# Fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem for a Schrödinger pseudo-differential operator (\*)

Bv

### Daniela MARI

In this paper we shall construct a fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem for pseudo-differential operator of Schrödinger type by means of Fourier integral operators of infinite order.

In [4] D. Fujiwara considers the Schrödinger operator

$$\hbar D_t - \hbar^2 \Delta_x + V(t, x)$$

( $\hbar$  is a small positive parameter), and obtains a fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem when the real potential V(t,x) satisfies suitable conditions. At first he constructs a sequence of approximate fundamental solutions in the frame of  $L^2$ -theory of oscillatory integral transformations; afterwards studies the convergence of iterated integrals of Feynman type constructed by using those solutions.

In [8] H. Kitada extends the result to a pseudo-differential operator with symbol  $h(t, x, \xi)$  satisfying on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ 

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} h(t, x, \xi)| \leq \begin{cases} c_{\alpha, \beta} (1+|x|+|\xi|)^{2-|\alpha+\beta|} & (|\alpha+\beta| \leq 1) \\ c_{\alpha, \beta} & (|\alpha+\beta| \geq 2). \end{cases}$$

Also Kitada uses approximate fundamental solutions but he finds them as Fourier integral operators.

A further paper on this subject from a more general point of view is due to H. Kitada-H. Kumano-go [9].

Also the question of the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem has been studied for differential operators of Schrödinger type and even for more general non Kowalevskian differential operators. In fact J. Takeuchi ([13]-[14]), S. Mizohata ([11]-[12]), W. Ichinose ([5]-[6]), give sufficient conditions and necessary conditions to the well-posedness in the frame of  $L^2$  and  $H^{\infty}$  spaces.

In this paper we construct a fundamental solution of the Cauchy problem for the pseudo-differential operator of Schrödinger type

(0.1) 
$$P(t, x, D_t, D_x) = D_t + \Delta_x + A(t, x, D_x)$$
 where  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Delta_x = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2$ 

<sup>(\*)</sup> Work supported by M.U.R.S.T., Italy. Communicated by Prof. N. Iwasaki, December 15, 1990

and  $A(t, x, D_x)$  is a complex valued pseudo-differential operator of order  $p \in [0, 1[$  with symbol  $a(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n))$  satisfying for some A > 0:

$$(0.2) \quad \sup_{\substack{x \in R^n \\ t \in [0,T]}} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} a(t, x, \xi)| < A^{|\alpha+\beta|+1} (\alpha+\beta)! \langle \xi \rangle^{p-|\alpha+\beta|} \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad |\xi| \gg 1.$$

The result is obtained by using a parametrix that is constructed as a Fourier integral operator of infinite order on  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}(\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)'})$ ,  $\sigma \in [1, 1/p[$ , with phase function  $\phi(t, x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + |\xi|^2 t$ .

The Fourier integral operators of infinite order have been studied by L. Cattabriga-L. Zanghirati [3] on Gevry classes, whereas the spaces  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)'}$  have been considered for example by K. Taniguchi in [15] where he considers pseudo-differential and Fourier integral operators of finite order. Recently R. Agliardi [1] has studied Fourier integral operators with phase of order 1 and amplitude of infinite order on  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}$  and its dual.

This paper is organized as follows. The first section contains the main definitions and notation; in section 2 is produced the calculus for Fourier integral operators of infinite order on  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}(\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma')})$ . Indeed in section 3 the parametrix of the Cauchy problem for the operator (0.1) is constructed by solving transport equations; then the fundamental solution is determined.

The author is studying the possibility to extend the result here obtained to a more general operator than (0.1).

# 1. Main notation and definitions

For 
$$x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 we set  $\langle x \rangle^2 = 1 + |x|^2 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2$ ,  $x \cdot \xi = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \xi_j$ . We write  $D_t = -i\partial_t$ ,  $D_x = \langle D_1, \dots, D_n \rangle$   $D_j = -i\partial_x$ , and  $D_x^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_2} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ .

We recall the definitions of the function spaces which later we shall use mainly (for more details see [15] and [7]). We omit the domain because  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is meant.

