

## Symmetric spaces with invariant locally Hessian structures

By Hirohiko SHIMA

(Received July 16, 1976)

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold with a locally flat linear connection  $D$ . Then, for each point  $p \in M$ , there exists a local coordinate system  $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$  in a neighbourhood of  $p$  such that  $D(dx^i) = 0$ , which we call an affine local coordinate system. A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  is said to be *locally Hessian* with respect to  $D$ , if there exists, for each point  $p \in M$ , a real-valued function  $\phi$  of class  $C^\infty$  on a neighbourhood of  $p$  such that

$$g = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i dx^j,$$

where  $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$  is an affine local coordinate system around  $p$ . Such the pair  $(D, g)$  is called a *locally Hessian structure* on  $M$ . We know that for a locally flat Riemannian manifold the pair of the Riemannian connection and the Riemannian metric is a locally Hessian structure, and that a homogeneous self-dual convex cone has a canonical locally Hessian structure (cf. [6]).

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group and  $B$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . The pair  $(G, B)$  is called a symmetric pair if there exists an involutive automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$  such that  $(B_\sigma)_0 \subset B \subset B_\sigma$ , where  $B_\sigma$  is the set of fixed points of  $\sigma$  and  $(B_\sigma)_0$  is the identity component of  $B_\sigma$ . If, in addition,  $B$  contains no non-trivial normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(G, B)$  is said to be an effective symmetric pair.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(G, B)$  be an effective symmetric pair. If  $M = G/B$  admits a locally Hessian structure  $(D, g)$  such that  $D$  and  $g$  are invariant under  $G$ , then  $M$  is affinely diffeomorphic and isometric (with respect to  $D$  and  $g$  respectively) to a direct product*

$$M_0 \times M_1 \times \dots \times M_r,$$

where  $M_0$  is a locally flat Riemannian manifold and the universal covering manifold of  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) is an irreducible homogeneous self-dual convex cone with a canonical locally Hessian structure.

1. In this section let  $(G, B)$  be a pair of a connected Lie group  $G$  and its closed subgroup  $B$  which needs not be symmetric. Assume that  $G/B$  admits

an invariant locally Hessian structure  $(D, g)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $B$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  we denote by  $X^*$  the vector field on  $M$  induced by the 1-parameter group of transformations  $\exp(-tX)$ . We put  $A_{X^*}Y^* = -D_{Y^*}X^*$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $V$  be the tangent space of  $G/B$  at  $o = \{B\}$  and let  $f(X)$  and  $q(X)$  denote the values of  $A_{X^*}$  and  $X^*$  at  $o$  respectively. Then we have (cf. [6])

- (1)  $f$  is a linear representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $V$ ,  
 (2)  $q$  is a linear map of  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $V$  such that

$$q([X, Y]) = f(X)q(Y) - f(Y)q(X),$$

and the kernel of  $q$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{b}$ .

Let  $\omega$  be an invariant volume element on  $G/B$ . If  $\omega$  has the expression

$$\omega = K dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

in an affine local coordinate system  $\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$ , then the forms

$$\alpha = \sum_i \frac{\partial \log K}{\partial x^i} dx^i,$$

$$D\alpha = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \log K}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} dx^i dx^j,$$

are called the *Koszul form* and the *canonical bilinear form* respectively. Let  $\alpha_o, D\alpha_o$  denote the values of  $\alpha, D\alpha$  at  $o$ . Then we have

$$(3) \quad \alpha_o(q(X)) = \text{Tr } f(X),$$

$$(4) \quad D\alpha_o(q(X), q(Y)) = \alpha_o(f(X)q(Y)),$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  (cf. [4], [6]).

Let  $\langle, \rangle$  denote the inner product on  $V$  given by the Riemannian metric  $g$ . Then  $\langle, \rangle$  satisfies the following condition (cf. [6])

$$(C) \quad \langle f(X)q(Y), q(Z) \rangle + \langle q(Y), f(X)q(Z) \rangle \\ = \langle f(Y)q(X), q(Z) \rangle + \langle q(X), f(Y)q(Z) \rangle.$$

