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By using a new hybrid method, a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of Bregman strongly nonexpansive mappings in a reflexive Banach space is proved.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}$the set of all real numbers and all nonnegative real numbers, respectively. We also assume that $E$ is a real reflexive Banach space, $E^{*}$ is the dual space of $E, C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the pairing between $E$ and $E^{*}$. Let $\Theta$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The equilibrium problem is to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in C \text { such that } \Theta\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of such solutions $x^{*}$ is denoted by $\operatorname{EP}(\Theta)$.
Recall that a mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of $T$.
Numerous problems in physics, optimization, and economics reduce to find a solution of the equilibrium problem. Some methods have been proposed to solve the equilibrium problem in a Hilbert spaces; see, for instance, Blum and Oettli [1], Combettes and Hirstoaga [2], and Moudafi [3]. Recently, Tada and Takahashi [4, 5] and S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi [6] obtained weak and strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of
a nonexpansive mapping in a Hilbert space. In particular, Tada and Takahashi [4] established a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of two sets by using the hybrid method introduced by Nakajo and Takahashi [7]. The authors also proved such a strong convergence theorem in a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space.

In this paper, motivated by Takahashi et al. [8], we prove a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping in a real reflexive Banach space by using the shrinking projection method. Using this theorem, we obtain two new strong convergence results for finding a solution of an equilibrium problem and a fixed point of Bregman strongly nonexpansive mappings in a real reflexive Banach space.

## 2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

In the sequel, we begin by recalling some preliminaries and lemmas which will be used in the proof.

Let $E$ be a real reflexive Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and $E^{*}$ the dual space of $E$. Throughout this paper, $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is a proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex function. We denote by $\operatorname{dom} f$ the domain of $f$, that is, the set $\{x \in E: f(x)<+\infty\}$.

Let $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$. The subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ is the convex set defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial f(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\right. & f(x)+\left\langle x^{*}, y-x\right\rangle \\
\leq & f(y), \forall y \in E\}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Fenchel conjugate of $f$ is the function $f^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow$ $(-\infty,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)=\sup \left\{\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle-f(x): x \in E\right\} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that the Young-Fenchel inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle \leq f(x)+f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right), \quad \forall x \in E, x^{*} \in E^{*} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f$ on $E$ is coercive [9] if the sublevel set of $f$ is bounded; equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty} f(x)=+\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f$ on $E$ is said to be strongly coercive [10] if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x)}{\|x\|}=+\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ and $y \in E$, the right-hand derivative of $f$ at $x$ in the direction $y$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\circ}(x, y):=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x+t y)-f(x)}{t} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable at $x$ if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}((f(x+t y)-f(x)) / t)$ exists for any $y$. In this case, $f^{\circ}(x, y)$ coincides with $\nabla f(x)$, the value of the gradient $\nabla f$ of $f$ at $x$. The function $f$ is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if it is Gâteaux differentiable for any $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$. The function $f$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at $x$ if this limit is attained uniformly in $\|y\|=1$. Finally, $f$ is said to be uniformly Fréchet differentiable on a subset $C$ of $E$ if the limit is attained uniformly for $x \in C$ and $\|y\|=1$. It is known that if $f$ is Gâteaux differentiable (resp., Fréchet differentiable) on int dom $f$, then $f$ is continuous and its Gâteaux derivative $\nabla f$ is norm-to-weak ${ }^{*}$ continuous (resp., continuous) on $\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ (see also [11, 12]). We will need the following result.

Lemma 1 (see [13]). If $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of $E$, then $\nabla f$ is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$ from the strong topology of $E$ to the strong topology of $E^{*}$.

Definition 2 (see [14]). The function $f$ is said to be
(i) essentially smooth, if $\partial f$ is both locally bounded and single valued on its domain,
(ii) essentially strictly convex, if $(\partial f)^{-1}$ is locally bounded on its domain and $f$ is strictly convex on every convex subset of dom $\partial f$,
(iii) Legendre if it is both essentially smooth and essentially strictly convex.

