

The maximal ideal space of certain algebra $H^\infty(m)$

By Kazuo KISHI

(Received Feb. 28, 1977)

(Revised Oct. 1, 1977)

§ 1. Introduction.

Let A be a uniform algebra on a compact Hausdorff space X and m a complex homomorphism of A . We suppose that m has a unique representing measure μ_m on X and that the Gleason part $P(m)$ containing m consists of more than one point. We denote by $H^\infty(m)$ the w^* closure of A in $L^\infty(d\mu_m)$, and by I^∞ the ideal $\{f \in H^\infty(m) : \varphi(f) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in P(m)\}$ of $H^\infty(m)$. In [10], Merrill proved that $H^\infty(m)$ is maximal as a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(d\mu_m)$ if and only if $I^\infty = \{0\}$. In this paper we shall deal with the case when $I^\infty \neq \{0\}$.

In § 2 we shall state some preliminaries and two lemmas. In § 3 we shall study some properties of the maximal ideal space of the Banach algebra $H^\infty(m)$ with $I^\infty \neq \{0\}$. In § 4 we shall study some properties of a Gleason part $P(m)$ such that $A|_{P(m)} = H^\infty(D)$ (for the precise meaning see § 4). In § 5 we shall give some examples relating to § 3 and § 4.

§ 2. Preliminaries and lemmas.

For a complex commutative Banach algebra B , let B^{-1} be the set of all invertible elements of B . Let $M(B)$ be the maximal ideal space of B endowed with the Gelfand topology, let \hat{f} and \hat{B} be the Gelfand transforms of f ($\in B$) and B respectively, and let $\Gamma(B)$ be the Šilov boundary of B .

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(X)$ ($C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$) be the complex (real) Banach algebra of all complex (real) valued continuous functions on X . Let A be a *uniform algebra* on X , i. e., A is a uniformly closed subalgebra of $C(X)$ which contains the function 1 and separates the points of X . A representing measure for $\varphi \in M(A)$ is a probability measure μ on X such that $\varphi(f) = \int f d\mu$ for all $f \in A$. We denote by $\text{supp } \mu$ the closed support of a measure μ . When $\varphi \in M(A)$ has a unique representing measure, sometimes we use the same symbol φ to denote its representing measure. Given φ and ψ in $M(A)$, we set

$$d(\varphi, \psi) = \sup \{ |\varphi(f)| : f \in A, \|f\| = \sup |f| \leq 1, \psi(f) = 0 \}$$

and

$$G(\varphi, \psi) = \sup \{ |\varphi(f) - \psi(f)| : f \in A, \|f\| \leq 1 \},$$

and write $\varphi \sim \psi$ if and only if $d(\varphi, \psi) < 1$ (or, equivalently, $G(\varphi, \psi) < 2$). Then \sim is an equivalence relation in $M(A)$, and an equivalence class $P(m) = \{\varphi \in M(A) : m \sim \varphi\}$ ($\ni \{m\}$) is called the (nontrivial) Gleason part for A which contains m .

Henceforth we suppose that $m (\in M(A))$ has a unique representing measure m and that the Gleason part $P = P(m)$ containing m is nontrivial. Then it is known that $\varphi \in P(m)$ has a unique representing measure φ and that representing measures m and φ are mutually absolutely continuous.

We denote by A_m the kernel of a complex homomorphism $m \in M(A)$. Let $H^\infty(m)$ and H_m^∞ be the w^* closures in $L^\infty(dm)$ of A and A_m respectively, and for $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $H^p(m)$ and H_m^p be the closures in $L^p(dm)$ norm of A and A_m respectively. If we denote by \tilde{H}^∞ the restriction of $\hat{H}^\infty(m)$ to $\tilde{X} (= M(L^\infty(dm)))$, then \tilde{H}^∞ is a logmodular algebra on \tilde{X} , i. e., $\log |(\tilde{H}^\infty)^{-1}| = C_R(\tilde{X})$ (cf. Hoffman [5]). Sometimes we shall identify $H^\infty(m)$ with \tilde{H}^∞ . A function $h \in H^\infty(m)$ with $|h| = 1$ a. e. (dm) is called an inner function.

