

## Sharp permutation groups

By Tatsuro ITO and Masao KIYOTA

(Received March 12, 1979)

(Revised Sept. 11, 1979)

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $G$  be a group of permutations on a finite set  $\Omega$  and  $\theta$  be the permutation character;  $g$  and  $n$  denote  $|G|$  and  $|\Omega|$  respectively. For a set  $L$  of non-negative integers less than  $n-1$ ,  $G$  is called an  $L$ -group if  $L$  contains  $\theta(x)$  for any non-identity element  $x$  of  $G$ . The following inequality holds for an  $L$ -group:

$$(*) \quad g \leq \prod_{l \in L} (n-l).$$

(This was conjectured by Bannai and Deza and was proved by Kiyota [10].)  $G$  is said to be *sharp* (or  *$L$ -sharp*) if the equality holds in (\*). This terminology suggested by Deza can be justified by the fact that a  $\{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ -sharp group is a sharply  $r$ -transitive group. From the literature on permutation groups, we can find many papers that deal with the classification of  $L$ -sharp groups for some particular  $L$ . For example,  $G$  has a representation as an  $L$ -group with  $L = \{l\}$ ,  $l > 0$ , if and only if  $G$  has a  $G$ -invariant proper partition (communicated by T. Kondo, see [8]), and such nonsolvable groups were classified by Suzuki [13].  $L$ -sharp groups were classified for  $L = \{2\}$ ,  $\{3\}$  and  $\{0, 2\}$  ([6], [7], [14], see also [12]). Also, the reader is referred to Deza [4] for the relevant topics.

The purpose of this paper is to determine  $L$ -sharp groups for  $L = \{l, l+2\}$ ,  $\{l, l+3\}$  and  $\{l, l+1, l+2, \dots, l+r-1\}$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Let  $F(G)$  be the set of points which are fixed by any element of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\{l, l+1, l+2, \dots, l+r-1\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Then  $|F(G)| = l$ , and  $G$  is sharply  $r$ -transitive on  $\Omega - F(G)$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\{l, l+2\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega$ . Then either (i) or (ii) holds:*

(i)  $|F(G)| = l$ ,  $G$  is transitive and is of rank 3 on  $\Omega - F(G)$ , and  $G \cong D_8, S_4, GL(2, 3)$  or  $PSL(2, 7)$ , where  $|\Omega - F(G)| = 4, 6, 8, 14$ , respectively,

(ii)  $|F(G)| = l-1$ ,  $G$  has two orbits on  $\Omega - F(G)$ , and  $G \cong S_4$  or  $PSL(2, 7)$ , where  $|\Omega - F(G)| = 7, 15$ , respectively.

In the case (i),  $S_4$  has two nonequivalent representations on 6 points as a  $\{0, 2\}$ -sharp group.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\{l, l+3\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega$ . Then either (i) or (ii) holds:*

(i)  $|F(G)|=l$ ,  $G$  is transitive on  $\Omega-F(G)$ , and  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2, (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_3, (Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_4, Z_3 \times \text{PSL}(2, 4)$  or  $Z_3 \times \text{PSL}(2, 7)$ , where  $|\Omega-F(G)|=6, 9, 27, 15, 24$ , respectively,

(ii)  $|F(G)|=l-2$ ,  $G$  has three orbits on  $\Omega-F(G)$ , and  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ , where  $|\Omega-F(G)|=8$ .

All the semidirect products are determined uniquely except  $(Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_3$ .  $(Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_3$  has two nonequivalent representations on 9 points as a  $\{0, 3\}$ -sharp group; one has a trivial center and the other has a center of order 3.

## 2. Reduction lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\{0, l_2, \dots, l_r\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega$ , where  $0 < l_2 < \dots < l_r$ . Then  $G$  is transitive on  $\Omega$  and  $G_\alpha$  is an  $\{l_2-1, \dots, l_r-1\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$  for any element  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$ .*

**PROOF.** We have  $|G|=n \prod_{i=2}^r (n-l_i)$ . Since  $|G|=|G_\alpha| \cdot |\alpha^G|$ ,  $|\alpha^G| \leq n$  and since  $|G_\alpha| \leq \prod_{i=2}^r (n-l_i)$  by the inequality (\*), we get that  $|\alpha^G|=n$  and  $|G_\alpha| = \prod_{i=2}^r (n-l_i)$ , the desired result.

