

MODEL THEORY AND THE QWEP CONJECTURE

ISAAC GOLDBRING

ABSTRACT. We observe that Kirchberg’s QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the statement that $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is elementarily equivalent to a QWEP C^* algebra. We also make a few other model-theoretic remarks about WEP and LLP C^* algebras.

For the sake of simplicity, all C^* algebras in this note are assumed to be unital.

Suppose that B is a C^* algebra and A is a subalgebra. We say that A is *relatively weakly injective* in B if there is a u.c.p. map $\phi : B \rightarrow A^{**}$ such that $\phi|_B = \text{id}_A$; such a map is referred to as a *weak conditional expectation*. (We view A as canonically embedded in its double dual.) A C^* algebra A is said to have the *weak expectation property* (or be WEP) if it is relatively weakly injective in every extension and A is said to be *QWEP* if it is the quotient of a WEP algebra.

Kirchberg’s QWEP conjecture states that every separable C^* algebra is QWEP. In the seminal paper [10], Kirchberg proved that the QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the Connes Embedding Problem (CEP), namely that every finite von Neumann algebra embeds into an ultrapower of the hyperfinite II_1 factor.

If \mathbb{F} is the free group on countably many generators, then using the fact that $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is surjectively universal, we see that the QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the statement that $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is QWEP. The main point of this note is to point to an a priori weaker equivalent statement of the QWEP conjecture:

THEOREM 1. *The QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the statement that $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is elementarily equivalent to a QWEP C^* algebra.*

Received January 6, 2016; received in final form March 17, 2016.
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 03C98.

Here, two C^* algebras A and B are *elementarily equivalent* if they have the same first-order theories in the sense of model theory. (Here, we work in the signature for *unital* C^* algebras.)

The next lemma is probably well known to the experts but since we could not locate it in the literature we include a proof here.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let A be a C^* algebra and ω a nonprincipal ultrafilter on some (possibly uncountable) index set.*

- (1) *Suppose that A is a subalgebra of B and that B admits a u.c.p. map into A^ω that restricts to the diagonal embedding of A . Then A is relatively weakly injective in B .*
- (2) *A is relatively weakly injective in A^ω .*

Proof. Part (1) is almost identical to the easy direction of [10, Corollary 3.2(ii)] except there he works with the corona algebra instead of the ultrapower. In any event, the proof is easy so we give it here: Suppose that $\phi: B \rightarrow A^\omega$ is a u.c.p. map restricting to the diagonal embedding of A . Let $\theta: A^\omega \rightarrow A^{**}$ be the u.c.p. ultralimit map, that is $\theta((a_n)^\bullet) := \lim_\omega a_n$ (ultra-weak limit). Then the desired weak expectation $\psi: B \rightarrow A^{**}$ is given by $\psi := \theta \circ \phi$. (2) follows immediately from (1) by taking a nonprincipal ultrafilter ω' on a big enough index set so as to allow for an embedding A^ω into $A^{\omega'}$ that restricts to the diagonal embedding of A into $A^{\omega'}$. \square

Theorem 1 follows immediately from the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3. *The set of C^* algebras with QWEP forms an axiomatizable class.*

Proof. We use the semantic test for axiomatizability, namely we show that the class of QWEP algebras is closed under isomorphism, ultraproduct, and ultraroot. (See [1, Proposition 5.14].) Clearly the class of QWEP algebras is preserved under isomorphism. To see that it is closed under ultraproducts, it suffices to note that it is closed under products [10, Corollary 3.3(i)] and (obviously) closed under quotients. To see that it is closed under ultraroots, we use the fact that A is relatively weakly injective in its ultrapower (Proposition 2(2)) together with the fact that QWEP passes to relatively weakly injective unital subalgebras [10, Corollary 3.3(iii)]. \square

REMARK 4. Proposition 3 is false with QWEP replaced by WEP: in [7] the authors show that the ultrapower of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ does not have WEP.

REMARK 5. Inductive limits of QWEP algebras are again QWEP (see [2, Lemma 13.3.6]), so the class of QWEP algebras is $\forall\exists$ -axiomatizable.

There is one other model-theoretic way to settle the QWEP conjecture; we refer the reader to [6] for the definition of existential embeddings.

PROPOSITION 6. *The QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the statement that there is a QWEP C^* algebra A containing $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ as a subalgebra such that the inclusion is an existential embedding of operator systems.*

Proof. Suppose that A is as in the conclusion of the proposition. Then there is a u.c.p. embedding $A \hookrightarrow C^*(\mathbb{F})^\omega$ whose restriction to $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is the diagonal embedding. It follows that $C^*(\mathbb{F})$ is relatively weakly injective in A , whence it is itself QWEP by the aforementioned result of Kirchberg. \square

The previous proposition appeared as [6, Corollary 2.24] but with QWEP replaced by WEP.