Let  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$  and let  $f^*$  be the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contragredient to  $f$ . We define a linear map  $\gamma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V^*$  by  $(\gamma(X))(v) = \langle q(X), v \rangle$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$ . Let  $d_{f^*}$  denote the coboundary operator for the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $(V^*, f^*)$ . Then the condition (C) is equivalent to

$$(C') \quad d_{f^*}\gamma = 0.$$

In fact, for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((d_f \star \gamma)(X, Y))(q(Z)) \\
&= (f^*(X)\gamma(Y))(q(Z)) - (f^*(Y)\gamma(X))(q(Z)) - \gamma([X, Y])q(Z) \\
&= -\langle q(Y), f(X)q(Z) \rangle + \langle q(X), f(Y)q(Z) \rangle - \langle q([X, Y]), q(Z) \rangle \\
&= -\langle q(Y), f(X)q(Z) \rangle + \langle q(X), f(Y)q(Z) \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle f(X)q(Y), q(Z) \rangle + \langle f(Y)q(X), q(Z) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 1. *If  $G/B$  admits an invariant locally Hessian structure, then  $G$  is not semi-simple.*

PROOF. Let  $d_f$  denote the coboundary operator for the cohomology of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $(V, f)$ . Regarding  $q$  as a 1-dimensional  $(V, f)$ -cochain, we have  $(d_f q)(X, Y) = f(X)q(Y) - f(Y)q(X) - q([X, Y]) = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Now assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semi-simple. Since the cohomology group  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, (V, f))$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $(V, f)$  is zero, there exists an element  $e \in V$  such that  $q = d_f e$ . Choosing an element  $E \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $q(E) = e$ , we have  $q(X) = f(X)q(E)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since the cohomology group  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, (V^*, f^*))$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $(V^*, f^*)$  is zero and since  $d_f \star \gamma = 0$ , there exists an element  $c^* \in V^*$  such that  $\gamma = d_f \star c^*$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q(X), q(Y) \rangle &= (\gamma(X))(q(Y)) = ((d_f \star c^*)(X))(q(Y)) \\
&= -c^*(f(X)q(Y))
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . In particular

$$\langle q(E), q(X) \rangle = \langle q(X), q(E) \rangle = -c^*(f(X)q(E)) = -c^*(q(X))$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Combining these with (C), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle f(E)q(X), q(Y) \rangle + \langle q(X), f(E)q(Y) \rangle \\
&= \langle f(X)q(E), q(Y) \rangle + \langle q(E), f(X)q(Y) \rangle \\
&= \langle q(X), q(Y) \rangle - c^*(f(X)q(Y)) \\
&= 2\langle q(X), q(Y) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f(E) + {}^t f(E) = 2$ , where  ${}^t f(E)$  is the transpose of  $f(E)$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Taking the trace of the both sides of this formula we get  $\text{Tr } f(E) = \dim V$ . On the other hand, since  $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ , we have  $\text{Tr } f(E) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus Proposition is completely proved.

2. In the following we always assume that  $(G, B)$  is an effective symmetric pair. Then there exists a subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{m} \text{ (a vector space direct sum),} \\
& [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \mathfrak{b}, \quad [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $q$  is a linear isomorphism from  $\mathfrak{m}$  onto  $V$ , for each  $u \in V$  there exists a unique element  $X_u \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that

$$q(X_u) = u.$$

We put

$$L_u = f(X_u),$$

and define a multiplication law in  $V$  by

$$(6) \quad u \cdot v = L_u v.$$

Then, by (5) the algebra  $V$  is commutative.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $R_o$  be the value of the curvature tensor  $j$  or the Riemannian metric  $g$  at  $o$ . Then, for  $u, v \in V$  we have*

$$R_o(u, v) = -[L_u, L_v].$$

PROOF. Identifying  $\mathfrak{m}$  with  $V$  by  $q$ , it is known that

$$R_o(X, Y)Z = -[[X, Y], Z]$$

for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$  (cf. [2]). Therefore  $R_o(u, v)w = q(R_o(X_u, X_v)X_w) = -q([[X_u, X_v], X_w]) = -f([X_u, X_v])q(X_w) + f(X_w)q([X_u, X_v]) = -[L_u, L_v]w$ , for  $u, v, w \in V$ .

QED.

