

Research Article

The Almost Sure Local Central Limit Theorem for the Negatively Associated Sequences

Yuanying Jiang^{1,2} and Qunying Wu¹

¹ College of Science, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, China

² School of Statistics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuanying Jiang; jyy@ruc.edu.cn

Received 13 May 2013; Accepted 18 June 2013

Academic Editor: Ying Hu

Copyright © 2013 Y. Jiang and Q. Wu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, the almost sure central limit theorem is established for sequences of negatively associated random variables: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/\log n) \sum_{k=1}^n (I(a_k \leq S_k < b_k)/k) P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k) = 1$, almost surely. This is the local almost sure central limit theorem for negatively associated sequences similar to results by Csáki et al. (1993). The results extend those on almost sure local central limit theorems from the i.i.d. case to the stationary negatively associated sequences.

1. Introduction

Definition 1. Random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , $n \geq 2$ are said to be negatively associated (NA) if for every pair of disjoint subsets A_1 and A_2 of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,

$$\text{Cov}(f_1(X_i, i \in A_1), f_2(X_j, j \in A_2)) \leq 0, \quad (1)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are increasing for every variable (or decreasing for every variable) such that this covariance exists. A sequence of random variables $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ is said to be NA if every finite subfamily of $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ is NA.

Obviously, if $\{X_i, i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of NA random variables and $\{f_i, i \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of nondecreasing (or nonincreasing) functions, then $\{f_i(X_i), i \geq 1\}$ is also a sequence of NA random variables.

This definition was introduced by the Joag-Dev and Proschan [1]. Statistical test depends greatly on sampling, and the random sampling without replacement from a finite population is NA, but it is not independent. NA sampling has wide applications such as those in multivariate statistical analysis and reliability theory. Because of the wide applications of NA sampling, the notions of NA random variables have received more and more attention recently. We refer to Joag-Dev and Proschan [1] for fundamental properties, Shao

[2] for the moment inequalities, and Wu and Jiang [3] for Chover's law of the iterated logarithm.

Assume that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0, 0 < EX_1^2 < \infty$. Define $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$,

$$\sigma_n^2 := \text{Var } S_n, \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma^2 := \text{Var } X_1 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j). \quad (3)$$

- (1) Newman [4] and Matuła [5] showed that NA stationary sequences satisfy the central limit theorem (CLT) under $\sigma^2 > 0$, that is,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| = o(1). \quad (4)$$

- (2) Applying Matuła [6] and Wu's [7] methods, we can easily show that NA sequences satisfy the almost sure central limit theorem (ASCLT), that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I\{S_k \leq x \sigma k^{1/2}\} = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the standard normal distribution function and $I\{A\}$ denotes the indicator of the event A .

The ASCLT was stimulated by Brosamler [8] and Schatte [9]. Both were concerned with the partial sum of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with more than the second moment. The ASCLT was extensively studied in the past two decades and an interesting direction of the study is to prove it for dependent variables. There are some results for weakly dependent variables such as α , ρ , ϕ -mixing and associated random variables. Among those results, we refer to Peligrad and Shao [10], Matuła [11], and Wu [7].

More general version of ASCLT was proved by Csáki et al. [12]. The following theorem is due to them.

Theorem A. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with $E|X_1|^3 < \infty$, let $EX_1 = 0$. a_k, b_k satisfy

$$-\infty \leq a_k \leq 0 \leq b_k \leq \infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6)$$

and assume that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\log k}{k^{3/2} P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k)} = O(\log n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7)$$

and then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{I\{a_k \leq S_k < b_k\}}{kP(a_k \leq S_k < b_k)} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8)$$

This result may be called almost sure local central limit theorem, while (5) may be called almost sure global central limit theorem. Hurelbaatar [13] extended (8) to the case of ρ -mixing sequences and Weng et al. [14] derived an almost sure local central limit theorem for the product of partial sums of a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables under some regular conditions. For more details, we refer to Berkes and Csáki [15] and Földes [16].

Our concern in this paper is to give a common generalization of (8) to the case of NA sequences.

In the next section we present the exact results, postponing some technical lemmas and the proofs to Section 3.

2. Main Results

Assume in the following that $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0, 0 < EX_1^2 < \infty$. We consider the limit behavior of the logarithmic average

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{I\{a_k \leq S_k < b_k\}}{kP(a_k \leq S_k < b_k)} \quad (9)$$

with $-\infty \leq a_k \leq 0 \leq b_k \leq \infty$, where the terms in the sum above are defined to be 1 if their denominator happens to be 0.