THEOREM 2.1 (WERMER'S EMBEDDING THEOREM). *Let A be a uniform algebra on a compact space X . Suppose that $m \in M(A)$ has a unique representing measure m on X , and that the Gleason part $P(m)$ containing m is nontrivial. Then there is an inner function Z known as Wermer's embedding function such that $ZH^\infty(m) = H_m^\infty$ and $\varphi \mapsto \hat{Z}(\varphi) = \int Z d\varphi$ is a one-to-one map of the part $P(m)$ onto the open unit disk D . The inverse map τ of \hat{Z} is a one-to-one continuous map of D onto $P(m)$, and for every f in A , the composite function $\hat{f} \circ \tau$ is analytic on D . (Cf. Leibowitz [9], p. 143).*

Given $\varphi \in P(m)$, we define $\tilde{\varphi}$ by $\tilde{\varphi}(f) = \int f d\varphi$ for $f \in H^\infty(m)$, and set

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{P} = \{\tilde{\varphi} : \varphi \in P(m)\}.$$

Then \mathcal{P} is the nontrivial Gleason part for $H^\infty(m)$ which contains \tilde{m} , and we have $\mathcal{P} = \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty(m)) : |\varphi(Z)| < 1\}$ for the Wermer's embedding function Z . Thus \mathcal{P} is an open set in the space $M(H^\infty(m))$, which is homeomorphic to the open unit disk D (cf. Kishi [7], [8]).

Let \mathcal{H}^p be the closure in $L^p(dm)$ norm of the polynomials in Z , and let \mathcal{L}^p be the closure in $L^p(dm)$ norm of the polynomials in Z and \bar{Z} . (For $p = \infty$, the closure is taken in the w^* topology.) Let σ be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle C in the complex plane, and let $H^p(d\sigma) = H^p(D)$ be the classical Hardy space. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the correspondence

$$(2.2) \quad T: Z \longmapsto e^{i\theta}$$

induces an isometric *-isomorphism (i. e., taking complex conjugates into complex conjugates) of \mathcal{L}^p onto $L^p(d\sigma)=L^p(C)$. This map is also an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A}^∞ onto $H^\infty(D)$. Therefore the adjoint T^* of T is a homeomorphism of $M(L^\infty(C))$ and $M(H^\infty(D))$ onto $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $M(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ respectively. It is easily seen that $\log |(\mathcal{A}^\infty)^{-1}| = \mathcal{L}_R^\infty$, where \mathcal{L}_R^∞ is the set of all real valued functions in \mathcal{L}^∞ . By Fatou's theorem, $H^\infty(D)$ is identified with the Banach algebra of all bounded analytic functions on D . (Cf. Merrill-Lal [11].)

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, if we set

$$I^p = \{f \in H^p(m) : \int \bar{Z}^n f \, dm = 0, n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

and

$$M^p = \{f \in L^p(dm) : \int Z^n f \, dm = 0 \text{ for all integers } n\},$$

then we have

$$(2.3) \quad H^p(m) = \mathcal{A}^p \oplus I^p \quad \text{and} \quad L^p(dm) = \mathcal{L}^p \oplus M^p,$$

where \oplus denotes algebraic direct sum. It is known that $f \in I^p$ if and only if $\int f(\varphi) = \int f \, d\varphi = 0$ for all $\varphi \in P(m)$. Further it is known that $I^2 \cap L^\infty(dm) = I^\infty$ and I^∞ is dense in I^2 . (Cf. Merrill-Lal [11].)

We prove here two lemmas which will be needed in § 3.

LEMMA 2.2. $\mathcal{L}^\infty I^\infty = I^\infty$.

PROOF. If $f \in I^\infty$, then we have $Z^n f \in I^\infty$ for all integers n (cf. Merrill-Lal [11], Lemma 1). For $f \in I^\infty$ and $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \in \mathcal{A}^2$ we have

$$\int |f\bar{g} - \sum_{n=0}^k \bar{a}_n \bar{Z}^n f| \, dm \leq \|f\| \left[\int |g - \sum_{n=0}^k a_n Z^n|^2 \, dm \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we obtain $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^2 I^\infty \subset I^2$. We obtain similarly $\mathcal{A}_m^2 I^\infty \subset I^2$, where $\mathcal{A}_m^2 = \{f \in \mathcal{A}^2 : \int f \, dm = 0\}$. Since $\mathcal{L}^2 = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^2 \oplus \mathcal{A}_m^2$ we have $\mathcal{L}^2 I^\infty \subset I^2$, and therefore $\mathcal{L}^\infty I^\infty \subset I^\infty$. q. e. d.

LEMMA 2.3. $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ can be identified with $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, and a complex homomorphism φ of \mathcal{A}^∞ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ if and only if $|\varphi(h)| = 1$ for every inner function h in \mathcal{A}^∞ .

PROOF. Let T^* be the adjoint of the map T defined in (2.2). Since $T^*(\Gamma(H^\infty(D))) = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ and $\Gamma(H^\infty(D)) = M(L^\infty(C))$, we have $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty) = M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. It is easily seen that T carries all inner functions in \mathcal{A}^∞ onto all inner functions in $H^\infty(D)$. Therefore we see that φ belongs to $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ if and only if $|(T^*)^{-1}(\varphi)(h)| = 1$ for every inner function h in $H^\infty(D)$ (cf. Hoffman [4], p. 179) if and only if $|\varphi(h)| = 1$ for every inner function h in \mathcal{A}^∞ . q. e. d.