Remark 3. Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space. Then we have the following.
(i) $f$ is essentially smooth if and only if $f^{*}$ is essentially strictly convex (see [14, Theorem 5.4]).
(ii) $(\partial f)^{-1}=\partial f^{*}($ see $[12])$.
(iii) $f$ is Legendre if and only if $f^{*}$ is Legendre (see [14, Corollary 5.5]).
(iv) If $f$ is Legendre, then $\nabla f$ is a bijection satisfying $\nabla f=\left(\nabla f^{*}\right)^{-1}, \operatorname{ran} \nabla f=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f^{*}$, and $\operatorname{ran} \nabla f^{*}=\operatorname{dom} \nabla f=\operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ (see [14, Theorem 5.10]).

Examples of Legendre functions were given in [14, 15]. One important and interesting Legendre function is $(1 / p)\|\cdot\|^{p}(1<p<\infty)$ when $E$ is a smooth and strictly convex Banach space. In this case, the gradient $\nabla f$ of $f$ is coincident with the generalized duality mapping of $E$; that is, $\nabla f=J_{p}(1<p<\infty)$. In particular, $\nabla f=I$ the identity mapping in Hilbert spaces. In the rest of this paper, we always assume that $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is Legendre.

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a convex and Gâteaux differentiable function. The function $D_{f}: \operatorname{dom} f \times \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}(y, x):=f(y)-f(x)-\langle\nabla f(x), y-x\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Bregman distance with respect to $f$ [16].
Recall that the Bregman projection [17] of $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ onto the nonempty closed and convex set $C \subset \operatorname{dom} f$ is the necessarily unique vector $P_{C}^{f}(x) \in C$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(P_{C}^{f}(x), x\right)=\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in C\right\} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning the Bregman projection, the following are well known.

Lemma 4 (see [18]). Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E. Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function and let $x \in$ E. Then
(a) $z=P_{C}^{f}(x)$ if and only if $\langle\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(z), y-z\rangle \leq 0$, for all $y \in C$.
(b)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{f}\left(y, P_{C}^{f}(x)\right)+D_{f}\left(P_{C}^{f}(x), x\right) \leq D_{f}(y, x)  \tag{11}\\
\forall x \in E, y \in C
\end{array}
$$

Let $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a convex and Gâteaux differentiable function. The modulus of total convexity of $f$ at $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ is the function $v_{f}(x, \cdot):[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{f}(x, t):=\inf \left\{D_{f}(y, x): y \in \operatorname{dom} f,\|y-x\|=t\right\} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f$ is called totally convex at $x$ if $v_{f}(x, t)>0$ whenever $t>0$. The function $f$ is called totally convex if
it is totally convex at any point $x \in \operatorname{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ and is said to be totally convex on bounded sets if $v_{f}(B, t)>0$ for any nonempty bounded subset $B$ of $E$ and $t>0$, where the modulus of total convexity of the function $f$ on the set $B$ is the function $v_{f}$ : int dom $f \times[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{f}(B, t):=\inf \left\{v_{f}(x, t): x \in B \cap \operatorname{dom} f\right\} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma will be useful in the proof of our main results.

Lemma 5 (see [19]). If $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then the following statements are equivalent.
(i) The function $f$ is totally convex at $x$.
(ii) For any sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset \operatorname{dom} f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} D_{f}\left(y_{n}, x\right)=0 \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|y_{n}-x\right\|=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the function $f$ is called sequentially consistent [18] if, for any two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that the first one is bounded,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} D_{f}\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right)=0 \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6 (see [20]). The function $f$ is totally convex on bounded sets if and only if the function $f$ is sequentially consistent.

Lemma 7 (see [21]). Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function. If $x_{0} \in E$ and the sequence $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded too.

Lemma 8 (see [21]). Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function, $x_{0} \in E$, and let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of $E$. Suppose that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and any weak subsequential limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ belongs to C. If $D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(P_{C}^{f} x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{C}^{f} x_{0}$.

Let $C$ be a convex subset of int $\operatorname{dom} f$ and let $T$ be a selfmapping of $C$. A point $p \in C$ is called an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ (see $[22,23]$ ) if $C$ contains a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $p$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$. We denote by $\widehat{F}(T)$ the set of asymptotic fixed points of $T$.

