

that is, $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) = \max_{\Delta(z_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\}$.

Remark. This lemma is equivalent to the following theorem (c.f. [6] p. 15): let D be a bounded open set, l' its boundary, E a compact set of capacity zero and z_0 a point of E . Suppose that z_0 is a regular point for the Dirichlet problem. If u is bounded from above and subharmonic in that part of D contained in a neighborhood $U(z_0)$ of z_0 , then it holds that

$$\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z) \leq \overline{\lim}_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow z_0 \\ \zeta \in \Gamma - E}} (\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} u(z)).$$

Indeed, we can see easily that $\bigcup_{\zeta \in E} \Delta(\zeta)$ is of harmonic measure zero (c.f. Prop. 3), consequently $\Delta(z_0)$ is contained in the closure of $\bigcup_{\zeta \in \Gamma - E} \Delta(\zeta)$. From this fact and (*) in lemma 10 it holds that

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow z_0 \\ \zeta \in \Gamma - E}} (\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} u(z)) \geq \overline{\lim}_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow z_0 \\ \zeta \in \Gamma - E, \zeta \in \text{regular}}} (\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow \zeta} u(z)) \geq \max_{\Delta(z_0)} \{\text{L.H.M. } u\} = \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0} u(z).$$

Now we shall study the Iversen-Tsuji's theorem in connection with the harmonic boundary.

Theorem 2. (Iversen-Tsuji) *Let Ω be a bounded domain, $\partial\Omega$ its boundary and z_0 any point of $\partial\Omega$. If $f(z)$ is of bounded and regular on Ω , then it holds that*

$$\max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f|, \quad (1)$$

provided that $\Delta(z_0) \neq \phi$. If $\Delta(z_0)$ is empty, then z_0 is the removable singular point of $f(z)$.

Proof. We note that f and $|f|$ are continuous on Ω_f^* respectively. Let z_0 be a regular point of the Dirichlet problem, then $\Delta(z_0)$ is non-empty and that the equality (1) is evident from lemma 10. Consequently we treat the case that z_0 is an irregular point of the Dirichlet problem. Then either $\Delta(z_0)$ is empty or non-empty. In the following, we shall treat the case that $\Delta(z_0)$ is

non-empty and z_0 is the irregular point of the Dirichlet problem. We suppose that $\max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f| > \max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f|$, and we put $|f(p^*)| = \max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f|$ ($p^* \in \Gamma(z_0) - \Delta(z_0)$). Now, we notice that $\Gamma(z_0)$ is connected provided that z_0 is an irregular point of the Dirichlet problem. Let k be a positive number such as $\max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f| < k < |\tilde{w}_0|$ ($f(p^*) = \tilde{w}_0$), then there is an open disc $K_r = \{ |z - z_0| < r \}$ such as $|f(\Delta(\zeta))| > k'$ for every $\zeta (\in \partial\Omega \cap K_r)$ different from z_0 , here $\max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f| < k' < k$. This is verified from the continuity of f on Ω^* . Without loss of generality, we assume that there is a point $q^* (\in \Gamma(z_0) - \Delta(z_0))$ whose image is a boundary point of $f(\Gamma(z_0))$ and $|f(q^*)| = k$. Now, we notice that there is a closed Jordan curve $C (\subset \Omega)$ surrounding z_0 provided that z_0 is irregular with respect to the Dirichlet problem, [7]. Let us consider the inverse image $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)$ of Π_δ , here Π_δ is a δ -neighborhood of $w_0 (= f(q^*))$. Then there is at least one component of $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)$ which is contained in K_r for a suitable small number δ . For, let C be the closed Jordan curve in $K_r \cap \Omega$ surrounding z_0 . Then the number of components of $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)$ meeting the C is of finite, consequently if any one of components of $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)$ is not be contained in K_r for every δ , then $\bigcap_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \overline{f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)} \cap [C]$ would contain a non-degenerated continuum consisting of the w_0 -points of f . This is absurd. Thus we know that K_r contains at least one component of $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta)$ and that $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta) \cap C$ is empty for a suitable small number δ . The latter is verified from the following: $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta) \cap C$ consists of at most a finite number of components for any δ . In the following, a certain C is fixed in K_r and we assume that $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap C = \phi$, that is, for any $\delta (< \delta_0)$ $f^{-1}(\Pi_\delta) \cap C = \phi$. We denote by $[C]$ the interior of C . Now, in a case that $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap [C]$ consists of an infinite number of compact components, then Π_{δ_0} is contained in the cluster set $C_\Omega(f, z_0)$, while w_0 is the boundary point of $C_\Omega(f, z_0)$. This is absurd, consequently $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap [C]$ contains at least one non-compact of $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0})$. Let D_δ be a non-compact component of $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0})$ such that $D_\delta \subset f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap [C]$, and let \hat{f} be the restriction of f to D_δ , then \hat{f} is the map of type-BI [3], because the closure of D_δ in Ω^*