More precisely let $\{a_n, n \geq 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$ be two sequences of real numbers and put

$$p_k := P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k),$$

$$\alpha_k := \begin{cases} \frac{I\{a_k \leq S_k < b_k\}}{p_k}, & \text{if } p_k \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } p_k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

So we need to investigate the limit behavior of

$$\mu_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \quad (11)$$

under certain conditions.

In our considerations, we will need the following Cox-Grimmett coefficient which describes the covariance structure of the sequence

$$u(n) := \sup_{k \in N, |j-k| \geq n} |\text{Cov}(X_j, X_k)|, \quad n \in N \cup \{0\}. \quad (12)$$

We remark that for a stationary sequence of NA random variables

$$u(n) = -2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_k), \quad n \in N. \quad (13)$$

By Lemma 8 of Newman [4], we have $u(0) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(n) = 0$.

In the following, $\xi_n \sim \eta_n$ denotes $\xi_n/\eta_n \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty$. $\xi_n = O(\eta_n)$ denotes that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\xi_n \leq c\eta_n$ for sufficiently large n . The symbols c, c_1, c_2, \dots , stand for generic positive constants which may differ from one place to another.

Theorem 2. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^3 < \infty$ and let $\sigma^2 > 0$. a_k, b_k satisfy (6). Assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u(n) < \infty, \quad (14)$$

and for some $\beta > 1$,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ p_k \neq 0}} \frac{(\log k)^{1/3}}{k p_k} = O((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}). \quad (15)$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_n}{\log n} = 1, \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (16)$$

where μ_n is defined by (11).

Remark 3. Let $a_k = -\infty$ and $b_k = x\sigma k^{1/2}$ in (6). By the central limit theorem (4), we have $p_k = P(S_k/\sigma k^{1/2} < x) \rightarrow \Phi(x)$, obviously (15) satisfies; then (16) becomes (5), which is the almost sure global central limit theorem. Thus the almost sure local central limit theorem is a general result which contains the almost sure global central limit theorem.

Remark 4. The condition (15) is satisfied with a wide range of p_k ; for example, if

$$p_k = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad p_k \geq c \frac{(\log \log k)^\beta}{(\log k)^{2/3}} \quad (17)$$

holds, then the condition (15) is satisfied. In fact, letting $0 < \delta < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ p_k \neq 0}} \frac{(\log k)^{1/3}}{kp_k} \\ & \leq c \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (\log \log k)^{-\beta} (\log k)^\delta \frac{(\log k)^{1-\delta}}{k} \\ & \leq c (\log \log n)^{-\beta} (\log n)^\delta \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{(\log k)^{1-\delta}}{k} \\ & \leq c (\log \log n)^{-\beta} (\log n)^2 \\ & = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In the given theorem below, we strengthen the condition (6) on a_k and b_k . Meanwhile, as a compensation, we do not need to impose restricting condition (15) on p_k .

Theorem 5. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^3 < \infty$, and $\sigma^2 > 0$, and let a_k and b_k satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} -c_1 k^{1/2-\alpha} & \leq a_k \leq -c_2 k^{1/2-\alpha}, \\ c_3 k^{1/2-\alpha} & \leq b_k \leq c_4 k^{1/2-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1/7$. Assume that (14) hold, and then we have (16).

3. Proofs

The following lemmas play important roles in the proof of our theorems. The proofs are given in the Appendix.

Lemma 6. Assume that $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ are random variables such that

$$\xi_k \geq 0, \quad E\xi_k = 1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (20)$$

and furthermore there exists $d_k \geq 0$ such that $D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \uparrow \infty, D_n/D_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$, and

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k \right) \leq c D_n^2 (\log D_n)^{-\beta}, \quad (21)$$

with some $\beta > 1$ and positive constant c , and then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{D_n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (22)$$

Remark 7. Let $d_k = 1/k$ in (21), and then $D_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k \sim \log n$. Thus, if

$$\text{Var} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \xi_k \right) \leq c (\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}, \quad (23)$$

with some $\beta > 1$ and positive constant c , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \xi_k = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (24)$$

The following Lemma 8 is obvious.