Definition 9. A mapping $T$ with a nonempty asymptotic fixed point set $\widehat{F}(T)$ is said to be
(i) Bregman strongly nonexpansive (see $[24,25]$ ) with respect to $\widehat{F}(T)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}(p, T x) \leq D_{f}(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, p \in \widehat{F}(T) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if, whenever $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ is bounded, $p \in \widehat{F}(T)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(D_{f}\left(p, x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(p, T x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Bregman firmly nonexpansive [26] if, for all $x, y \in C$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\nabla f(T x)-\nabla f(T y), T x-T y\rangle  \tag{19}\\
& \quad \leq\langle\nabla f(x)-\nabla f(y), T x-T y\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{f}(T x, T y)+D_{f}(T y, T x)+D_{f}(T x, x)+D_{f}(T y, y) \\
& \quad \leq D_{f}(T x, y)+D_{f}(T y, x) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

The existence and approximation of Bregman firmly nonexpansive mappings were studied in [26]. It is also known that if $T$ is Bregman firmly nonexpansive and $f$ is Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$, then $F(T)=$ $\widehat{F}(T)$ and $F(T)$ is closed and convex (see [26]). It also follows that every Bregman firmly nonexpansive mapping is Bregman strongly nonexpansive with respect to $F(T)=\widehat{F}(T)$.

Lemma 10 (see [27]). Let E be a real reflexive Banach space and $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ a proper lower semicontinuous function; then $f^{*}: E^{*} \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is a proper weak ${ }^{*}$ lower semicontinuous and convex function. Thus, for all $z \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(z, \nabla f^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} \nabla f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i} D_{f}\left(z, x_{i}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve the equilibrium problem, let us assume that a bifunction $\Theta: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions [28]:
$\left(A_{1}\right) \Theta(x, x)=0$, for all $x \in C$.
$\left(A_{2}\right) \Theta$ is monotone; that is, $\Theta(x, y)+\Theta(y, x) \leq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$.
$\left(A_{3}\right) \lim \sup _{t \downarrow 0} \Theta(x+t(z-x), y) \leq \Theta(x, y)$ for all $x, z, y \in$ C.
$\left(A_{4}\right)$ The function $y \mapsto \Theta(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

The resolvent of a bifunction $\Theta$ [29] is the operator $\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}$ : $E \rightarrow 2^{C}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}(x)=\{z \in C: \Theta(z, y)+\langle\nabla f(z)-\nabla f(x), y-z\rangle \\
\geq 0, \forall y \in C\} \tag{22}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Lemma 1 in [24], if $f: E \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty$ ] is a strongly coercive and Gâteaux differentiable function and $\Theta$ satisfies conditions $\left(A_{1}-A_{4}\right)$, then $\operatorname{dom}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}\right)=E$. We also know the following lemma which gives us some characterizations of the resolvent $\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}$.

Lemma 11 (see [24]). Let E be a real reflexive Banach space and $C$ a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f: E \rightarrow$ $(-\infty,+\infty]$ be a Legendre function. If the bifunction $\Theta: C \times$ $C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$, then the followings hold:
(i) $\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}$ is single-valued;
(ii) $\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}$ is a Bregman firmly nonexpansive operator;
(iii) $F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}\right)=E P(\Theta)$;
(iv) $E P(\Theta)$ is a closed and convex subset of C;
(v) for all $x \in E$ and for all $q \in F\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(q, \operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}(x)\right)+D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{\Theta}^{f}(x), x\right) \leq D_{f}(q, x) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Strong Convergence Theorem

In this section, we proved a strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and a fixed point of Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping in a real reflexive Banach space by using the shrinking projection method.

Theorem 12. Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space $E$ and $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of E. Let $g$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ and let $T$ be a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself such that $F(T)=\widehat{F}(T)$ and $G=F(T) \cap E P(g) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in C, C_{0}=C$ and

$$
y_{n}=\nabla f^{*}\left(\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(T x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

$u_{n} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right), y-u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \\
& \forall y \in C,  \tag{24}\\
& C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
& x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f} x$, where $P_{F(T) \cap E P(g)}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $F(T) \cap E P(g)$.