The following is the most crucial reduction lemma to treat  $L$ -sharp permutation groups with  $|L|=2$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be an  $\{l, l+s\}$ -sharp group on  $\Omega$ . Then  $|F(G)| \geq m$  holds, where  $m=l+(1-s)s'+s'^2-1$  with  $s'=\max\{1, [(s-1)/2]\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us decompose the permutation character  $\theta$  into the sum of irreducible characters  $\chi_i$  of  $G$  in the complex field:  $\theta = \sum a_i \chi_i$  with  $\chi_0$  the principal character. Since each  $G$ -orbit on  $\Omega-F(G)$  contributes at least one non-principal irreducible character to  $\theta$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad |F(G)| + \sum' a_i \geq a_0,$$

the summation  $\sum'$  taking over nonzero  $i$ 's. Let us set  $\hat{\theta} = (\theta - l\chi_0)(\theta - (l+s)\chi_0)$ . Since  $\hat{\theta}$  is the regular character of  $G$  [10], we have  $(\hat{\theta}, \chi_0) = 1$  and so

$$(2.2) \quad \sum' a_i^2 = 1 - (a_0 - l)(a_0 - l - s).$$

The identity (2.2) implies  $l \leq a_0 \leq l+s$ , but  $a_0$  cannot be  $l$  because  $(\theta, \chi_0) = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{x \in G} \theta(x) > l$ . Therefore we get

$$(2.3) \quad l < a_0 \leq l+s.$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we have that

$$|F(G)| \geq a_0 - 1 + (a_0 - l)(a_0 - l - s),$$

and an elementary calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{a_0 - 1 + (a_0 - l)(a_0 - l - s) \mid a_0 = l + 1, l + 2, \dots, l + s\} \\ = l + (1 - s)s' + s'^2 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s' = \max \{1, \lceil (s - 1)/2 \rceil\}$ . This completes the proof.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $G$  be an  $\{l, l + 1, l + 2, \dots, l + r - 1\}$ -group on  $\Omega$ . Then we have*

$$l + 1 \leq k \leq l + r - 1 + \frac{n - (l + r - 1)}{g},$$

where  $k$  is the number of  $G$ -orbits on  $\Omega$ .

PROOF. The inequality  $l + 1 \leq k$  is trivial from  $k = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{x \in G} \theta(x) > l$ . Let  $\alpha_i = \#\{x \in G^* \mid \theta(x) = l + i\}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r - 1$ . Then we have

$$g = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_i$$

and

$$gk = n + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (l + i)\alpha_i.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (l + i)\alpha_i \leq (l + r - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \alpha_i = (l + r - 1)(g - 1),$$

we get

$$gk - n \leq (l + r - 1)(g - 1),$$

and hence the desired result.

Let  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_k$  be the  $G$ -orbits on  $\Omega$ . We may assume  $|\Delta_i| \geq 2$  for all  $i$  by induction on  $n$ . Choose  $\Delta_{i_j}$  and subsets  $\Gamma_{i_j}$  of  $\Delta_{i_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ) such that

$$|\Gamma_{i_1}| + |\Gamma_{i_2}| + \dots + |\Gamma_{i_t}| = l + r - k,$$

and

$$|\Delta_{i_j} - \Gamma_{i_j}| = 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1,$$

$$|\Delta_{i_t} - \Gamma_{i_t}| \geq 1.$$

This choice is possible because  $\sum_{i=1}^k (|\Delta_i| - 1) = n - k \geq l + r - k$ . Notice that  $l + r - k \geq 1$  by Lemma 3.1. By renumbering, we may assume  $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 2, \dots, i_t = t$ .

Let  $H$  denote the pointwise stabilizer of  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_t$ . We shall find upper and lower bounds for the order of  $H$ .

It is clear that

$$(\theta, \chi_0)_H \geq (\theta, \chi_0)_G + |\Gamma_1| + |\Gamma_2| + \dots + |\Gamma_t| = l + r,$$

where  $\chi_0$  is the principal character. On the other hand, we have

$$(\theta, \chi_0)_H \leq l + r - 1 + \frac{n - (l + r - 1)}{|H|}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Therefore we get