does not contain the harmonic boundary points of Ω by the definition of K_r . Without loss of generality, we suppose that $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap [C]$ consists of the non-compact components. Now, let $\{D_{\delta_0}^i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ be the sequence of the components of $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0})$ each of which is included in $[C]$. According to M. Heins [3], the set $f(D_{\delta_0}^i)$ is dense in Π_{δ_0} since f is a map of type- Bl from $D_{\delta_0}^i$ to Π_{δ_0} . Let $\{r_n\}$ be the decreasing sequence such as $r_n \downarrow 0$, and let $\{C_n\}$ be the family of the closed Jordan curves each of which belongs to $K_{r_n} \cap \Omega$ and surrounds z_0 respectively. Then it is clear that $[C_n]$ contains some $D_{\delta_0}^i$ for each n provided that the closure (in z -plane) of $D_{\delta_0}^i$ does not contain z_0 for every i . We shall deal with this case. Let $D_{\delta_0}^{i_n}$ be such the element of $\{D_{\delta_0}^i\}$ that $D_{\delta_0}^{i_n} \subset [C_n]$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$). By means of the notice on the map of type- Bl , it holds that Π_{δ_0} is included in the cluster set $C_\alpha(f, z_0)$. This is absurd, because w_0 is the boundary point of $C_\alpha(f, z_0)$. Thus we conclude that $\max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f|$ provided that $\Delta(z_0) \neq \phi$. Next we shall treat the case that the closure of some $D_{\delta_0}^k$ contains z_0 . Let $\{\delta_n\}$ ($\delta_n < \delta_0$) be such as $\delta_n \downarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and D_1 be the component of $f^{-1}(\Pi_{\delta_0}) \cap D_{\delta_0}^k$ such that the closure of D_1 contains z_0 . We repeat this process and we obtain the decreasing sequence $\{D_n\}$ each of which contains z_0 in its closure. It is sure that there exist such a D_1 . If not, then the former case would occur. Now, we conclude that w_0 is the asymptotic point because of existence of $\{D_n\}$. Let L be the asymptotic path tending to z_0 . Then z_0 is the regular point of the Dirichlet problem with respect to $\Omega - L$. Let $(\Omega - L)^*$ be the compactification of $\Omega - L$ and $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the harmonic boundary of $\Omega - L$. Then it holds that $\max_{\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\tilde{\Gamma}(z_0)} |f|$, here $\tilde{\Gamma}$ means the ideal boundary of $\Omega - L$, that is, $\tilde{\Gamma} = (\Omega - L)^* - (\Omega - L)$. We notice that the regular points of $\partial(\Omega - L)$ are identical with the regular points of $\partial\Omega$ except for z_0 , and $\max_{\tilde{\Delta}(\zeta)} |f| = \max_{\tilde{\Gamma}(\zeta)} |f| = \max_{\Delta(\zeta)} |f| = \max_{\Gamma(\zeta)} |f|$ for any regular point ζ . From this, it holds that $\max_{\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f| < \max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f|$, while $\max_{\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\tilde{\Gamma}(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f|$. This is absurd. Thus we conclude that $\max_{\Gamma(z_0)} |f| = \max_{\Delta(z_0)} |f|$ provided that $\Delta(z_0) \neq \phi$. Finally we treat the case that $\Delta(z_0) = \phi$. Then

