

Decay rates of scattering states for Schrödinger operators

Dedicated to Professor Sigeru Mizohata on his 60 th birthday

By

Hiroshi ISOZAKI

Introduction.

In this paper, we derive a best possible decay rate of scattering states for the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($n \geq 2$) with a long-range potential. We impose the following assumption on $V(x)$:

$$(A) \quad \begin{cases} V(x) \text{ is a real-valued } C^\infty\text{-function on } \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and for some constant } \varepsilon_0 > 0 \\ D_x^\alpha V(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\alpha_1-\varepsilon_0}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ \text{for all multi-index } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Here for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $D_x^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$.

As is well-known, if f belongs to the absolutely continuous subspace for H , the local position probability of e^{-itH} decays in the sense that for any $R > 0$

$$\int_{|x| < R} |e^{-itH} f(x)|^2 dx \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is a rather difficult problem to obtain the rate of decay. In order to study it, one usually considers the operator norm of e^{-itH} in various function spaces different from $L^2 = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. A convenient choice is the so-called weighted L^2 -spaces, and one studies the operator norm in L^2 of $\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma} e^{-itH} \langle x \rangle^{-\rho}$ ($\sigma, \rho > 0$), where $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. In our previous work [2], we have already proved some decay rates for e^{-itH} . Combining the result of [2] with the estimates for the parametrix of e^{-itH} introduced in [5] enables us to prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let $\chi(\lambda) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ be such that for some $d > 0$, $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ if $\lambda > 2d$, $\chi(\lambda) = 0$ if $\lambda < d$. Then for any $s \geq 0$, there exists a constant $C_s > 0$ such that*

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C_s (1 + |t|)^{-s},$$

for any $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the operator norm in L^2 .

This estimate is seen to be best possible if one examines the case of $H_0 = -\Delta$. One can also allow some local singularities for V . Suppose V is split into two

parts: $V=V_L+V_S$, where V_L satisfies the assumption (A) and V_S is a real function of compact support belonging to the Stummel class. Let $\chi(\lambda)$ be as above. Then one can show for any $s \geq 0$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} e^{-itH} \chi(H) (H+i)^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C_s (1+|t|)^{-s},$$

which we do not prove here, however.

Decay rates for scattering states have been studied by many authors. Assuming sufficiently rapid decay on the potential V , Rauch [12] and Jensen-Kato [7] studied the operator $e^{-itH} P_{ac}$, where P_{ac} denotes the projection onto the absolutely continuous subspace for H . More general elliptic operators were studied by Murata [10], [11]. In these cases, a delicate problem (that of resonance) arises from the low energy part of $e^{-itH} P_{ac}$. As for the long-range potential, Kitada [9] studied the high-energy part of e^{-itH} . In the recent work of Jensen-Mourre-Perry [8], they obtained a weaker estimate than Theorem 1 using the simpler commutator method. Cycon-Perry [1] also discussed the decay property of the high-energy part. Combining the results of [1] and [8], one can derive almost the same results as ours. However, we develop here our own method.

The notation used in this paper are as follows: For $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\langle x \rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$, $\hat{x} = x/|x|$. For a Banach space X , $\mathcal{B}(X; X)$ denotes the totality of bounded linear operators on X . $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is the space of smooth functions on \mathbf{R}^n with compact support. $\hat{f}(\xi)$ means the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \quad dx = (2\pi)^{-n/2} dx.$$

By a F.I.Op. and a Ps.D.Op. we mean a Fourier integral operator and a pseudo-differential operator, respectively.

§1. Proof of Theorem 1.

1.1. First we recall the parametrix for e^{-itH} introduced in [5]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant. Then there exists a real C^∞ -function $\phi(x, \xi)$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad |\nabla_x \phi(x, \xi)|^2 + V(x) = |\xi|^2$$

for $|x| > R$ for some $R > 0$, $|\xi| > \varepsilon$, $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > -1 + \varepsilon/2$, and

$$(1.2) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta (\phi(x, \xi) - x \cdot \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|-\varepsilon_0} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$$

for $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ([5], Theorem 2.2). We construct $a(x, \xi)$ and $G(x, \xi) = e^{-i\phi(x, \xi)} (-\Delta + V - |\xi|^2) e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} a(x, \xi)$ in such a way that

$$(1.3) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta (a(x, \xi) - 1)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-\varepsilon_0} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$$

for $|\xi| > \varepsilon$, $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > -1 + \varepsilon$, $|x| > 2R$ and

$$(1.4) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta G(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta N} \langle x \rangle^{-N} \langle \xi \rangle$$

for any $N > 0$, $|\xi| > \varepsilon$, $\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > -1 + \varepsilon$ ([5], Theorem 2.3). Choose a constant μ_+ such that $-1 + \varepsilon < \mu_+ < 1$ and $b_+(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad b_+(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if } |\xi| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ or } \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} < \mu_+ \text{ or } |x| < 1,$$

$$(1.6) \quad |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta b_+(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|}.$$