For  $\sigma\gg 1$  we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_b^{(\sigma)}$  the space of all  $f\in\mathcal{E}^{\infty}$  such that for some A>0

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|D^{\alpha}f(x)| < A^{|\alpha|+1}\alpha!^{\alpha} \qquad \forall \alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sigma \ge 1$  we define

$$S_{\sigma,\varepsilon} = \{ u \in S : \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \tilde{u}(\xi) \in S \}$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is the Fourier transform of u.

 $S_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$  is a Frechet space with the seminorms

$$|u|_{S_{\sigma,\varepsilon};k} = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{|\alpha|+p=k} |\langle \xi \rangle^p \hat{\partial}_{\xi}^{\alpha}(\exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \tilde{u}(\xi))| \qquad k=0, 1, \dots$$

Furthermore we consider

$$\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\,\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)} = \{ f \in L^2 ; \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \tilde{f}(\xi) \in L^2 \}$$

which is an Hilbert space with the norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{D}_{L^{2},\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)'}} = ||\exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \tilde{f}(\xi)||_{L^{2}}.$$

If  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)'}$  is the dual space of  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\varepsilon'}^{(\sigma)}$  we can see that it is the space of the ultradistributions u such that  $\exp(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})\tilde{u}(\xi) \in L^2$ . So if we denote even for  $\varepsilon < 0$  with  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)}$  the space of the ultradistributions u such that  $\exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})\tilde{u}(\xi) \in L^2$ , we can write

$$\mathcal{Q}_{I_2}^{(\sigma)'} = \mathcal{Q}_{I_2}^{(\sigma)}$$
 for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Finally we define

$$\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_{L^2, \varepsilon}^{(\sigma)} \qquad \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)'} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{D}_{L^2, \varepsilon}^{(\sigma)'}.$$

Now we recall the definitions of symbol of infinite order of Gevrey type and relative formal series (for details see  $\lceil 16 \rceil$ ).

For  $\sigma \ge 1$  we denote by  $S^{\infty,\sigma}$  the space of all functions  $a(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  satisfying there exists A > 0 and  $\forall h > 0$  there exists  $C_h > 0$  such that

(1.1) 
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} a(x, \xi)| < C_h A^{|\alpha+\beta|} \alpha! \beta!^{\sigma} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \exp(h \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad |\xi| \gg 1.$$

We define  $\sum_{j\geq 0} a_j(x, \xi)$  formal series of symbols in  $S^{\infty, \sigma}$  if  $a_j \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  satisfy there exists A>0 and  $\forall h>0$  there exists  $C_h>0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_x^{\beta} a_j(x, \xi)| < C_h A^{|\alpha+\beta|} \alpha! (\beta! j!)^{\sigma} \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|-j} \exp(h \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \qquad |\xi| \gg 1.$$

Let  $\sum_{j\geq 0} a_j$  and  $\sum_{j\geq 0} b_j$  formal series of symbols; we say that  $\sum a_j \sim \sum b_j$  if there exists A>0 and  $\forall h>0$  there exists  $C_h>0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} \sum_{j < s} (a_{j}(x, \xi) - b_{j}(x, \xi))| < C_{h} A^{+\alpha + \beta + s} \alpha ! (\beta ! s !)^{\sigma} \langle \xi \rangle^{-1\alpha + s} \exp(h \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}, \quad |\xi| \gg 1.$$

## 2. Calculus for certain Fourier integral operators of infinite order

We consider Fourier integral operators of infinite order on the spaces  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}(\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]'})$  with amplitude

$$p(t; x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)) \qquad \text{belonging to } S^{\infty, \sigma}$$
 and phase

(2.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \phi(t; x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi + |\xi|^2 t \\ x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad t \in [0, T_0] \quad 0 < T_0 < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

For these p the integral  $\int |p(t; x, \xi)\tilde{u}(\xi)| d\xi$  is convergent for any  $t \in [0, T_0]$  both if  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma, \varepsilon}$  and if  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{l,2}^{(\sigma)}$ . Thus we can define  $\forall t \in [0, T_0]$  the operator

$$(2.3) (P(t)u)(x) = \int \exp(ix \cdot \xi + |\xi|^2 t) p(t; x, \xi) \tilde{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

 $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n}d\xi$ , both on  $\mathcal{S}_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$  and on  $\mathcal{D}_{L_2}^{(\sigma)}$ .