Proof. We divide the proof of Theorem 12 into five steps.
(I) We first prove that $G$ and $C_{n}$ both are closed and convex subset of $C$ for all $n \geq 0$. In fact, it follows from Lemma 11 and by Reich and Sabach [26] that $\mathrm{EP}(g)$ and $F(T)$ both are closed and convex. Therefore, $G$ is a closed and convex subset in $C$. Furthermore, it is obvious that $C_{0}=C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that $C_{n}$ is closed and convex
for some $n \geq 1$. Since the inequality $D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), z-x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right), z-u_{n}\right\rangle \leq f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}\right. & :\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), z-x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right), z-u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \left.\leq f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $C_{n+1}$ is closed and convex. The desired conclusions are proved. These in turn show that $P_{F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g)}^{f}{ }^{x}$ and $P_{C_{n}}^{f} x$ are well defined.
(II) ${ }^{n}$ we prove that $G:=F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g) \subset C_{n}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Indeed, it is obvious that $G=F(T) \cap \mathrm{EP}(g) \subset C_{0}=C$. Suppose that $G \subset C_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u \in G \subset C_{n}$; since $u_{n}=\operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f}\left(y_{n}\right)$, by Lemma 11 and (21), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{f}\left(u, u_{n}\right) & =D_{f}\left(u, \operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f} y_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(u, y_{n}\right) \\
& =D_{f}\left(u, \nabla f^{*}\left(\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(T x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) D_{f}\left(u, T x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right) \\
& =D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have $u \in C_{n+1}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g) \subset C_{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is well defined.
(III) We prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence in $C$.

By the definition of $C_{n}$, we have $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}}^{f} x$ for all $n \geq 0$. It follows from Lemma 4(b) that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x\right) & =D_{f}\left(P_{C_{n}}^{f} x, x\right) \leq D_{f}(u, x)-D_{f}\left(u, P_{C_{n}}^{f} x\right)  \tag{29}\\
& \leq D_{f}(u, x), \quad \forall n \geq 0, u \in G .
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x\right)\right\}$ is bounded. By Lemma $7,\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of $E$, by Lemma $1 \nabla f$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on bounded subsets of $E$. This implies that $\left\{\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded.
(IV) Now we proved that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$.

From $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$ and $x_{n}=P_{C_{n}}^{f} x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x\right)\right\}$ is nondecreasing. So, the limit of $\left\{D_{f}\left(x_{n}\right.\right.$, $x)\}$ exists. Since $D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, P_{C_{n}}^{f} x\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}\right.$, $x)-D_{f}\left(P_{C_{n}}^{f} x, x\right)=D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x\right)-D_{f}\left(x_{n}, x\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$, we
have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0$. From $x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x \in C_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $f$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\nabla f$ is uniformly continuous. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of $E$, then $f$ is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$ (see [30, Theorem 1.8]). It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(u_{n}\right)\right|=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the Bregman distance, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, u_{n}\right) \\
&= {\left[f(u)-f\left(x_{n}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), u-x_{n}\right\rangle\right] } \\
&-\left[f(u)-f\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right), u-u_{n}\right\rangle\right]  \tag{37}\\
&=\left(f\left(u_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), u-u_{n}\right\rangle \\
&+\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}-u_{n}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for any $u \in G$.
It follows from (34)-(37) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, u_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $u_{n}=\operatorname{Res}{ }_{g}^{f} y_{n}$ and Lemma 11(v), for any $u \in G$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{f}\left(u_{n}, y_{n}\right) & =D_{f}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f} y_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq D_{f}\left(u, y_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, \operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f} y_{n}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& \leq D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, \operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f} y_{n}\right) \\
& =D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, u_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