§ 3. The maximal ideal space of $H^\infty(m)$.

For an ideal I in a complex commutative Banach algebra B we denote the hull of I by $\text{hull}(I)$ i. e., $\text{hull}(I) = \{\varphi \in M(B) : \varphi(f) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$. We denote the closure of a subset E of $M(B)$ by \bar{E} .

THEOREM 3.1. (i) *The quotient Banach algebra $H^\infty(m)/I^\infty$ is isometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{A}^∞ , and under certain identification we have $\text{hull}(I^\infty) = M(\mathcal{A}^\infty) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and $M(I^\infty) = M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus \bar{\mathcal{P}}$.*

(ii) *$H^\infty(m)$ is maximal as a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$ if and only if $M(H^\infty(m)) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$.*

PROOF. (i) By (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, for every function $f = g + h \in H^\infty(m)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$, $h \in I^\infty$ and for every positive integer n , we have $f^n = g^n + h_n$, $g^n \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$, $h_n \in I^\infty$. Hence we have

$$\int |f|^{2n} dm = \int |g|^{2n} dm + \int |h_n|^2 dm \geq \int |g|^{2n} dm,$$

so we obtain $\|f\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int |f|^{2n} dm \right)^{1/2n} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int |g|^{2n} dm \right)^{1/2n} = \|g\|$. Hence we have $\|g + I^\infty\| = \inf \{\|g + h\| : h \in I^\infty\} = \|g\|$ for $g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$ and $g + I^\infty \in H^\infty(m)/I^\infty$. Therefore, by (2.3), the quotient algebra $H^\infty(m)/I^\infty$ is isometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{A}^∞ . Thus, under natural identification, we have $\text{hull}(I^\infty) = M(H^\infty(m)/I^\infty) = M(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ and $M(I^\infty) = M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus \text{hull}(I^\infty)$ (cf. Stout [12], pp. 27-28).

Let T^* be the adjoint of the map $T : \mathcal{A}^\infty \rightarrow H^\infty(D)$ defined in (2.2). Since the open unit disk D is dense in $M(H^\infty(D))$ (cf. Carleson [2]) and $T^*(D) = \mathcal{P}$, we have $T^*(M(H^\infty(D))) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$. Therefore we have $M(\mathcal{A}^\infty) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$.

(ii) $H^\infty(m)$ is maximal as a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$ if and only if $I^\infty = \{0\}$ (cf. Merrill [10], Theorem 1) if and only if $M(H^\infty(m)) = \bar{\mathcal{P}}$. q. e. d.

THEOREM 3.2. (i) *If $\varphi \in M(I^\infty) (= M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus \bar{\mathcal{P}})$, then φ is extendable to a complex homomorphism of \mathcal{L}^∞ .*

(ii) *$\overline{M(I^\infty)} \cap \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is contained in $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty) (= \Gamma(\mathcal{A}^\infty))$.*

(iii) *If $\varphi \in M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, then for every f in \mathcal{L}^∞ , \hat{f} is a constant ($= \varphi(f)$) on the closed support ($= \text{supp } \varphi$) of the representing measure for φ .*

PROOF. (i) If $\varphi \in M(I^\infty)$, there is $h \in I^\infty$ with $\varphi(h) = 1$. Define Φ on $H^\infty(m)$ by $\Phi(f) = \varphi(hf)$ for all $f \in H^\infty(m)$. Then, as we have stated already in Theorem 3.1, the map $\varphi \mapsto \Phi$ is a homeomorphism of $M(I^\infty)$ onto $M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus \bar{\mathcal{P}}$, and by this homeomorphism $M(I^\infty)$ may be identified with $M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus \bar{\mathcal{P}}$. On the other hand we define Φ' on \mathcal{L}^∞ by $\Phi'(f) = \varphi(hf)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$. By Lemma 2.2, Φ' is multiplicative on \mathcal{L}^∞ , and we have $\Phi'|_{\mathcal{A}^\infty} = \Phi|_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}$. Therefore φ is extendable to a complex homomorphism on \mathcal{L}^∞ .

(ii) If $\varphi \in \overline{M(I^\infty)} \cap \bar{\mathcal{P}}$, there is a net $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$ in $M(I^\infty)$ converging to φ . By (i),

we have $|\varphi_\alpha(h)|=1$ for every inner function h in \mathcal{A}^∞ , so we have $|\varphi(h)|=1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, φ belongs to $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$.

(iii) Let Q be the set of all functions of the form $h_1\bar{h}_2$, where h_1 is a finite linear combination of inner functions in $H^\infty(D)$ and h_2 is an inner function in $H^\infty(D)$. Then Q is norm-dense in $L^\infty(C)$ (cf. Douglas-Rudin [3], Theorem 2). By using the map T defined in (2.2), we see that the same holds for \mathcal{A}^∞ and \mathcal{L}^∞ .