We give now some preliminary lemmas and then we shall study the action of the operator (2.3) on the above mentioned spaces.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $a_j(x) \in C^{\infty}$ ,  $j=0, \dots, n$ , and we assume that for some  $C_0$ ,  $A_0 \ge 0$ 

$$\sup_{x \in R^n} |D_x^{\alpha} a_0(x)| \leq n C_0 A_0^{|\alpha|+1} (|\alpha|+1)!^{\sigma} \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_x^{\alpha} a_j(x)| \leq C_0 A_0^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^{\sigma} \qquad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Set  $L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(x) \partial_{x_j} + a_0(x)$ . Then  $\forall u \in C^{\infty}$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_x^{\alpha} u(x)| \leq C A^{|\alpha|} |\alpha|!^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+,$$

we have  $\forall 1 \in N$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}$ 

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_x^{\alpha} L^1 u(x)| \leq C (2nC_0)^1 \{ (A + A_0)^2 / A \}^1 (A + A_0)^{1\alpha_1} (|\alpha| + 1)!^{\alpha_1}$$

Proof. By induction on 1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\phi(t, x, \xi)$  be as (2.2) and  $\tau \in ]2T_0, 1[$ . We consider the operator

$$L = L(t, x, \xi, \partial_{\xi}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_{j}} \left( \frac{\partial_{\xi_{j}} \phi}{|\nabla_{\xi} \phi|^{2}} \right)$$

for any  $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x| \ge \tau \langle \xi \rangle$  and  $t \in [0, T_0]$ . Then  $\forall u \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_{\xi}^{\alpha} u(\xi)| < C A^{|\alpha|} \alpha!^{\sigma} \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$

we have  $\forall l \in N$ 

$$(2.4) \qquad |L^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} u(\xi)| < C \widetilde{C}_{\tau,A} |x|^{-1} !^{\scriptscriptstyle g} \qquad \forall x, \ \xi \in I\!\!R^n \ \ with \ \ |x| \ge \tau \langle \xi \rangle \ \ and \ \ t \in [0,\ T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}] \ ,$$

where

$$\widetilde{C}_{\tau,A} = \frac{2n(1+\sqrt{3})\tau}{\tau-2T_0} \left(A + \frac{4(1+\sqrt{3})}{\tau-2T_0}\right)^2 \frac{1}{A}$$

Proof. We notice that

$$|\nabla_{\xi}\phi| > \left(1 - \frac{2T_0}{\tau}\right)|x| \qquad \forall x, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ |x| \ge \tau \langle \xi \rangle, \ t \in [0, T_0].$$

If we denote

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial_{\xi_{j}} \phi}{|\nabla_{\varepsilon} \phi|^{2}} \partial_{\xi_{j}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_{j}} \left( \frac{\partial_{\xi_{j}} \phi}{|\nabla_{\varepsilon} \phi|^{2}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}(t, x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_{j}} + a_{0}(t, x, \xi),$$

we prove that for the same x,  $\xi$ , t

$$|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}a_{j}(t, x, \xi)| \leq 4^{|\alpha|} \left(\frac{(1+\sqrt{3})\tau}{\tau-2T_{0}}\right)^{|\alpha|+1} \frac{1}{|x|^{|\alpha|+1}} \alpha! \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}.$$

Then by applying Lemma 2.1 we obtain (2.4).

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $u \in S_{\sigma, \varepsilon}$  then Pu defined by (2.3) is in S.

*Proof.* By letting  $v(y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1+\sigma})\tilde{u}(\xi))(y)$  and by fixing  $\tau \in ]2T_0$ , 1[ turns out  $|\langle x \rangle^j \partial_{\tilde{x}}^{\alpha}(Pu)(x)| \leq I_1 + I_2$ 

with

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \Big| \sum \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \iiint_{|x-y| \leq \tau < \xi >} \langle x \rangle^j \langle D_y \rangle^{|\alpha|+n+1} v(y) \exp(i\phi(t, \, x, \, \xi) - i \, y \cdot \xi) \\ & \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha-\alpha'} \, p(t, \, x, \, \xi) \max(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|+|\alpha'|-n-1} d \, y \, d \xi \Big| \\ I_2 &= \Big| \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\alpha'} \iiint_{|x-y| \geq \tau < \xi >} \langle x \rangle^j \langle D_y \rangle^{|\alpha|+n+1} v(y) \exp(i\phi(t, \, x, \, \xi) - i \, y \cdot \xi) \\ & \cdot L_{\xi}^1 (\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha-\alpha'} \, p(t, \, x, \, \xi) \exp(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha|+|\alpha'|-n-1}) d \, y \, d \xi \Big| \end{split}$$

where

$$L_{\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{\xi_{j}} \left( \frac{\partial_{\xi_{j}} \phi - y_{j}}{|\nabla_{\xi} \phi - y|^{2}} \right).$$