LEMMA 2. *For  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $f(W)$  is a derivation of the algebra  $V$ .*

PROOF. Let  $u \in V$ . Since  $q([W, X_u]) = f(W)q(X_u) - f(X_u)q(W) = f(W)u$  and since  $[W, X_u] \in \mathfrak{m}$ , we obtain  $[W, X_u] = X_{f(W)u}$ . Therefore we have  $(f(W)u) \cdot v = f(X_{f(W)u})v = f([W, X_u])v = f(W)f(X_u)v - f(X_u)f(W)v = f(W)(u \cdot v) - u \cdot (f(W)v)$ .

QED.

For simplicity, we put  $\alpha = \alpha_o$ ,  $\tau = D\alpha_o$ . Then the following formulas follow from (3) and (4).

$$(3') \quad \alpha(u) = \text{Tr } L_u,$$

$$(4') \quad \tau(u, v) = \alpha(u \cdot v).$$

LEMMA 3. *For  $u, v, w \in V$ , we have*

$$(i) \quad [[L_u, L_v], L_w] = L_{[u \cdot w \cdot v]},$$

$$(ii) \quad \tau(u \cdot v, w) = \tau(v, u \cdot w),$$

where  $[u \cdot w \cdot v] = u \cdot (w \cdot v) - (u \cdot w) \cdot v$ .

PROOF. Since  $q([[X_u, X_v], X_w]) = f([X_u, X_v])q(X_w) - f(X_w)q([X_u, X_v]) = [L_u, L_v]w = [u \cdot w \cdot v]$  and since  $[[X_u, X_v], X_w] \in \mathfrak{m}$ , we have  $[[X_u, X_v], X_w] = X_{[u \cdot w \cdot v]}$ . Therefore we obtain  $[[L_u, L_v], L_w] = f([[X_u, X_v], X_w]) = f(X_{[u \cdot w \cdot v]}) = L_{[u \cdot w \cdot v]}$ . Applying this we have  $\tau(u \cdot v, w) - \tau(v, u \cdot w) = \text{Tr } L_{(u \cdot v) \cdot w - v \cdot (u \cdot w)} = -\text{Tr } L_{[v \cdot u \cdot w]} = -\text{Tr } [[L_v, L_w], L_u] = 0$ .

QED.

LEMMA 4. Let  ${}^t f(X)$  denote the transpose of  $f(X)$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then we have

- (i)  ${}^t f(X) = f(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ ,  
(ii)  ${}^t f(W) = -f(W)$  for all  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ .

In particular  $f(\mathfrak{g})$  is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ .

PROOF. We recall the condition (C);

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f(Y)q(Z), q(X) \rangle + \langle q(Z), f(Y)q(X) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(Z)q(Y), q(X) \rangle + \langle q(Y), f(Z)q(X) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $V$  is commutative, we have  $\langle q(Z), f(X)q(Y) \rangle = \langle f(X)q(Z), q(Y) \rangle$ , which implies (i). Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $Z = W \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $q(W) = 0$ , it follows that  $\langle f(W)q(Y), q(X) \rangle + \langle q(Y), f(W)q(X) \rangle = 0$ . Thus (ii) is proved.

QED.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\text{Ker } f$  denote the kernel of  $f$ . Then we have  $\text{Ker } f \subset \mathfrak{m}$ .

PROOF. Let  $Z \in \text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  we have  $[Z, X] \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $q([Z, X]) = f(Z)q(X) - f(X)q(Z) = 0$ . Hence  $[Z, X] = 0$ . For  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$  we have  $[Z, W] \in [\text{Ker } f, \mathfrak{b}] \cap [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \subset \text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . These imply that  $\text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Since  $(G, B)$  is effective, it follows  $\text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b} = \{0\}$ . Now suppose that  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $f(X+W) + {}^t f(X+W) = 2f(X)$  and  $f(X+W) - {}^t f(X+W) = 2f(W)$  by Lemma 4. It follows that  $\text{Ker } f = \text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b} + \text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus we get  $\text{Ker } f \subset \mathfrak{m}$ .

QED.