Lemma 8. Assume that the nonnegative random sequence $\{\xi_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfies (24) and the sequence $\{\eta_n, n \geq 1\}$ is such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, \omega)$ for which

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \xi_k \leq \eta_k \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \xi_k, \quad k > k_0. \quad (25)$$

Then we have also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \eta_k = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (26)$$

The following Lemma 9 is an easy corollary to the Corollary 2.2 in Matuła [5] under strictly stationary condition, which studies the rate of convergence in the CLT under negative dependence. It was also studied in Pan [17]. Of course this is the Berry-Esseen inequality for the NA sequence.

Lemma 9. Let $\{X_j, j \in N\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $EX_1 = 0, E|X_1|^3 < \infty, \sigma^2 > 0$ satisfying (14). Then one has

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P \left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| = O(n^{-1/5}). \quad (27)$$

Lemma 10. If the conditions of Lemma 9 hold, a_k and b_k satisfy (19). Then one has

$$c'_1 k^{-\alpha} \leq p_k \leq c'_2 k^{-\alpha} \quad (k \geq k_0), \quad (28)$$

where α is as (19).

Lemma 11. If the conditions of Lemma 9 hold, a_k and b_k satisfy (19), and α is as (19). Assume that $\varepsilon_l = l^{3\alpha/2}$, and then the following asymptotic relations hold:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} P(|S_l| \geq \varepsilon_l) = O(\log n), \quad (29)$$

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \frac{1}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/5}} = O(\log n), \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \left| \Phi \left(\frac{a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) - \Phi \left(\frac{a_l}{l^{1/2}} \right) \right| \\ & = O(\log n), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \left| \Phi \left(\frac{b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_l}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) - \Phi \left(\frac{b_l}{l^{1/2}} \right) \right| \\ & = O(\log n). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The main point in our proof is to verify the condition (23). We use global central limit theorem with remainders and the following elementary inequalities:

$$|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq c|x - y|, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad (33)$$

with some constant c . Moreover, for each $k > 0$, there exists $c_1 = c_1(k)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \\ \geq c_1|x - y|, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \mathbb{R}, |x| + |y| \leq k. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of NA random variables with $\sigma^2 > 0$; we can immediately get $\sigma_n^2 \sim n\sigma^2$, that is,

$$c_1 n \leq \text{Var}(S_n) = \sigma_n^2 \leq c_2 n \quad (35)$$

for some constant $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and sufficiently large n .

Proof of Theorem 2. First assume that

$$b_k - a_k \leq ck^{1/2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (36)$$

with some constant c . Let $1 \leq k < l$ and $\varepsilon_k = k^{1/2}(\log k)^{1/3}$.

If either $p_k = 0$ or $p_l = 0$, then obviously $\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l) = 0$, and so we may assume that $p_k p_l \neq 0$; then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l) &= \frac{1}{p_l p_k} (P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k, a_l \leq S_l < b_l) - p_l p_k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l p_k} (P(a_l - b_k \leq S_l - S_k < b_l - a_k, \\ &\quad a_k \leq S_k < b_k) - p_l p_k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l p_k} (P(a_l - b_k \leq S_l - S_k < b_l - a_k) \\ &\quad \times P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k) - p_l p_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{p_l} (P(a_l - b_k \leq S_l - S_k < b_l - a_k) - p_l) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l} (P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_k < S_l < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_k) \\ &\quad - p_l + P(|S_k| \geq \varepsilon_k)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l} (P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_k \leq S_l < a_l) \\ &\quad + P(b_l \leq S_l < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_k) + P(|S_k| \geq \varepsilon_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Applying Lemma 9, (33), (35), and (36) and noting that $\varepsilon_k = k^{1/2}(\log k)^{1/3}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_k \leq S_l < a_l) + P(b_l \leq S_l < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_k) \\ \leq \left(\Phi\left(\frac{a_l}{\sigma_l}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_k}{\sigma_l}\right) \right) \\ + \left(\Phi\left(\frac{b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_k}{\sigma_l}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{b_l}{\sigma_l}\right) \right) + c \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} \\ \leq \frac{b_k + \varepsilon_k}{\sigma_l} + \frac{-a_k + \varepsilon_k}{\sigma_l} + c \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} = \frac{b_k - a_k}{\sigma_l} + \frac{2\varepsilon_k}{\sigma_l} + c \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} \\ \leq c \left(\frac{k^{1/2}}{l^{1/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon_k}{l^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} \right) \leq c \left(\frac{k^{1/2}(\log k)^{1/3}}{l^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