$$(3.1) \quad |H| \leq n - (l + r - 1).$$

Let us set  $\gamma_i = |\Gamma_i|$  and  $\delta_i = |\Delta_i|$ . We have an inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |G : H| &= |G^{\Delta_1} : H^{\Delta_1}| \cdot |G^{\Delta_2} : H^{\Delta_2}| \cdots |G^{\Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{t-1}} : H^{\Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{t-1}}| \\ &\leq \delta_1! \cdot \delta_2! \cdots \delta_{t-1}! \cdot \delta_t(\delta_t - 1) \cdots (\delta_t - \gamma_t + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $G^{\Delta_1}$  is the restriction of  $G$  to  $\Delta_1$ ,  $G_{\Delta_1}$  is the pointwise stabilizer of  $\Delta_1$  and so on. Since  $g = (n-l)(n-l-1)\cdots(n-l-r+1)$ , we get

$$(3.2) \quad |H| \geq \frac{(n-l)(n-l-1)\cdots(n-l-r+1)}{\delta_1! \cdots \delta_{t-1}! \delta_t(\delta_t - 1) \cdots (\delta_t - \gamma_t + 1)}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \delta_t(\delta_t - 1) \cdots (\delta_t - \gamma_t + 1) \delta_{t-1}! \cdots \delta_1! \geq (n-l)(n-l-1)\cdots(n-l-r+2).$$

The right hand side of (3.3) is the product of  $r-1$  consecutive integers beginning from  $n-l-r+2$  ( $\geq 3$ ) and ending at  $n-l$  ( $\geq \delta_t$ ); the inequality  $n-l \geq \delta_t$  comes from the inequality  $\delta_t = n - \sum_{i \neq t} |\Delta_i| \leq n - 2(k-1)$  and Lemma 3.1. Neglecting 1, the left hand side of (3.3) is a product of  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_t$  integers with  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_t \leq r-1$ ; the last inequality comes from  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_t = l + r - k$  and Lemma 3.1. Therefore (3.3) holds if and only if  $t=1$ ,  $\delta_t = n-l$  and  $\gamma_t = r-1$ . The identity  $\delta_t = n-l$  implies  $l=0$ . Using Lemma 2.1 repeatedly, we get the desired result.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2.

We may assume  $F(G) = \emptyset$  without loss of generality. The following two cases are possible by Lemma 2.2

Case I  $L = \{0, 2\}$ ,

and

Case II  $L = \{1, 3\}$ .

Suppose that Case I holds.  $G$  is transitive on  $\Omega$  by Lemma 2.1 and  $G_\alpha$  has three orbits of length 1, 1,  $|G_\alpha|$ . Such rank 3 groups have been determined by Tuzuku [14], and  $G$  is one of the groups listed in Theorem 1 (i).

Suppose that Case II holds. By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), we have that  $\sum' a_i^2 = 1 - (a_0 - 1)(a_0 - 3) \geq a_0$  and  $2 \leq a_0 \leq 3$ . Therefore we get  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $\sum' a_i^2 = 2$  and  $\theta = 2\chi_0 + \chi_1 + \chi_2$  ( $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ ).  $G$  has two orbits  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  and  $G$  is 2-transitive on both  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ .

Let us set  $n_i = |\Delta_i|$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $d_i = |G_{\alpha, \beta}|$  for distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad g = (n-1)(n-3) = d_i n_i (n_i - 1).$$

We may assume  $n_1 \geq n_2$ . We shall show that the solutions of (4.1) are  $(d_1, d_2, n_1, n_2) = (2, 4, 4, 3), (3, 4, 8, 7)$ . Since  $d_i(n_i - 1)^2 < g < (n-2)^2$  and  $(n-3)^2 < g < d_i(n_i - \frac{1}{2})^2$ , we get  $(n_i - 1)/(n-2) < 1/\sqrt{d_i} < (n_i - \frac{1}{2})/(n-3)$ . Therefore we have

$$(4.2) \quad 1 < 1/\sqrt{d_1} + 1/\sqrt{d_2} < 1 + \frac{2}{n-3}.$$

The possible values of  $d_1$  are 1, 2 and 3, because  $n_1 \geq n/2$  and  $(n-1)(n-3) \geq d_1 \frac{n}{2} \frac{n-2}{2}$  by (4.1). If  $d_1 = 1$  holds, then  $n_1^2 - n_1 - (n-1)(n-3) = 0$  by (4.1) and so  $n-2 < n_1 < n-1$ , a contradiction. If  $d_1 = 2$  holds, then  $d_2 \leq 11$  and  $n \leq 235$  by (4.2), and the solution of (4.1) is  $(d_1, d_2, n_1, n_2) = (2, 4, 4, 3)$ . If  $d_1 = 3$  holds, then  $d_2 \leq 5$  and  $n \leq 84$  by (4.2), and the solution of (4.1) is  $(d_1, d_2, n_1, n_2) = (3, 4, 8, 7)$ . The groups  $S_4, PSL(2, 7)$  in the theorem come from the above parameters. This completes the proof.