In [3], the authors ask whether or not every C^* algebra is elementarily equivalent to a nuclear C^* algebra. It seems that the authors there were unaware of the fact that if their question had a positive answer, then the QWEP conjecture (and hence CEP) would also be settled. Nevertheless, in the forthcoming manuscript [5], the authors settle this question in the negative by showing that neither $C_r^*(\mathbb{F})$ nor $\prod_\omega M_n$ have nuclear models.

A question of Kirchberg, first appearing in print in [11], asks something seemingly more modest than the QWEP conjecture: is there an example of a non-nuclear C^* algebra that has both WEP and the *local lifting property* (LLP)? Indeed, Kirchberg showed that the QWEP conjecture is equivalent to the statement that the LLP implies WEP. Let us call the statement that there exists a non-nuclear C^* algebra that has both WEP and LLP the *weak QWEP conjecture*.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let A be either $C_r^*(\mathbb{F})$ or $\prod_\omega M_n$. If A is elementarily equivalent to a C^* algebra B with LLP, then B yields a positive solution to the weak QWEP conjecture.*

Proof. Since A is QWEP (for the case of $C_r^*(\mathbb{F})$, see [2, Proposition 3.3.8]), we have that B is also QWEP by Proposition 3; since B has LLP, we see that B also has WEP [10, Corollary 2.6(ii)]. B is not nuclear from the aforementioned result in [5]. \square

We end this note with something only tangentially related. First, a preparatory result.

PROPOSITION 8. *Suppose that A is a nonseparable C^* algebra with a cofinal collection of separable subalgebras that have WEP. Then A has WEP.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \subseteq B$; we must show that A is relatively weakly injective in B . To see this, for each separable $C \subseteq A$ with WEP, there is a weak conditional expectation $\phi_C : B \rightarrow C^{**} \subseteq A^{**}$. By taking a pointwise-ultraweak limit of ϕ_C as C ranges over a cofinal family of separable subalgebras with WEP, we get a witness to the fact that A is relatively weakly injective in B . \square

The following first appeared as Theorem 3.1 of [4].

COROLLARY 9. *The theory of unital C^* algebras does not have a model companion, meaning that the class of existentially closed unital C^* algebras is not axiomatizable.*

Proof. Suppose that T is the model companion of the theory of C^* algebras, so the models of T are precisely the existentially closed C^* algebras. By [6, Corollary 2.4], all models of T have WEP. Let A be a model of T . Then A^ω has a cofinal collection of WEP separable subalgebras, namely the separable elementary substructures of A^ω . By Proposition 8, A^ω has WEP, whence A is subhomogeneous by [7, Corollary 4.14]. In particular, A is finite. Since existentially closed C^* algebras are purely infinite by [6, Corollary 2.7], we have a contradiction. \square

The advantage of the previous proof over the one in [4] is that the latter proof invokes a serious result of Haagerup and Thorbjørnsen [8], while the above proof ultimately relies instead on the (fundamental but more elementary) work of Junge and Pisier [9].

Acknowledgments. The work here was partially supported by NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1349399. The author would like to thank Ilijas Farah and Thomas Sinclair for useful discussions around this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Ben Yaacov, A. Berenstein, C. W. Henson and A. Usvyatsov, *Model theory for metric structures*, Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis, vol. 2, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 350, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 315–427. [MR 2436146](#)
- [2] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^* -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Grad. Studies in Math., vol. 88, AMS, Providence, RI, 2008. [MR 2391387](#)
- [3] K. Carlson, E. Cheung, I. Farah, A. Gerhardt-Bourke, B. Hart, L. Mezuman, N. Sequeira and A. Sherman, *Omitting types and AF algebras*, Arch. Math. Logic **53** (2014), 157–169. [MR 3151403](#)
- [4] C. Eagle, I. Farah, E. Kirchberg and A. Vignati, *Quantifier elimination in C^* algebras*, preprint.
- [5] I. Farah, B. Hart, M. Lupini, L. Robert, A. Tikuisis, A. Vignati and W. Winter, *The model theory of C^* algebras*, preprint; available at [arXiv:1602.08072](#).
- [6] I. Goldbring and T. Sinclair, *On Kirchberg’s embedding problem*, J. Funct. Anal. **269** (2015), 155–198. [MR 3345606](#)
- [7] I. Goldbring and T. Sinclair, *Omitting types in operator systems*, to appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J.
- [8] U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjørnsen, *A new application of random matrices: $\text{Ext}(C_{\text{red}}^*(F_2))$ is not a group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), 711–775. [MR 2183281](#)
- [9] M. Junge and G. Pisier, *Bilinear forms on exact operator spaces and $\mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H)$* , Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), 329–363. [MR 1334870](#)
- [10] E. Kirchberg, *On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products, and exactness of group C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 449–489. [MR 1218321](#)

- [11] N. Ozawa, *On the QWEP conjecture*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), 501–530.
[MR 2072092](#)

ISAAC GOLDBRING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING OFFICES M/C 249, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE 340 ROWLAND HALL (BLDG.# 400) IRVINE, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address: isaac@math.uci.edu; *URL:* <http://www.math.uci.edu/~isaac>