If $\varphi \in M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ then, by (i) and Lemma 2.3, we have $|\varphi(h)|=1$ for every inner function h in \mathcal{A}^∞ . Thus we have $\int |\hat{h} - \varphi(h)|^2 d\varphi = 0$, so $\hat{h} = \varphi(h)$ a. e. ($d\varphi$). Given $f \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and any positive number ε , there are g_1 and g_2 such that $\|f - g_1/g_2\| < \varepsilon/2$, where g_1 is a finite linear combination of inner functions in \mathcal{A}^∞ and g_2 is an inner function in \mathcal{A}^∞ . Then we have

$$\int |\hat{f} - \varphi(f)| d\varphi \leq \int |\hat{f} - \frac{\hat{g}_1}{\hat{g}_2}| d\varphi + \int |\frac{\hat{g}_1}{\hat{g}_2} - \varphi(f)| d\varphi < \varepsilon,$$

so we have $\hat{f} = \varphi(f)$ a. e. ($d\varphi$). Since \hat{f} belongs to $C(\tilde{X})$ and $\varphi(f)$ is constant, we obtain $\hat{f} = \varphi(f)$ on $\text{supp } \varphi$. q. e. d.

It is known that $\varphi \in M(H^\infty(m))$ belongs to $\tilde{X} = M(L^\infty(dm))$ if and only if $|\varphi(h)|=1$ for every inner function h in $H^\infty(m)$ (cf. Douglas-Rudin [3], Theorem 4). Hence, if $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ then, by Lemma 2.3, $\tilde{x}|_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}$ belongs to $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$.

We define a continuous map $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\tilde{X} = M(L^\infty(dm))$ into $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}|_{\mathcal{A}^\infty}, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X},$$

and for every $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ we set

$$(3.2) \quad K(\varphi) = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X} : \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{x}) = \varphi\}.$$

Then, by Theorem 3.2, (iii), we see that $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{X}) = M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset K(\varphi)$ for every $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. It is not known whether $\text{supp } \varphi = K(\varphi)$ holds for $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$.

For a set E in the maximal ideal space $M(H^\infty(m))$ of $H^\infty(m)$ the $H^\infty(m)$ -convex hull of E is the closed set $\hat{E} = \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty(m)) : |\varphi(f)| \leq \sup_E |f| \text{ for all } f \in H^\infty(m)\}$. It is easy to see that, for a compact subset E of \tilde{X} , $\varphi \in \hat{E}$ if and only if φ has a (unique) representing measure which is supported on E .

THEOREM 3.3. (i) If $\varphi, \psi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $\varphi \neq \psi$, then $\hat{K}(\varphi)$ and $\hat{K}(\psi)$ are disjoint.

(ii) $M(I^\infty) = \cup \{\hat{K}(\varphi) \setminus \{\varphi\} : \varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)\}$.

(iii) The map $\tilde{\pi}$ of $M(L^\infty(dm))$ onto $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ has a continuous cross section, i. e., there is a homeomorphism S from $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ into $M(L^\infty(dm))$ such that $\tilde{\pi} \circ S$ is the identity.

PROOF. (i) Since \mathcal{A}^∞ separates the points of $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, there is a function f in \mathcal{A}^∞ such that $\varphi(f) \neq \psi(f)$. Hence $K(\varphi)$ and $K(\psi)$ are disjoint, and therefore $\hat{K}(\varphi)$ and $\hat{K}(\psi)$ are disjoint.

(ii) Let θ be any element in $M(I^\infty)$. Then, by Theorem 3.2, (iii), there is

a unique $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ such that $\text{supp } \theta \subset K(\varphi)$. Thus we have $M(I^\infty) \subset \cup \{\hat{K}(\varphi) \setminus \{\varphi\} : \varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)\}$.

Conversely if $\theta \in \cup \{\hat{K}(\varphi) \setminus \{\varphi\} : \varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)\}$, then there is a unique $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ such that $\theta \in \hat{K}(\varphi) \setminus \{\varphi\}$. For every inner function h in \mathcal{A}^∞ we have $\hat{h} = \varphi(h)$ on $K(\varphi)$, so we have also $\hat{h} = \varphi(h)$ on $\text{supp } \theta$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $|\theta(h)| = |\varphi(h)| = 1$, so θ cannot belong to $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. Thus θ belongs to $M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. But, by (i), θ does not belong to $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. Thus θ belongs to $M(I^\infty)$.

(iii) Since $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ is extremely disconnected and $\tilde{\pi}$ is the continuous map of a compact Hausdorff space $M(L^\infty(dm))$ onto $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, so $\tilde{\pi}$ has a continuous cross section (cf. Bade [1], Theorem 7.4). q. e. d.