### 5. Proof of Theorem 3.

We may assume  $F(G) = \emptyset$  without loss of generality. By Lemma 2.2, the following three cases are possible:

Case I  $L = \{0, 3\}$ ,

Case II  $L = \{1, 4\}$ ,

and

Case III  $L = \{2, 5\}$ .

Case I. Suppose that Case I holds. Then  $G$  is transitive and  $G_\alpha$  is a sharp  $\{2\}$ -group on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  by Lemma 2.1. By Iwahori [6],

- (1)  $G_\alpha$  fixes two points on  $\Omega - \{\alpha\}$  and is regular on the remaining points,
- (2)  $G_\alpha$  is a generalized dihedral group,

or

(3)  $G_\alpha$  is  $A_4$ ,  $S_4$  or  $A_5$ .

Suppose that the subcase (1) holds. Set  $A=F(G_\alpha)$ ,  $\Sigma=\{A^x|x\in G\}$  and  $|\Sigma|=r$ . Then  $|A|=3$ ,  $n=3r$ ,  $g=9r(r-1)$  and  $G$  is doubly transitive on  $\Sigma$ .

For a subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  and  $A, B\in\Sigma$ , we use the following notation :

$$X_A=\{x\in X|\alpha^x=\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha\in A\},$$

$$X_A^*=\{x\in X|A^x=A\},$$

$$X_{A,B}^*=\{x\in X|A^x=A, B^x=B\},$$

and

$$X_{\{A,B\}}^*=\{x\in X|\{A, B\}^x=\{A, B\}\}.$$

$I(X)$  denotes the set of involutions of  $X$ .

Choose distinct blocks  $A, B\in\Sigma$ . Let  $K=G_{A,B}^*$ . Then  $K$  is of order 9,  $K_A$  and  $K_B$  are of order 3. Choose an involution  $t$  which interchanges  $A$  and  $B$ , and let  $K_A=\langle a \rangle$ ,  $K_B=\langle b \rangle$ . We may assume  $a^t=b$ , where  $a^t=t^{-1}at$ . Then  $K=\langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ ,  $G_{\{A,B\}}^*=K\langle t \rangle$  and  $I(K\langle t \rangle)=\{t, t^a, t^b\}$ .

Let  $F_\Sigma(K)=\{C\in\Sigma|C^x=C \text{ for all } x\in K\}$ . We shall show  $|F_\Sigma(K)|\leq 3$ . Suppose that  $F_\Sigma(K)$  contains four distinct blocks  $A, B, C, D$ . Then  $K_A, K_B, K_C$  and  $K_D$  are distinct subgroups of order 3, so we may assume  $K_C=\langle ab \rangle$  and  $K_D=\langle a^{-1}b \rangle$ . Since  $t$  normalizes  $\langle ab \rangle$  and  $\langle a^{-1}b \rangle$ ,  $t$  acts on  $F(\langle ab \rangle)=C$  and  $F(\langle a^{-1}b \rangle)=D$ . This contradicts the fact that  $G_{C,D}^*$  is order 9. Therefore  $|F_\Sigma(K)|\leq 3$ .

Suppose  $F_\Sigma(K)=\{A, B, C\}$ . Since  $t$  normalizes  $K$ ,  $t$  acts on  $F_\Sigma(K)$  and so  $C^t=C$ . Therefore  $r$  is odd. By counting the number of

$$\{(u, \{D, E\})|u\in I(G), D, E\in\Sigma, D\neq E, D^u=E\},$$

we get  $|I(G)|(r-1)/2=\binom{r}{2}|I(K\langle t \rangle)|$  i. e.  $|I(G)|=3r$  and so  $|I(G_C^*)|=3$ . Hence we have  $I(G_C^*)=\{t, t^a, t^b\}$ . Since  $tt^a=b^{-1}a$  and  $\langle tt^a \rangle \text{ char } \langle t, t^a \rangle = \langle I(G_C^*) \rangle \triangleleft G_C^*$ ,  $\langle b^{-1}a \rangle$  is normal in  $G_C^*$ . Since  $G_C^*$  is transitive on  $\Sigma-\{C\}$ ,  $\langle b^{-1}a \rangle$  is contained in  $N$ , where  $N$  is the kernel of  $G$  on  $\Sigma$ . However,  $b^{-1}a$  fixes each point of  $C$ , because  $F_\Sigma(b^{-1}a)\ni C$ ,  $F(t)=C$  and  $t$  inverts  $b^{-1}a$ . So  $N$  intersects  $G_D$  nontrivially for any  $D\in\Sigma$ . Since  $K\ni N$ ,  $K$  intersects  $G_D$  nontrivially for any  $D\in\Sigma$  and so we obtain  $r=3$ . We can verify directly that  $G\cong(Z_3\times Z_3)\rtimes S_3$  with  $|Z(G)|=3$ .