It is easy to prove that

$$I_1 \leq C_{\alpha,j} |v|_{S_{|\alpha|+j+n+1}}$$

and since

$$|\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}(\partial_{x}^{\alpha-\alpha'}p(t,x,\xi)\exp(-\varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma})\langle\xi\rangle^{-n-1})| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta}\beta!\langle\xi\rangle^{-n-1}$$

in view of Lemma 2.2, by choosing l=j+n+1 and by using  $\langle x \rangle^j \le \sqrt{2}^j \langle y \rangle^j \langle x-y \rangle^j$ , we obtain again

$$I_2 \leq C'_{\alpha,j,\tau} |v|_{S_{|\alpha|+j+n+1}}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** If P is defined by (2.3), then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta \in ]0, \delta_{\varepsilon}]$ , P is a continuous map from  $S_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$  to  $S_{\sigma,\delta}$  and from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\varepsilon}^{[\sigma]}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\delta}^{[\sigma]}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u \in S_{\sigma, \varepsilon}$  implies  $Pu \in S$ ,

$$\begin{split} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha'}\widetilde{Pu}(\xi)| &= \left| \iint \exp(ix \cdot (\eta - \xi) + i |\eta|^2 t) (-ix)^{\alpha'} p(t, x, \eta) \widetilde{u}(\eta) d\eta dx \right| \\ &\leq \iint \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{-k-j} |\langle D_x \rangle^{k+j} [\langle x \rangle^{-|\alpha|-n-1} (-ix)^{\alpha'} \\ &\cdot \langle D_\eta \rangle^{|\alpha|+n+1} (p(t, x, \eta) \widetilde{u}(\eta) \exp(i |\eta|^2 t))] |d\eta dx \end{split}$$

with arbitrary k>0. Hence, if we notice that

$$|\partial_{\eta}^{\gamma} \exp(i|\eta|^2 t)| \leq C_{h,\sigma}^{|\gamma|} \gamma!^{\sigma} \exp(h\langle \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \quad \forall h > 0$$

and take  $\varepsilon' > 0$  arbitrarly, we have

$$\begin{split} |\langle \xi \rangle^{j} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} (\exp(\delta \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \widetilde{Pu}(\xi))| &\leq C_{j,\alpha,\,\varepsilon',\,\varepsilon,\,h,\,\sigma}^{*} |u|_{S_{\sigma,\,\varepsilon;\,1}\alpha+2n+2+j} \\ &\cdot \exp(\delta \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \Big[ \langle \eta \rangle^{-n-1} \exp\Big( -\frac{\sigma}{\rho} \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma} \Big) \exp((\varepsilon' - \varepsilon + h) \langle \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma}) d\eta \; . \end{split}$$

By choosing h,  $\delta$  such that  $\max(0, \varepsilon/2, -\sigma/e) < h < \varepsilon/4, 0 < \delta < \varepsilon/2 - h$  and  $\varepsilon' \le \varepsilon/2$  we get

$$|Pu|_{S_{\alpha,\epsilon};j+|\alpha|} \leq C|u|_{S_{\alpha,\epsilon};j+|\alpha|+2n+2} \qquad C = C(j,\alpha,\sigma,\varepsilon).$$

The first part of the Theorem is so proved. Now we show that  $\forall u \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma,\epsilon}$  there exists  $\delta'_{\epsilon} > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\delta < \delta'_{\epsilon}$  turns out

Then, since  $S_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2,\delta}^{[\sigma]}$ , this inequality is true for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2,\varepsilon}^{[\sigma]}$ . Similarly to [15], we set

 $q_{j}(t,x,\xi) = Os - \iint \exp(i(x-y)\cdot(\eta-\xi)+i|\xi|^{2}t) \exp(\delta\langle\eta\rangle^{1/\sigma}) \psi_{j}(\eta) p(t,y,\xi) \exp(-\varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma}) dy d\eta$  where