We define a continuous map π of $M(H^\infty(m))$ into $M(A)$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \pi(\Phi) = \Phi|_A, \quad \Phi \in M(H^\infty(m)).$$

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $\varphi \in \pi(M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty))$, then there is a $\Psi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \pi(K(\Psi))$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\varphi = \pi(\Phi)$ for some Φ in $M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. Then, by Theorem 3.3, there is an element Ψ of $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ such that $\text{supp } \Phi \subset K(\Psi)$. Therefore we obtain $\text{supp } \varphi = \pi(\text{supp } \Phi) \subset \pi(K(\Psi))$. q. e. d.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $B = \mathcal{L}^\infty \oplus I^\infty$ be the algebraic direct sum of \mathcal{L}^∞ and I^∞ . Then we have the following.*

- (i) B is a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$.
- (ii) The quotient Banach algebra B/I^∞ is isometrically isomorphic to \mathcal{L}^∞ .
- (iii) $M(B) = \cup \{\hat{K}(\varphi) : \varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)\}$, where $\hat{K}(\varphi)$ is the $H^\infty(m)$ -convex hull of $K(\varphi)$. If $\varphi, \psi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $\varphi \neq \psi$, then $\hat{K}(\varphi)$ and $\hat{K}(\psi)$ are disjoint.

PROOF. (i) For every function $f = g + h$ in B , where $g \in \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and $h \in I^\infty$, we have $\|g\| + \|h\| \leq 3\|g + h\|$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.2). Then, since \mathcal{L}^∞ and I^∞ are w^* closed subspaces of $L^\infty(dm)$, B is a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$ (cf. Leibowitz [9], p. 203).

(ii) This is proved by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

(iii) By (ii), we obtain $\text{hull}(I^\infty) = \{\varphi \in M(B) : \varphi(f) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I^\infty\} = M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $M(I^\infty) = M(B) \setminus \text{hull}(I^\infty)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, we have $M(B) = \cup \{\hat{K}(\varphi) : \varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)\}$. q. e. d.

THEOREM 3.6. (i) $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ is a union of Gleason parts for $H^\infty(m)$.

(ii) If $\varphi, \theta \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}$, then we have $\sup\{|\varphi(f) - \theta(f)| : f \in H^\infty(m), \|f\| \leq 1\} = \sup\{|\varphi(f) - \theta(f)| : f \in \mathcal{A}^\infty, \|f\| \leq 1\}$.

(iii) If $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{F}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ and $\theta \in M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, then we have $\mu_\varphi(\text{supp } \theta) = 0$ for a (unique) representing measure μ_φ on \tilde{X} for φ .

PROOF. (i) If $\varphi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty) \cup M(I^\infty)$ then, by Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.2, (i), we have $|\varphi(f)| = 1$ for any inner function f in \mathcal{A}^∞ . If $\varphi \in \bar{\mathcal{F}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ then, by Lemma 2.3, we have $|\varphi(f_0)| < 1$ for some inner function f_0 in \mathcal{A}^∞ . Hence,

for an inner function $F = \frac{f_0 - \varphi(f_0)}{1 - \overline{\varphi(f_0)}f_0}$ in \mathcal{A}^∞ , we have $|\phi(F)| = 1$ and $\varphi(F) = 0$. Thus we obtain $d(\varphi, \phi) = \sup\{|\phi(f)| : f \in H^\infty(m), \|f\| \leq 1, \varphi(f) = 0\} = 1$, so $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ is a union of Gleason parts for $H^\infty(m)$.

(ii) If $f = g + h \in H^\infty(m)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty$, $h \in I^\infty$ and $\|f\| \leq 1$, then we obtain $\|g\| \leq \|f\| \leq 1$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.1). And, for any $\varphi, \theta \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$, we have $|\varphi(f) - \theta(f)| = |\varphi(g) - \theta(g)|$. Thus we have $|\varphi(f) - \theta(f)| \leq \sup\{|\varphi(g) - \theta(g)| : g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty, \|g\| \leq 1\}$, so we obtain $\sup\{|\varphi(f) - \theta(f)| : f \in H^\infty(m), \|f\| \leq 1\} = \sup\{|\varphi(g) - \theta(g)| : g \in \mathcal{A}^\infty, \|g\| \leq 1\}$.