We set

$$(6) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m}) = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{m},$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m})$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $G(\mathfrak{m})$  be the connected Lie subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m})$  and put  $B(\mathfrak{m}) = G(\mathfrak{m}) \cap B$ . Then we have

$$(7) \quad G/B = G(\mathfrak{m})/B(\mathfrak{m}).$$

By the definition of  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m})$  and by Lemma 4 (i),  $f(\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m}))$  is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Let  $V_0 = \{v_0 \in V; f(X)v_0 = 0 \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m})\}$  and let  $V'$  be the orthogonal complement of  $V_0$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then, under the action of  $f(\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{m}))$ ,  $V'$  is invariant and is decomposed into a direct sum  $V' = \sum_{i=1}^r V_i$  of mutually orthogonal, invariant and irreducible subspaces. We have then

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} V &= V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_r, \\ V_i \cdot V_i &\subset V_i, \quad V_i \cdot V_j = \{0\} \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 6. Put  $\mathfrak{m}_i = \{X \in \mathfrak{m}; q(X) \in V_i\}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ). Then we have

$$(i) \quad \text{Ker } f = \mathfrak{m}_0,$$

$$(ii) \quad [m_i, m_j] = \{0\} \quad (0 \leq i < j \leq r).$$

PROOF. Let  $X \in m_0$ . Then  $f(X)q(Y) = f(Y)q(X) = 0$  for all  $Y \in m$ , and therefore  $X \in \text{Ker } f$ . Conversely, let  $X \in \text{Ker } f$ . Since  $X \in m$  by Lemma 5, we have  $f(Y)q(X) = f(X)q(Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in m$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}(m)$  is generated by  $m$ , we obtain  $f(Y)q(X) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}(m)$  and therefore  $X \in m_0$ . Thus (i) is proved. Let  $X_i \in m_i$  and  $X_j \in m_j$ . By (8) we have  $f([X_i, X_j])v = f(X_i)f(X_j)v - f(X_j)f(X_i)v = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . Therefore it follows  $[X_i, X_j] \in \text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{b}$  and so  $[X_i, X_j] = 0$  by Lemma 5. QED.

LEMMA 7. *If we set*

$$\mathfrak{g}_i = [m_i, m_i] + m_i$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , then we have

$$(i) \quad \mathfrak{g}(m) = \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{g}_r,$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathfrak{g}_i \text{ is an ideal of } \mathfrak{g}(m).$$

PROOF. Since  $[m_i, m_j] = \{0\}$  ( $i \neq j$ ), (i) is trivial, and  $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] = \{0\}$  ( $i \neq j$ ). Therefore, to prove (ii), it is sufficient to see  $[[m_i, m_i], m_i] \subset m_i$ . This follows from the fact that  $[[m_i, m_i], m_i] \subset m$  and  $q([[m_i, m_i], m_i]) = f([[m_i, m_i], m_i])q(m_i) \subset f(\mathfrak{g})V_i \subset V_i$ . QED.

LEMMA 8. *For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  we denote by  $f_i(X)$  the restriction of  $f(X)$  to  $V_i$ . Then  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) is a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  in  $V_i$ .*

PROOF. We fix  $i$  between 1 and  $r$  and suppose  $f_i(X) = 0$ . Since  $f(\mathfrak{g}_i)$  is generated by  $f(m_i)$  and since  $f(m_i)V_j = \{0\}$ , we have  $f(X)V_j = \{0\}$  for  $j \neq i$ . Therefore  $f(X) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Ker } f \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = m_0 \cap \mathfrak{g}_i = \{0\}$  by Lemma 6,  $X = 0$  and so  $f_i$  is faithful. Let  $U_i$  be a subspace of  $V_i$  invariant under  $f_i(\mathfrak{g}_i)$ . Since  $f(\mathfrak{g}_j)$  is generated by  $f(m_j)$  and since  $f(m_j)U_i = \{0\}$ , we have  $f(\mathfrak{g}_j)U_i = \{0\}$  for  $j \neq i$ . Therefore  $U_i$  is a subspace of  $V_i$  invariant under  $f(\mathfrak{g}(m))$ , and so  $U_i = \{0\}$  or  $V_i$ , which proves that  $f_i$  is an irreducible representation. QED.

3. In this section we prove the following

PROPOSITION 2. *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem, assume further that  $f$  is a faithful irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $V$ . Then the universal covering manifold of  $G/B$  is an irreducible homogeneous self-dual convex cone.*

Before proving this proposition, we prepare some results.