Suppose  $F_\Sigma(K)=\{A, B\}$ . Let  $N$  be the kernel of  $G$  on  $\Sigma$  and  $\bar{G}=G/N$ . Since  $K$  is of odd order,  $G$  has a regular normal subgroup or a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $PSL(2, q)$ ,  $PSU(3, q)$  or  $Sz(q)$  (Bender [2]). The 2-point stabilizers of  $PSL(2, q)$ ,  $PSU(3, q)$ ,  $Sz(q)$  are cyclic subgroups of order  $(q-1)/(2, q-1)$ ,  $(q^2-1)/(3, q+1)$ ,  $q-1$  respectively, whereas  $K(=G_{A,B}^*)$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of order 9. So the possible normal subgroups are  $PSL(2, 4)$  and  $PSL(2, 7)$ . We can verify directly that  $G$  is  $Z_3\times PSL(2, 4)$  or  $Z_3\times PSL(2, 7)$ . (Notice that the Schur multipliers of  $PSL(2, 4)$  and  $PSL(2, 7)$  are both  $Z_2$ .) Therefore we may assume that  $G^\Sigma$  has a regular normal subgroup  $\bar{R}$ .  $\bar{R}$  is an

elementary abelian 2-group of order  $r$ , because  $|F_{\Sigma}(K)|=2$ . Any involution is conjugate to an element of  $I(G_{A,B}^*) (= \{t, t^a, t^b\})$ , so  $I(G)$  is one class. By the same counting method in the case  $|F_{\Sigma}(K)|=3$ , we get  $|I(G)|=3(r-1)$ . Let  $S$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose  $r>2$ . If some involution inverts the kernel  $N$ , then every involution inverts  $N$ , since  $I(G)$  is one class. This is impossible. Therefore  $S$  commutes  $N$ . Since  $\overline{SN}=\overline{R}$  and  $N$  is of odd order,  $S$  is normal in  $G$  and so  $|I(G)|=|S^*|=r-1$ , a contradiction. So  $r=2$  and  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ .

Suppose that the subcase (2) holds i.e.  $G_{\alpha}$  has a normal subgroup  $Q$  of index 2 such that  $Q$  has a cyclic Sylow 2-subgroup and any element of  $G_{\alpha}-Q$  is an involution which inverts  $Q$ .  $G_{\alpha}$  has four orbits  $\{\alpha\}, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  of length 1, 2,  $|Q|, |Q|$  respectively,  $Q$  fixes  $\Gamma_1$  pointwise and is regular on both  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ , and any element of  $G_{\alpha}-Q$  interchanges the two points of  $\Gamma_1$ .

Suppose  $|Q|=2$ . Then  $n=7, g=7 \cdot 4$ , so  $G$  has an element of order 14, a contradiction. Therefore  $|Q| \geq 3$ . Choose  $x \in G$  and  $\beta \in \Gamma_1$  such that  $\beta = \alpha^x$ . Since  $Q$  and  $Q^x$  are subgroups of  $G_{\beta}$  of index 2,  $Q \cap Q^x$  is not trivial. Therefore  $F(Q) = F(y) = F(Q^x)$  for nonidentity  $y \in Q \cap Q^x$  and so  $Q^x = G_{\alpha\beta} = Q$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma_2$ , there exist involutions  $t \in G_{\alpha}-Q$  and  $u \in G_{\beta}-Q$  which fix  $\gamma$ . Since  $t$  and  $u$  invert  $Q$ ,  $tu$  centralizes  $Q$  and so  $Q$  acts on  $F(tu)$ . Since  $F(tu)$  contains  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma^Q = \Gamma_2$ , we have  $|F(tu)| \geq |\Gamma_2|$ . Since  $G$  is a  $\{0, 3\}$ -group,  $|Q| = |\Gamma_2| = 3$  and so  $n=9$ . We can verify that  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_3$  with  $Z(G)=1$ .