(iii) Since $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^\infty$ is a logmodular algebra on $Y = M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ also has a unique representing measure on Y for \mathcal{A}^∞ . The map $\tilde{\pi}$ defined in (3.1) is a continuous map of \tilde{X} onto Y . Thus there is a natural linear transformation $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the dual space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{X})$ of $C(\tilde{X})$ onto the dual space $\mathcal{M}(Y)$ of $C(Y)$ which takes $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{X})$ onto the measure $\tilde{\sigma}(\mu)$ defined on the Borel set E of Y by

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\mu)(E) = \mu(\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(E)),$$

or, equivalently, on the function $g \in C(Y)$ by

$$\int_Y g d(\tilde{\sigma}(\mu)) = \int_{\tilde{X}} g \circ \tilde{\pi} d\mu.$$

Then, if μ_φ is the representing measure on \tilde{X} of $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ for $H^\infty(m)$, then $\tilde{\sigma}(\mu_\varphi)$ is the representing measure on Y of φ for \mathcal{A}^∞ . Thus, for any $\theta \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, we have $0 = \tilde{\sigma}(\mu_\varphi)(\{\theta\}) = \mu_\varphi(K(\theta))$. If $\theta \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, we have $\text{supp } \theta \subset K(\theta)$, so we obtain $\mu_\varphi(\text{supp } \theta) = 0$. If $\theta \in M(I^\infty)$, then, by Theorem 3.3, (ii), we have $\text{supp } \theta \subset K(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, so we obtain $\mu_\varphi(\text{supp } \theta) = 0$. q. e. d.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$, then the closure of the Gleason part $P(\varphi)$ for $H^\infty(m)$ which contains φ does not meet $M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. The union G of all nontrivial Gleason parts for $H^\infty(m)$ which are contained in $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ is open in the space $M(H^\infty(m))$.*

PROOF. The map T defined in (2.2) is an isometric isomorphism of \mathcal{A}^∞ onto $H^\infty(D)$, and thus the adjoint T^* of T is a homeomorphism of $M(H^\infty(D))$ onto $M(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$. If P is a nontrivial (trivial) Gleason part for $H^\infty(D)$ which is contained in $M(H^\infty(D)) \setminus M(L^\infty(C))$, then, by Theorem 3.6, $T^*(P)$ is also a nontrivial (trivial) Gleason part for $H^\infty(m)$ which is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. Hence, for $P(\varphi)$ there is a Gleason part P for $H^\infty(D)$ such that $P \cap M(L^\infty(C)) = \emptyset$ and $T^*(P) = P(\varphi)$. Since \overline{P} does not meet $M(L^\infty(C))$ (cf. Hoffman [6], p. 102), $\overline{P(\varphi)}$ does not meet $M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, we have $\overline{P(\varphi)} \cap (M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)) = \emptyset$.

The union G_1 of all nontrivial Gleason parts for $H^\infty(D)$ is open in the subspace $M(H^\infty(D)) \setminus M(L^\infty(C))$ (cf. Hoffman [6], p. 89), so that $G = T^*(G_1)$ is open in the subspace $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \setminus M(\mathcal{L}^\infty) = M(H^\infty(m)) \setminus (M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty))$. But, by Theorem 3.2, (ii), $M(I^\infty) \cup M(\mathcal{L}^\infty)$ is closed in $M(H^\infty(m))$, so G is open in $M(H^\infty(m))$. q. e. d.

§ 4. A Gleason part P satisfying $A|P=H^\infty(D)$.

By Theorem 2.1, there is a one-to-one continuous map τ of the open unit disk D onto a nontrivial Gleason part $P=P(m)$ containing $m \in M(A)$ such that, for every f in A , the composition $\hat{f} \circ \tau$ belongs to $H^\infty(D)$. If we set

$$A|P = \{\hat{f} \circ \tau : f \in A\},$$

then we have $A|P \subset H^\infty(D)$. When $\{\hat{f} \circ \tau : f \in A\} = H^\infty(D)$ holds, we denote it by $A|P = H^\infty(D)$. Note that $H^\infty(m)|\mathcal{P} = \{\hat{f} \circ \tau : f \in H^\infty(m)\}$ is contained in $H^\infty(D)$ (cf. Leibowitz [9], p. 142).

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be a uniform algebra on a compact space X . Suppose that $m \in M$ has a unique representing measure m on X , and that the part P containing m consists of more than one point. If $A|P = H^\infty(D)$, then the map π defined in (3.3) is a homeomorphism of the closure $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ of \mathcal{P} in $M(H^\infty(m))$ onto the closure \bar{P} of P in $M(A)$. Therefore \bar{P} is homeomorphic to the maximal ideal space of $H^\infty(D)$.*

PROOF. By the continuity of π we have $\pi \bar{\mathcal{P}} \subset \overline{\pi \mathcal{P}} = \bar{P}$, and clearly we have $\pi \bar{\mathcal{P}} \supset \overline{\pi \mathcal{P}}$, so that we have $\pi \bar{\mathcal{P}} = \bar{P}$. From $H^\infty(D) = A|P = A|\mathcal{P} \subset H^\infty(m)|\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}^\infty|\mathcal{P} \subset H^\infty(D)$ we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad A|P = \mathcal{H}^\infty|\mathcal{P} = H^\infty(m)|\mathcal{P}.$$

If $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$, then there is a function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ satisfying $\hat{f}(\varphi_1) \neq \hat{f}(\varphi_2)$. There is a function $g \in A$ such that $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ on \mathcal{P} and thus $\hat{f} = \hat{g}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. For such a function g we have $\hat{g}(\varphi_1) \neq \hat{g}(\varphi_2)$. Thus π is a homeomorphism of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ onto \bar{P} .