We put  $U_0 = \{u_0 \in V; \tau(u_0, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}$ . By Lemma 3 (ii) we have  $\tau(u \cdot u_0, v) = \tau(u_0, u \cdot v) = 0$  for  $u_0 \in U_0, u, v \in V$ . Hence  $f(m)U_0 \subset U_0$ . Let  $u_0 \in U_0, v \in V$  and  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Then, from Lemma 2 it follows that  $\tau(f(W)u_0, v) = \text{Tr } L_{(f(W)u_0) \cdot v} = \text{Tr } L_{f(W)(u_0 \cdot v)} - \text{Tr } L_{u_0 \cdot (f(W)v)} = \text{Tr } f(X_{f(W)(u_0 \cdot v)}) - \tau(u_0, f(W)v) = \text{Tr } f([W, X_{u_0 \cdot v}]) = 0$ . This implies that  $f(\mathfrak{b})U_0 \subset U_0$ . Therefore  $f(\mathfrak{g})U_0 \subset U_0$ . Since  $f$  is an irreducible representation, we have  $U_0 = \{0\}$  or  $V$ , which means that  $\tau$  is non-degenerate on  $V$  or  $\tau = 0$  on  $V$ .

Assume that  $\tau=0$  on  $V$ . Let  $e$  be an element of  $V$  such that  $\langle e, u \rangle = \alpha(u)$  for all  $u \in V$ . By (4') and Lemma 4 (i) it follows that  $\langle e \cdot u, v \rangle = \langle u \cdot e, v \rangle = \langle e, u \cdot v \rangle = \alpha(u \cdot v) = \tau(u, v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in V$ . Hence  $L_e = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\langle e, e \rangle = \alpha(e) = \text{Tr } L_e = 0$ , and so  $e = 0$ . Thus we obtain

$$(a) \quad \alpha(u) = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in V.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a faithful irreducible representation,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a reductive Lie algebra (cf. [1]). Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{s}$ , where  $\mathfrak{c}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a semi-simple part of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then it is clear  $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $C \in \mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $f(C)q(X) = f(C)q(X) - f(X)q(C) = q([C, X]) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $f(C) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is faithful, it follows that  $C = 0$ . Thus we have

$$(b) \quad \mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{m}.$$

Let  $C \in \mathfrak{c}$  and let  $P(x)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $f(C)$ . We shall prove that  $P(x)$  is an irreducible polynomial over the real number field  $\mathbf{R}$ . In fact, assume  $P(x) = Q(x)R(x)$ , where  $Q(x)$  and  $R(x)$  are polynomials over  $\mathbf{R}$  whose degrees are less than that of  $P(x)$ . If we put  $U = Q(f(C))V$ , then the subspace  $U$  of  $V$  is invariant under  $f(\mathfrak{g})$ . Since  $f$  is an irreducible representation, it follows that  $U = \{0\}$  or  $V$ . In the case  $U = \{0\}$ , we have  $Q(f(C)) = 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $P(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $f(C)$ . In the case  $U = V$ ,  $Q(f(C))$  is non-singular. From  $Q(f(C))R(f(C)) = P(f(C)) = 0$ , it follows  $R(f(C)) = 0$ , which is also a contradiction. Therefore  $P(x)$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbf{R}$ .

The polynomial  $P(x)$  is thus one of the forms  $x - \lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ) or  $(x - \lambda)(x - \bar{\lambda})$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} \neq \lambda$ ). Since  ${}^t f(C) = f(C)$  by Lemma 4 (i) and (b), the eigenvalues of  $f(C)$  are real. Hence it follows  $P(x) = x - \lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ) and  $f(C) = \lambda$ . By (a) we have  $0 = \alpha(q(C)) = \text{Tr } f(C) = \lambda \dim V$ . Therefore  $f(C) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is a faithful representation, we obtain  $C = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{c} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semi-simple Lie algebra, which contradicts Proposition 1. Thus we have so far proved the following

LEMMA 9.  $\tau$  is non-degenerate.

We recall now the following known results (A), (B).

(A) Let  $V$  be a commutative algebra with a multiplication  $u \cdot v = L_{uv}$ . Suppose

(i)  $[[L_u, L_v], L_w] = L_{[u \cdot w \cdot v]}$  for  $u, v, w \in V$ ,

(ii) the bilinear form  $\tau(u, v) = \text{Tr } L_{u \cdot v}$  is non-degenerate, where  $[u \cdot w \cdot v] = u \cdot (w \cdot v) - (u \cdot w) \cdot v$ . Then  $V$  is a semi-simple Jordan algebra (cf. [7]).

(B) Let  $V$  be a real Jordan algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i)  $V$  is a formal real Jordan algebra.