Suppose that the subcase (3) holds. We can verify by case by case argument that  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes S_4$  with  $G_{\alpha} \cong S_4$ . Here  $\epsilon\chi$  is the character of  $S_4$  acting on  $Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3$  in the semidirect product, where  $\epsilon$  is the signature and  $1+\chi$  is the usual 2-transitive permutation character of  $S_4$ .

REMARK. See also [12] section 6 for the subcase (1) and [11] Corollary for the subcases (2) and (3). The group  $Z_3 \times A_5$  is missed in the theorem 6.3 [12].

Case II and Case III. By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), the possible cases are

(1)  $G$  is a sharp  $\{1, 4\}$  or  $\{2, 5\}$ -group with three orbits  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Delta_3$  and  $G$  is 2-transitive on each orbit. For all distinct  $i, j, (G, \Delta_i)$  is not isomorphic to  $(G, \Delta_j)$  and  $G$  is transitive on  $\Delta_i \times \Delta_j$ .

(2)  $G$  is a sharp  $\{1, 4\}$ -group with two orbits  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ .  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $\Delta_1$  and is rank 3 on  $\Delta_2$ .  $G$  is transitive on  $\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$ .

We first show that we may assume every orbit of  $G$  has length at least 5 (resp. 6) if  $G$  is a sharp  $\{1, 4\}$  (resp.  $\{2, 5\}$ )-group. Suppose that  $G$  is a sharp  $\{2, 5\}$ -group and has an orbit  $\Delta_1$  of length 5. Let  $N$  be the kernel of  $G$  on  $\Delta_1$ . Then  $G/N \cong Z_5 \rtimes Z_4, A_5$  or  $S_5$  and  $N$  is a regular normal subgroup on each of the remaining orbits  $\Delta_2, \Delta_3$ . So  $N$  is elementary abelian, and  $|N|^2$  divides  $|G|$  because  $G$  is transitive on  $\Delta_2 \times \Delta_3$ . Therefore  $|N|=2, 3, 4, 5$  or  $8$ , but this contradicts the condition  $g=(n-2)(n-5)$  and  $n=5+|N|+|N|$ .

Suppose  $G$  is a sharp  $\{2, 5\}$ -group and has an orbit  $\Delta_1$  of length less than

5. For distinct  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_1$ ,  $G_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$  is a  $\{5\}$ -group. So we get  $(n-2)(n-5)=g \leq |\Delta_1|(|\Delta_1|-1)(|\Delta_1|-2)|G_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}| \leq 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2(n-5)$  i. e.  $n \leq 26$  by Kiyota's inequality (\*). Since  $G$  is 2-transitive on each  $\Delta_i$  and is transitive on  $\Delta_i \times \Delta_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ), we have that  $7 \leq n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 \leq 26$ ,  $n_i(n_i-1)$  divides  $(n-2)(n-5)$  ( $=g$ ) for all  $i$  and  $n_i n_j$  divides  $(n-2)(n-5)$  for all distinct  $i, j$ , where  $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ . The  $(n, n_1, n_2, n_3)$  which satisfies the above condition is only  $(8, 2, 3, 3)$ , and we get  $G \cong (Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes Z_2$ .

Similarly we can show that every orbit of  $G$  has length at least 5 if  $G$  is a sharp  $\{1, 4\}$ -group. Therefore we may assume that  $G$  is faithful on every orbit  $\Delta_i$ .

Next we show that  $G$  has no regular normal subgroup on  $\Delta_i$ , if  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $\Delta_i$ . Suppose that the subcase (2) holds and  $G$  has a regular normal subgroup  $R$  on  $\Delta_1$ .  $R$  acts on  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)-F(x)$  for  $x, y \in R$ , since  $R$  is abelian.  $|F(x)|=4$  holds and  $\Delta_2 \cong F(x)$  for any nonidentity  $x \in R$ . We can find nonidentity elements  $x, y$  in  $R$  such that  $F(x) \neq F(y)$ . Let  $R_0$  be the kernel of  $R$  on  $F(x)$ . Then  $R_0$  is semiregular on  $F(y)-F(x)$ . Therefore we get  $|R_0| \leq |F(y)-F(x)| \leq 4$ . Since  $|R| = |R_0| \cdot |F(x)|$ ,  $R$  is of order 8 or 16.