(2.6) 
$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{j}(\eta) = & \phi(\eta/j), \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty} \\ \phi(\eta) = & 1 \quad \text{for} \quad |\eta| \leq 1/2, \quad \phi(\eta) = & 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |\eta| \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we prove that for suitable  $\delta$   $\{q_j\}_j$  is a bounded set in  $S_0^0$ ,  $\forall t \in [0, T_0]$  (as defined by [10]) and denote by  $q(t, x, \xi)$  the limit of  $q_j$ , in view of Theorem 7.3 ch. 3 [10]

$$q_j(t, x, D_x)v \xrightarrow{\text{in } L^2} q(t, x, D_x)v \quad \forall v \in L^2 \ \forall t \in [0, T_0]$$

and therefore  $\|q(t, x, D_x)v\|_{L^2} \leq M\|v\|_{L^2}$  for the same v, t (Calderon-Vaillancourt theorem). Now, if we denote with  $\widetilde{v}(\xi) = \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \widetilde{u}(\xi)$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{S}_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$ , we have  $q(t, x, \xi)v(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\exp(\delta \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \widetilde{Pu}(\xi))(x)$ . Therefore turns out (2.5). So it remains to prove the boundedness of  $\{q_j\}$  in  $S_{0,0}^0$ . This is obtained by a slight modification of the proof of the Theorem 1.1 of [1] by choosing  $\delta < \min(\varepsilon/2, C_{\sigma,A})$ ,  $C_{\sigma,A} = (\sigma/e)(A/2n)^{1/\sigma}$  where A is the constant as in (1.1).

**Corollary 2.1.** The operator P defined by (2.3) is a continuous map from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$  that can be extended to a codtinuous operator from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $r(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_0], \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n))$  with the property  $\exists B, C > 0$ , A, h > 0 and  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \exists C_{\alpha} > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\hat{\partial}_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}r(t, x, \xi)|C_{\alpha}A^{|\beta|}\beta!^{\sigma}\exp(-h\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma})\forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n, |\xi|\geq B, \qquad t\in[0, T_0].$$

If  $\phi$  satisfies (2.2), then the operator

$$(R(t)u)(x) = \int \exp(i\phi(t, x, \xi))r(t, x, \xi)\tilde{u}(\xi)d\xi \qquad u \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(a)}, \ t \in [0, T_0]$$

extends to a continuous map from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}$ .

*Proof.* If  $0 < \varepsilon < h$  let u satisfying  $\exp(-\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \tilde{u}(\xi) = \tilde{v}(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}$ . By denoting with  $b_j(t, x, \xi) = Os - \iint \exp(i(x-y) \circ (\eta - \xi) + i |\xi|^2 t) \exp(\delta \langle \eta \rangle^{1/\sigma}) \psi_j(\eta) r(t, y, \xi) \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma}) dy d\eta$ 

where  $\psi_j(\eta)$  are the functions of (2.6), in a similar way as Theorem 2.1 we prove that  $b_j$  is a bounded subset of  $S_{0,0}^0$  so that if b is the limit of  $b_j$ 

$$||b(t, x, D_x)v||_{\tau^2} \le M||v||_{\tau^2} \quad \forall v \in L^2, t \in [0, T_0].$$

Now we notice that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\exp(\delta \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})\widetilde{Ru}(\xi))(x) = b(t, x, D_x)v(x)$  so that turns out

 $||Ru||_{\mathcal{D}_{L^{2},\delta}^{(\sigma)}} \leq M||u||_{\mathcal{D}_{L^{2},-\varepsilon}^{(\sigma)}} \forall \delta < \delta_{\varepsilon}.$ 

Theorem 2.3. Let

$$(P_1(t)u)(x) = \int \exp(ix \cdot \xi) p_1(t, x, \xi) \tilde{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$(P_2(t)u)(x) = \int \exp(ix \cdot \xi + i|\xi|^2 t) p_2(t, x, \xi) \tilde{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $0 < T_0 < 1/2$  and

$$p_1(t, x, \xi), p_2(t, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_0], \mathcal{S}^{\infty, \sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Then  $\forall t \in [0, T_0]$  there exists an operator Q(t) defined on  $\mathcal{D}_{t^2}^{(\sigma)}$  by

$$(Q(t)u)(x) = \int \exp(ix \cdot \xi + i|\xi|^2 t)q(t, x, \xi)\tilde{u}(\xi)d\xi$$

such that

$$P_1(P_2u) = Qu + Ru$$

where R is a continuous map from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[j]}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$  and  $q(t, x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} q_j(t, x, \xi)$  uniformly with respect to t,

$$q_j(t, x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p_1(t, x, \xi) D_x^{\alpha} p_2(t, x, \xi).$$

*Proof.* The theorem is proved by arguing in a similar way as the analogous theorem in [1].