(ii) The bilinear form  $\tau(u, v) = \text{Tr } L_{u \cdot v}$  is positive definite.

(iii) *There exists an inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $V$  such that  $\langle u \cdot v, w \rangle = \langle v, u \cdot w \rangle$  for all  $u, v, w \in V$  (cf. [3]).*

In view of Lemmas 3, 4, 9, (A) and (B), we obtain

LEMMA 10.  *$V$  is a simple formal real Jordan algebra.*

We are now in a position to prove Proposition 2. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the universal covering group of  $G$  and let  $\pi$  be the covering projection of  $\tilde{G}$  onto  $G$ . Denoting by  $\tilde{B}_0$  the identity component of  $\pi^{-1}(B)$ ,  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{B}_0$  is the universal covering manifold of  $G/B$ . Since  $\tilde{G}$  is simply connected, there exists a linear representation  $\tilde{f}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  in  $V$  such that  $d\tilde{f}=f$ , where  $d\tilde{f}$  is the differential of  $\tilde{f}$ . Let  $e$  be an element in  $V$  such that  $\tau(e, u)=\alpha(u)$  for all  $u \in V$ . Then  $e$  is the unit element in  $V$  and we have

$$(9) \quad f(X)e=q(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e \cdot u, v) &= \tau(u \cdot e, v) = \tau(e, u \cdot v) \\ &= \alpha(u \cdot v) = \tau(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

for  $u, v \in V$ . Since  $\tau$  is positive definite, it follows that  $e \cdot u = u \cdot e = u$  for all  $u \in V$ . Hence  $f(X)e = q(X)$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ . By Lemma 2,  $f(W)$  is a derivation of  $V$  for  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ . Hence  $f(W)e = 0 = q(W)$  for  $W \in \mathfrak{b}$ . We have thus proved (9).

Let  $\Omega = \tilde{f}(\tilde{G})e$  be the orbit of  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{G})$  through  $e$ . Then  $\Omega$  is an irreducible homogeneous self-dual convex cone in  $V$  (cf. [3], [7]). Let  $\tilde{H} = \{\tilde{h} \in \tilde{G}; \tilde{f}(\tilde{h})e = e\}$  and let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $\tilde{H}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{b}$ . Indeed, by (9)  $X$  is contained in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$  if and only if  $f(X)e = q(X) = 0$ . Hence  $\tilde{B}_0 \subset \tilde{H}$ . Therefore we have the natural projection  $p: \tilde{G}/\tilde{B}_0 \rightarrow \Omega = \tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$  and this map is a covering projection. Since  $\Omega$  is convex, it is simply connected. Hence  $p$  gives an isomorphism from  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{B}_0$  onto  $\Omega$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

**4. Proof of Theorem.** Let  $G_i$  be the connected Lie subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  and let  $B_j = B(\mathfrak{m}) \cap G_j$ . Then it follows from (7), (8) and Lemma 7 that  $M$  is affinely diffeomorphic and isometric (with respect to  $D$  and  $g$  respectively) to the direct product

$$G_0/B_0 \times G_1/B_1 \times \cdots \times G_r/B_r.$$

According to Lemmas 1 and 6,  $M_0 = G_0/B_0$  is a locally flat Riemannian manifold. By Lemma 8 and by Proposition 2, the universal covering manifold of  $M_i = G_i/B_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) is an irreducible homogeneous self-dual convex cone. Thus our Theorem is completely proved.



**References**

- [ 1 ] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitre I*, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [ 2 ] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, II*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.
- [ 3 ] M. Koecher, *Jordan algebras and their applications*, Lecture notes Univ. of Minnesota, Minneapolis, 1962.
- [ 4 ] J.L. Koszul, *Domaines bornés homogènes et orbites de groupes de transformations affines*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **89** (1961), 515-533.
- [ 5 ] H. Shima, *On locally symmetric homogeneous domains of completely reducible linear Lie groups*, Math. Ann., **217** (1975), 94-95.
- [ 6 ] H. Shima, *On certain locally flat homogeneous manifolds of solvable Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math., **13** (1976), 213-229.
- [ 7 ] E.B. Vinberg, *Homogeneous cones*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **133** (1960), 9-12; English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl., **1** (1960), 787-790.

Hirohiko SHIMA  
Department of Mathematics  
Yamaguchi University  
Oaza, Yoshida  
Yamaguchi, Japan

---