By the condition of (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{kl p_l} \frac{k^{1/2}(\log k)^{1/3}}{l^{1/2}} \\ \leq \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{3/2} p_l} \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{(\log k)^{1/3}}{k^{1/2}} \\ \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(\log l)^{1/3}}{l^{3/2} p_l} \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(\log l)^{1/3}}{l^{3/2} p_l} l^{1/2} \\ \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(\log l)^{1/3}}{l p_l} = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right), \quad (39) \\ \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \frac{1}{l^{1/5}} \\ = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{6/5} p_l} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{k} \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{6/5} p_l} \log l \\ \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(\log l)^{1/3}}{l p_l} = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Chebyshev's inequality and the condition of (15) and (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{kl p_l} P(|S_k| \geq \varepsilon_k) \\ \leq \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l p_l} \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{\text{Var}(S_k)}{k \varepsilon_k^2} \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l p_l} \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{1}{k (\log k)^{2/3}} \\ \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(\log l)^{1/3}}{l p_l} = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Hence (37)–(40) imply that

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l)}{kl} = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right). \quad (41)$$

But $\text{Var}(\alpha_k) = 0$ if $p_k = 0$ and

$$\text{Var}(\alpha_k) = \frac{1 - p_k}{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{p_k} \quad \text{if } p_k \neq 0. \quad (42)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\text{Var}(\alpha_k)}{k^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ p_k \neq 0}} \frac{1}{k^2 p_k} \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ p_k \neq 0}} \frac{(\log k)^{1/3}}{k p_k} \\ & = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Equations (41) and (43) together imply that

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k}\right) = O\left((\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^{-\beta}\right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (44)$$

Hence applying Remark 7, our theorem is proved under the restricting condition (36).

Now we drop the restricting condition (36). Fix $x > 0$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_k &= \max(a_k, -x\sigma k^{1/2}), \\ \tilde{b}_k &= \min(b_k, x\sigma k^{1/2}), \\ \tilde{p}_k &= P(\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where σ is defined by (3).

Clearly $\tilde{p}_k \leq p_k$, and so assuming $\tilde{p}_k \neq 0$; then, also we have $p_k \neq 0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= \frac{1}{p_k} I\{a_k \leq S_k < b_k\} \\ &= \frac{1}{p_k} (I\{\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k\} \\ &\quad + I\{a_k \leq S_k < \tilde{a}_k\} + I\{\tilde{b}_k \leq S_k < b_k\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_k} I\{\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p_k} (I\{a_k \leq S_k < \tilde{a}_k\} + I\{\tilde{b}_k \leq S_k < b_k\}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_k} I\{\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k\} \\ &\quad + \frac{I\{S_k < -x\sigma k^{1/2}\}}{P(-x\sigma k^{1/2} \leq S_k < 0)} + \frac{I\{S_k \geq x\sigma k^{1/2}\}}{P(0 \leq S_k < x\sigma k^{1/2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

By (35) and the central limit theorem for NA random variables (4), that is,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P\left(\frac{S_n}{\sigma_n} < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| = o(1), \quad (47)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(-x\sigma k^{1/2} \leq S_k < 0) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(-x\sigma_k \leq S_k < 0) = \Phi(0) - \Phi(-x), \\ & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(0 \leq S_k < x\sigma k^{1/2}) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P(0 \leq S_k < x\sigma_k) = \Phi(x) - \Phi(0). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Applying the almost sure central limit theorem for NA random variables (5), that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} I\{S_k \leq x\sigma k^{1/2}\} = \Phi(x) \quad \text{a.s. } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (49)$$

Lemma 8, and (48), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{I\{S_k < -x\sigma k^{1/2}\}}{k P(-x\sigma k^{1/2} \leq S_k < 0)} \\ &= \frac{\Phi(-x)}{\Phi(0) - \Phi(-x)} \quad \text{a.s.}, \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{I\{S_k > x\sigma k^{1/2}\}}{k P(0 \leq S_k < x\sigma k^{1/2})} \\ &= \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since \tilde{a}_k and \tilde{b}_k satisfy (36), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_k}{k} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (51)$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_k = \begin{cases} \frac{I\{\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k\}}{\tilde{p}_k}, & \text{if } \tilde{p}_k \neq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \tilde{p}_k = 0. \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