We shall need to consider formal series of symbols of the following type: Let  $p_j(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(2.7) there exists A>0 and  $\forall \varepsilon>0$  there exists  $C_{\varepsilon}>0$  such that  $\sup_{x\in \mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}D_x^{\beta}p_j(x,\xi)| \leq CA^{\lfloor\alpha+\beta\rfloor+j}(\alpha+\beta+j)!\langle\xi\rangle^{-\lfloor\alpha+\beta\rfloor-j}\exp(\varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma})$   $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad |\xi| \gg 1.$ 

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\sum_{j\geq 0} p_j$  and  $\sum_{j\geq 0} q_j$  formal series of symbols of previous type. We say that  $\sum p_j \sim \sum q_j$  if there exists A>0 and  $\forall \epsilon>0$  there exists  $C_{\epsilon}>0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} \sum_{j < s} (p_{j}(x, \xi) - q_{j}(x, \xi))| \leq C_{\varepsilon} A^{|\alpha + \beta| + s} (\alpha + \beta + s)! \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha + \beta| - s} \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\cdot \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \qquad |\xi| \gg 1.$$

As in [16] we can prove that

**Proposition 2.2.** For every  $\sum_{j\geq 0} p_j$ ,  $p_j$  satisfying (2.7), there exists  $p \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(2.8) 
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} |\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\varepsilon} A^{|\alpha+\beta|} (\alpha+\beta)! \langle \xi \rangle^{-|\alpha+\beta|} \exp(\varepsilon \langle \xi \rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n} \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \quad |\xi| \ll 1$$

and p is equivalent to  $\sum p_j$  as in definition 2.1.

### 3. Main results

In this section we consider the operator of Schrödinger type:

$$P(t, x, D_t, D_x) = D_t + \Delta_x + A(t, x, D_x)$$

denoted with (0.1) in Introduction, where A is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol satisfying (0.2). A simple example of function of this type is obviously  $a(t, \xi) = \varphi(t) \langle \xi \rangle^p$  where  $\varphi$  is a continuous function in [0, T] but  $a(t, x, \xi) = \varphi(t) \langle \xi \rangle^p \exp(-|x|^2/|\xi|^2)$  also satisfies (0.2).

We prove

**Theorem 3.1.** Let P the operator (0.1) satisfying (0.2). Then  $\forall s, t \in [0, T_0]$   $0 < T_0$  < 1/2, there exists  $e(t, s, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^S([0, T_0]^2; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n))$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}e(t, s, x, \xi)| \leq C_{\varepsilon,\sigma}\tilde{A}^{|\alpha+\beta|}(\alpha+\beta)!\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha+\beta|}\exp(\varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

for some C,  $\tilde{A} > 0$ ,  $\forall t$ ,  $s \in [0, T_0]$ ,  $\forall \alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \xi \mid \gg 1$ ,  $\sigma \in [1, 1/p[$ . Furthermore the operator defined by

$$(Eu)(x) = \int \exp(i|\xi|^2(t-s) + ix \cdot \xi)e(t, s, x, \xi)\tilde{u}(\xi)d\xi \qquad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{l\sigma}$$

satis fies

$$\begin{cases}
PE(t, s) = R(t, s) \\
E(s, s) = I
\end{cases}$$

where R is a continuous map from  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]'}$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$   $\forall s, t \in [0, T_0]$  and I is the identity operator.