Suppose  $|R|=8$ . Then  $|\Delta_1|=8$  and  $G_\alpha \subseteq GL(3, 2)$  for  $\alpha \in \Delta_1$ . Since  $G_\alpha$  is transitive on  $\Delta_2$ ,  $|\Delta_2|$  divides  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$  ( $=|GL(3, 2)|$ ). Since 8 divides  $|\Delta_1|$  and  $(n-1)(n-4)$  ( $=g$ ),  $|\Delta_2| \equiv 1$  or  $4 \pmod{8}$ . Therefore  $|\Delta_2|=12, 28$  or  $84$  and  $g=(n-1)(n-4)=19 \cdot 16, 35 \cdot 32$  or  $91 \cdot 88$ . This contradicts the condition that  $g$  divides  $|R| \cdot |GL(3, 2)|$ . Similarly the assumption  $|R|=16$  leads to a contradiction.

The subcase (1) is similar and easier to prove the nonexistence of a regular normal subgroup.

Let  $\mu_{\Delta_i}$  be the maximal number of fixed points of involutions on  $\Delta_i$ . Then  $\mu_{\Delta_i} \leq 5$ . Suppose that  $\mu_{\Delta_1}=5$  with an involution  $u$  fixing 5 points on  $\Delta_1$ . Then  $G$  is  $\{2, 5\}$ -sharp and so has two more orbits  $\Delta_2, \Delta_3$ . Since  $u$  has no fixed points on  $\Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_3$ ,  $|\Delta_2|$  and  $|\Delta_3|$  are even and so  $\mu_{\Delta_i} \leq 4$  ( $i=2, 3$ ). Therefore in the subcase (1), we may assume that  $\mu_{\Delta_1} \leq 5$ ,  $\mu_{\Delta_2} \leq 4$  and  $\mu_{\Delta_3} \leq 4$ . Obviously in the subcase (2),  $\mu_{\Delta_1} \leq 4$ .

If  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $\Delta_i$  with  $\mu_{\Delta_i} \leq 4$ , then  $G$  has a normal subgroup isomorphic to

$$(a) \quad PSL(2, q) \text{ or } Sz(q)$$

or  $G$  is isomorphic to

$$(b) \quad S_5, A_6, S_6 \ (n_i=6, 10), A_7 \ (n_i=7, 15), M_{11}, PSL(3, 2), PSL(2, 11) \ (n_i=11)$$

$$\text{or } PFL(2, 8) \ (n_i=28), \text{ where } n_i = |\Delta_i|.$$

(All  $(G, \Delta_i)$  are usual permutation representations except for  $S_6, A_7, PSL(2, 11), PFL(2, 8)$ . See [1], [2], [3], [5], [9].) The reason why  $PSU(3, q)$  is missed in (a) is that a diagonal element of  $PSU(3, q)$  fixes  $q+1$  points and that if  $q=3$



or 4,  $G$  does not satisfy the condition  $g=(n-1)(n-4)$  or  $(n-2)(n-5)$ .

Suppose that the subcase (1) holds. Then  $(G, \Delta_i)$  is determined by the list (a), (b) for  $i=2, 3$ . Since  $(G, \Delta_2)$  and  $(G, \Delta_3)$  are not isomorphic,  $G$  is  $S_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $PSL(2, 11)$  or  $P\Gamma L(2, 8)$ . Since these groups have at most two non-isomorphic 2-transitive representations,  $(G, \Delta_1)$  is isomorphic to  $(G, \Delta_2)$  or  $(G, \Delta_3)$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that the subcase (2) holds and  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $M$  listed in (a). First suppose that  $G$  is a Zassenhaus group on  $\Delta_1$ . Let  $\theta_i$  be the permutation character of  $G$  on  $\Delta_i$  for  $i=1, 2$ , and

$$\alpha_{ij} = \#\{x \in G \mid \theta_1(x) = i, \theta_2(x) = j\},$$

$$\alpha_i = \#\{x \in G \mid \theta_1(x) = i\}.$$

Then, since  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = 1$ , we have

$$g = n_1 n_2 + 3(\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{31}) + 4\alpha_{22},$$

where  $n_i = |\Delta_i|$ . Since  $\alpha_{22} = \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(g - n_1^2 + n_1)$ , we get

$$g \geq n_1 n_2 + 4\alpha_2 = n_1 n_2 + 2g - 2n_1(n_1 - 1),$$

$$\text{i. e. } 2 \geq g/n_1(n_1 - 1) + n_2/(n_1 - 1).$$

Therefore  $|G_{\alpha, \beta}| = g/n_1(n_1 - 1) = 1$  for distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1$ , a contradiction.