Equations (46) and (50)–(51) together imply that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \leq 1 + 2 \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)} \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (53)$$

On the other hand, if $\tilde{p}_k \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p_k} I\{a_k \leq S_k < b_k\} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\tilde{p}_k} I\{\tilde{a}_k \leq S_k < \tilde{b}_k\} \left(1 - \frac{p_k - \tilde{p}_k}{p_k}\right) \\ & \geq \tilde{\alpha}_k \left(1 - \frac{P(S_k < -x\sigma k^{1/2}) + P(S_k > x\sigma k^{1/2})}{\min\{P(0 \leq S_k < x\sigma k^{1/2}), P(-x\sigma k^{1/2} \leq S_k < 0)\}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

and by the central limit theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(S_k < -\sigma x k^{1/2}) + P(S_k > \sigma x k^{1/2})}{\min \{P(0 \leq S_k < \sigma x k^{1/2}), P(-\sigma x k^{1/2} \leq S_k < 0)\}} \\ &= 1 - 2 \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Applying Lemma 8, (51) and (54) imply that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \geq 1 - 2 \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)} \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (56)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)} &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \\ &\geq 1 - 2 \frac{1 - \Phi(x)}{\Phi(x) - \Phi(0)} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

By the arbitrariness of x , let $x \rightarrow \infty$ in (57); we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} \geq 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (59)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let $k < l - l^\alpha$, $1 \leq k < l$, and $\varepsilon_l = l^{3\alpha/2}$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l p_k} (P(a_l - b_k \leq S_l - S_{k+l^\alpha} + S_{k+l^\alpha} - S_k \\ &\quad < b_l - a_k, a_k \leq S_k < b_k) - p_l p_k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l p_k} (P(a_l - b_k \leq S_l - S_{k+l^\alpha} + S_{k+l^\alpha} - S_k \\ &\quad < b_l - a_k) P(a_k \leq S_k < b_k) - p_l p_k) \quad (60) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l} (P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l < S_l - S_{k+l^\alpha} < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_l) \\ &\quad - p_l + P(|S_{k+l^\alpha} - S_k| \geq \varepsilon_l)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p_l} (P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l < S_{l-k-l^\alpha} < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_l) \\ &\quad - p_l + P(|S_{l^\alpha}| \geq \varepsilon_l)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 9, (33), and (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & P(a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l < S_{l-k-l^\alpha} < b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_l) - p_l \\ &\leq \left(\Phi\left(\frac{b_l - a_k + \varepsilon_l}{(l-k-l^\alpha)^{1/2}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{b_l}{l^{1/2}}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\Phi\left(\frac{a_l}{l^{1/2}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l}{(l-k-l^\alpha)^{1/2}}\right) \right) \\ &\quad + c \left(\frac{1}{l^{1/5}} + \frac{1}{(l-k-l^\alpha)^{1/5}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Hence Lemma 11, (60), and (61) imply that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l-l^\alpha}} \frac{\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l)}{kl} = O(\log n). \quad (62)$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k > l-l^\alpha}} \frac{\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l)}{kl} = O(\log n), \quad (63)$$

because $l - l^\alpha < k < l$, $l^\gamma - (l - l^\alpha)^\gamma \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ for $\gamma < 1$, $\alpha < 1$.

But $\text{Var}(\alpha_k) = 0$ if $p_k = 0$ and

$$\text{Var}(\alpha_k) = \frac{1 - p_k}{p_k} \leq \frac{1}{p_k} \quad \text{if } p_k \neq 0. \quad (64)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\text{Var}(\alpha_k)}{k^2} \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ p_k \neq 0}} \frac{1}{k^2 p_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{5/2-\alpha}} = O(\log n). \quad (65)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\text{Var}(\alpha_k)}{k^2} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k > l-l^\alpha}} \frac{\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l)}{kl} + 2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l-l^\alpha}} \frac{\text{Cov}(\alpha_k, \alpha_l)}{kl}, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

thus (62)–(66) imply that

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k}\right) = O(\log n), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (67)$$