We define F.I.Op.'s A_+ , B_+ and G_+ by

$$(1.7) \quad A_+ f(x) = \int e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$(1.8) \quad B_+ f(x) = \int e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} b_+(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

$$(1.9) \quad G_+ f(x) = \int e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} G(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Our parametrix is then defined by

$$(1.10) \quad U_+(t) = A_+ e^{-itH_0} B_+^*,$$

([5], Definition 2.4). A simple calculation shows that

$$(1.11) \quad e^{-itH} A_+ B_+^* = U_+(t) - i \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)H} G_+(s) ds,$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad G_+(t) = G_+ e^{-itH_0} B_+^*.$$

The estimates for $U_+(t)$ and $G_+(t)$ are summarized in

Lemma 1.1. For any $\rho, \sigma \geq 0$ and $t > 0$

$$(1.13) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} U_+(t) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \leq C_{\rho\sigma} (1+t)^{-\rho},$$

$$(1.14) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^\sigma \langle D_x \rangle^{-1} G_+(t) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \leq C_{\rho\sigma} (1+t)^{-\rho},$$

where $\langle D_x \rangle^{-1}$ is the Ps. D. Op. with symbol $\langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$,

$$(1.15) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^\sigma G_+(t) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \leq C_{\rho\sigma} t^{-1} (1+t)^{-\rho}.$$

Proof. (1.13) and (1.14) have been proved in [5], Lemma 2.5. We prove (1.15), which must be treated carefully, since G_+ is not L^2 -bounded. Choose a constant $\bar{\mu}$ such that $-1 + \varepsilon < \bar{\mu} < \mu_+$ and C^∞ -functions $\rho_1(t), \rho_2(t)$ such that $\rho_1(t) + \rho_2(t) = 1$, $\rho_1(t) = 1$ for $t > \bar{\mu} + 3(\mu_+ - \bar{\mu})/4$, $\rho_2(t) = 1$ for $t < \bar{\mu} - (\bar{\mu} + 1 - \varepsilon)/4$. Split $G_+ = G_+^{(1)} + G_+^{(2)}$, where

$$G_+^{(j)} f(x) = \int e^{i\phi(x, \xi)} G(x, \xi) \rho_j(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi}) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

The idea of the estimation for $G_+^{(1)} e^{-itH_0} B_+^*$ has been given in [5], §1. Looking at the proof of [5], Lemma 1.1 carefully and noting (1.4), we see that

$$\langle x \rangle^\sigma G_+^{(1)} e^{-itH_0} B_+^* \langle x \rangle^\sigma = \sum_m^{\text{finite}} A_m e^{-itH_0} B_m(t)^*$$

where A_m is an L^2 -bounded F.I.Op. and $B_m(t)$ is a F.I.Op. similar to B_+ with symbol $b_m(x, \xi, t)$ satisfying

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta b_m(x, \xi, t)| \leq C_{m\alpha\beta} t^{-1}(1+t)^{-\rho}.$$

This shows that $\langle x \rangle^\sigma G_+^{(1)} e^{-itH_0} B_+^* \langle x \rangle^\sigma$ has the decay rate of $t^{-1}(1+t)^{-\rho}$. We can treat $\langle x \rangle^\sigma G_+^{(2)} e^{-itH_0} B_+^* \langle x \rangle^\sigma$ similarly, if we look at the proof of [5], Lemma 1.3 carefully. \square

1.2. Let $\chi(\lambda)$ be as in Theorem 1. In [2], Theorem 3.1, we have already shown the following decay rates for e^{-itH} :

$$(1.16) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-\rho} e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-\rho-2}\| \leq C_\rho (1+|t|)^{-\rho}, \quad \rho \geq 3,$$

$$(1.17) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-\rho} e^{-itH} (H+i) \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-\rho-2}\| \leq C_\rho |t|^{-\rho}, \quad \rho \geq 3.$$

We also note the following proposition whose proof will be given in § 2.

Proposition 1.2. For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and any $N > 0$,

$$\langle x \rangle^N \phi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-N} \in \mathbf{B}(L^2; L^2).$$

1.3. With the above preparations one can show the following lemma which is a generalization of the propagation properties for e^{-itH_0} (see [5], § 1 and also [6]).