Next suppose that  $G$  contains an element  $\sigma (\neq 1)$  which fixes at least 3 points on  $\Delta_1$ . If  $M$  is  $Sz(q)$ , we may assume  $\sigma$  is a field automorphism, and then  $\sigma$  fixes at least  $2^2 + 1$  points on  $\Delta_1$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $M$  is  $PSL(2, q)$ . We shall show that  $\sigma$  is of order 2. Let  $H$  be a  $\sigma$ -invariant 2-point stabilizer of  $M$  on  $\Delta_1$ .  $H$  is a cyclic subgroup. Let  $x$  be a generator of  $H$  and  $F_{\Delta_2}(x) = \{\alpha \in \Delta_2 \mid \alpha^x = \alpha\}$ . Then  $|F_{\Delta_2}(x)| = 2$ . Since  $\sigma$  normalizes  $H (= \langle x \rangle)$ ,  $\sigma$  acts on  $F_{\Delta_2}(x) (= F_{\Delta_2}(\langle x \rangle))$ . Since  $\sigma^2$  fixes at least 3 points of  $\Delta_1$  and the two points of  $F_{\Delta_2}(x)$ , we get  $\sigma^2 = 1$ . Since  $\sigma$  fixes at least 3 points on  $\Delta_1$ ,  $PSL(2, q)\langle \sigma \rangle$  contains a field automorphism  $f$  of order 2. Since  $f$  fixes  $\sqrt{q} + 1$  points on  $\Delta_1$ ,  $G$  is  $PSL(2, 4)\langle f \rangle$ ,  $PSL(2, 9)\langle f \rangle$  or  $PGL(2, 9)\langle f \rangle$ . This, however, contradicts the condition  $g=(n-1)(n-4)$ .

Thus in the subcase (2),  $G$  is one of the groups listed in (b). But none of them satisfies the condition  $g=(n-1)(n-4)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to express their gratitude to E. Bannai and M. Deza for suggesting this problem and giving us much useful information on it. Their advice and help can be found throughout this paper. For example, we owe to them Lemma 2.1 and the proof of Theorem 1 for  $r=2$ .

### Reference

- [1] H. Bender, Endliche zweifach transitive Permutationsgruppen, deren Involutionen keine Fixpunkte haben, *Math. Z.*, 104 (1968), 175-204.
- [2] H. Bender, Transitive Gruppen gerader Ordnung, in denen jede Involution genau einen Punkt festläßt, *J. Algebra*, 17 (1971), 527-554.
- [3] F. Buekenhout and P. Rowlinson, On (1,4)-Groups III, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 14 (1976), 487-495.
- [4] M. Deza, On permutation cligues, (preprint, Universite de Montreal, CRM-778, March 1978).
- [5] C. Hering, Zweifach transitive Permutationsgruppen, in denen 2 die maximale Anzahl von Fixpunkten von Involutionen ist, *Math. Z.*, 104 (1968), 150-174.
- [6] N. Iwahori, On a property of finite groups, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 11 (1964), 47-64.
- [7] N. Iwahori and T. Kondo, On finite groups admitting a permutation representation  $P$  such that  $\text{Tr } P(\sigma) = 3$  for all  $\sigma \neq 1$ , *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 11 (1964), 113-144.
- [8] N. Iwahori and T. Kondo, A criterion for the existence of a non-trivial partition of a finite group with applications to finite reflection groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 17 (1965), 207-215.
- [9] J.D. King, Doubly transitive groups in which involutions fix one or three points, *Math. Z.*, 111 (1969), 311-321.
- [10] M. Kiyota, An inequality for finite permutation groups, *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 27 (1979), 119.
- [11] O. Pretzel and A. Schleiermacher, On permutation groups whose non-trivial elements have at most three fixed points, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 31 (1975), 1-20.
- [12] O. Pretzel and A. Schleiermacher, On permutation groups in which non-trivial elements have  $p$  fixed points or none, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 30 (1975), 471-495.
- [13] M. Suzuki, On a finite group with a partition, *Arch. Math.*, 12 (1961), 241-254.
- [14] T. Tuzuku, Transitive extensions of certain permutation groups of rank 3, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 31 (1968), 31-36.

Tatsuro ITO  
 Royal Holloway College  
 University of London  
 Egham, Surrey, U.K.

Masao KIYOTA  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Tokyo  
 Tokyo 113  
 Japan

and

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Tsukuba  
 Ibaraki 305  
 Japan