*Proof.* We construct a sequence of functions  $\{e_h(t, s, x, \xi)\}_{h \ge 0}$  such that  $\forall h \ge 0$   $e_{2h+1}(t, s, x, \xi) = 0$  and  $e_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi)$  are solutions of the following Cauchy problems:

 $(C_0)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t e_0(t, s, x, \xi) - 2(\xi \cdot \nabla_x e_0)(t, s, x, \xi) + ia(t, x, \xi) e_0(t, s, x, \xi) = 0 \\ e_0(s, s) = 1 \end{cases}$$

 $(C_h)$ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t e_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi) - 2(\xi \cdot \nabla_x e_{2h})(t, s, x, \xi) + ia(t, x, \xi) e_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi) = -id_h(t, s, x, \xi) \\ e_{2h}(s, s) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with

$$d_h(t, s, x, \xi) = \Delta_x e_{2h-2}(t, s, x, \xi) + \sum_{k=1}^h \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} a(t, x, \xi) D_x^{\gamma} e_{2h-2k}(t, s, x, \xi).$$

We shall prove that  $\sum_{h\geq 0} e_h(t, s, x, \xi)$  is a formal series as in definition 2.1  $\forall t, s \in [0, T_0]$ , so by Proposition 2.2 we can conclude that there exists  $e(t, s, x, \xi) \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0], \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n))$  satisfying (2.8) such that

$$e(t, s, x, \xi) \sim \sum_{h\geq 0} e_h(t, s, x, \xi)$$
.

Moreover for  $(C_0)$   $(C_h)$ 

$$e(s, s, x, \xi) = 1$$

$$D_t e(t, s, x, \xi) + |\xi|^2 e(t, s, x, \xi) + q(t, s, x, \xi) = 0$$

where  $q(t, s, x, \xi) \sim \sum q_j(t, s, x, \xi)$  and

$$q_{j}(t, s, x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{1}{\gamma!} \partial_{\xi}^{\gamma} (-|\xi|^{2} + a(t, x, \xi)) D_{x}^{\gamma} e_{j-k}(t, s, x, \xi).$$

By Theorem 2.3 we have the result. Now, by letting

$$v_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi) = e_{2h}(t, s, x - 2\xi t, \xi) \quad \forall h \ge 0$$

we can prove inductively analogous estimates to the one proved in [2]

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_x^{\beta}v_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi)|$$

$$\leq C_A^{\lfloor \alpha+\beta\rfloor+2h}(\lfloor \alpha+\beta\rfloor+2h)\,!\langle \xi \rangle^{-\lfloor \alpha+\beta\rfloor-2h} \exp\left(C_{T_0}\langle \xi \rangle^p \lfloor t-s \rfloor\right)^{\lfloor \alpha+\beta\rfloor+\max\{0,4h-1\}} \langle \xi \rangle^{pi} \frac{\lfloor t-s \rfloor^i}{i\,!}$$

$$\forall \, |\xi| \geq B > 0 \qquad \forall t, \, s \in [0,\,T_0] \,.$$

By using

$$D_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}e_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi) = \sum_{\hat{\sigma}' + \delta'' = a} {\alpha \choose \delta'} D_{x}^{\beta + \hat{\sigma}'} D_{\xi}^{\hat{\sigma}''} v(t, s, x + 2\xi t, \xi) (2t)^{|\hat{\sigma}'|}$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}e_{2h}(t, s, x, \xi)|$$

$$\leq C_A^{*(\alpha+\beta)+2h}(|\alpha+\beta|+2h)!\langle\xi\rangle^{-(\alpha+\beta)-2h}\exp\left(C_{T_0}\langle\xi\rangle^p|t-s|\right)^{(\alpha+\beta)+\max(0,4h-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{(\alpha+\beta)+\max(0,4h-1)}\langle\xi\rangle^{pi}\frac{|t-s|^i}{i!}\,.$$

Since  $\sigma \in [1, 1/p[$  from these estimates we can conclude that  $\sum_{h\geq 0} e_h(t, s)$  is a formal series of symbols such that for some  $\tilde{C}_A$ ,  $B_1>0$  and  $\forall \epsilon>0$ 

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}|\partial_{\xi}^{\alpha}D_{x}^{\beta}e_{h}(t, s, x, \xi)| \leq \widetilde{C}_{A, \varepsilon, \sigma}^{(\alpha+\beta)+h}(|\alpha+\beta|+h)!\langle\xi\rangle^{-|\alpha+\beta|-h}\exp(\varepsilon\langle\xi\rangle^{1/\sigma})$$