Lemma 1.3. Let P_\pm be the Ps. D. Op.'s with symbols $p_\pm(x, \xi)$ such that for some constants $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $-1 < \mu_\pm < 1$,

$$p_+(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if } |\xi| < \varepsilon \text{ or } \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} < \mu_+ \text{ or } |x| < 1,$$

$$p_-(x, \xi) = 0 \quad \text{if } |\xi| < \varepsilon \text{ or } \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > \mu_- \text{ or } |x| < 1,$$

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p_\pm(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\beta|}.$$

Let $\chi(\lambda)$ be as in Theorem 1. Then we have

$$(1.18) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} \chi(H) P_\pm \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \leq C(1+|t|)^{-\rho}$$

for $\sigma \geq 0, \rho \geq 3$ and $\pm t > 2$, respectively.

Proof. We prove the lemma for P_+ and $t \geq 2$. By (1.11) we have for $t \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} \chi(H) A_+ B_+^* \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \\ & \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{\rho+\sigma}\| \times \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} U_+(t) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \\ & \quad + \int_0^{t/2} \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} \chi(H) (H+i) e^{-i(t-s)H} \langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma+2)}\| \\ & \quad \times \|\langle x \rangle^{\rho+\sigma+2} (H+i)^{-1} G_+(s) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t/2}^t \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} \chi(H) e^{-i(t-s)H} \langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma+2)}\| \\ & \quad \times \|\langle x \rangle^{\rho+\sigma+2} G_+(s) \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Ct^{-\rho} + C \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\rho} (1+s)^{-\rho} ds,$$

where we have used Proposition 1.2 and (1.13) for the first term (note that $\chi(H)=1-\phi(H)$ for some $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$), (1.14) and (1.17) for the second term, (1.15) and (1.16) for the third term. Therefore

$$(1.19) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} A_+ B_+^* \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \leq C(1+t)^{-\rho}.$$

As has been proved in [5], Theorem 7.4, for any $N>0$ there exists a Ps. D. Op. P_N such that

$$(1.20) \quad P_+ = A_+ B_+^{(N)*} + P_N.$$

where $B_+^{(N)}$ is a F. I. Op. with symbol $b_N(x, \xi)$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6) so that (1.19) holds with B_+ replaced by $B_+^{(N)}$, and the symbol $p_N(x, \xi)$ verifies

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p_N(x, \xi)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-N-|\alpha|}.$$

Choosing N large enough, we have in view of (1.16), (1.19), (1.20),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} \chi(H) P_+ \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \\ & \leq \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} \chi(H) A_+ B_+^{(N)*} \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| + \|\langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)} e^{-itH} \chi(H) P_N \langle x \rangle^\sigma\| \\ & \leq C(1+t)^{-\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Taking the adjoint in Lemma 1.3, one can easily see that

$$(1.21) \quad \|\langle x \rangle^\sigma P_+ \chi(H) e^{itH} \langle x \rangle^{-(\rho+\sigma)}\| \leq C(1+|t|)^{-\rho}$$

for $\sigma \geq 0$, $\rho \geq 3$, $\pm t > 2$, where we have used the asymptotic expansion of the symbol of P_\pm^* ([4], Theorem 2.4).

1.4. We turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $\phi_0(\xi)$, $\phi_\infty(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\phi_0(\xi) + \phi_\infty(\xi) = 1$, $\phi_0(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi|^2 < d/2$, $\phi_0(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi|^2 > 3d/4$. Choose $\rho_\pm(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $\rho_+(t) + \rho_-(t) = 1$, $\rho_+(t) = 0$ if $t < -1/2$, $\rho_-(t) = 0$ if $t > 1/2$. Let A, B, P_\pm be Ps. D. Op.'s with symbols $\phi_0(\xi)$, $\phi_0(x)\phi_\infty(\xi)$, $\phi_\infty(x)\rho_\pm(\hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi})\phi_\infty(\xi)$, respectively. Since $A+B+P_++P_-=1$, we have

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H)^2 e^{-2itH} \langle x \rangle^{-s} \\ & = \langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} A e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s} + \langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} B e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s} \\ & \quad + \langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} P_+ e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s} + \langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} P_- e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we quote the following proposition whose proof will be given in §2.

Proposition 1.4. For any $N>0$, $\langle x \rangle^N \chi(H) A \langle x \rangle^N \in \mathbf{B}(L^2; L^2)$.