there exists an open disc $K = \{|z - z_0| < r\}$ such that $\Delta(\zeta) = \phi$ for every $\zeta \in \partial\Omega \cap K$. For, let $G_{R'}(z; z_0)$ be the Green function of R' , where z_0 is the singular point of $G_{R'}$ and R' is an open disc such as $R' \supset \Omega$. Then $G_{R'}$ is continuous on Ω_F^* , consequently the K exists provided that $\Delta(z) = \phi$. Now we study the property of $\tilde{G}_{R'}$ which is the restriction of $G_{R'}$ to Ω . It is clear that L.H.M. $G_{R'}$ has the non-vanishing singular component, and similarly we can see that at each point ζ of $K \subset \partial\Omega$ $\tilde{G}_{R'}(z; \zeta)$ has the non-vanishing singular component. This shows that $K \cap \partial\Omega$ is of capacity zero [3].

Theorem 3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain, z_0 any point of $\partial\Omega$ and $f(z)$ be a bounded and regular function on Ω . Then the boundary of the cluster set $C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$ is contained in the image $f(\Delta(z_0))$ of $\Delta(z_0)$.*

Proof. This is trivial provided that $C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$ consists of a single point, therefore we shall deal with another case. Let w_0 be any point of the boundary of $C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$ and $\Pi_{\delta} = \{|w - w_0| < \delta\}$ be any given open disc. Now we take an open disc $\Pi = \left\{ |w - w_0| < \frac{\delta}{4} \right\}$ and a point η in Π such as $\eta \notin C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$. Let $\gamma = \{|w - \eta| < \varepsilon_0\}$ be such as $\gamma \subset \Pi$ and $C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$. Now we consider the open subset $\tilde{\Omega}$ of Ω such as $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega - Cl\{f^{-1}(\gamma)\}$, here $Cl\{f^{-1}(\gamma)\}$ is the closure of $f^{-1}(\gamma)$ in z -plane. Then $\varphi(z) = 1/(f(z) - \eta)$ is a bounded and regular function on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and the cluster set $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}}(\varphi, z_0)$ is obtained from the linear transformation of $C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$. We denote by $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ the compactification of $\tilde{\Omega}$ and by $\tilde{\Delta}$ the harmonic boundary of $\tilde{\Omega}$, then from Theorem 2, $\max_{\tilde{\Gamma}^{(z_0)}} |\varphi| = \max_{\tilde{\Delta}^{(z_0)}} |\varphi|$, that is, there is a boundary point of $C_{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}}(\varphi, z_0)$ which is the image of some point of $\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)$ by φ . It is clear that it is the point transferred from some point w^* of $\Pi_{\delta} \cap C_{\mathbf{a}}(f, z_0)$. We shall prove that w^* is the image of some point of $\Delta(z_0)$. Noticing that f is continuous on $\tilde{\Omega}^*$, we can see that w^* is the image of some point of $\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)$ by f , here f is restricted to $\tilde{\Omega}$. Consequently \tilde{f} (restriction of f to $\tilde{\Omega}$) is not locally of type-BI at w^* . We prove this fact as follows: let $G(w; w^*)$ be the Green function of $R' = \{|w - w^*| < c\}$, here c is a suitable number such as $R' \supset f(\Omega)$. Then $G(\tilde{f}(z); w^*)$ is the positive superharmonic