Hence applying Remark 7, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k}{k} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (68)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 6. Let $\mu_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k \xi_k$, and then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\mu_n}{D_n} - \frac{E\mu_n}{D_n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(\mu_n/D_n)}{\varepsilon^2} \leq c(\log D_n)^{-\beta}. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let $r < 1$, $r\beta > 1$, and $n_k = \inf\{n_k, D_{n_k} \geq \exp(k^r)\}$, and then $D_{n_k} \geq \exp(k^r)$, $D_{n_{k-1}} < \exp(k^r)$, for $D_n \sim D_{n-1}$; we get

$$1 \leq \frac{D_{n_k}}{\exp(k^r)} \sim \frac{D_{n_{k-1}}}{\exp(k^r)} < 1 \longrightarrow 1, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

that is,

$$D_{n_k} \sim \exp(k^r), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_{n_k}}{D_{n_{k-1}}} &\sim \frac{\exp(k^r)}{\exp((k-1)^r)} \\ &= \exp\left(k^r \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^r\right]\right) \sim \exp\left(k^r \cdot r \cdot \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(r \cdot k^{r-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

On account of $r < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_{n_k}}{D_{n_{k-1}}} &\sim \exp\left(r \cdot k^{r-1}\right) \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\frac{\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} - \frac{E\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\log D_n)^{-\beta}} \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{r\beta}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\frac{\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} - \frac{E\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Since

$$\frac{E\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n_k} d_k}{D_{n_k}} = 1, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

thus

$$\frac{\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Now for $n_{k-1} \leq n < n_k$, for $D_n \uparrow \infty$, $D_{n_k}/D_{n_{k-1}} \rightarrow 1$, and by $\xi_k \geq 0$, then $\mu_n \uparrow$, and we have

$$1 \leftarrow \frac{D_{n_{k-1}}}{D_{n_k}} \frac{\mu_{n_{k-1}}}{D_{n_{k-1}}} \leq \frac{\mu_n}{D_n} \leq \frac{\mu_{n_k}}{D_{n_k}} \frac{D_{n_k}}{D_{n_{k-1}}} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

hence

$$\frac{\mu_n}{D_n} \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6. \square

Proof of Lemma 10. Applying Lemma 9, (33), and noting the conditions of (19) and $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= P(a_k \leq S_k \leq b_k) \\ &\leq \left(\Phi\left(\frac{b_k}{k^{1/2}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a_k}{k^{1/2}}\right)\right) + c \frac{1}{k^{1/5}} \\ &\leq c \left(\frac{k^{1/2-\alpha}}{k^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{k^{1/5}}\right) \leq c_2' k^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Applying Lemma 9, (34), and noting the conditions of (19) and $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= P(a_k \leq S_k \leq b_k) \\ &\geq \left(\Phi\left(\frac{b_k}{k^{1/2}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{a_k}{k^{1/2}}\right)\right) - c \frac{1}{k^{1/5}} \\ &\geq c \left(\frac{k^{1/2-\alpha}}{k^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{k^{1/5}}\right) \geq c_1' k^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Thus Lemma 10 immediately follows from (A.11) and (A.12). \square

Proof of Lemma 11. By Lemma 10, Chebyshev's inequality, and noting the condition of $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} P(|S_{l^\alpha}| \geq \varepsilon_l) \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \frac{\text{Var}(S_{l^\alpha})}{l^{3\alpha}} \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{1+\alpha}} \sum_{k=1}^{l-l^\alpha} \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\log(l-l^\alpha)}{l^{1+\alpha}} = O(\log n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

It proves (29). By Lemma 10 and $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{kl p_l} \frac{1}{(l-k-l^\alpha)^{1/5}} \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k < (l-l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k(l-l^\alpha-k)^{1/5}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{(l-l^\alpha)/2 < k < l-l^\alpha} \frac{1}{k(l-l^\alpha-k)^{1/5}} \right) \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{(l-l^\alpha)^{1/5}} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l-l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{l-l^\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l-l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k^{1/5}} \right) \\ &\leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\log l}{l^{1-\alpha+1/5}} \leq c \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{l} = O(\log n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