In the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have shown that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is homeomorphic to $M(H^\infty(D))$, so that \bar{P} is homeomorphic to $M(H^\infty(D))$. q. e. d.

COROLLARY 4.2. *If $A|P = H^\infty(D)$, then P is homeomorphic to the open unit disk D .*

A complex valued function f on P is called a bounded analytic function on P if $f \circ \tau$ is analytic on D for τ in Theorem 2.1 and $\sup \{|(f \circ \tau)(\lambda)| : \lambda \in D\}$ is finite. We denote by $H^\infty(P)$ the set of all bounded analytic functions on P , then it is known that $H^\infty(P) = \{\hat{f} \circ \tau : f \in H^\infty(m)\}$ (cf. Leibowitz [9], p. 155). By (3.4), we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.3. *If $A|P = H^\infty(D)$, then $A|P = H^\infty(P)$.*

THEOREM 4.4. *Let A , m and P be as in Theorem 4.1, and let $I = \{f \in A : \varphi(f) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in P\}$. Then, if $A|P = H^\infty(D)$, we have $M(A/I) = \text{hull}(I) = \bar{P}$. Therefore $M(A) \cong \bar{P}$ if and only if $I \cong \{0\}$.*

PROOF. As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1, if $A|P = H^\infty(D)$ then $A|\mathcal{P} = H^\infty(m)|\mathcal{P}$. Hence if we consider A as a subset of $H^\infty(m)$, we have

$\{f+I^\infty : f \in H^\infty(m)\} = \{f+I^\infty : f \in A\}$. Therefore, if we set $A/I^\infty = \{f+I^\infty : f \in A\}$, then we have $A/I^\infty = H^\infty(m)/I^\infty$. Since $I = I^\infty \cap A$, we have $(f+I^\infty) \cap A = f+I$ for every $f \in A$. The correspondence $f+I^\infty \mapsto f+I$, which is defined for $f \in A$, induces an algebra isomorphism Σ of $H^\infty(m)/I^\infty = A/I^\infty$ onto A/I . Therefore the adjoint Σ^* of Σ is a homeomorphism of $M(A/I)$ onto $M(H^\infty(m)/I^\infty)$. We set $\sigma = (\Sigma^*)^{-1}$. If $\sigma(\Phi) = \varphi$ for $\Phi \in M(H^\infty(m)/I^\infty)$, then we have

$$\varphi(f+I) = (\sigma(\Phi))(f+I) = \Phi(f+I^\infty)$$

for every $f \in A$.

If ρ is the natural homomorphism of $H^\infty(m)$ onto $H^\infty(m)/I^\infty$, then the adjoint ρ^* of ρ is a homeomorphism of $M(H^\infty(m)/I^\infty)$ onto $\text{hull}(I^\infty)$. Similarly if ρ_A is the natural homomorphism of A onto A/I , then the adjoint ρ_A^* of ρ_A is a homeomorphism of $M(A/I)$ onto $\text{hull}(I)$. We then have

$$M(A/I) = \{(\sigma \circ \rho^*)^{-1}(\Phi) : \Phi \in \text{hull}(I^\infty) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}\}$$

and, by Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\overline{P} = \{\pi(\Phi) : \Phi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}\}.$$

And, for every $f \in A$ and $\Phi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, we have

$$(\pi \circ \Phi)(f) = \Phi(f) = (\sigma \circ (\rho^*)^{-1} \circ \Phi)(f+I).$$

Therefore, by using the identification map ρ_A^* , we have

$$M(A/I) = \overline{P},$$

and thus we have $\text{hull}(I) = \overline{P}$.

q. e. d.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Suppose that $A|P = H^\infty(D)$ and the closure \overline{P} of P in $M(A)$ is disjoint from X . If we put $A_1 = \{f \in A : \hat{f} \circ \tau \text{ is a constant}\}$ for τ in Theorem 2.1, then A_1 is a uniform algebra on X .*

§ 5. Examples.