Using this proposition (1.16) and the fact that the symbol of B is compactly supported in x , we see that the norms of the first and the second terms of (1.22)

are bounded from above by

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} \langle x \rangle^{-s-2}\| \leq C(1+|t|)^{-s}.$$

In view of (1.18) and (1.21), we see that the norms of the third and the fourth terms are majorized by

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} \chi(H) e^{-itH} P_+\| + \|P_- e^{-itH} \chi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-s}\| \leq C(1+t)^{-s}$$

for $s \geq 3$ and sufficiently large $t > 0$ (take $\sigma=0$, $\rho=s$), which proves the theorem for $t \geq 0$, $s \geq 3$. Since the case $s=0$ is evident, the case $s \leq 3$ follows from this by an interpolation.

§ 2. Asymptotic expansion of functions of H .

2.1. First we prove Proposition 1.2. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle^N e^{-itH} \langle x \rangle^{-N} (H+i)^{-N} &= e^{-itH} (H+i)^{-N} \\ &\quad - i \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)H} [\langle x \rangle^N, H] e^{-isH} \langle x \rangle^{-N} (H+i)^{-N} ds, \end{aligned}$$

one can show by induction on N ,

$$\|\langle x \rangle^N e^{-itH} \langle x \rangle^{-N} (H+i)^{-N}\| \leq C_N(1+|t|)^N.$$

Using the relation

$$\phi(H) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \check{\phi}(t) e^{-itH} dt,$$

where $\check{\phi}(t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of ϕ , we see that $\langle x \rangle^N \phi(H) \langle x \rangle^{-N} (H+i)^{-N}$ is bounded, from which follows Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.4 can be derived from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\phi(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$. Then for any $N \geq 2$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(H) = \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} P_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) + R_N,$$

where $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) = (d/d\lambda)^m \phi(\lambda)$, P_m is a Ps. D. Op. with symbol $p_m(x, \xi)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p_m(x, \xi)| &\leq C_{\alpha\beta m} \langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha| - m\epsilon_0}, \\ \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} R_N \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} &\in \mathbf{B}(L^2; L^2). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $p_1(x, \xi) = V(x)$.

Remark 2.2. As can be seen from the proof given later, P_m is a polynomial of V and the multiple commutators of H_0 and V .

In order to prove Proposition 1.4, we choose $\phi(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $\phi(\lambda) = 1 - \chi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$ and $\phi(H) + \chi(H) = 1$. From Theorem 2.1 it follows that

$$\phi(H) = \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} P_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) + R_k$$

with P_m and R_k having the properties stated above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x \rangle^N \chi(H) A \langle x \rangle^N \\ &= \langle x \rangle^N (1 - \phi(H)) A \langle x \rangle^N \\ &= \langle x \rangle^N \chi(H_0) A \langle x \rangle^N - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \langle x \rangle^N P_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) A \langle x \rangle^N - \langle x \rangle^N R_k A \langle x \rangle^N. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that A is a Ps. D. Op. with symbol $\phi_0(\xi)$ and the supports of $\phi_0(\xi)$ and $\chi(|\xi|^2)$, $\phi^{(m)}(|\xi|^2)$ ($m \geq 1$) are disjoint. Then we have

$$\langle x \rangle^N \chi(H) A \langle x \rangle^N = - \langle x \rangle^N R_k A \langle x \rangle^N.$$

Choosing k large enough and using (2.2), we conclude Proposition 1.4.

2.2. We turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1. It is convenient to introduce a class of Ps. D. Op.'s. We define: a Ps. D. Op. P belongs to $S(\sigma, m)$ if its symbol $p(x, \xi)$ verifies

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-\sigma - |\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m - |\beta|}.$$

Note that if $P \in S(\sigma, m)$, $[H_0, P] = H_0 P - P H_0 \in S(\sigma + 1, m + 1)$.

Now, we construct Q_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) in such a way that

$$(2.3) \quad Q_1 = -iV, \quad Q_m = -i[H_0, Q_{m-1}] \quad (m \geq 2).$$

One can see by induction that

$$(2.4) \quad Q_m \in S(m - 1 + \varepsilon_0, m - 1), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= e^{-itH} \quad (t \geq 0), & U_t &= 0 \quad (t < 0), \\ U_t^0 &= e^{-itH_0} \quad (t \geq 0), & U_t^0 &= 0 \quad (t < 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$[U_t^0, Q_m] = U_t^0 Q_{m+1} * U_t = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)H_0} Q_{m+1} e^{-isH_0} ds,$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. We consider the perturbation expansion for U_t :

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} U_t &= U_t^0 + (-i)(U_t^0 V) * U_t^0 + (-i)^2 (U_t^0 V) * (U_t^0 V) * U_t^0 \\ &+ \dots + (-i)^N (U_t^0 V) * (U_t^0 V) * \dots * U_t. \end{aligned}$$