It proves (30). Applying Lemma 9, (33), (35), $\varepsilon_l = l^{3\alpha/2}$, and noting the condition of $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{klp_l} \left| \Phi \left(\frac{a_l - b_k - \varepsilon_l}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) - \Phi \left(\frac{a_l}{l^{1/2}} \right) \right| \\
& \leq c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{-a_l}{klp_l} \left(\frac{1}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} \right) \\
& \quad + c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{klp_l} \frac{b_k}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \\
& \quad + c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{klp_l} \frac{\varepsilon_l}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} := \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Now applying the same procedure as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 & \leq c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{-a_l}{klp_l} \left(\frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha}(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} + \frac{k}{l(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l^{3/2-\alpha}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{l - l^\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \right) \\
& \quad + c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l^{3/2}} \sum_{1 \leq k < l - l^\alpha} \frac{1}{(l - k - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{\log l}{l^{2-\alpha}} \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l} = O(\log n), \\
\Sigma_2 & \leq c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha} k^{1/2+\alpha} (l - l^\alpha - k)^{1/2}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k^{1/2+\alpha}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2+\alpha}} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l^{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} l^{1/2-\alpha} + \frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2+\alpha}} (l - l^\alpha)^{1/2} \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l} = O(\log n).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Noting that $0 < \alpha < 1/7$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_3 & \leq c \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq n \\ k < l - l^\alpha}} \frac{1}{l^{1-(7/2)\alpha} k^{1/2} (l - l^\alpha - k)^{1/2}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l^{1-(7/2)\alpha}} \\
& \quad \times \left(\frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)^{1/2}} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{(l - l^\alpha)} \sum_{1 \leq k < (l - l^\alpha)/2} \frac{1}{k^{1/2}} \right) \\
& \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{\log l}{l^{3/2-(7/2)\alpha}} \leq c \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{l} = O(\log n).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

It proves (31). The proof of (32) is similar to the proof of (31). This completes the proof of Lemma 11. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the academic editor, professor Ying Hu, and the two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions, which significantly contributed to improving the quality of this paper. This work is jointly supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11061012, 71271210), Project Supported by Program to Sponsor Teams for Innovation in the Construction of Talent Highlands in Guangxi Institutions of Higher Learning ((2011)47), the Guangxi China Science Foundation (2013GXNSFDA019001).

References

- [1] K. Joag-Dev and F. Proschan, "Negative association of random variables, with applications," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 286–295, 1983.
- [2] Q. M. Shao, "A comparison theorem on moment inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables," *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 343–356, 2000.
- [3] Q. Y. Wu and Y. Y. Jiang, "A law of the iterated logarithm of partial sums for NA random variables," *Journal of the Korean Statistical Society*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 199–206, 2010.
- [4] C. M. Newman, "Asymptotic independence and limit theorems for positively and negatively dependent random variables," in *Inequalities in Statistics and Probability*, vol. 5 of *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series*, pp. 127–140, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, Calif, USA, 1984.
- [5] P. Matuła, "Some limit theorems for negatively dependent random variables," *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 163–173, 1994.
- [6] P. Matuła, "On almost sure limit theorems for positively dependent random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 59–66, 2005.
- [7] Q. Y. Wu, "An almost sure central limit theorem for the weight function sequences of NA random variables," *Proceedings—Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 121, no. 3, pp. 369–377, 2011.
- [8] G. A. Brosamler, "An almost everywhere central limit theorem," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 104, no. 3, pp. 561–574, 1988.

- [9] P. Schatte, "On strong versions of the central limit theorem," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 137, pp. 249–256, 1988.
- [10] M. Peligrad and Q. M. Shao, "A note on the almost sure central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables," *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 131–136, 1995.
- [11] P. Matuła, "On the almost sure central limit theorem for associated random variables," *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 411–416, 1998.
- [12] E. Csáki, A. Földes, and P. Révész, "On almost sure local and global central limit theorems," *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 321–337, 1993.
- [13] G. Hurelbaatar, "An almost sure local and global central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables," *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis de Rolando Eötvös Nominatae, Sectio Mathematica*, vol. 38, pp. 109–126, 1995.
- [14] Z. C. Weng, Z. C. Peng, and S. Nadarajah, "The almost sure local central limit theorem for the product of partial sums," *Proceedings—Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 121, no. 2, pp. 217–228, 2011.
- [15] I. Berkes and E. Csáki, "A universal result in almost sure central limit theory," *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 105–134, 2001.
- [16] A. Földes, "Some of my favorite results with Endre Csáki and Pál Révész: a survey," *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 50, no. 1-2, pp. 117–134, 2005.
- [17] J. M. Pan, "On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for negatively associated sequences," *Chinese Journal of Applied Probability and Statistics*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 183–192, 1997.