So, we have from (38) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{f}\left(u_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from (34) and (41), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Since $f$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of $E$, then $f$ is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$ (see [30]). It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)\right|=0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the Bregman distance, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{f} & \left(u, y_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right) \\
= & {\left[f(u)-f\left(y_{n}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right), u-y_{n}\right\rangle\right] } \\
& -\left[f(u)-f\left(x_{n}\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), u-x_{n}\right\rangle\right]  \tag{45}\\
= & \left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)\right)-\left\langle\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), u-y_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), y_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

for any $u \in G$.
It follows from (42) to (45) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(D_{f}\left(u, y_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $u \in G$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{f} & \left(u, y_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right) \\
= & D_{f}\left(u, \nabla f^{*}\left(\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(T x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& -D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)  \tag{47}\\
\leq & \alpha_{n} D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) D_{f}\left(u, T x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(D_{f}\left(u, T x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

This together with (46), (16), and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}<1$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(D_{f}\left(u, T x_{n}\right)-D_{f}\left(u, x_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T$ is Bregman strongly nonexpansive, it follows from (48) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

(V) Next, we prove that every weak subsequential limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ belongs to $G=F(T) \cap \mathrm{EP}(g)$.

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$. Since $T$ is a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping with $F(T)=\widehat{F}(T)$, we have $x^{*} \in F(T)$.

From $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ and (34), we have $u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$.
By $u_{n}=\operatorname{Res}_{g}^{f} y_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n}\right), y-u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $n$ by $n_{k}$, we have from $\left(A_{2}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)-\nabla f\left(y_{n_{k}}\right), y-u_{n_{k}}\right\rangle \geq-g\left(u_{n_{k}}, y\right) \geq g\left(y, u_{n_{k}}\right), \\
\forall y \in C . \tag{51}
\end{array}
$$

Since $g(x, \cdot)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous, it is also weakly lower semicontinuous. So, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have from (35), (43), and ( $A_{4}$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(y, x^{*}\right) \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$, letting $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) x^{*}$, there are $y_{t} \in C$ and $g\left(y_{t}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$. By condition $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=g\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \leq \operatorname{tg}\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) g\left(y_{t}, x^{*}\right) \leq \operatorname{tg}\left(y_{t}, y\right) . \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing both sides of the above equation by $t$, we have $g\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$. Letting $t \downarrow 0$, from condition $\left(A_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $x^{*} \in \mathrm{EP}(g)$.
(VI) Now, we prove $x_{n} \rightarrow P_{F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g)}^{f} x$.

Let $w=P_{F(T) \cap \mathrm{EP}(g)}^{f} x$. From $w \in F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g) \subset C_{n+1}$, we have $D_{f}\left(x_{n+1}, x\right) \leq D_{f}(w, x)$. Therefore, Lemma 8 implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $w=P_{F(T) \cap \operatorname{EP}(g)}^{f} x$, as claimed. This completes the proof of Theorem 12.

Corollary 13. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space $E$ and $f: E \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. Let $g$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in$ $C, C_{0}=C$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
u_{n} \in C \text { such that } \\
g\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle\nabla f\left(u_{n}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{n}\right), y-u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \\
\forall y \in C,
\end{array} \\
& C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
& x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{E P(g)}^{f} x$, where $P_{E P(g)}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $E P(g)$.

Proof. Putting $T=I$ in Theorem 12, we obtain Corollary 13.

Corollary 14. Let $C$ be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space $E$ and $f: E \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable, and totally convex on bounded subsets of $E$. let T be a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping from $C$ into itself such that $F(T)=\widehat{F}(T)$ and $G=F(T) \cap$ $E P(g) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by $x_{0}=x \in$ $C, C_{0}=C$, and

$$
u_{n}=P_{C}^{f} \nabla f^{*}\left(\alpha_{n} \nabla f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \nabla f\left(T x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n+1} & =\left\{z \in C_{n}: D_{f}\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq D_{f}\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\},  \tag{56}\\
x_{n+1} & =P_{C_{n+1}}^{f} x
\end{align*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}^{f} x$, where $P_{F(T)}^{f}$ is the Bregman projection of $E$ onto $F(T)$.

Proof. Putting $g(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in C$ in Theorem 12, we obtain Corollary 14.
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