The idea of the proof consists in calculating the multiple commutator of V and U_t^0 . Since $(t^n U_t^0) * U_t^0 = (t^{n+1}/n+1) U_t^0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -iU_t^0 V * U_t^0 &= (U_t^0 Q_1) * U_t^0 \\ &= (Q_1 U_t^0) * U_t^0 + (U_t^0 Q_2 * U_t^0) * U_t^0 \\ &= Q_1 (U_t^0 * U_t^0) + Q_2 (U_t^0 * U_t^0) * U_t^0 + ((U_t^0 Q_3 * U_t^0) * U_t^0) * U_t^0 \\ &= Q_1 t U_t^0 + Q_2 \frac{t^2}{2!} U_t^0 + Q_3 \frac{t^3}{3!} U_t^0 + \dots + R_N^{(1)}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} R_N^{(l)}(t) \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} (H_0 + i)^{-N}\|$ is of polynomial growth in $t \geq 0$, here we use the estimate of $\langle x \rangle^m e^{-itH_0} \langle x \rangle^{-m} (H_0 + i)^{-m}$ proved at the beginning of this section. Repeating this procedure for each term of the right-hand side of (2.5), we have for $t \geq 0$

$$(2.6) \quad U_t = U_t^0 + P_1 t U_t^0 + P_2 \frac{t^2}{2!} U_t^0 + \cdots + R_N(t),$$

where $P_m \in S(m\epsilon_0, l(m))$ with an integer $l(m)$ depending on m , $\|\langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} R_N(t) \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} (H_0 + i)^{-N}\|$ is of polynomial growth in $t \geq 0$, and $P_1 = -iV$. (2.6) also holds for $t \leq 0$. We multiply the inverse Fourier transform of $\phi(\lambda)$ to (2.6) and integrate with respect to t to obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \phi(H) = \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \tilde{P}_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) + R_N.$$

where $\tilde{P}_m \in S(m\epsilon_0, 0)$, $P_1 = V$ and

$$(2.8) \quad \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} R_N \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} (H_0 + i)^{-N} \in \mathbf{B}(L^2; L^2).$$

In order to complete the proof, choose $\phi(\lambda) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $\phi(\lambda) = 1$ on $\text{supp } \phi$. Then by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(H) &= \phi(H) \phi(H) \\ &= \phi(H) \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \phi(H) \tilde{P}_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) + \phi(H) R_N. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.8) it follows that $\langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} \phi(H) R_N \langle x \rangle^{N\epsilon_0/2} \in \mathbf{B}(L^2; L^2)$. Since $\phi(H)$ admits an asymptotic expansion similar to (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(H) \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \phi(H) \tilde{P}_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) \\ &= \phi(H_0) + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \tilde{P}_m \phi^{(m)}(H_0) + \tilde{R}_N, \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{R}_N satisfies (2.2). This completes the proof.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] H.L. Cycon and P. Perry, Local time-decay of high energy scattering states for the Schrödinger equation, (preprint).
- [2] H. Isozaki, Differentiability of generalized Fourier transforms associated with Schrödinger operators, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **25** (1985), 789-806.
- [3] H. Isozaki and H. Kitada, Modified wave operators with time-independent modifiers, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA, **32** (1985), 77-104.
- [4] H. Isozaki and H. Kitada, Micro-local resolvent estimates for two-body Schrödinger operators, J. Functional Anal., **57** (1984), 270-300.
- [5] H. Isozaki and H. Kitada, A remark on the micro-local resolvent estimates for two-body Schrödinger operators, Publ. R. I. M. S. Kyoto Univ., **21** (1985), 889-910.
- [6] H. Isozaki and H. Kitada, Asymptotic behavior of the scattering amplitude at high

- energies, *Differential Equations*, I. W. Knowels and R. T. Lewis (Editors), Elsevier Science Publishers B. V., 1984, 327-334.
- [7] A. Jensen and T. Kato, Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions, *Duke Math. J.*, **46** (1979), 583-611.
 - [8] A. Jensen, E. Mourre and P. Perry, Multiple commutator estimates and resolvent smoothness in quantum scattering theory, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A*, **41** (1984), 207-225.
 - [9] H. Kitada, Time-decay of the high-energy part of the solution for a Schrödinger equation, (preprint).
 - [10] M. Murata, Rate of decay of local energy and spectral properties of elliptic operators, *Japan. J. Math.*, **6** (1980), 77-127.
 - [11] M. Murata, Asymptotic expansions in time for solutions of Schrödinger type equations *J. Funct. Anal.*, **49** (1982), 10-56.
 - [12] J. Rauch, Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **61** (1978), 149-168.