1. Let $A = A(T^2)$ be the Dirichlet algebra of continuous functions on the torus $T^2 = \{(z, w) : |z| = |w| = 1\}$ which are uniform limits of the polynomials in $z^i w^j$, where

$$(i, j) \in S = \{(i, j) : j > 0\} \cup \{(i, 0) : i \geq 0\}.$$

Then the maximal ideal space of A can be identified with

$$\{(z, w) : |z| = 1, |w| \leq 1\} \cup \{(z, 0) : |z| < 1\}$$

with the normalized Haar measure m identified with $z = w = 0$. The Gleason part $P(m)$ containing m is $\{(z, 0) : |z| < 1\}$ and the closure of $P(m)$ does not meet T^2 . The Wermer's embedding function for $P(m)$ is given by $Z = z$ (cf. Merrill-Lal [11].)

Let $H^\infty(m)$ be the w^* closure in $L^\infty(dm)$ of A . Then $H^\infty(m)$ is not maximal as a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$. \mathcal{A}^∞ and I^∞ are the w^* closure in $L^\infty(dm)$ of the polynomials in z^i , $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ and the w^* closure in $L^\infty(dm)$ of the polynomials in $z^i w^j$ for $i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $j \geq 1$ respectively. By using an inner function $f=zw$ we see that the closure of the Gleason part \mathcal{P} for $H^\infty(m)$ does not meet the Šilov boundary $M(L^\infty(dm))$ for $H^\infty(m)$ (see (2.1)). \mathcal{L}^∞ is the w^* closure in $L^\infty(dm)$ of the polynomials in z^i for $i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and the Banach algebra B defined in Theorem 3.5 is the w^* closure in $L^\infty(dm)$ of the polynomials in $z^i w^j$ for $i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$.

2. Let $H^\infty=H^\infty(D)$ be the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on the open unit disk D . For $|\alpha|=1$ let M_α be the fiber of $M(H^\infty)$ over α , i.e., $M_\alpha = \{\varphi \in M(H^\infty) : \varphi(z)=\alpha\}$ and let $X_\alpha=M_\alpha \cap M(L^\infty(C))$. Then $A_\alpha=\hat{H}^\infty|_{M_\alpha}$ is a uniform algebra on M_α and the Šilov boundary of A_α is X_α . Evidently we have

$$C_R(X_\alpha) \supset \log|A_\alpha^{-1}| \supset (\log|(\hat{H}^\infty)^{-1}|)|X_\alpha=C_R(M(L^\infty(C)))|X_\alpha=C_R(X_\alpha),$$

so we obtain $\log|A_\alpha^{-1}|=C_R(X_\alpha)$. Therefore A_α is a logmodular algebra on X_α . As we have stated already in the proof of Corollary 3.7, if P is any nontrivial Gleason part for A_α , then there is an inner function f such that $\hat{f}=0$ on P (cf. Hoffman [6], p. 102). Therefore if $m \in P$ and $H^\infty(m)$ is the w^* closure of A_α in $L^\infty(dm)$, then $H^\infty(m)$ is not maximal as a w^* closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(dm)$.

There is a nontrivial Gleason part P for A_α such that $A_\alpha|_P=H^\infty(D)$ (cf. Hoffman [4], p. 106). By Corollary 4.2, we see that if $A_\alpha|_P=H^\infty(D)$, then P is homeomorphic to the open unit disk. But there is an example such that P is not homeomorphic to D (cf. Hoffman [6], p. 109), and for such a Gleason part we have $A_\alpha|_P \neq H^\infty(D)$.

References

- [1] W.G. Bade, The Banach space $C(S)$, Aarhus University Lecture Notes Series, No. 26, 1971.
- [2] L. Carleson, Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, Ann. of Math., **76** (1962), 547-560.
- [3] R.G. Douglas and W. Rudin, Approximation by inner functions, Pacific J. Math., **31** (1969), 313-320.
- [4] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
- [5] K. Hoffman, Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras, Acta Math., **108** (1962), 271-317.
- [6] K. Hoffman, Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts, Ann. of Math., **86** (1967), 74-111.
- [7] K. Kishi, Analytic maps of the open unit disk onto a Gleason part, Pacific J. Math., **63** (1976), 417-422.
- [8] K. Kishi, Homeomorphism between the open unit disk and a Gleason part, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **27** (1975), 467-473.

- [9] G.M. Leibowitz, Lectures on complex function algebras, Scott, Foresman, Glenview, Ill., 1970.
- [10] S. Merrill, Maximality of certain algebras $H^\infty(dm)$, *Math. Z.*, 106 (1968), 261-266.
- [11] S. Merrill and N. Lal, Characterization of certain invariant subspaces of H^p and L^p spaces derived from logmodular algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, 30 (1969), 463-474.
- [12] E.L. Stout, The theory of uniform algebras, Bogden-Quigley, Tarrytown-on-Hudson, N. Y., 1971.

Kazuo KISHI
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Wakayama University
Masago-cho, Wakayama
Japan