function on $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ and G.H.M. $G(\tilde{f}(z); w^*)$ has the quasi-bounded component $u(z)$ which attains $+\infty$ at some point of $\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)$ [3] [5]. Now let k be a suitable large number and $\tilde{D}_k = \{z \in \tilde{\Omega}; u(z) > k\}$, where u is the above quasi-bounded component of $G(\tilde{f}(z); w^*)$. Then the image $\tilde{f}(\tilde{D}_k)$ is contained in the domain $G_k = \{w; G(w; w^*) > k\}$. Let D_k be the component of \tilde{D}_k such that the closure \bar{D}_k of D_k in $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ meets $\tilde{\Delta}(z_0)$. It is clear that $D_k \notin SO_{HB}$, because u is the quasi-bounded harmonic function taking the constant value k along ∂D_k . Therefore the closure of \tilde{D}_k in Ω^* contains some harmonic boundary points of Ω . It is clear that for any given ε -neighborhood $U(z_0; \varepsilon)$ of z_0 , there is some D_k such as $D_k \subset U(z_0; \varepsilon)$. From this, we know that the closure of $U(z_0; \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$ in Ω^* contains the harmonic boundary points of Ω . This shows that $G(f(z); w^*)$ attains $+\infty$ at some point of $\Delta(z_0)$. From the continuity of f on Ω^* , we know that the image of the points of $\Delta(z_0)$ is dense on the boundary of the cluster set $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$. Thus we conclude that the theorem holds, because $\Delta(z_0)$ is compact.

Remark. Theorem 3 contains the following: let Ω be a bounded domain, z_0 any point of $\partial\Omega$ and $f(z)$ be a bounded and regular function on Ω . Then it holds that the boundary of $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ coincides with the boundary of the boundary cluster set $C_{\partial\Omega-E}(f, z_0)$, here $E (\subset \partial\Omega)$ is the F_{σ} -set of capacity zero such as $z_0 \in E$ and $Cl\{\partial\Omega-E\} \ni z_0$. Next, if f is of type-B1 from Ω to $f(\Omega)$ and z_0 is the singular point of f , then $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ coincides with $Cl\{f(\Omega)\}$ provided that $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ contains at least one point of Ω .

We shall treat the Seidel's theorem.

Theorem (Seidel) *Let Ω be an open unit disc, z_0 be any point of $\partial\Omega$ and $f(z)$ be a bounded and regular function on Ω belonging to the class (U). If z_0 is the singular point of f , then the cluster set $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ is the closed unit disc $|w| \leq 1$.*

Proof. L.H.M. $\log |f| = \log |f|$ on Δ_F and L.H.M. $\log |f| = 0$ on $R = \{|z| < 1\}$, consequently $\log |f|$ takes zero at every point of Δ_F , that is, the boundary of $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ coincides with $\{|w| = 1\}$ provided that $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0)$ contains at least one point of $\Pi = \{|w| < 1\}$. We suppose that $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0) \cap \Pi = \phi$, then there exists an ε -neigh-

neighborhood $U(z_0; \varepsilon)$ of z_0 such that at each point ζ of $U(z_0; \varepsilon) \cap \partial\Omega$ $|f|$ has the boundary value 1 and $\inf |f(z)| > 0$ on $U(z_0, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$. Then $\log f(z)$ (restricted to $U(z_0, \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$) is regular at z_0 . This is absurd, that is, $C_{\Omega}(f, z_0) = \{|w| \leq 1\}$.

Ritsumeikan University. Kyoto.

REFERENCES

- [1] Constantinescu, C.-Cornea, A. : Über das Verhalten der analytischen Abbildungen Riemannscher Flächen auf dem Idealen Rand von Martin. Nagoya Math. Jour. 17 (1960).
- [2] Constantinescu, C.-Cornea, A. : Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen. Springer Verlag (1963).
- [3] Heins, M. : On the Lindelöf principle. Ann. Math. 61 (1955).
- [4] Mori, S. : On a compactification of an open Riemann surface and its application. Jour. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1961).
- [5] Mori, S. : On a ring of bounded continuous functions on an open Riemann surface (supplements and corrections to my former paper) Jour. Math. Kyoto Univ. 2 (1962).
- [6] Noshiro, K. : Cluster sets. Springer Verlag (1960).
- [7] Tsuji, M. : Potential theory in modern function theory. Marzen, Tokyo, (1959).