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \ \forall t, s \in [0, T_0], \ \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad |\xi| > B_1.$$

Hence we get the result.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let E(t, s) be as in Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a continuous map F from  $C([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)})$  to  $C([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)})$  such that

$$\widetilde{E}(t, s) = E(t, s) + \int_{\cdot}^{t} E(t, \tau) F(\tau, s) d\tau$$

is a fundamental solution for the Cauchy problem for the operator (3.1). Consequently, if  $g \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]})$  (resp.  $g \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, T^0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]})$ ) then

$$u(t,\cdot) = \widetilde{E}(t,s)g + \int_{s}^{t} (\widetilde{E}(t,\tau)f(\tau,\cdot))d\tau$$

is a solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases}
Pu=f \\
u(s, s)=g
\end{cases}$$

and  $u \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{r^2}^{(\sigma)})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}^1([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{r^2}^{(\sigma)'})$ ).

*Proof.* We can regard the operator R(t, s) as a pseudo-differential operator  $\tilde{R}(t, s)$  with symbol  $\tilde{r}(t, s, x, \xi) = \exp(i|\xi|^2(t-s))r(t, s, x, \xi)$  which has the same properties as the symbol  $r(t, s, x, \xi)$  of R(t, s). Then in a similar way as [2] we can prove that there exists F(t, s) solution of

$$\tilde{R}(t, s) = -F(t, s) - \int_{s}^{t} \tilde{R}(t, \tau) F(\tau, s) d\tau$$

and F continuously maps  $\mathcal{C}([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)'})$  to  $\mathcal{C}([0, T_0], \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{(\sigma)})$ . By using Theorem 3.1 we have so

$$P\widetilde{E}(t, s) = 0$$
.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA DELL' UNIVERSITÀ DI FERRARA, VIA MACHIAVELLI, 35 44100 FERRARA

#### References

- [1] R. Agliardi, Fourier integral operators of infinite order on  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma](\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{[\sigma]'})}$  with an application to a certain Cauchy problem, Rend. Sem. Mat. dell'Univ. di Padova, 84 (1990), 71-82.
- [2] L. Cattabriga and D. Mari, Parametrix of infinite order on Gevrey spaces to the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with one constant multiple characteristics, Ricerche di Mat. suypl. 36 (1987), 127-147.
- [3] L. Cattabriga and L. Zanghirati, Fourier integral operators of infinite order on Gevrey spaces. Applications to the Cauchy problem for certain hyperbolic operators, J. Math. of Kyoto Univ., 30, (1990), 149-192.
- [4] D. Fujiwara, A construction of the fundamental solution for the Schrödinger equation, J. Analyse Math., 35 (1979), 41-96.
- [5] W. Ichinose, Sufficient condition on  $H^{\infty}$  well-posedness for Schrödinger type equations, Comm. in P.D.E., 9 (1984), 33-48.
- [6] W. Ichinose, A note on the Cauchy problem for Schrödinger type equations on the Riemannian manifold, Math. Japonica, 35 (1990), 205-213.
- [7] K. Kajitani and S. Wakabayashi, Microhyperbolic operators in Gevrey classes, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 25 (1989), 169-221.
- [8] H. Kitada, On a construction of the fundamental solution for Schrödinger equations, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Ser. IA, 27 (1980), 193-226.
- [9] H. Kitada and H. Kumano-go, A family of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a Schrödinger equation, Osaka J. Math., 18 (1981), 291-360.
- [10] H. Kumano-go, Pseudo-differential operators, M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [11] S. Mizohata, On some Schrödinger type equations, Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A, 57 (1981), 81-84.
- [12] S. Mizohata, On the Cauchy Problem, Science Press, Beijing, 1985.
- [13] J. Takeuchi, A necessary condition for the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a

- certain class of evolution equations, Proc. Japan Acad., 50 (1974), 133-137.
- [14] J. Takeuchi, Le problème de Cauchy pour quelques équations aux dérivées partielles du type de Schrödinger, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I, 310 (1990), 855-858.
- [15] K. Taniguchi, Pseudo-differential operators acting on ultradistributions, Math. Japonica, 30-5 (1985), 719-741.
- [16] L. Zanghirati, Pseudo-differential operators of infinite order and Gevrey classes, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, sez. VII, Sc. Mat., (1985), 197-219.